Original Article

A Study on the Relationship between Health Perception, Prenatal Care Behaviors and Health Promoting Behaviors in Unmarried Pregnant Women*

Chung, Kwi Ae1)

1) Professor, Department of Nursing, Yeungnam College of Science & Technology

*

1)

1)

Abstract

Purpose: This study was to examine the relationship between health perception, prenatal care behaviors and health promoting behaviors of unmarried pregnant women. Method: The subjects were 97 unmarried pregnant women. The data was collected through personal interviews using a questionnaire. Adjusted instruments were the health perception scale developed by Ware, prenatal care behavior scale developed by Lee, and health promoting behavior scale developed by Pender. Data was analyzed by descriptive statistics, t-test, ANOVA, and the Pearson correlation coefficient with SPSS program. Result: The mean score of health perception was 3.3, and Resistance-Susceptibility was the highest. The mean score of prenatal care behaviors was 2.9, while that of health promoting behaviors was 2.5. The relationship between health perception and prenatal care behaviors was significant(r=0.268, p=.008). The relationship between prenatal care behaviors and health promoting behaviors was also significant (r=0.633, p=.000). **Conclusion:** The higher the health perception of unmarried pregnant women, the more they are concerned about good prenatal care behaviors. Unmarried pregnant women did well on health promoting behaviors when they had are high degree of good prenatal care behaviors. Therefore, in order to promote positive health perceptions of unmarried pregnant women, it is necessary to develop and adjust various education and supporting programs.

Key words: Health perception, Prenatal care behaviors, Health promoting behaviors, Unmarried pregnant women

: 2006, 6, 9

· Address reprint requests to : Chung, Kwi Ae

Department of Nursing, Yeungnam College of Science & Technology 1737, Daemyeung-dong, Namgu, Daegu 705-703, Korea Tel: 82-53-650-9380 Fax: 82-53-625-4705 E-mail: kchung@ync.ac.kr

^{*} This study was supported by 2003 Research Encouragement Fund, Yeungnam College of Science & Technology.

: 2006. 2. 11 1 : 2006. 3. 8 2 : 2006. 5. 18 3 : 2006. 6. 5

```
1987),
                                                                                 (Hwang, 2005)
                                      (Shaw & Costanzo,
1982)
          가
                (Lee, 1984a).
                                                                                                          가
                                                                                                    (Han & Yang, 1997)
                                               가
                             (Ware, 1979).
                                         가
                                                   가
                                                                                                              가
 가
                    가
                                       (Lenz, 1984)
                                                  Pender
(1982)
                                                                 1.
              , Christenson(1981)
                                                 (Reeder,
Mastroianni, & Martin, 1987).
                                                                 2.
                     가
                                                                 1)
                                                                        (Kim, 1986).
                                               가
   (Han, 1998; Joo, 1999; Kim & Choi, 1993).
                                                                 2)
                                                   가
                                    가
                                                                                                  Ware(1979)가
                                                               Yoo, Kim
                                                                          Park(1985)
       (Han, 2001; Han & Yang, 1997; Joo, 1999),
                             (Kim, 2000),
                                                                     가
                                                                                 가
(Chung, 2000),
                                                    (Um,
```

116 12(2), 2006 6

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , 가 가 992) ·	3 ,	4 ,
4)		· 4 ,	
Pender, 1987), Health Promoting Lifestyle Profil	(Pender & Pender (1987) e(HPLP) Lee(1989)7	'3, ' '1 5 7	5 , ' ' 4 , ' ' 2 , '
가		Yoo (1985)	Cronbach's α .62 Cronbach's α .60
1.		2) Lee(1984b)가	, ,
2.		5 , ' ' 3 , ' 가	6 '5, ' '4 '1
200. 26 , 7† T	3 11 19 2004 2	Lee(1984b)	Cronbach's α .81 Cronbach's α .86
126 97		3) Pender Pender(1987)7† Profile Lee(1989	Health Promoting Lifestyle
3.		, 4 ,	10 , 8
(11), (26)	(32), (40) 109	5 ,	7 40 , 1 4 フト
1) Yoo (1985)	Ware(1979)7}	Pender Pender(1987)7 coefficient .92 , Lee(1989) Cro	nbach's a .90
	9 ,		Cronbach's a .91 .

<Table 1> Differences in health perception, prenatal care behaviors and health promoting behaviors by general characteristics (N=97)

Category	N	(%)	Heal	Health perception		Prenatal care be		Prenatal care behaviors		Health promoting behaviors		
· ,		,	M	SD	F/t(p)	M	SD	F/t(p)	M	SD	F/t(p)	
Age												
15-19	30	(30.9)	3.32	.32	1.14	2.90	.52	.70	2.44	.35	.46	
20-24	49	(50.5)	3.26	.26	(.34)	2.90	.48	(.50)	2.48	.38	(.71)	
25-29	12	(12.4)	3.39	.31		2.83	.68		2.58	.40		
30-34	6	(6.2)	3.42	.24		3.22	.73		2.53	.34		
Education												
middle school	15	(15.5)	3.22	.32	1.90	2.80	.40	.45	2.47	.22	.66	
high school	57	(58.8)	3.29	.28	(.16)	2.91	.54	(.64)	2.45	.39	(.52)	
college & above	25	(25.8)	3.39	.28		2.97	.58		2.56	.39		
Residence												
metropolitan	32	(33.0)	3.33	.31	.32	2.97	.54	1.89	2.60	.39	4.59	
urban	34	(35.1)	3.30	.28	(.73)	2.99	.46	(.16)	2.50	.29	(.01)	
rural	31	(32.0)	3.27	.28		2.75	.58		2.33	.39		
Lodger before pregnancy												
alone	26	(26.8)	3.33	.30	.37	2.41	.34	1.81	2.41	.34	1.81	
with parent	42	(43.3)	3.31	.19	(.83)	2.57	.36	(.31)	2.57	.36	(.31)	
with relative	4	(4.1)	3.16	.28		2.64	.43		2.64	.43		
with friend	12	(12.4)	3.33	.31		2.30	.41		2.30	.41		
etc.	13	(13.4)	3.26	.25		2.46	.31		2.46	.31		
Reason for pregnancy												
as wanted a baby	5	(5.2)	3.24	.29	.92	3.20	.29	3.20	2.65		.61	
failure of contraception	20	(20.6)	3.34	.31	(.44)	2.71	.43	(.17)	2.41	.37	(.61)	
by sexual assault	2	(2.1)	3.00	.71		2.67	.19		2.44	.37		
unwanted during a date	70	(72.2)	3.31	.27		2.95	.56		2.49	.38		

12(2), 2006 6

<Table 2> Differences in health perception, prenatal care behaviors and health promoting behaviors by obstetrical characteristics (N=97)

Category	N	(%)	Healt	th perce	eption	Prenatal care behaviors			Health promoting behaviors		
			М	SD	F/t(p)	М	SD	F/t(p)	М	SD	F/t(p)
Gestation period when to find pregnant											
before 2 months	30	(30.9)	3.24	.33	.90	2.87	.54	.70	2.47	.39	1.15
3~4 months	36	(37.1)	3.32	.31	(.44)	2.86	.43	(.55)	2.42	.32	(.33)
5~7 months	25	(25.8)	3.33	.21		3.04	.69		2.54	.42	
8~10months	6	(6.2)	3.42	.19		2.81	.21		2.68	.22	
Family awareness of the pregnancy											
yes	54	(55.7)	3.30	.32	2.49	2.91	.50	1.16	2.53	.35	.78
no	34	(44.3)	3.31	.25	(.12)	2.90	.58	(.28)	2.43	.39	(.38)
Experience of abortion											
yes	44	(45.4)	3.30	.28	.12	2.92	.61	3.20	2.46	.42	3.21
no	53	(54.6)	3.31	.30	(.73)	2.89	.46	(.08)	2.50	.32	(.08)
Experience of delivery											
yes	12	(12.4)	3.22	.34	1.31	2.81	.78	5.195*	2.41	.37	.01
no	85	(87.6)	3.32	.28	(.26)	2.92	.49	(0.025)	2.49	.37	(.93)
Beginning of prenatal exam											
before 3 months	17	(17.5)	3.17	.28	1.16	3.04	.49	3.77**	2.50	.31	1.92
4~6 months	27	(27.8)	3.38	.32	(.34)	3.15	.61	(.00)	2.59	.34	(.10)
7~9 months	27	(27.8)	3.27	.25		2.72	.37		2.43	.36	
after 9 months	6	(6.3)	3.33	.13		3.04	.21		2.73	.28	
none	20	(20.6)	3.34	.31		2.65	.52		2.33	.43	
Plan for fostering											
alone	6	(6.2)	3.53	.29	1.77	3.03	.52	.45	2.58	.29	.58
marriage	7	(7.2)	3.21	.23	(.12)	2.77	.38	(.84)	2.30	.40	(.75)
adoption	62	(63.9)	3.31	.25		2.09	.57		2.48	.39	
not determined	18	(18.6)	3.27	.33		2.93	.48		2.56	.29	
etc.	4	(4.2)	3.16	.51		2.64	.43		2.35	.42	

^{*} p < .05, ** p < .01

4~6 , 7~9 6 27.8%, 3 가 (17.5%), 9 (6.3%) 3.7 , 3.6 20.6%(20) 3.4 , (63.9%)가 가 가 가 3.2 <Table 3>. (18.6%), (7.2%), (3.0) (6.2%),(4.2%)

<Table 3> The degree of health perception

Item Mean

, (F=5.195, p<.05)가 , 가 (F=3.77, p<.001)가

. フト <Table 2>.

Item	Mean	SD
Current health	3.2	0.45
Previous health	3.4	0.74
Health outlook	3.6	0.57
Health worry/concern	3.4	0.69
Resistance - susceptibility	3.7	0.51
Rejection of sick role	3.0	0.32
Health perception	3.3	0.29

3. 4.

5 3.3 5

<Table 4> The degree of prenatal care behaviors

Item	Mean	SD
Taking proper nutritious food.	3.1	.89
Regular bowel evacuation to prevent constipation.	3.0	1.07
Maintain good posture always.	2.9	.82
Taking a rest frequently.	3.8	1.09
Taking leg exercise frequently.	2.7	1.09
Avoiding salty food intake.	3.1	1.11
Taking calcium products.	1.9	1.24
Taking ferrous products.	2.0	1.40
Taking regular proper exercise.	2.9	1.14
Taking sound sleep at night.	3.5	1.16
Taking food in small amount frequently.	3.1	1.08
Taking perineal care frequently.	3.4	.99
Being proud of the change of appearance due to pregnancy.	3.2	.89
Accepting the change of body image in the course of pregnancy.	3.1	1.12
Going outside for a change.	3.0	1.13
Holding on hobby activity.	2.7	1.14
Trying to share household affairs with family members.	3.0	.80
Imaging newborn baby to herself.	3.6	1.25
Preparing for clothes and equipments for newborn.	1.9	1.24
Reading the book on pregnancy, childbirth and rearing.	2.6	1.36
Sharing maternity experiences with others.	3.4	1.18
Having a lot of time to share with spouse.	3.5	1.23
Telling others what being worried about frankly.	3.0	1.17
Doing nipple care.	1.9	1.02
Taking routine prenatal periodically.	3.1	1.31
Carrying out Lamaze exercise for safe delivery.	2.5	1.26
Total	2.9	.53

<Table 5> The degree of health promoting behaviors

3.5

2.9

Subscale	Mean	SD
Self actualization	2.6	.48
Health responsibility	2.2	.54
Physical activity	2.2	.51
Nutrition	2.7	.46
Interpersonal relationship	2.7	.52
Stress management	2.5	.48
Total	2.5	.37

<Table 6> Correlation among health perception, prenatal care behaviors and health promoting behaviors

(2.7), (2.6),

(2.5),

	Prenatal care behaviors	Health promoting behaviors
Health perception	.268(.008)**	.164(.111)
Current health	.257(.011)*	.087(.395)
Prior health	.105(.308)	.121(.241)
Health outlook	.231(.023)*	.240(.018)*
Health Worry/concern	.233(.022)*	.136(.185)
Resistance-susceptibility	.064(.531)	.091(.376)
Rejection of sick role	.056(.589)	.091(.374)
Health promoting behavior	.633(.000)**	

* p < .05, ** p < .01

120 12(2), 2006 6

```
6가
                                   (r=.257, p=.001),
                                                                                      44.3%
                                                                                             Kim(2000)
                                                      40.3%, Hwang(2005) 32.0%
         (r=.231, p=.023),
                                  (r=.233, p=.022)
                                     가 .
                                                                                      45.4%
                                                                                             Kim(2000)
                                                      22.7%, Hwang(2005)
                                                                         28.0%, Jeong
                                                                                              28.1%
                                                                                      (2004)
                                    가
r=.164(p=.111)
       6가
                                    (r=.240, p=
                                                                     가
                                                                              가
.018)
                                   r=.633(p=.000)
                   가
                           <Table 6>.
                                                                                 (Jeong, Kim, & Yang, 2004)
                                                      3.3
                                                              Yoo (1985)
                                                                                             (3.29)
                                                              Jang(1992)
                                                                                              (3.5)
            Yang(2004) Hwang(2005)
                                                            Ware(1979)
 Jeong, Kim
                                가 15 34
                  20~24 7 50.5%, 15~19 7 30.9%
                                                        6
                                                                                               . Yoo
                                                                                        가
                                    가 가
                                                      (1985)
                                                                                       (3.95),
                               가
                                                       (3.63),
                                                                         (3.40)
                                                                                       가
   가
                               (Kim, 2000)
                                                                                             (2.80)
                                                               , Jang(1992)
                                                                                                 (4.3),
                                                                                    (3.6)
                                                                                                  , 가
                                                                (4.0)
33.0%, 35.1%, 32.0%
                                               가
                                                      (3.2)
                                                                           (3.2)
                                                                               가
                                                                                   (3.7),
                                                                                                    (3.6
                                                        ),
                                                                    (3.4),
                                                                                    (3.4)
                                                                                                    , 가
                (72.2%)
                                                         (3.0)
      (20.6%)
                                          가
                 가
                                                                              가
    가
                                           3~4
                                                              가
 (37.1\%),
             2 (30.9%)
                                        Kim(2000)
          (35.7%, 27.3%)
                                   가
```

	가 (Yoo , 1985)		가			
	가					
	2.9				가	가
Jang(1992)			•			71
(3.69)	Kim Choi(1993) (3.74)				,	
			Yoo (1	985)		
				가 .		
	(Jang, 1992),	·				
2001),	(Lee, 1984b), (Kim & Choi, 1993)		97			
2001),	(Killi & Choi, 1773)	가	,			
	-1				3.3	
	, 가					
			•		,	
			가			
			2.9			가
가	,		2.)	2.5	ı	71
	(Lee, 1984b; Kim, 1987; Park, 1993)	•	가			,
	4					가
	2.5	,	•	71		71
	Park, Park Kwon(1996) (2.52 Shin(1997) (2.61),),		가 가		가
		hoi	,	- 1		
(1993)	(2.49), (2.89)					
	가		Defe			
	Park (1996) Kim Choi(1993))	Reference	es		
			Christenson, G.	M. (1981). The n	ational survey o	f worksite
				otion activity. AAOI 0). Social support		
				the facilities. Ui		
				National University,		
	가 .			 A phenomenological pregnancy 		
	가		doctoral diss	ertation, Chungnam	University, Taeje	on.
	71	,). Influencing factors		

12(2), 2006 6

thesis, Ewha Women's University, Seoul.

- Han, Y. R., & Yang, S. O. (1997). The study on the pregnancy experiences of unmarried mothers. J korean Comm Nurs, 11(2), 194-207.
- Hwang, R. H. (2005). A study on the relationship between state anxiety and maternal fetal attachment of unmarried mothers in a welfare center. Korean J Women Health Nurs, 11(3), 218-224.
- Jang, S. H. (1992). A study on the pregnant womens' health perception and antenatal care behavior. Unpublished master's thesis, Chonnam University, Kwangju.
- Jeong, G. H., Kim, S. J., & Yang, S. O. (2004). Development and application of sexual health education program for unmarried mothers. Korean J Women Health Nurs, 10(3), 252-260
- Joo, S. N. (1999). A study on the childbirth experience of unmarried mother. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Chungang University, Seoul.
- Kim, E. A. (2001). A study on the relationship among social support, stress and compliance of prenatal care in primigravida. Unpublished master's thesis, Chosun University, Kwangju.
- Kim, H. S., & Choi, Y. S. (1993). A comparative study on health promotion lifestyles and attitudes between unmarried and married pregnant women. J Korean Acad Nurs, 23(2), 255-268.
- Kim, I. (1987). A study on the relationship between the knowledge of prenatal health, social support and compliance of prenatal care in primigravida and multipara. Unpublished master's thesis, Chosun University, Kwangju.
- Kim, K. J. (1986). Unmarried mother and social problem.

 Modern society and female. Asan Social Welfare
 Foundation, Seoul, Korea.
- Kim, Y. G. (2000). Nursing need of pregnancy, delivery, and postpartum for unmarried mothers base on Roy's adaptation theory. Unpublished master's thesis, Hallym University, Chunchon.
- Lee, H. G. (1984a). Character Psychology. Seoul: Bubmunsa.
- Lee, M. L. (1984b). A study on the relationship between

- pregnant women's health beliefs and performance of antenatal care. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Ewha Women's University, Seoul.
- Lee, T. W. (1989). Determinants of health promotion behavior: centered on well adults living in Seoul. Unpublished master's thesis, Yonsei University, Seoul.
- Lenz, E. R. (1984). Information seeking: a component of client decisions and health behavior. Adv Nurs Sci, 6(3), 59-72.
- Park, J. S., Park, C. J., & Kwon, Y. S. (1996). The effect of health education on the performance of health promoting behavior in college students. J Korean Acad Nurs, 26(2), 359-371
- Park, M. H. (1993). A correlation study on the relationship between hardiness and compliance with prenatal care in pregnant woman. J Korean Acad Nurs, 23(3), 339-355.
- Pender, N. J. (1982). *Health promotion in nursing practice*. Norwalk, CT: Appleton Century Crofts.
- Pender, N. J., & Pender, A. R. (1987). Attitudes, subjective norms, and intentions to engage in health behaviors. Nurs Res, 35, 15-18.
- Reeder, S. J., Mastroianni, L., & Martin, L. L. (1987). Maternity nursing (16th ed). Philadelphia: Lippincott Co.
- Shaw, M. E., & Costanzo, P. R. (1982). The theories of social psychology (2nd ed). NY: McGraw-Hill Co.
- Shin, H, S. (1997). A study on the relationship between health locus of control and health promoting behavior of college women. Korean J Women Health Nurs, 3(2), 194-204.
- Um, A. H. (1987). A study on the extents of the significance: recognition and practice of prenatal care of unmarried mothers. Unpublished master's thesis, Yonsei University, Seoul.
- Ware, J. E. (1979). Health perception questionnaire. In M. J. Ward & C. A. Lindeman (Eds.), *Instruments for measuring nursing practice and other health care variable* (pp.158-161). Hyattsville, MD: DHEW Publication.
- Yoo, J. S., Kim, C. J., & Park, J. W. (1985). A study of the correlation between health perception, health behavior, and health status of adolescents. Yonsei Med J, 21, 169-187.