

## A NEW NON-MEASURABLE SET AS A VECTOR SPACE

SOON-YEONG CHUNG

**ABSTRACT.** We use Cauchy's functional equation to construct a new non-measurable set which is a (vector) subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$  and is of a codimension 1, considering  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of real numbers, as a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers. Moreover, we show that  $\mathbb{R}$  can be partitioned into a countable family of disjoint non-measurable subsets.

In every book on classical measure theory it is not hard to find a statement given by G. Vitali that there exists a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is not Lebesgue measurable. We call the subset a non-measurable set for simplicity.

In general the existence of non-measurable set is guaranteed by *the Axiom of Choice*, or equivalent theorems such as Hausdorff maximality principle, Zorn's lemma, and so on. Conversely, it is well known that the axiom of choice is essential for the existence.

Here we construct a new non-measurable set which is a (vector) subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set all real numbers, and has a codimension 1 when we consider  $\mathbb{R}$  as a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers. We construct it via the Cauchy functional equation using a method which seems to be simpler, more heuristic and less logical, in author's opinion, than we have done with the classical one.

Moreover, it will be shown that  $\mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as a countable union of disjoint family of the non-measurable subspace and its translations.

First, consider the following famous Lemma whose proof is seen, for example, in [1]:

---

Received May 20, 2005.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A05.

Key words and phrases: non-measurable set.

This work was supported by grant No.1999-2-101-001-5 from KOSEF.

LEMMA 1. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a (Lebesgue) measurable function satisfying

$$(1) \quad \text{Cauchy's Equation : } f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $f(x) = ax$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , for a constant  $a = f(1)$ .

Throughout this  $\mathbb{R}$  is considered as a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . First of all, with the help of Hausdorff maximality principle we take a maximal linearly independent subset  $\Lambda$  containing the number 1 as an element. We write the set as

$$\Lambda = \{1\} \cup \{v_\alpha | \alpha \in I\}$$

for a some index set  $I$ . Note here that  $I$  is uncountable and each  $v_\alpha$  is an *irrational* number. The subset  $\Lambda$  is usually called a *Hamel basis* for  $\mathbb{R}$ , in a sense that every real number  $x$  can be *uniquely* expressed as

$$(2) \quad x = r + \sum_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha v_\alpha,$$

where  $r$  and  $a_\alpha$  are rational numbers which are zero except only a finite number of them.

We define a linear map  $\varphi$  on the vector space  $\mathbb{R}$  into itself by its values on the basis  $\Lambda$  as follows:

$$(3) \quad \varphi(1) = 1, \quad \varphi(v_\alpha) = 0, \quad \alpha \in I.$$

Then using the expression as (2), for every  $x = r + \sum_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha v_\alpha$  and  $y = s + \sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha v_\alpha$  we have

$$\varphi(x+y) = \varphi((r+s) + \sum_{\alpha \in I} (a_\alpha + b_\alpha)v_\alpha) = r+s = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y).$$

For each  $v_\alpha$  in  $\Lambda$  and a sequence  $(r_j)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  converging to  $v_\alpha$  we see that  $\varphi(r_j) = r_j$  converges to  $v_\alpha$  as  $j$  goes to  $\infty$ , but  $\varphi(v_\alpha) = 0$ . This implies the discontinuity of  $\varphi$  at each point  $x = v_\alpha$ . In view of the above Lemma 1 we conclude that  $\varphi$  is eventually not measurable.

REMARK. The function defined above is a variant of an example seen in the book [2].

Now we denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  the set of all real numbers whose expressions with respect to the basis  $\Lambda$  are of the form  $\sum_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha v_\alpha$ . In fact,  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  is a subspace generated by the set  $\Lambda \setminus \{1\}$ . In other words, a real number  $x$  belongs to  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  if and only if there exist indices  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in I$  such that

$$x = a_{\alpha_1} v_{\alpha_1} + a_{\alpha_2} v_{\alpha_2} + \dots + a_{\alpha_k} v_{\alpha_k},$$

for some rational numbers  $a_{\alpha_1}, a_{\alpha_2}, \dots, a_{\alpha_k}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *The set  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  is a subspace of codimension 1 and non-measurable as a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

**PROOF.** It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  is a subspace and has a codimension 1, since  $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$ .

To prove the second statement let  $(r_j)$  be an enumeration of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $r + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  be a translation of  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  by a number  $r$ . Then we have

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (r_j + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda).$$

Here, it is not hard to see that  $(r_i + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda) \cap (r_j + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda) = \phi$  for  $i \neq j$ , using the unique expression of real numbers with respect to the Hamel basis and  $\varphi(x) = r_j$  for all  $x \in r_j + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  from its values defined by (3).

Now we recall that the function  $\varphi$  defined above is a non-measurable function. Therefore, we find a real number  $\gamma$  for which the set  $A = \{x | \varphi(x) > \gamma\}$  is non-measurable. Hence, we can write

$$A = \bigcup_{r_j > \gamma} (r_j + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda).$$

Therefore, there exists a  $j_0$  with  $r_{j_0} > \gamma$  such that  $r_{j_0} + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  is non-measurable. Otherwise, the set  $A$  would be measurable, which leads a contradiction. But, since the Lebesgue measure is translation-invariant, the set  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  is also non-measurable.  $\square$

In fact, since the subspace  $\mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$  is non-measurable, so is its every translation  $r + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda$ . Therefore, in view of (4) we can say as follows:

**COROLLARY 3.**  *$\mathbb{R}$  can be partitioned into a countable family of disjoint non-measurable subset, i.e.,*

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (r_j + \mathbb{Q}_\Lambda),$$

where  $(r_j)$  be an enumeration of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

## References

- [1] G. de Barra, *Measure Theory and Integration*, Ellis Horwod Limited, New York, 1981.

- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1952.

Department of Mathematics and  
the Program of Integrated Biotechnology  
Sogang University  
Seoul 121-742, Korea  
*E-mail*: sychung@sogang.ac.kr