Anterior Cervical Instrumentation Using Intradiscal Cage with Integrated Plate
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Objective: The retrospective study is undertaken to report clinical results of anterior cervical interbody fusion with an intradiscal cage with an integrated plate (PCB cervical plating system).

Methods: 38 patients underwent anterior cervical interbody fusion with PCB cervical plating system and followed 6–24 months. The authors investigated overall surgical results; clinical outcome, fusion rate, change of interspace height & lordotic angle, and complications.

Results: No complication was observed during the operation. Clinical improvement was identified in 34 cases (89.5%). Bone fusion was observed in 44 out of 49 sites (90.7%). After operation, the interspace height increased from 5.4 ± 1.2mm to 7.8 ± 1.5mm and maintained 7.4 ± 1.1mm and, interspace angle went up from 4.2 ± 0.7° to 4.8 ± 1.1° and maintained 4.6 ± 0.9°. The loosening of screw was observed in 6 cases, one of which had reoperation because of the expulsion of the device accompanied.

Conclusion: PCB cervical plating system could restore interbody height and lordosis in anterior cervical interbody fusion. But, if the insertion of the spacer is not precise, the frequencies of hardware failure are relatively high. It is considered necessary for the operator to be careful in the procedure.
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Introduction

Mostly, the anterior approaches to cervical diseases is to apply interbody fusion and internal fixation using metal plate and screws compositely after decompressing the lesion.1,2,7,12,25. The disadvantage of conventional internal fixation with plate & screws system include the fracture or loosening of screws, the possibility of cord injury when using bi-cortical screws, long operation time, dysphagia and foreign body sensation in the anterior neck.16,17,19,20,28. To solve this problems, various internal fixation devices have been being introduced. Among them, PCB cervical plating system (SCIENTX, Paris, France) (Fig. 1) was developed by grafting interbody cage system into existing cervical plating system. Because of simplicity to apply and mechanical stability, it is widely used by clinicians17,19,20,27.

The authors analyzed the clinical results of PCB cervical plating system experienced in our institute and report the usefulness.

Fig. 1. The PCB cervical system consists of a hollow intradiscal spacer with integrated plate. The upper convex part of spacer provides optimal contact with lower surface of vertebral body. Convergent mono-cortical screw allows rostrocaudal screw positioning.
Materials and Methods

The subjects of this study were 38 patients selected from patients who visited our institute during the period from May 1998 to December 2001. They underwent anterior cervical interbody fusion using PCB cervical system for degenerative cervical disease, had full medical records, and could be followed up for over 6 months.

The subjects aged between 26–64 and 45.6 on the average. Among them, 29 were men and 9 were women. According to preoperative diagnosis, there were 29 cases of HNP, 6 cases of cervical myelopathy caused by the compression of bony spur and 3 cases of OPLL. In addition, 28 patients had a single level, 7 had two levels and 2 had three levels, so a total of 49 levels were operated. The period of follow-up was 6–24 months and 13.4 months on the average.

Operation was conducted according to standard Smith & Robinson method. Under general anesthesia, the patient was fastened at the supine position, his/her neck was extended to a certain degree. After skin incision and muscle dissection, the carotid artery was retracted to the lateral side and the esophagus and trachea to the medial side using Cloward retractor. After exposing of the anterior part of vertebra, the level of operation was confirmed with C-arm. The disc, bony spur, OPLL, etc. were removed under the microscope. The correct size of the PCB device was mounted on the spacer holder and inserted, and the system was fixed onto the upper and lower vertebral bodies using a screw for each. In order to raise the fusion rate, the authors collected 2–3cc of bone marrow from the patient's iliac bone, mixed it well with prepared Lubbock bone, and filled the mixture in the spacer.

The postoperative improvement of symptom was classified into four grades: excellent, good, satisfactory and poor according to Odom's classification (Table 1). If improvement was excellent, good or satisfactory, we judged that the operation was successful. Fusion status was observed based on the result of lateral flexion/extension cervical radiography conducted at intervals of three months since operation. We determined successful bone fusion based on the absence of motion on flexion/extension, presence of sclerotic change between the inserted spacer and the vertebral margin, increment of bone density inside the spacer and absence of spinous process separation on dynamic overlay. We also measured interspace height and interspace angle according to the method proposed by Bishop et al. to examine changes in intervertebral height and in cervical lordotic angle (Fig. 2). We observed the manifestation of new symptoms and the occurrence of complications related to hardware and other complications during follow-up.

Results

The mean operation time by level was 1.6 hours and no complication was observed during the operation. Postoperative change in symptom was excellent in 15 cases, good in 13 and satisfactory in 6, so a total of 34 out of 38 cases (89.5%) were successful in operation and 4 (10.5%) were poor.

The average interspace height in the site of operation was 5.4 ± 1.3mm before operation, went up to 7.8 ± 1.5mm right after operation, and maintained 7.4 ± 1.1mm during follow-up. The average interspace angle was 4.2 ± 0.7° before operation, went up to 4.8 ± 1.1° right after operation, and maintained 4.6 ± 0.9° during follow-up (Table 2). Bone fusion began to be observed from 6 months after operation and it was observed in 44 out of 49 sites, so the fusion rate was 90.7%. No particular symptom was found in 5 cases that had fusion failure as

| Table 1. Clinical outcome of the patients (Odom, et al.16) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Grade          | Criteria                  | No. of patient |
| Excellent      | Complete relief of symptom with full activity | 15             |
| Good           | Partial relief of symptom with full activity | 13             |
| Satisfactory   | Improvement but limitation of activity | 6              |
| Poor           | No improvement or deterioration after surgery | 4              |

Table 2. Interspace height & angle of preoperation, 1 day and 12 months after operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level(n=49)</th>
<th>Interspace height(mm)*</th>
<th>Interspace angle(°)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C34(n=4)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C45(n=9)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C56(n=22)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C67(n=13)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C71(n=1)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Value expressed as average
no evidence of bone fusion was observed even after 12 months. The loosening of screw was observed in 6 cases, one of which had reoperation because of the expulsion of the spacer accompanied (Fig. 3).

Discussion

The operation methods of cervical disease are largely divided into the anterior and posterior approach according to access route. The posterior approach is disadvantageous in that the lesion on the anterior part of the spinal cord is not exposed sufficiently and there is the possibility of postoperative kyphosis and instability, so it is currently adopted limitedly. On the other hand, the anterior approach minimizes the damage of structures supporting the stability of spine, provides safe access to the lesion on the anterior part of neural elements, and secure postoperative stability of spine through interbody fusion and internal fixation, so it is widely adopted today.

Since it was first reported by Smith & Robinson, the operative technique of the anterior approach has been established as a method of decompression and interbody fusion by Cloward, Bailey & Badgley, etc. Its early method that finished operation just with bone fusion using autologous iliac bone caused patients to experience frequent complications such as the subsidence of the fusion part, the expulsion of the anterior or posterior part of the graft material, late instability and pseudoarthrosis. Since Bohler et al. in order to prevent such complications, first reported internal fixation system using metal plates and screws in 1964, various types of fixation devices applied to anterior interbody fusion have been developed.

Cervical plating system is composed of metal plates fixed to the anterior part of the upper and lower vertebral body and mono- or bi-cortical screws that fix the plates onto the vertebral body. The devices using bi-cortical screws have the risk of cord injury when inserting the screws. In addition, fracture or loosening of screws occurs frequently because load applied to the screws cannot be compensated for when the fused part is deformed by subsidence or other reasons. Most devices using mono-cortical screws have a locking system to prevent expected loosening. Screws with such a locking system can be inserted relatively safely and easily and take less time in operation compared to bi-cortical screws but they are hard to be applied to patients with osteoporosis, trauma, etc.

Cylindrical hollow-threaded cage, open-framed carbon fiber cage, titanium mesh cylinder, etc. that were developed by adapting interbody fusion cage, which had been used in the interbody fusion of the lumbar spine, for cervical spine can perform interbody fusion and fixation simultaneously and minimize postoperative foreign body sensation because they are "no profile" devices. However, there are reports that the rate of fusion failure is relatively high because of micromotion between graft-endplate interfaces and the frequency of subsidence is higher than that in plated fusion.

PCB cervical plating system used by the authors integrated plate and cage into a device in order to exploit the advantages of both cervical plating system and interbody cage system. It consists of a hollow intradiscal spacer with an integrated plate. The upper part of the spacer has convex configuration for optimal contact. The cervical lordotic angle is maintained naturally after retaining the device within the disc space, and the diminution of the axial loading of the vertebral body applied to the screws is neutralized, minimizing the loosening or fractures. In addition, the metal plate integrated with the spacer protects the cord from injuries by preventing excessive insertion and postoperative retropulsion of the spacer.

Despite advantages mentioned above, hardware failures in actual PCB cervical plating system have been reported frequently. Samandouzas et al. conducted operation for 29 cases of degenerative cervical disease using PCB device and, after following up them for 8 months on the average, reported that there had been no hardware failure during the follow-up. In their 2~8 months' follow-up of 16 patients who had operation, Hwang et al. also reported that there had been no complication related fixation device. However, these reports were all preliminary reports of short follow-up. On the contrary, Park et al. reported that they observed 3 cases of screw loosening in 54 patients through follow-up of 15.5 months on the average, and Kim et al. reported 2 cases of screw loosening in 28 patients through follow-up of 14 months on the average. In the authors' research on 38 patients, 6 cases of screw loosening were observed during follow-up of 13.4 months on the average. One of them had the expulsion of the device and severe foreign body sensation, so the device was removed and
the plating system was replaced through reoperation. As stated above, the rate of hardware failures in PCB cervical plating system observed through long-term follow-up was 5–15%, which was higher than 7.7% in plating system1,2,7, and 1.2–3.4% in interbody cage system10. In PCB system that fixes only with a mono-cortical screw without locking system in the upper and lower vertebral body, the shape of the spacer with convex upper part must exactly agree with the shape of the empty space resulting from discectomy in order to minimize axial loading on the spacer. According to the authors’ experience, however, if the vertebral body has been deformed severely by degenerative spondylolisthesis, it was difficult to treat the fusion surface to be fit to the shape of the spacer and sometimes full insertion was hindered by the plate integrated to the anterior part. In this case, the effect of compensation for axial loading was not achievable and, as a result, failures such as screw loosening occurred frequently. Furthermore, as in the case where reoperation was conducted because of the expulsion of the spacer, it was highly possible that failure in fixing the plate was accompanied by the loosening of the spacer because the plate and the space were integrated into a device. In order to reduce hardware failures, accordingly, it is necessary to treat the upper & lower end plate of the fused part carefully in consideration of the size and shape of the vertebral body, to select PCB device of an appropriate size and to insert the device carefully so that it fits the intervertebral space, checking C-arm as much as possible.

It is reported that the fusion success rate of PCB cervical plating system is 71–72% using allograft1,2,20. To raise the fusion rate, the authors collected 2–3cc of bone marrow from the patient’s iliac bone during operation, mixed it fully with prepared Lubbock bone and filled it in the spacer and, as a result, the fusion rate was increased satisfactorily up to 90.7%. In PCB cervical plating system, it may be difficult to determine bone fusion only with plain cervical radiography because of the radio-opaque metal spacer. Thus computed tomography is sometimes used to check bone density inside the spacer but it is expensive and its result may be inaccurate1,13,15,20,27. In order to get reliable results in determining bone fusion, the authors used plain cervical radiography to observe sclerotic change of fused surface, bone density inside the spacer and, at the same time, monitored the change of interspace between spinous processes in flexion / extension radiography.

In anterior cervical interbody fusion, the continuous maintenance of corrected intervertebral height and lordotic angle after operation is important in order to prevent late complications caused by the recompensation of the nerve root14,17,28. There are reports that interspace height and interspace angle were maintained successfully after operation in PCB cervical plating system14,15,20,27. In the cases studied by the authors as well, interspace height increased by 2.4mm on the average after operation and maintained the increase of around 2.0mm throughout the period of follow-up, and interspace angle increased by 0.4° on the average after operation and maintained the level without remarkable variation throughout the period of follow-up.

Conclusion

The result of this study shows that anterior cervical instrumentation using PCB cervical plating system can maintain interspace height and lordotic angle with little subsidence. On the other hand, if the insertion is not precise, the frequencies of postoperative screw loosening and device expulsion are relatively high. Thus it is considered necessary for the operator to be careful in the procedure.
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The authors provided a valuable information for cervical instrumentation using intradiscal cage with integrated plate like PCB cervical plating system. This article is emphasized for prevent hardware failures to treat the upper & lower end plate of the fused part of the vertebral body, to select the device of an appropriate size and to insert the device into intervertebral space with proper depth.

Among authors' 38 cases, the loosening of screw was observed in 6 cases and one patient had wound revision for removal of the device. The rate of hardware failure is relatively not little. But this article is also of great value for discussion to problems of the device and bone fusion after long-term follow-up compare to other reports about PCB cervical plating system.

In recent years the kinds and use of frequency of spinal instruments are rapidly increased. However we have to think about the plate and screw fixation for single level discectomy in the degenerative cervical disc diseases without instability is reasonable procedure or not, because all spinal instruments has possibility of hardware failure.
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