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Recently $\alpha$-keto amide/ester units ${ }^{1}$ have attracted much attention in organic and medicinal chemistry due to the presence of these highly electrophilic units in many bioactive natural products and synthetic peptides. ${ }^{12}$ Among a number of synthetic routes reported recently, ${ }^{3}$ Wasserman's approach ${ }^{3 c}$ in which $\alpha$-keto (cyanomethylene)triphenylphosphoranes 2 are used as the key intermediates according to Scheme 1 , stands out for its mild conditions and the outstanding convergence. Although this approach has been widely employed in the synthesis of bioactive compounds ${ }^{2 \mathrm{Cc} . \mathrm{d} 5}$ including tricarbonyls ${ }^{6 a}$ and human lipase inhibitors ${ }^{66 e}$ by us, there is a shortcoming that the key intemediates 2 can be derived only from carboxylic acids/acid chlorides. In light of that there are abundant carbonyl compounds (aldehydes/ ketones) as natural/synthesized form, it would be highly desirable to use carbonyl compounds for the synthesis of phosphoranes 2. Herein we wish to report the first direct synthesis of phosphoranes 2 from carbonyl compounds utilizing a new Homer-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE) ${ }^{7}$ reagent 4 according to the procedures described in Scheme 2.
The requisite HWE reagent 4 was successfully prepared via two-step route: coupling of chloroacetyl chloride with phosphorane 1/BSA ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-Bis(trimethylsilyl)acetamide) gave 4-chloro-3-oxo-2-(triphenyl-phosphoranylidene)butanenitrile, ${ }^{8}$ which was heated in $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}\left(10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{Ar}\right)$ to afford a new HWE reagent 4, diethyl [3-cyano-2-oxo-3-(triphenylphosphoranylidene)-propyl]phosphonate, in excellent overall yield. ${ }^{9}$ A variety of carbonyl compounds were condensed with 4 , and the resulting $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated $\alpha$-keto cyanophosphoranes 5 were hydrogenated over $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{I}$


Scheme 1. Wasserman's approach to $\alpha$-keto amide/ester units.
atm) to $\alpha$-keto cyanophosphoranes 2 (Table 1). ${ }^{10}$
Condensation of simple aryl/aliphatic aldehydes with 4 gave exclusively $(E)$-olefins in excellent yields (run 1, 2, 4, 5). 2,6-Dimethylbenzaldehyde, however, required longer reaction time obviously owing to the steric hindrance (run 3 ). The reaction of $N$-BOC-2-aminoacetaldehyde with 4 is of special interest since it ultimately could afford $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid (GABA)-derived $\alpha$-keto amide/ester units, which have been incorporated into bioactive compounds. ${ }^{11}$ Under the standard conditions, $N$-BOC-2-aminoacetaldehyde furmished 5 F in $89 \%$ yield (run 6). The condensation of ketones with 4 , however, has been found very sluggish and incomplete. In the case of acephenone, 5 g was obtained in $69 \%$ yield with a ratio $(E / Z, 4 / 6)$ (run 7).

The hydrogenation went straightforward simply by stirring the slurry of 5 and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(10 \%, 10-30 \mathrm{wt} \%)$ in solvent (THF/MeOH, I/I) under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1 atm ). Simple aryl/aliphatic/ $\alpha$-aminoacetaldehyde-derived phosphoranes 5 were hydrogenated perfectly in 3 h with $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}\left(10 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ ) (run $\left.\mathrm{P}^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}-6^{\prime}\right)$ ) However, sterically hindered aryl aldehyde/ketone-derived phosphoranes 5 required higher loading of $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{wt} \%)$ and longer reaction time (run $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}$ ). No detectable byproducts were fommed during this step (confirmed by TLC and 'H NMR), signifying the stability of cyanophosphorane subunit under hydrogenation conditions. Thus, usual workup without chromatography afforded pure phosphoranes 2 in quasi-quantitative yields.

In conclusion, we have developed a new synthetic route for $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated $\alpha$-keto cyanophosphoranes $/ \alpha$-keto cyanophosphoranes from carbonyl compounds utilizing a new HWE reagent 4 . We are currently applying this new synthetic route for heterocyclic/heteroaromatic/chiral $\alpha$ amino aldehydes, and extending the same approach to the synthesis of $\alpha$-keto alkoxycarbonylphosphoranes ${ }^{12}$ from carbonyl compounds utilizing a new HWE reagent having alkoxycarbonylphosphorane subunit.
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Scheme 2 . A new synthetic approach to $a$-keto cyanophosphorancs 2 from carbonyl compounds utilizing a new HWE reagent 4.

Table 1. Condensation of 4 with carbonyl compounds and subsequent hydrogenation of 5 to 2 over $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(10 \%) / \mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$

|  |  | (i) $\mathrm{NaH}(1.3 \mathrm{eq})$, rt, THF, 20 min then $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Ar}$ <br> (ii) (iii) $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ then $\mathrm{rt}, \mathbf{X} \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{Ar}$ |  |  |  | Pd/C (10\%, $\mathbf{Y} w t \%)$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (1 atm), Z h <br> (THF/MeOH, 1/1) <br> 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run | R, | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | X (h) | 5 (Yield, \%) | Run | $\mathbf{Y}$ (wt\%) | Z (h) | 2 (Yield, \%) |
| 1 | Ph- | H | 1 | $5 \mathrm{a}(93){ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1^{\dagger}$ | 10 | 3 | 2a (98) |
| 2 | 2-MePh- | H | 3 | 5b (91) | $2^{\dagger}$ | 10 | 10 | 2b (97) |
| 3 | 2,6-(Me)2 Ph - | H | 22 | 5c (87) | $3^{+}$ | 30 | 24 | 2c (95) |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Ph}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}-$ | H | 1 | 5 d (89) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $4^{1}$ | 10 | 3 | 2d (98) |
| 5 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}-$ | H | 1 | 5 e (88) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 51 | 10 | 3 | 2e (97) |
| 6 | $\mathrm{BOCNHCH} 2-$ | H | 1 | Sf(89) | $6^{+}$ | 10 | 3 | 2 f (91) |
| 7 | Ph- | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-$ | 24 | Sg (69) ${ }^{\text {de }}$ | 7 | 30 | 10 | $\mathbf{2 g}$ (99) |
| 8 | Ph- | Ph- | 22 | 5h (58) | $8^{+}$ | 30 | 6 | 2h (99) |

${ }^{a}$ Isolated yield after flash colunn chromatography on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. ${ }^{5}(E)$-Stereochemistry is unanbiguously confirmed by comparing $\mathrm{mp}, \mathrm{TR},{ }^{1} \mathrm{II}$, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $5 a$ with those of product prepared from trans-cinnamic acid according to the known procedure. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ " $(E)$-Stereochemistry is confirmed by coupling constant ( $c a 15 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between (wo viny/ic protons. The slereochemistry is confimed by noe experiment, and the ratio of ( $(E)-(Z)$-olefin) is delermined to be ca $4 / 6$ by 'II NMR. "Starting acetophenone and benzophenone are recovered in $26 \%$ \& $37 \%$ yield, respectively. Tsolated yield after filtering, washing the filtered-cake (TIIT \& MeOII), concentration in vacuo.
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