

ON THE SOLUTION OF A BI-JENSEN FUNCTIONAL EQUATION AND ITS STABILITY

JAE-HYEONG BAE AND WON-GIL PARK

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain the general solution and the stability of the bi-Jensen functional equation

$$4f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{z+w}{2}\right) = f(x, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, z) + f(y, w).$$

1. Introduction

In 1940, Ulam proposed the general Ulam stability problem (see [8]):

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$ then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

In 1941, this problem was solved by Hyers [3] in the case of Banach space. Thereafter, we call that type the Hyers-Ulam stability. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [7] extended the Hyers-Ulam stability by considering variables. It also has been generalized to the function case by Găvruta [2].

Throughout this paper, let X and Y be vector spaces.

A mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *Jensen mapping* if g satisfies the functional equation

$$2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = g(x) + g(y).$$

Received May 1, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52, 39B72.

Key words and phrases: solution, stability, bi-Jensen mapping, functional equation.

DEFINITION. A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *bi-Jensen mapping* if f satisfies the system of equations

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right) &= f(x, z) + f(y, z), \\ 2f\left(x, \frac{y+z}{2}\right) &= f(x, y) + f(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

When $X = Y = \mathbb{R}$, the function $f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f(x, y) := axy + bx + cy + d$ is a solution of (1.1). In particular, letting $y = x$, we get a function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) := f(x, x) = ax^2 + (b+c)x + d$.

For a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$, consider the functional equation:

$$(1.2) \quad 4f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{z+w}{2}\right) = f(x, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, z) + f(y, w).$$

For a mapping $g : X \rightarrow Y$, consider the functional equation:

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &9g\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + g(x) + g(y) + g(z) \\ &= 4\left[g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{z+x}{2}\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

In [5], Y.-W. Lee solved the solution and proved the stability of the equation (1.3). The equation (1.3) generalized by S.-H. Lee [4] and Y.-W. Lee [6].

In this paper, we investigate the relation between (1.2) and (1.3). And we find out the general solution and the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of (1.1).

2. The relation between (1.2) and (1.3)

THEOREM 1. Let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (1.3) and let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be the mapping given by

$$(2.1) \quad f(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}[5g(x+y) - g(2x+2y) - g(x) - g(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then f satisfies (1.2) and

$$(2.2) \quad g(x) = f(x, x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 in [5], there exist a quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and an additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.3) \quad g(x) = Q(x) + A(x) + g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = x$ in (2.1) and then using (2.3), the equality (2.2) holds.

By (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left[5g \left(\frac{x+y+z+w}{2} \right) - g(x+y+z+w) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - g \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) - g \left(\frac{z+w}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [5g(x+z) + 5g(x+w) + 5g(y+z) + 5g(y+w) \\ & \quad - g(2x+2z) - g(2x+2w) - g(2y+2z) - g(2y+2w)] \\ (2.4) \quad & -g(x) - g(y) - g(z) - g(w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. By (2.1) and the above equality, f satisfies (1.2). □

THEOREM 2. *Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (1.2) and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ the mapping given by (2.2). If f satisfies (2.1), then g satisfies (1.3).*

Proof. By (1.2) and (2.1), g satisfies (2.4). Setting $y = x$ in (2.1) and using (2.2), we have

$$(2.5) \quad g(4x) = 4g(x) - 5g(2x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Taking $w = 0$ in (2.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \left[5g \left(\frac{x+y+z}{2} \right) - g(x+y+z) - g \left(\frac{x+y}{2} \right) - g \left(\frac{z}{2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [5g(x+z) + 5g(x) + 5g(y+z) + 5g(y) - g(2x+2z) - g(2x) \\ (2.6) \quad & -g(2y+2z) - g(2y)] - g(x) - g(y) - g(z) - g(0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Letting $y = z = 0$ and replacing x by $2x$ in (2.6), we get

$$g(4x) = 8g(x) - 6g(2x) - 3g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.5) and the above equation, we have

$$(2.7) \quad 4g(x) = g(2x) + 3g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $y = z = x$ in (2.6), we see that

$$g(4x) - 2g(3x) - 4g(2x) + 10g\left(\frac{3x}{2}\right) - 4g(x) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + g(0) = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. Replacing x by $2x$ in the above equation, we get

$$g(8x) - 2g(6x) - 4g(4x) + 10g(3x) - 4g(2x) - 2g(x) + g(0) = 0$$

for all $x \in X$. By the above equation and using (2.7), we have

$$(2.8) \quad 9g(x) = g(3x) + 8g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$. By (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad 16g(3x) = 9g(4x) - 7g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & 8g\left(\frac{x+y+z}{4}\right) + g(x) + g(y) + g(z) + g(0) \\ &= 2\left[g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{z+x}{2}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By (2.7), (2.9) and the last equation, we see that g satisfies the equation (1.3). \square

3. Solutions of (1.1) and (1.2)

THEOREM 3. *A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if there exist a bi-additive mapping $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and two additive mappings $A, A' : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x, y) = B(x, y) + A(x) + A'(y) + f(0, 0)$ for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. We first assume that f is a solution of (1.1). Define $g_x, g'_y : X \rightarrow Y$ by $g_x(y) = g'_y(x) := f(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then g_x, g'_y are Jensen mappings for all $x, y \in X$. By [1], there exists additive mappings $A_x, A'_y : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g_x(y) = A_x(y) + g_x(0)$ and $g'_y(x) = A'_y(x) + g'_y(0)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Define $A, A' : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) := f(x, 0) - f(0, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad A'(y) := f(0, y) - f(0, 0)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then $A(x) = g'_0(x) - g'_0(0) = A'_0(x)$ and $A'(y) = g_0(y) - g_0(0) = A_0(y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. So A and A' are additive. Define $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$B(x, y) := f(x, y) - f(x, 0) - f(0, y) + f(0, 0)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} B(x + y, z) &= f(x + y, z) - A(x + y) - A'(z) - f(0, 0) \\ &= g'_z(x + y) - A(x) - A(y) - g_0(z) \\ &= A'_z(x + y) + g'_z(0) - A(x) - A(y) - g_0(z) \\ &= A'_z(x) + A'_z(y) - A(x) - A(y) \\ &= f(x, z) - f(0, z) + f(y, z) - f(0, z) - A(x) - A(y) \\ &= f(x, z) - A'(z) - f(0, 0) + f(y, z) - A'(z) - f(0, 0) \\ &\quad - A(x) - A(y) \\ &= B(x, z) + B(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. By the same method as above, one can obtain that

$$B(x, y + z) = B(x, y) + B(x, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Hence B is bi-additive.

Conversely, we assume that there exist a bi-additive mapping $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and additive mappings $A, A' : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x, y) = B(x, y) + A(x) + A'(y) + f(0, 0)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Since B is additive in the first variable, $2B\left(\frac{x}{2}, y\right) = B(x, y)$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} &2f\left(\frac{x + y}{2}, z\right) \\ &= 2B\left(\frac{x + y}{2}, z\right) + 2A\left(\frac{x + y}{2}\right) + 2A'(z) + 2f(0, 0) \\ &= B(x, z) + B(y, z) + A(x) + A(y) + 2A'(z) + 2f(0, 0) \\ &= f(x, z) + f(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Similarly, we get

$$2f\left(x, \frac{y + z}{2}\right) = f(x, y) + f(x, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. □

THEOREM 4. *A mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if it satisfies (1.2).*

Proof. If f satisfies (1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} 4f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{z+w}{2}\right) &= 2f\left(x, \frac{z+w}{2}\right) + 2f\left(y, \frac{z+w}{2}\right) \\ &= f(x, z) + f(x, w) + f(y, z) + f(y, w) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Conversely, assume that f satisfies (1.2). Putting $w = z$ in (1.2), we have

$$2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right) = f(x, z) + f(y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Similarly, we get

$$2f\left(x, \frac{y+z}{2}\right) = f(x, y) + f(x, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. □

COROLLARY 5. *A function $g : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.3) if and only if there exists a symmetric bi-additive function $S : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and an additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $g(x) = S(x, x) + A(x) + g(0)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Define $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by (2.1) for all $x, y \in X$. By Theorem 1, f satisfies (1.2) and (2.2). Using Theorem 4, f also satisfies (1.1). By Theorem 3, there exist a bi-additive mapping $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and two additive mappings $A_0, A'_0 : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$f(x, y) = B(x, y) + A_0(x) + A'_0(y) + f(0, 0)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (2.2), we have

$$(3.1) \quad g(x) = B(x, x) + A_0(x) + A'_0(x) + g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$. Define $S : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ and $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$S(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}[B(x, y) + B(y, x)] \quad \text{and} \quad A(x) := A_0(x) + A'_0(x)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then S is symmetric bi-additive, A is additive and

$$g(x) = S(x, x) + A(x) + g(0)$$

for all $x \in X$.

The converse is obviously true. □

4. Stability of (1.1)

Let Y be complete and let $\varphi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $\psi : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be two functions such that

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{j+1}} [\varphi(3^j x, 3^j y, z) + \varphi(x, y, 3^j z)] < \infty$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{\psi}(x, y, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{3^{j+1}} [\psi(x, 3^j y, 3^j z) + \psi(3^j x, y, z)] < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

THEOREM 6. *Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, z\right) - f(x, z) - f(y, z) \right\| \leq \varphi(x, y, z)$$

$$(4.4) \quad \left\| 2f\left(x, \frac{y+z}{2}\right) - f(x, y) - f(x, z) \right\| \leq \psi(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then there exist two bi-Jensen mappings $F, F' : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \|f(x, y) - f(0, y) - F(x, y)\| \leq \tilde{\varphi}(x, -x, y) + \tilde{\varphi}(-x, 3x, y),$$

$$(4.6) \quad \|f(x, y) - f(x, 0) - F'(x, y)\| \leq \tilde{\psi}(x, y, -y) + \tilde{\psi}(x, -y, 3y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. The mappings $F, F' : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ are given by

$$F(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad F'(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^j} f(x, 3^j y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = -x$ in (4.3) and replacing x by $-x$ and y by $3x$ in (4.3), one can obtain that

$$\|2f(0, z) - f(x, z) - f(-x, z)\| \leq \varphi(x, -x, z),$$

$$\|2f(x, z) - f(-x, z) - f(3x, z)\| \leq \varphi(-x, 3x, z),$$

respectively, for all $x, z \in X$. By the above two inequalities and replacing z by y , we get

$$\|3f(x, y) - 2f(0, y) - f(3x, y)\| \leq \varphi(x, -x, y) + \varphi(-x, 3x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y) - \frac{2}{3^{j+1}} f(0, y) - \frac{1}{3^{j+1}} f(3^{j+1} x, y) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{3^{j+1}} [\varphi(3^j x, -3^j x, y) + \varphi(-3^j x, 3^{j+1} x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and all j . For given integers $l, m (0 \leq l < m)$, we obtain that

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{1}{3^l} f(3^l x, y) - \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2}{3^{j+1}} f(0, y) - \frac{1}{3^m} f(3^m x, y) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{1}{3^{j+1}} [\varphi(3^j x, -3^j x, y) + \varphi(-3^j x, 3^{j+1} x, y)] \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. By (4.1), the sequence $\{\frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x, y \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y)\}$ converges for all $x, y \in X$. Define $F : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$F(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $l = 0$ and taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.7), one can obtain the inequality (4.5). By (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \frac{2}{3^j} f\left(\frac{3^j(x+y)}{2}, y\right) - \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y) - \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j y, z) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{3^j} \varphi(3^j x, 3^j y, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all j . By (4.4), we have

$$\left\| \frac{2}{3^j} f\left(3^j x, \frac{y+z}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, y) - \frac{1}{3^j} f(3^j x, z) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{3^j} \psi(3^j x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and all j . Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in the above two inequalities and using (4.1) and (4.2), F is a bi-Jensen mapping.

Define $F' : X \times X \rightarrow Y$ by $F'(x, y) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{3^j} f(x, 3^j y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. By the same method in the above argument, F' is a bi-Jensen mapping satisfying (4.6). \square

References

- [1] J. Aczél, and J. Dhombres, *Functional equations in several variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), no. 3, 431–436.
- [3] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [4] S.-H. Lee, *Stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional equation*, Korean J. Comput. & Appl. Math. (Series A) **9** (2002), no. 1, 389–399.
- [5] Y.-W. Lee, *On the stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **270** (2002), no. 2, 590–601.
- [6] ———, *Stability of a generalized quadratic functional equation with Jensen type*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **42** (2005), no. 1, 57–73.
- [7] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mappings in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [8] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1960.

JAE-HYEONG BAE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS,
KYUNG HEE UNIVERSITY, YONGIN 449-701, KOREA
E-mail: jhbae@khu.ac.kr

WON-GIL PARK, NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DAEJEON
305-340, KOREA
E-mail: wgpark@nims.re.kr

