

## THE SEQUENTIAL UNIFORM LAW OF LARGE NUMBERS

JONGSIG BAE AND SUNGYEUN KIM

ABSTRACT. Let  $Z_n(s, f) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf)$  be the sequential empirical process based on the independent and identically distributed random variables. We prove that convergence problems of  $\sup_{(s,f)} |Z_n(s, f)|$  to zero boil down to those of  $\sup_f |Z_n(1, f)|$ . We employ Ottaviani's inequality and the complete convergence to establish, under bracketing entropy with the second moment, the almost sure convergence of  $\sup_{(s,f)} |Z_n(s, f)|$  to zero.

### 1. Introduction and the main result

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and identically distributed random variables. Let  $\{D_n\}$  be the sequential process defined by

$$(1.1) \quad D_n(s) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} X_i \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the integral part of  $x$ . It is well known that, under the topic of Donsker's invariance principle, the process  $D_n$  in (1.1) converges weakly to a Gaussian process. See, for example, Billingsley [1].

We have encountered the sequential process  $\{G_n\}$  defined by

$$(1.2) \quad G_n(s) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} X_i \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq 1$$

in mathematical finance literatures. See Shreve [6].

The fact that  $G_n(s)$  converges almost surely to  $sEX_1$  for each fixed  $s \in [0, 1]$  is well known as a strong law of large numbers.

It is surprising that the following question is not yet settled down.

---

Received April 7, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60F15; Secondary 62G20.

Key words and phrases: sequential Glivenko-Cantelli class, Ottaviani's inequality, complete convergence, almost sure convergence, uniform law of large numbers.

QUESTION. *What are the asymptotic behaviors of*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} |G_n(s)|?$$

In this paper we provide a solution to the question by Ottaviani's inequality and complete convergence.

We begin by formulating the above question.

Let  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ . Given a Borel measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $\{f(X_i) : i \geq 1\}$  forms a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables that are more flexible in applications than  $\{X_i : i \geq 1\}$ .

We state the following sequential strong law of large numbers.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that  $\int f^2(x)P(dx) < \infty$ . Then,*

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf) \right| \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely.}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given at the end of the paper.

## 2. Sequential Glivenko-Cantelli classes

In addition to the setting in previous section, we consider a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of real-valued Borel measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define a sequential empirical process  $Z_n$  by

$$Z_n(s, f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf) \text{ for } (s, f) \in [0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{F}.$$

Define the empirical measure by

$$\mathbf{P}_n(f) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Observe that

$$Z_n(s, f) = \frac{[ns]}{n} (\mathbf{P}_{[ns]} - P)(f)$$

and

$$Z_n(1, f) = (\mathbf{P}_n - P)(f).$$

For a process  $\{Y_t\}$  indexed by an arbitrary set, we denote  $\|Y\|$  to mean  $\sup_t |Y_t|$ . Let  $P^*$  denote the outer probability with respect to the underlying probability  $P$ .

We need the following Ottaviani’s inequality.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent stochastic processes indexed by an arbitrary set. Let  $S_n := X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Then for  $\lambda, \mu > 0$ ,*

$$P^* \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|S_k\| > \lambda + \mu \right) \leq \frac{P^* (\|S_n\| > \lambda)}{1 - \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P^* (\|S_n - S_k\| > \mu)}.$$

*Proof.* See Proposition A.1.1 in Van der Vaart and Wellner [8]. □

We obtain the following maximal inequality that illuminates the role of  $s \in [0, 1]$  is negligible in the problem of law of large numbers. Compare with the role of  $s \in [0, 1]$  in the problem of central limit theorem in section 2.12 in Van der Vaart and Wellner [8]. Let  $\mathcal{S} := [0, 1] \otimes \mathcal{F}$ .

THEOREM 2.2. *There exists a universal constant  $C$  such that*

$$P^* (\|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} > 2\epsilon) \leq CP^* (\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}} > \epsilon) \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

*Proof.* For  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , we see that  $|Z_n(s, f)| \leq \|Z_n(s, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Take the sup over  $\mathcal{S}$  on both sides to obtain

$$(2.1) \quad \|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \|Z_n(s, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

In the right hand side of (2.1) the parameter  $s$  may be restricted to the points  $k/n$  with  $k$  ranging over  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $Z_n(s, f) = \frac{[ns]}{n} (\mathbf{P}_{[ns]} - P)(f)$ , we have

$$\|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} \leq \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{k}{n} \|\mathbf{P}_k - P\|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Ottaviani’s inequality in Lemma (2.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & P^* \left( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \frac{k}{n} \|\mathbf{P}_k - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} > 2\epsilon \right) \\ & \leq \frac{P^* (\|\mathbf{P}_n - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} > \epsilon)}{1 - \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P^* \left( \frac{k}{n} \|\mathbf{P}_k - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} > \epsilon \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

The term  $\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} P^* \left( \frac{k}{n} \|\mathbf{P}_k - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} > \epsilon \right)$  indexed by  $k \leq n_0$  can be controlled with the help of the inequality

$$k \|\mathbf{P}_k - P\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} F(X_i) + 2n_0 P^* F$$

for an envelope function  $F$ . For sufficiently large  $n_0$  the term indexed by  $k > n_0$  are bounded away from 1 by the uniform weak law of large

numbers for  $\mathbf{P}_n$ . Conclude that the denominator is bounded away from zero. The proof is completed.  $\square$

Let  $T^*$  denote the measurable cover function for any mapping  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of measurable functions is a sequential weak Glivenko-Cantelli if

$$\|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}}^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

The class  $\mathcal{F}$  is a weak Glivenko-Cantelli if

$$Z_n(1, \cdot)^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

Sequential strong Glivenko-Cantelli class and strong Glivenko-Cantelli class are defined in a similar fashion by using almost sure convergence.

COROLLARY 2.4. A class  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sequential weak Glivenko-Cantelli if and only if it is a weak Glivenko-Cantelli.

*Proof.* Observe that  $\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}}$ . By Theorem 2.2, we get

$$P^*(\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}} > 2\epsilon) \leq P^*(\|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} > 2\epsilon) \leq CP^*(\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}} > \epsilon).$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.5. Let  $f$  be such that  $\int |f(x)|P(dx) < \infty$ . Then, singleton set  $\{f\}$  is a sequential weak Glivenko-Cantelli class.

*Proof.* For a singleton set  $\{f\}$ , since  $\int |f(x)|P(dx) < \infty$ , we have by weak law of large numbers

$$\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\{f\}} = (\mathbf{P}_n - P)(f) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in probability.}$$

Apply Corollary 2.4 to finish the proof.  $\square$

DEFINITION 2.6. A sequence of random variables  $\{Y_n\}$  converges completely to constant  $c$  if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n - c| > 1)$  converges. A class  $\mathcal{F}$  is a sequential complete Glivenko-Cantelli if

$$\|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}}^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ completely.}$$

It is a complete Glivenko-Cantelli class if

$$\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}}^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ completely.}$$

REMARK 2.7. It is clear that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n - c| > 1)$  converges then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Y_n - c| > \epsilon)$  converges for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . See Erdos [3].

We will use the following result on complete convergence in proving the results on almost sure convergence.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *A class of measurable functions is a sequential complete Glivenko-Cantelli if and only if it is complete Glivenko-Cantelli.*

*Proof.* Recall that

$$P^* (\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}} > 2\epsilon) \leq P^* (\|Z_n\|_{\mathcal{S}} > 2\epsilon) \leq CP^* (\|Z_n(1, \cdot)\|_{\mathcal{F}} > \epsilon).$$

The proof is completed by taking the summation on each side. □

### 3. A sequential strong Glivenko-Cantelli class

Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{L}_2(P) := \{f : \int f^2(x)P(dx) < \infty\}$  be a class of real-valued measurable functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this paper, we will use the  $\mathcal{L}^2$  metric.

In order to measure the size of the function space, we define the following version of metric entropy with bracketing. See, for example, Van der Vaart and Wellner [8] and Van der Geer [7] for recent references.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Given two functions  $l$  and  $u$ , the bracket  $[l, u]$  is the set of all functions  $f$  with  $l \leq f \leq u$ . An  $\epsilon$ -bracket is a bracket  $[l, u]$  with  $[\int(u - l)^2(x)P(dx)]^{1/2} < \epsilon$ . The bracketing number  $N_{[\cdot]}(\epsilon) := N_{[\cdot]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, d)$  is the minimum number of  $\epsilon$ -brackets needed to cover  $\mathcal{F}$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a bracketing entropy if  $\int_0^\infty [\ln N_{[\cdot]}(\epsilon, \mathcal{F}, d)]^{1/2} d\epsilon < \infty$ .

Our goal is to find a condition on  $\mathcal{F}$  that suffices to be a sequential strong Glivenko-Cantelli class.

We are ready to state the following.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a bracketing entropy. Then, it is a sequential strong Glivenko-Cantelli class. That is,*

$$\sup_{\mathcal{S}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf) \right|^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ almost surely.}$$

We will use the following complete law of large numbers that appears in Hsu and Robbins [4].

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Suppose that  $\int f^2(x)P(dx) < \infty$ . Then,*

$$\int f(x)(\mathbf{P}_n - P)(dx) \rightarrow 0$$

*completely. That is, the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty P \left( \left| \int f(x)(\mathbf{P}_n - P)(dx) \right| > 1 \right)$$

converges.

REMARK 3.4. It is known that the assumption  $\int f^2(x)P(dx) < \infty$  is essential. See Theorem 2 and their conjecture in Hsu and Robbins [4]. See also Erdos [3] for the affirmative answer to the conjecture.

We are ready to perform the proof of Theorem 3.2. We find that the idea of DeHardt [2] is still worked in getting Theorem 3.2.

*Proof.* In order to get the almost sure convergence, it suffices to show the complete convergence. See Proposition 5.7 in [5]. In view of Corollary 2.8, to obtain complete convergence of  $\sup_{\mathcal{S}} |\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf)|^*$  to zero, it is enough to prove  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - Pf)|^* \rightarrow 0$  completely. Represent  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (f(X_i) - Pf)$  as an integral form  $\int f(x)(\mathbf{P}_n - P)(dx)$ . We name the integral process as  $U_n(f)$ . Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . Choose finitely many  $\epsilon$ -brackets  $[l_i, u_i]$  whose union contains  $\mathcal{F}$  and such that  $\int (u_i - l_i)^2(x)P(dx) < \epsilon^2$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, N_{[\ ]}(\epsilon)$ . Then, for every  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a bracket such that

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(f) &= \int f(x)\mathbf{P}_n(dx) - \int f(x)P(dx) \\ &= \int f(x)\mathbf{P}_n(dx) - \int u_i(x)P(dx) \\ &\quad + \int u_i(x)P(dx) - \int f(x)P(dx) \\ &\leq \int u_i(x)(\mathbf{P}_n - P)(dx) + \int (u_i - l_i)(x)P(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Now, observe that

$$\int (u_i - l_i)(x)P(dx) \leq \left[ \int (u_i - l_i)^2(x)P(dx) \right]^{1/2} < \epsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_n(f) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N_{[\ ]}(\epsilon)} \int u_i(x)(\mathbf{P}_n - P)(dx) + \epsilon.$$

The right hand side converges completely to  $\epsilon$  by Proposition 3.3. Combination with a similar argument for  $\inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} U_n(f)$  yields that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |U_n(f)|^* \leq \epsilon,$$

completely, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Take a sequence  $\epsilon_m \downarrow 0$  to see that the limsup must actually be zero completely. The proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed. □

**COROLLARY 3.5.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  has a bracketing entropy. Then, it is a sequential complete Glivenko-Cantelli class. That is,*

$$\sup_S \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf) \right|^* \rightarrow 0 \text{ completely.}$$

*Proof.* This is not a corollary to Theorem 3.2 itself but a corollary to the proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

We are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* The singleton set  $\mathcal{F} = \{f\}$  certainly satisfies the bracketing entropy condition. The result follows from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**REMARK 3.6.**

1. To the best of our knowledge, we cannot weaken the second moment assumption of  $\int f^2(x)P(dx) < \infty$ . See Remark 3.4.
2. Convergence of  $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \left| n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{[ns]} (f(X_i) - Pf) \right|$  to zero in probability is valid under the first moment assumption. See Corollary 2.5.
3. It is our opinion that considering  $G_n$  in (1.2) without accompanying  $D_n$  in (1.1) is not natural. When we consider  $G_n$  together with  $D_n$ , the second moment condition is presumably given. See Shreve [6].
4. Under a second moment condition, the weak laws can be obtained as a result of central limit theorem by an application of Slutsky's theorem. However, strong laws have to be dealt with separately.

## References

- [1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measure*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- [2] J. DeHardt, *Generalizations of the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem*, Ann. Math. Statist. **42** (1971), 2050–2055.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On a theorem of Hsu and Robbins*, Ann. Math. Statist. **20** (1949), 286–291.
- [4] P. L. Hsu and H. Robbins, *Complete convergence and the law of large numbers*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **33** (1947), 25–31.
- [5] A. F. Karr, *Probability*, Springer Texts in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [6] S. Shreve, *Stochastic calculus and finance*, Springer Finance, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004.

- [7] S. van de Geer, *Empirical processes in M-estimation*, Cambridge in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2000).
- [8] A. W. van der Vaart and J. A. Wellner, *Weak convergence and empirical processes with applications to statistics*, Springer series in Statistics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INSTITUTE OF BASIC SCIENCE, SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY, SUWON 440-746, KOREA

*E-mail:* jsbae@skku.edu

sykim@math.skku.ac.kr