East Asian Math. J. 22 (2006), No. 2, pp. 189–193

# NOTES ON GRADING MONOIDS

JE YOON LEE\* AND CHUL HWAN PARK

ABSTRACT. Throughout this paper, a semigroup S will denote a torsion free grading monoid, and it is a non-zero semigroup with 0. The operation is written additively. The aim of this paper is to study semigroup version of an integral domain ([1],[3],[4] and [5]).

### 1. Introduction

Let S be an additive commutative semigroup with identity (denoted by 0), that is a monoid. A monoid S is said to be *cancellative* if x + y = x + z with x, y and  $z \in S$  implies y = z and S is said to be *torsion-free* if nx = ny with  $x, y \in S$  and  $n \in N$  implies x = y where N denotes the set of all positive integers. A cancellative monoid is called a *grading monoid* [10,p.112]. In this paper, a semigroup S will denote a torsion free grading monoid, and it is a non-zero semigroup with 0. The operation is written additively.

A nonempty subset B of a semigroup S is called an *additive system* if it satisfies the following condition  $b_1, b_2 \in B \Rightarrow b_1 + b_2 \in B$ . For an additive system B, the *quotient semigroup*  $S_B$  is defined as follows:  $\{s - b \mid s \in S, b \in B\}$ . Especially, if B = S, then the quotient semigroup  $S_S = \{s_1 - s_2 \mid s_1, s_2 \in S\}$  is called the *quotient group* of S, and is denoted by q(s) = G. T is called an *oversemigroup* of S if T is a subsemigroup of G containing S.

An *ideal* of S is a nonempty suset I of S such that  $s + I = \{s + i | i \in I\} \subseteq I$  for each  $s \in S$ . For an ideal I, J of S, set  $I^{-1} = \{x \in G | x + I \subseteq I\}$ 

Received February 23, 2006.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 21M14.

Key words and phrases: Seminormal semigroup, Pseudo valuation semigroup.

<sup>\*</sup>The first author was supported by University of Ulsan Research Fund in 2004.

J.Y.LEE\* AND C.H. PARK

S}. Let J be an ideal of S. Set  $rad(J) = \{s \in S | ns \in J \text{ for some } n \in Z_0\}$ . I is called a *radical ideal* of S if I = rad(I). For each  $x \in S$ , set (x) = x + S. An ideal of S is principal if I = (x). An ideal P of S is prime if  $x + y \in P$  implies  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$  for  $x, y \in S$ . An element s of S is called a unit if s + u = 0 for some  $u \in S$ . Also set  $M = \{m \in S | m \text{ is a non-unit element of } S\}$ . Then M is the unique maximal ideal of S. A semigroup S is called *valuation semigroup* if either  $\alpha \in S$  or  $-\alpha \in S$  for each  $\alpha \in G$ . Throuhout this paper, we may refer to [6],[7],[8] and [9].

### 2. Results

A semigroup S is called *seminormal semigroup* if for each  $x \in G$  such that there is a positive integer n with  $mx \in S$  for all  $m \ge n$  then  $x \in S$ , or equivalently, if  $2\alpha, 3\alpha \in S$  for  $\alpha \in G$  then  $\alpha \in G(\text{cf.}[3],[6],[8] \text{ and } [9])$ .

THEOREM 2.1. Let S be a seminormal semigroup with quotient group G and I be an ideal of S. Then (rad(I) : rad(I)) is a seminormal and  $(rad(I) : rad(I)) = \{x \in G | nx \in (S : I) \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}.$ 

Proof. Clearly (rad(I) : rad(I)) is a subsemigroup of (S : I). We first show that  $(rad(I) : rad(I)) = \{x \in G | nx \in (S : I) \text{ for all } n \geq 1\}$ . Let  $x \in G$  such that  $mx \in (S : I)$  for all  $m \geq 1$  and  $a \in rad(I)$ . Then  $na \in I$  for some positive integer n. Hence, for all  $m \geq n$ ,  $m(x+a) \in S$ . By seminormality of S we have  $x + a \in S$ . Also  $(n+1)(x+a) = (n+1)x + na + a \in (S : I) + I + rad(I) \subseteq rad(I)$ . Thus  $x+a \in rad(I)$ . Therefore  $x \in (rad(I) : rad(I))$ . The converse incusion is clear. Finally, we will show that (rad(I) : rad(I)) is seminormal. Let  $nx \in (rad(I) : rad(I))$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $nx \in (R : I)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $x \in (rad(I) : rad(I))$ . Therefore (rad(I) : rad(I)) is seminormal.

COROLLARY 2.2. If S is a seminormal semigroup and I is a radical ideal, then the semigroup (I : I) is seminormal semigroup.

THEOREM 2.3. Let S be a seminormal semigroup with quotient group G and I be a prime ideal of S. Then  $P^{-1}$  is a subsemigroup of G if and only if  $P^{-1} = (P : P)$ .

190

*Proof.* Since one direction is trivial, we assume  $P^{-1}$  is a subsemigroup of G and  $P^{-1} \neq (P : P)$ . Let  $J = (S : P^{-1})$ . We claim J = P. Since  $P + P^{-1} \subseteq S$ , we have  $P \subseteq J$ . Let  $a \in J$ , then  $a + P^{-1} \subseteq S \subseteq (P : P)$  and so  $(a + P^{-1}) + P = a + (P^{-1} + P) \subseteq P$ . since P is prime and  $P + P^{-1} \not\subseteq a \in P$ . Whence, J = P. This is contradicts the fact that  $P^{-1} \neq (P : P)$ .

THEOREM 2.4. Let S be a seminormal semigroup with quotient group G and I be an ideal of S for which  $I^{-1}$  is a semigroup. Then

(1)  $rad(I)^{-1} = (rad(I) : rad(I));$ 

(2)  $I^{-1} = (rad(I) : I) = (J : I)$  for each prime  $I \subseteq J$ .

Proof. (1) since  $rad(I) \subseteq S$ ,  $(rad(I) : rad(I)) \subseteq rad(I)^{-1}$ . To prove  $rad(I)^{-1} \subseteq (rad(I) : rad(I))$ , let  $x \in (rad(I))^{-1}$  and  $a \in rad(I)$ . Then  $na \in I$  for some positive integer n. Since  $(rad(I))^{-1} \subseteq I^{-1}$  and  $I^{-1}$  is a semigroup, we have  $2nx \in I^{-1}$ . Hence  $2nx + na \in I^{-1} + I \subseteq S$ , whence  $2n(x + a) = (2nx + na) + na \in S + I$ . Since  $x + a \in S$ , this implies that  $x + a \in rad(I)$ . Therefore  $rad(I)^{-1} = (rad(I)rad(I))$ .

(3) It is enough to establish the inclusion  $I^{-1} = (J : I)$ . for each prime  $I \subseteq J$  Let  $x \in I^{-1}$ . Since  $I^{-1}$  is a semigroup, we have  $2x \in I^{-1}$ , it follows that  $2x + I \subseteq S$  and  $2(x + I) = (2x + I) + I \subseteq I \subseteq J$ . Since  $x + I \subseteq S$ , we have  $x + I \subseteq J$ . Thus  $I + I^{-1} \subseteq J$ ,  $I^{-1} \subseteq (J : I) \subseteq (S : I) = I^{-1}$ , we have  $I^{-1} = (J : I)$ . Since this is true for each J, we have  $I + I^{-1} \subseteq rad(I)$ . Therefore  $I^{-1} = (rad(I) : rad(I))$ .

A prime ideal P of S is called *strongly prime* if  $x, y \in G$  and  $x + y \in P$  implies that  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . S is called *pseudo-valuation semigroup* if every prime ideal of S is strongly prime[3].

The following Lemma is useful restatement of definition of strongly prime ideal in semigroup S.

LEMMA 2.5. Let P be a prime ideal of a semigroup with quotient group G. Then P is strongly prime ideal if and only if  $-x + P \subseteq P$  for each  $x \in G \setminus S$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that I is strongly prime. Let  $f x \in G \setminus S$  and  $p \in P$ . Since  $p = (p - x) + x \in P$  and P is strongly prime ideal, we have  $(p - x) \in P$  or  $x \in P$ . Since  $x \notin S$  we must have  $p - x \in P$ . Thus  $-x + P \subseteq P$ . To prove opposite implication, assume  $-x + P \subseteq P$ whenever  $x \in G \setminus S$ , and let  $a + b \in P$ . If  $a, b \in S$  there is nothing to prove. Hence we may assume  $a \notin S$  so that  $-a + P \subseteq P$  and  $b = -a + a + b \in P$ . T

THEOREM 2.6. Let P be an ideal in semigroup S with quotient group G. Then following statements are equivalent.

- (1) P is strongly prime;
- (2)  $G \setminus P$  additive system;
- (3) P is prime and is comparable to each (principal) fractional ideal of S.;
- (4) P: P is valuation semigroup with maximal ideal P;
- (5) P is a prime ideal in some valuation oversemigroup of S.

*Proof.* Clearly (1) and (2) are equivalent. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)Suppose that P is strongly prime ideal. Let  $x \in G \setminus P$ . Then  $x + (-x + P) \subseteq P$ . Since P is strongly prime,  $-x + P \subseteq P$  and hence  $P \subseteq x + P \subseteq x + S$ . (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $x, y \in S$ . Suppose that  $x + y \in P$ . Now  $x \in S$  implies  $P \subsetneq X + S$ , So  $-x + P \subsetneq S$ . Then  $y = -x + (x + y) \in -x + P \subseteq S$ . Similarly,  $x \in S$ . But then we get contradiction that either  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$  since P is prime. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Suppose that  $x \in G \setminus P$ . From the proof of (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), we see that  $-x + P \subset P$ , and hence  $-x \in (P : P)$ . From this it easily follows that P : P is a valuation semigroup with P as its maximal ideal. Finally, the implications (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are obvious.

In the following Theorem we characterize pseudo-valuation semigroup with the maximal ideals

**THEOREM 2.7.** Let (S, M) be a semigroup. The following statement are equivalent:

- (1) S is pseudo-valuation semigroup
- (2) For each pair I, J of ideals of S, either  $I \subseteq J$  or  $M + J \subseteq M + I$ .;
- (3) For each pair I, J of ideals of S, either  $I \subseteq J$  or  $M + J \subseteq I$ .;
- (4) M is strongly prime.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume  $I \not\subseteq J$ . Let  $a \in I \setminus J$ . For each  $b \in J$  we have  $a-b \notin S$ , so that  $-(a-b)+M \subseteq M$  and  $M+b \subseteq M+a \subseteq M+I$ . It follows that  $M+J \subseteq M+I$ .

192

## $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ straightforward.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Let  $a, b \in S$  with  $a - b \notin S$ . By Lemma 2.5, this is enough to show that  $-(a - b) + M \subseteq M$ . Since  $a - b \notin S$  we have  $(a) \notin (b)$ whence  $M + b \subseteq (a)$  and  $-(a - b) + M \subseteq S$ . If -(a - b) + M = Sthen M = S + (a - b) and  $a - b \notin S$ , This is a contradiction. Hence  $-(a - b) + M \subseteq M$ . Therefore M is stronly prime ideal

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $x \in G$ ,  $x \notin S$ , and let P be a prime ideal. Again ,by Lemma 2.5, it is enough to show that  $-x + P \subseteq P$ . Let  $p \in P$ . Since  $P \subseteq M$ , we have  $-x + p \in M$ . Hence  $-x + p - x \in M$ , whence  $2(-x+p) = (-x+p) + (-x+p) \in P$ . Since P is prime and  $-x+p \in S$ , we therefore have  $-x + p \in P$ .

#### REFERENCES

- D.D.Anderson and David F. Anderson, Multiplicatively closed subsets of Fields, Houston Journal of Mathematics 13(1) (1987), 429-439.
- [2] R.Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker,, 1988.
- [3] E.G. Hedstrom an E.G. Houston, pseudo-valuation domain, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 75(1) (1978), 137-147.
- [4] Evan G. Houston, Salah-Eddine Kabbaj, Tomas G. Lucas and Abdeslam Mimouni, emph When is the dual of an ideal a ring, Journal of Algebra 225 (2000), 429-450.
- [5] James A. Huckaba and Ira J. Papick, When the dual of an ideal is a ring, manuscripta math. 37 (1982), 67-85.
- [6] Lee, D.S. and Park, C.H., Some remarks on semigroups, Far East J.Math.Sci. ,11(3), (2003), 269-275.
- [7] Matsuda R.and Kanemisu M., Primary ideals and valuation ideals in semigroups, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 26(2002), 433-437.
- [8] Matsuda R.and Kanemisu M., On seminormal semigroups, Arc. Math., 69 (1997), 279-285.
- [9] Matsuda R., On Seminormal semigroups and Pesudo-valuation Semigroups, Jp Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications 1(1) (2001, 25-39.
- [10] D.G.Northcott, Lessons on Rings, Modules and Multiplicities, Cambridge Univ. Press,(1968).

Department of Mathematics University of Ulsan Ulsan 680-749, Korea *E-mail*: jylee@ulsan.ac.kr

# J.Y.LEE\* AND C.H. PARK

Department of Mathematics University of Ulsan Ulsan 680-749, Korea *E-mail*: chpark@ulsan.ac.kr

194