

## ON PRIME AND SEMIPRIME RINGS WITH PERMUTING 3-DERIVATIONS

YONG-SOO JUNG AND KYOO-HONG PARK

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a 3-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $I$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exists a permuting 3-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that the trace is centralizing on  $I$ . Then the trace of  $\Delta$  is commuting on  $I$ . In particular, if  $R$  is a 3!-torsion free prime ring and  $\Delta$  is nonzero under the same condition, then  $R$  is commutative.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring, and  $Z$  will be its center. Let  $x, y \in R$ . The commutator  $yx - xy$  will be denoted by  $[y, x]$ . We will also use the identities  $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ . Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $R$ . Then a map  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be *commuting* (resp. *centralizing*) on  $S$  if  $[f(x), x] = 0$  (resp.  $[f(x), x] \in Z$ ) for all  $x \in S$ . Recall that  $R$  is *semiprime* if  $xRx = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$  and  $R$  is *prime* if  $xRy = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .

An additive map  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a *derivation* if the Leibniz rule  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ .

By a *bi-derivation* we mean a bi-additive map  $D : R \times R \rightarrow R$  (i.e.,  $D$  is additive in both arguments) which satisfies the relations

$$D(xy, z) = D(x, z)y + xD(y, z),$$

$$D(x, yz) = D(x, y)z + yD(x, z)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Let  $D$  be symmetric, that is,  $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . The map  $\tau : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\tau(x) = D(x, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$  is called the trace of  $D$ . If  $R$  is a noncommutative 2-torsion free prime ring and  $D : R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric bi-derivation, then it follows from [1, Theorem 3.5] that  $D = 0$ .

A map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  will be said to be *permuting* if the equation  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \Delta(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, x_{\pi(3)})$  holds for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R$  and for every permutation  $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \pi(3)\}$ . A map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\delta(x) = \Delta(x, x, x)$

---

Received March 11, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W20, 16W25.

*Key words and phrases.* prime ring, semiprime ring, commuting map, centralizing map, derivation, bi-derivation, 3-derivation.

for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a permuting map, is called the *trace* of  $\Delta$ . It is obvious that, in case when  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a permuting map which is also 3-additive (i.e., additive in each argument), the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies the relation

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + 3\Delta(x, x, y) + 3\Delta(x, y, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Since we have

$$\Delta(0, y, z) = \Delta(0 + 0, y, z) = \Delta(0, y, z) + \Delta(0, y, z)$$

for all  $y, z \in R$ , we obtain  $\Delta(0, y, z) = 0$  for all  $y, z \in R$ . Hence we get

$$0 = \Delta(0, y, z) = \Delta(x - x, y, z) = \Delta(x, y, z) + \Delta(-x, y, z)$$

and so we see that  $\Delta(-x, y, z) = -\Delta(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . This tells us that  $\delta$  is an odd function.

Here we introduce the following map:

A 3-additive map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  will be called a *3-derivation* if the relations

$$\Delta(x_1 x_2, y, z) = \Delta(x_1, y, z)x_2 + x_1\Delta(x_2, y, z),$$

$$\Delta(x, y_1 y_2, z) = \Delta(x, y_1, z)y_2 + y_1\Delta(x, y_2, z)$$

and

$$\Delta(x, y, z_1 z_2) = \Delta(x, y, z_1)z_2 + z_1\Delta(x, y, z_2)$$

are fulfilled for all  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, z_i \in R, i = 1, 2$ . If  $\Delta$  is permuting, then the above three relations are equivalent to each other.

For example, let  $R$  be commutative. A map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $(x, y, z) \mapsto d(x)d(y)d(z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$  is a permuting 3-derivation, where  $d$  is a derivation on  $R$ .

On the other hand, let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}$  is a complex field. It is clear that  $R$  is a noncommutative ring under matrix addition and matrix multiplication. We define a map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  by

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 a_2 a_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\Delta$  is a permuting 3-derivation.

A study concerning the theory of centralizing (commuting) maps on prime rings was initiated by the classical result of E. C. Posner [3] which states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring  $R$  implies that  $R$  is commutative. Since then, a great deal of work in this context has been done by a number of authors (see, e.g., [1] and references therein). For

instance, as a study concerning centralizing (commuting) maps, J. Vukman [4, 5] investigated symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings.

In this paper, we apply the results due to E. C. Posner [3] and J. Vukman [4] to permuting 3-derivations, respectively.

### 2. The main results

We first need the following well-known lemma [2].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring. Let  $d : R \rightarrow R$  be a derivation and  $a \in R$ . If  $ad(x) = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then we have either  $a = 0$  or  $d = 0$ .*

We begin our investigation of permuting 3-derivations with the next result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a noncommutative 3!-torsion free prime ring and let  $I$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exists a permuting 3-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta$  is commuting on  $I$ , where  $\delta$  is the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then we have  $\Delta = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$(2.1) \quad [\delta(x), x] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in I.$$

The substitution  $x = x + y$  to linearize (2.1) leads to

$$(2.2) \quad 0 = [\delta(x), y] + [\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, x, y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), x] \\ + 3[\Delta(x, x, y), y] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Putting  $-x$  instead of  $x$  in (2.2) and comparing (2.2) with the result, we arrive at

$$(2.3) \quad [\Delta(x, y, y), x] + [\Delta(x, x, y), y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I$$

since  $\delta$  is odd. We set  $x = x + y$  in (2.3) and then use (2.1) and (2.3) to obtain

$$(2.4) \quad [\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Let us write in (2.4)  $yx$  instead of  $x$ . Then we get

$$0 = [\delta(y), yx] + 3[\Delta(yx, y, y), y] \\ = y[\delta(y), x] + 3\delta(y)[x, y] + 3y[\Delta(x, y, y), y] \\ = y\{[\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y]\} + 3\delta(y)[x, y]$$

which implies that

$$(2.5) \quad \delta(y)[x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I$$

on account of (2.4). Since  $I$  is a nonzero noncommutative prime ring, it follows from (2.5) and Lemma 2.1 that, for all  $y \in I$  with  $y \notin Z$ , we have  $\delta(y) = 0$  since for every fixed  $y \in I$ , a map  $x \mapsto [x, y]$  is a derivation on  $I$ .

Now, let  $x \in I$  with  $x \in Z$  and  $y \in I$  with  $y \notin Z$ . Then  $x + y \notin Z$  and  $-y \notin Z$ . Thus we have

$$0 = \delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + 3\Delta(x, x, y) + 3\Delta(x, y, y)$$

and

$$0 = \delta(x - y) = \delta(x) - 3\Delta(x, x, y) + 3\Delta(x, y, y)$$

which shows that

$$(2.6) \quad \delta(x) + 3\Delta(x, y, y) = 0.$$

Replacing  $y \in I (y \notin Z)$  by  $2y$  in (2.6) and using (2.6), we obtain that  $\Delta(x, y, y) = 0$  and so the relation (2.6) gives  $\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$  with  $x \in Z$ . Therefore we conclude that  $\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in I$ .

On the other hand, since the relation  $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + 3\Delta(x, x, y) + 3\Delta(x, y, y)$  is fulfilled for all  $x, y \in I$ , it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta(x, x, y) + \Delta(x, y, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I$$

and substituting  $y + z$  for  $y$  in (2.7) and employing (2.7), we obtain that  $2\Delta(x, y, z) = 0 = \Delta(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ .

Let us substitute  $rx (r \in R)$  for  $x$  in the above relation  $\Delta(x, y, z) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . Then we have  $\Delta(r, y, z)x = 0$ , that is,  $\Delta(r, y, z)I = \{0\}$ . Since  $R$  is prime, we get  $\Delta(r, y, z) = 0$  for all  $y, z \in I$  and  $r \in R$ . Also, substituting  $ys (s \in R)$  for  $y$  in this relation, we have  $y\Delta(r, s, z) = 0$  and so  $I\Delta(r, s, z) = \{0\}$ . Again, by primeness of  $R$ , we obtain that  $\Delta(r, s, z) = 0$  for all  $z \in I$  and  $r, s \in R$ . Furthermore, replacing  $z$  by  $tz (t \in R)$  in the relation  $\Delta(r, s, z) = 0$ , we get  $\Delta(r, s, t)z = 0$ , i.e.,  $\Delta(r, y, t)I = \{0\}$ . The primeness of  $R$  implies that  $\Delta(r, s, t) = 0$  for all  $r, s, t \in R$  which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We continue with the following result for permuting 3-derivations on semi-prime rings.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be a noncommutative 3-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $I$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exists a permuting 3-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta$  is centralizing on  $I$ , where  $\delta$  is the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then  $\delta$  is commuting on  $I$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that

$$(2.8) \quad [\delta(x), x] \in Z \quad \text{for all } x \in I.$$

By linearizing (2.8) and again using (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad Z \ni [\delta(x), y] + [\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, x, y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), x] \\ + 3[\Delta(x, x, y), y] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

We substitute  $-x$  for  $x$  in (2.9) and compare (2.9) with the result to get

$$(2.10) \quad [\Delta(x, y, y), x] + [\Delta(x, x, y), y] \in Z \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I$$

since  $R$  is 3-torsion free.

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in (2.10) and using (2.10), we have

$$(2.11) \quad [\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y] \in Z \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Taking  $x = y^2$  in (2.11) and invoking (2.8) show that

$$(2.12) \quad Z \ni [\delta(y), y^2] + 3[\Delta(y^2, y, y), y] = 8[\delta(y), y]y \quad \text{for all } y \in I$$

and commuting with  $\delta(y)$  in (2.12) gives

$$(2.13) \quad 8[\delta(y), y]^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in I.$$

On the other hand, substituting  $x$  by  $yx$  in (2.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\ni [\delta(y), yx] + 3[\Delta(yx, y, y), y] \\ &= y\{[\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y]\} \\ &\quad + 3\delta(y)[x, y] + 4[\delta(y), y]x \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have, for all  $x, y \in I$ ,

$$y\{[\delta(y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, y, y), y]\} + [3\delta(y)[x, y] + 4[\delta(y), y]x, y] = 0$$

and so we get

$$(2.14) \quad 3\delta(y)[x, y, y] + 7[\delta(y), y][x, y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I$$

according to (2.11).

Substituting  $\delta(y)x$  for  $x$  in (2.14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta(y)\{3\delta(y)[x, y, y] + 7[\delta(y), y][x, y]\} \\ &\quad + 6\delta(y)[\delta(y), y][x, y] + 7[\delta(y), y]^2x \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I \end{aligned}$$

which, by (2.14), implies that

$$(2.15) \quad 6\delta(y)[\delta(y), y][x, y] + 7[\delta(y), y]^2x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I.$$

Letting  $x = [\delta(y), y]$  in (2.15), we arrive at  $7[\delta(y), y]^3 = 0$  and so we get

$$7[\delta(y), y]^2R7[\delta(y), y]^2 = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is semiprime, we deduce that

$$(2.16) \quad 7[\delta(y), y]^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in I.$$

Hence, the relations (2.13) and (2.16) yield  $[\delta(y), y]^2 = 0$  for all  $y \in I$ . Since the center of a semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we conclude that  $[\delta(y), y] = 0$  for all  $y \in I$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The following result is an analogue of Posner's theorem [3, Theorem 2].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a 3!-torsion free prime ring and let  $I$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exists a nonzero permuting 3-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta$  is centralizing on  $I$ , where  $\delta$  be the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is noncommutative. Then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\delta$  is commuting on  $I$ . Hence Lemma 2.2 gives  $\Delta = 0$  which guarantees the conclusion of the theorem.  $\square$

### References

- [1] M. Brešar, *Commuting maps: a survey*, Taiwanese J. Math. **8** (2004), no. 3, 361–397.
- [2] J. Mayne, *Centralizing mappings of prime rings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **27** (1984), no. 1, 122–126.
- [3] E. C. Posner, *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1957), 1093–1100.
- [4] J. Vukman, *Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi-prime rings*, Aequationes Math. **38** (1989), no. 2-3, 245–254.
- [5] ———, *Two results concerning symmetric bi-derivations on prime rings*, Aequationes Math. **40** (1990), no. 2-3, 181–189.

YONG-SOO JUNG  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SUN MOON UNIVERSITY  
CHUNGNAM 336-708, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `ysjung@sunmoon.ac.kr`

KYOO-HONG PARK  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
SEOWON UNIVERSITY  
CHUNGBUK 361-742, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `parkkh@seowon.ac.kr`