

BOUNDEDNESS AND CONTINUITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS ON INFINITE DIMENSIONAL SPACE

YONG SIK YUN AND SANG UK RYU

ABSTRACT. For the stochastic differential inclusion on infinite dimensional space of the form $dX_t \in \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b(X_t)dt$, where σ, b are set-valued maps, W is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space valued Q -Wiener process, we prove the boundedness and continuity of solutions under the assumption that σ and b are closed convex set-valued satisfying the Lipschitz property using approximation.

1. Introduction

Let H and U be two separable Hilbert spaces and denote by $L = L(U, H)$ the set of all linear bounded operators from U into H . The set L is a linear space and, equipped with the operator norm, becomes a Banach space. However if both spaces are infinite dimensional, then L is not a separable space. Let Q be a symmetric nonnegative operator in $L(U) = L(U, U)$ and $W(t), t \geq 0$, be a U -valued Q -Wiener process. Let $U_0 = Q^{1/2}U$ and $L_2^0 = L_2(U_0, H)$. Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space with a right-continuous increasing family $(\mathfrak{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of sub σ -fields of \mathfrak{F} each containing all P -null sets. We consider the following stochastic differential inclusion (1.1) on infinite dimensional Hilbert space H .

$$(1.1) \quad dX_t \in \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b(X_t)dt,$$

where $\sigma : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L_2^0)$, $b : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ are set-valued maps. For finite dimensional case, the study of the existence and properties of solution for these stochastic differential inclusions have been developed by many authors ([1], [2], [3], [4]). Furthermore the results for the viable solutions have been made ([2], [5], [6]). Yun and Shigekawa ([8]) proved the existence of solution for the stochastic differential inclusion (1.1) on finite dimensional space under the condition that σ and b satisfy the Lipschitz condition.

In this paper, we prove the boundedness and continuity of solutions for (1.1).

Received March 22, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60D05.

Key words and phrases. stochastic differential inclusion, Wiener process.

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2004-002-C00028).

2. Preliminaries

We prepare the definition of solution for stochastic differential inclusion and some results for the stochastic differential equation on infinite dimensional Hilbert space. We consider two Hilbert spaces H and U , and a symmetric nonnegative operator $Q \in L(U)$. We consider first the case when $\text{Tr } Q < +\infty$. Then there exists a complete orthonormal system $\{e_k\}$ in U , and a bounded sequence of nonnegative real numbers λ_k such that $Qe_k = \lambda_k e_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Definition 2.1. An U -valued stochastic process $W(t), t \geq 0$, is called a Q -Wiener process if

- (i) $W(0) = 0$,
- (ii) W has continuous trajectories,
- (iii) W has independent increments,
- (iv) $W(t) - W(s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (t-s)Q)$, $t \geq s \geq 0$.

If a process $W(t), t \in [0, T]$ satisfies (i) - (iii) and (iv) for $t, s \in [0, T]$, then we say that W is a Q -Wiener process on $[0, T]$. Using the Kolmogorov extension theorem, for arbitrary trace class symmetric nonnegative operator Q on a separable Hilbert space U there exists a Q -Wiener process $W(t), t \geq 0$ ([4, Proposition 4.2]).

For an $L(U, H)$ -valued elementary process Φ one defines the stochastic integral by the formula

$$\int_0^t \Phi(s) dW(s) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \Phi_m(W_{t_{m+1} \wedge t} - W_{t_m \wedge t})$$

and denote it by $\Phi \cdot W(t), t \in [0, T]$.

It is useful, at this moment, to introduce the subspace $U_0 = Q^{1/2}(U)$ of U which, endowed with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \langle u, e_k \rangle \langle v, e_k \rangle = \langle Q^{-1/2}u, Q^{-1/2}v \rangle,$$

is a Hilbert space.

In the construction of the stochastic integral for more general processes an important role will be played by the space of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators $L_2^0 = L_2(U_0, H)$ from U_0 into H . The space L_2^0 is also a separable Hilbert space, equipped with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi\|_{L_2^0}^2 &= \sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} |\langle \Psi g_h, f_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{h,k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_h |\langle \Psi e_h, f_k \rangle|^2 \\ &= \|\Psi Q^{1/2}\|^2 = \text{Tr} [\Psi Q \Psi^*], \end{aligned}$$

where $\{g_j\}$, with $g_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j} e_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, \{e_j\}$ and $\{f_j\}$ are complete orthonormal bases in U_0, U and H respectively. Clearly, $L \subset L_2^0$, but not all

operators from L_2^0 can be regarded as restrictions of operators from L . The space L_2^0 contains genuinely unbounded operators on U ([4]).

Let $\Phi(t), t \in [0, T]$, be a measurable L_2^0 -valued process; we define the norms

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi\|_t &= \{E \int_0^t \|\Phi(s)\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds\}^{1/2} \\ &= \{E \int_0^t \text{Tr} (\Phi(s)Q^{1/2})(\Phi(s)Q^{1/2})^* ds\}^{1/2}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2 ([4, Proposition 4.5]). If a process Φ is elementary and $\|\Phi\|_t < \infty$ then the process $\Phi \cdot W$ is a continuous, square integrable H -valued martingale on $[0, T]$ and

$$E|\Phi \cdot W(t)|^2 = \|\Phi\|_t^2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Let us consider a stochastic differential inclusion on infinite dimensional space

$$(1.1) \quad dX_t \in \sigma(X_t)dW_t + b(X_t)dt,$$

with initial value $X_0 = x$, where $\sigma : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L_2^0)$, $b : H \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(H)$ are set-valued maps and x is an H -valued \mathfrak{F}_0 -measurable random variable.

Definition 2.3. A stochastic process $X = \{X_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ is said to be a solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ with the initial condition $X_0 = x$ if there are predictable random processes $\xi : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow L_2^0$, $\eta : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow H$ such that $\xi(t) \in \sigma(X_t)$, $\eta(t) \in b(X_t)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$ almost surely and

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \xi(s) dW_s + \int_0^t \eta(s) ds.$$

3. Main result

For a Banach space X with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and for non-empty sets A, A' in X , we denote $\|A\| = \sup\{\|a\| \mid a \in A\}$, $d(a, A') = \inf\{d(a, a') \mid a' \in A'\}$, $d(A, A') = \sup\{d(a, A') \mid a \in A\}$ and $d_H(A, A') = \max\{d(A, A'), d(A', A)\}$, a Hausdorff metric. We can prove the existence of solution for the stochastic differential inclusion (1.1) under Lipschitz condition using approximation. From now we assume that the coefficients σ and b in (1.1) are closed convex set-valued functions which are Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exists constants $L > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} d_H(\sigma(x), \sigma(y)) \leq L|x - y|, & d_H(b(x), b(y)) \leq L|x - y| \\ \|\sigma(x)\| \leq K(1 + |x|), & \|b(x)\| \leq K(1 + |x|). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a solution $X_t, t \in [0, T]$, for the stochastic differential inclusion (1.1).*

Proof. For arbitrary ξ_t^0 and η_t^0 , define (X_t^n) , (ξ_t^n) , and (η_t^n) as the following by induction.

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^n &= x + \int_0^t \xi_s^n dW_s + \int_0^t \eta_s^n ds, \\ \xi_t^{n+1} &= P_{\sigma(X_t^n)} \xi_t^n, \quad \eta_t^{n+1} = P_{b(X_t^n)} \eta_t^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_A x$ is the nearest point of A from x for closed convex set A . We claim that (X_t^n) converges and the limit becomes a solution. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi_t^{n+1} - \xi_t^n\|_{L_2^0} &\leq d_H(\sigma(X_t^n), \sigma(X_t^{n-1})) \\ &\leq L \left| \int_0^t (\xi_s^n - \xi_s^{n-1}) dW_s + \int_0^t (\eta_s^n - \eta_s^{n-1}) ds \right|, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^{n+1} - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0}^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq LE \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s (\xi_v^n - \xi_v^{n-1}) dW_v \right|^p \right]^{1/p} + LE \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^s (\eta_v^n - \eta_v^{n-1}) dv \right|^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq LC_1 E \left[\left\{ \int_0^t \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s^{n-1}\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right\}^{p/2} \right]^{1/p} + LE \left[\left(\int_0^t |\eta_s^n - \eta_s^{n-1}| ds \right)^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\quad \text{(by Burkholder's inequality)} \\ &\leq LC_1 \left\| \int_0^t \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s^{n-1}\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right\|_{p/2}^{1/2} + L \left\| \int_0^t |\eta_s^n - \eta_s^{n-1}| ds \right\|_p \\ &\leq LC_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s^{n-1}\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} + L \int_0^t \|\eta_s^n - \eta_s^{n-1}\|_p ds \\ &= LC_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s^{n-1}\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} + L \int_0^t \|\eta_s^n - \eta_s^{n-1}\|_p ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\eta_s^{n+1} - \eta_s^n|^p \right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq LC_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s^{n-1}\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} + L \int_0^t \|\eta_s^n - \eta_s^{n-1}\|_p ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $M > 0$ be such that

$$\frac{2LC_1}{2M+1} + \frac{2L}{M+1} \leq 1, \quad 2LC_1\sqrt{t} \leq e^{Mt}, \quad \text{and} \quad 2Lt \leq e^{Mt},$$

we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^{n+1} - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0} \right\|_p \leq \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^1 - \xi_s^0\|_{L_2^0} + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\eta_s^1 - \eta_s^0\|_p \right\},$$

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\eta_s^{n+1} - \eta_s^n| \right\|_p \leq \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^1 - \xi_s^0\|_{L_2^0} + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\eta_s^1 - \eta_s^0\|_p \right\}.$$

In fact, in case of $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^2 - \xi_s^1\|_{L_2^0} \right\|_p \\ & \leq LC_1 \sqrt{t \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^1 - \xi_s^0\|_{L_2^0}^2} + Lt \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\eta_s^1 - \eta_s^0\|_p \\ & \leq \frac{e^{Mt}}{2} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^1 - \xi_s^0\|_{L_2^0} + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\eta_s^1 - \eta_s^0\|_p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can prove similarly for η . Assume that the above inequalities hold for $n - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^{n+1} - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0} \right\|_p \\ & \leq LC_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \left(\frac{e^{Ms}}{2^{n-1}} \right)^2 \phi(t)^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} + L \int_0^t \frac{e^{Ms}}{s^{n-1}} ds \\ & = LC_1 \phi(t) \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2M+1} (e^{2Mt} - 1) \right\}^{1/2} + \frac{L}{2^{n-1}} \frac{1}{M+1} (e^{Mt} - 1) \phi(t) \\ & \leq \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n} \phi(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^1 - \xi_s^0\|_{L_2^0} + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\eta_s^1 - \eta_s^0\|_p$. For η , we can prove similarly. Thus the above inequalities (3.1) and (3.2) hold for every $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^{n+1} - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0} \right\|_p < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\eta_s^{n+1} - \eta_s^n| \right\|_p < \infty,$$

(ξ_t^n) and (η_t^n) converge in L^p . Denoting the limits by ξ_t and η_t , respectively,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s\|_{L_2^0} \right\|_p = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\eta_s^n - \eta_s| \right\|_p = 0.$$

If we put

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \xi_s dW_s + \int_0^t \eta_s ds,$$

then we have

$$\left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^n - X| \right\|_p \leq C_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \|\xi_s^n - \xi_s\|_{L_2^0}^2 ds \right\}^{1/2} + \int_0^t \|\eta_s^n - \eta_s\|_p ds.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, the right hand side tends to 0. Thus (X_s^n) converges to X_s in L^p . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\xi_s, \sigma(X_s)) &\leq \|\xi_s - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0} + d(\xi_s^n, \sigma(X_s)) \\ &\leq \|\xi_s - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0} + L|X_s^{n-1} - X_s|, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} d(\xi_s, \sigma(X_s)) \right\|_p \leq \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s - \xi_s^n\|_{L_2^0} \right\|_p + L \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s^{n-1} - X_s| \right\|_p.$$

Since the right hand side converges to 0, $\xi_s \in \sigma(X_s)$, a.e. Similarly, we can prove that $\eta_s \in b(X_s)$, a.e. Hence X_t is a solution. \square

Furthermore, we have the following theorem for boundedness of solutions. The proof is similar to that in case of finite dimensional space.

Theorem 3.2. *Let X_t be any solution of (1.1). Then X_t is bounded, i.e., for $p \geq 2$,*

$$E\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^p \right] < \infty.$$

Proof. Let X_t be a solution. Then there exist $\xi_s \in \sigma(X_s)$ and $\eta_s \in b(X_s)$ such that

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \xi_s dW_s + \int_0^t \eta_s ds.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^p \right] \\ &\leq 3^{p-1}|x|^p + 3^{p-1}C_1 E\left[\left\{ \int_0^t |\xi_s|^2 ds \right\}^{p/2} \right] + 3^{p-1} E\left[\left\{ \int_0^t |\eta_s|^2 ds \right\}^p \right] \\ &\leq 3^{p-1}|x|^p + 3^{p-1}C_1 T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_0^t E[|\xi_s|^p] ds + 3^{p-1}T^{p-1} \int_0^t E[|\eta_s|^p] ds \\ &\leq 3^{p-1}|x|^p + 3^{p-1}C_1 T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \int_0^t K^p(1 + E[|X_s|^p])2^{p-1} ds \\ &\quad + 3^{p-1}T^{p-1} \int_0^t K^p(1 + E[|X_s|^p])2^{p-1} ds, \end{aligned}$$

if we put $\psi(t) = E[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_s|^p]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t) &\leq 3^{p-1}|x|^p + 6^{p-1}K^p T^{\frac{p}{2}} C_1 + 6^{p-1}K^p T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} C_1 \int_0^t \psi(s) ds \\ &\quad + 6^{p-1}K^p T^p + 6^{p-1}K^p T^{p-1} \int_0^t \psi(s) ds \\ &= 3^{p-1}|x|^p + 6^{p-1}K^p T^{\frac{p}{2}} (C_1 + 1) \\ &\quad + 6^{p-1}K^p (T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} C_1 + T^{p-1}) \int_0^t \psi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's inequality,

$$\psi(t) \leq (3^{p-1}|x|^p + 6^{p-1}K^p T^{\frac{p}{2}} (C_1 + 1)) \cdot \exp(6^{p-1}K^p (T^{\frac{p-2}{2}} C_1 + T^{p-1})t).$$

Hence X_t is bounded. \square

Let

$$S(x) = \{X_t | X_t \text{ is a solution of (1.1) with initial point } X_0 = x\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. $S(x)$ is closed.

Proof. Let (X_t^n) be a sequence in $S(x)$ converging to X_t , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t^n - X_t|^p] = 0.$$

Since (X_t^n) are solutions of (1.1), there sequences (ξ_t^n) and (η_t^n) such that

$$X_t^n = x + \int_0^t \xi_t^n dW_s + \int_0^t \eta_t^n ds.$$

For closed convex set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, define $P_A(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by $\|x - P_A(x)\| = d(x, A)$. Then $P_A(x)$ exists uniquely. Put $\hat{\xi}_t^n = P_{\sigma(X_t)}(\xi_t^n)$ and $\hat{\eta}_t^n = P_{b(X_t)}(\eta_t^n)$. Then by hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\xi}_t^n - \xi_t^n| &\leq d_H(\sigma(X_t), \sigma(X_t^n)) \leq L|X_t - X_t^n|, \\ |\hat{\eta}_t^n - \eta_t^n| &\leq d_H(b(X_t), b(X_t^n)) \leq L|X_t - X_t^n|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E[\int_0^T |\hat{\xi}_t^n|^p dt] \leq E[\int_0^T |\sigma(X_t)|^p dt] \leq E[2^p K^p \int_0^T (1 + |X_t|^p) dt],$$

$(\hat{\xi}_t^n)$ and $(\hat{\eta}_t^n)$ are L^p -bounded. Taking suitable subsequence of $(\hat{\xi}_t^n)$ and convex combinations of subsequence, we can estimate the limit $\hat{\xi}_t$ by the following way ([7]).

$$E[\int_0^T |\hat{\xi}_t - \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_t^j|^p dt] \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Similarly, for $(\hat{\eta}_t)$,

$$E\left[\int_0^T |\hat{\eta}_t - \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \lambda_j \hat{\eta}_t^j|^p dt\right] \leq \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| X_t - x - \int_0^t \hat{\xi}_s dW_s - \int_0^t \hat{\eta}_s ds \right| \\ &= \left| X_t - \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \lambda_j X_t^j + \sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \lambda_j X_t^j - x - \sum_j \lambda_j \int_0^t \xi_s^j dW_s - \sum_j \lambda_j \int_0^t \eta_s^j ds \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_j \lambda_j \int_0^t (\xi_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s^j) dW_s + \sum_j \lambda_j \int_0^t (\eta_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s^j) ds \\ & \quad \left. + \int_0^t (\sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s) dW_s + \int_0^t (\sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\eta}_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \sum_j \lambda_j |X_t - X_t^j| + \sum_j \lambda_j \left| \int_0^t (\xi_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s^j) dW_s \right| + \left| \int_0^t (\sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s) dW_s \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_j \lambda_j \int_0^t |\eta_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s^j| ds + \int_0^t \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\eta}_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s \right| ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| X_t - x - \int_0^t \hat{\xi}_s dW_s - \int_0^t \hat{\eta}_s ds \right| \right\|_p \\ &\leq \sum_j \lambda_j \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - X_t^j| \right\|_p + \sum_j \lambda_j \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\xi_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s^j) dW_s \right| \right\|_p \\ & \quad + \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s) dW_s \right| \right\|_p + \sum_j \lambda_j \left\| \int_0^T |\eta_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s^j| ds \right\|_p \\ & \quad + \left\| \int_0^T \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\eta}_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s \right| ds \right\|_p \\ &\leq \sum_j \lambda_j \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - X_t^j| \right\|_p + C_1 \sum_j \lambda_j E\left[\left\{ \int_0^T |\xi_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s^j|^2 ds \right\}^{p/2}\right]^{1/p} \\ & \quad + C_1 E\left[\left\{ \int_0^T \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s \right|^2 ds \right\}^{p/2}\right]^{1/p} + \sum_j \lambda_j E\left[\left\{ \int_0^T |\eta_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s^j| ds \right\}^p\right]^{1/p} \\ & \quad + E\left[\left\{ \int_0^T \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\eta}_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s \right| ds \right\}^p\right]^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_j \lambda_j \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - X_t^j| \right\|_p + C_1 T^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} \sum_j \lambda_j E \left[\int_0^T |\xi_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s^j|^p ds \right] \\ &\quad + C_1 T^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})} E \left[\int_0^T \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_s^j - \hat{\xi}_s \right|^p ds \right] + T^{(1 - \frac{1}{p})} \sum_j \lambda_j E \left[\int_0^T |\eta_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s^j|^p ds \right] \\ &\quad + T^{(1 - \frac{1}{p})} E \left[\int_0^T \left| \sum_j \lambda_j \hat{\eta}_s^j - \hat{\eta}_s \right|^p ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, the right hand side tends to 0. We can $\sum_{j=1}^{N_n} \lambda_j \hat{\xi}_t^j - \hat{\xi}_t$ a.e.t, a.e. ω for some subsequence. And since $\sigma(X_t)$ is convex, $\hat{\xi}_t \in \sigma(X_t)$ a.e.t, a.e. ω . By the same way, $\hat{\eta}_t \in b(X_t)$ a.e.t, a.e. ω . This proves that (X_t) is a solution of (1.1). Thus $S^p(x)$ is closed. \square

Theorem 3.4. *The mapping $x \mapsto S(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous.*

Proof. Let $X_t \in S(x)$. Then there exist $\xi_s \in \sigma(X_s)$ and $\eta_s \in b(X_s)$ such that

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \xi_s dW_s + \int_0^t \eta_s ds.$$

Let $\xi_t^0 = \xi_t$ and $\eta_t^0 = \eta_t$, and define (Y_t^n) , (ξ_t^n) , and (η_t^n) as the following by induction.

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^n &= y + \int_0^t \xi_s^n dW_s + \int_0^t \eta_s^n ds, \\ \xi_t^{n+1} &= P_{\sigma(Y_t^n)} \xi_t^n, \quad \eta_t^{n+1} = P_{b(Y_t^n)} \eta_t^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_A x$ is the nearest point of A from x for closed convex set A . Then by the proof of Theorem 3.1, (Y_t^n) converges to a solution $Y_t \in S(y)$.

Put $\phi(t) = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\xi_s^1 - \xi_s^0\|_p + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\eta_s^1 - \eta_s^0\|_p$. Since

$$\left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\xi_s^{n+1} - \xi_s^n| \right\|_p \leq \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n} \phi(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\eta_s^{n+1} - \eta_s^n| \right\|_p \leq \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n} \phi(t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Y_s^{n+1} - Y_s^n| \right\|_p &\leq C_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \|\xi_s^{n+1} - \xi_s^n\|_p^2 ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^t \|\eta_s^{n+1} - \eta_s^n\|_p ds \\ &\leq C_1 \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{e^{2Ms}}{2^{2n}} \phi(t)^2 ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_0^t \frac{e^{Ms}}{2^n} \phi(t) ds \\ &\leq \frac{C_1}{2^n} \frac{e^{Mt}}{2M+1} \phi(t) + \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n M} \phi(t) \\ &= \frac{C_1 M + 2M + 1}{M(2M + 1)} \frac{e^{Mt}}{2^n} \phi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t - X_t| \right\|_p &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^{n+1} - Y_t^n| \right\|_p + \left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t^0 - X_t| \right\|_p \\ &\leq \frac{(C_1 + 2)M + 1}{M(2M + 1)} 2e^{MT} \phi(t) + |x - y|. \end{aligned}$$

By $|\xi_t^1 - \xi_t^0| \leq d_H(\sigma(X_t), \sigma(Y_t^0)) \leq L|X_t - Y_t^0| = L|x - y|$ and $|\eta_t^1 - \eta_t^0| \leq L|x - y|$, $\phi(t) \leq 2L|x - y|$. Thus

$$\left\| \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |Y_t - X_t| \right\|_p \leq \left\{ \frac{4L((C_1 + 2)M + 1)}{M(2M + 1)} e^{MT} + 1 \right\} |x - y|.$$

Therefore $d_H(S^p(x), S^p(y)) \leq C|x - y|$. Hence the mapping $x \mapsto S^p(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous. \square

References

- [1] N. U. Ahmed, *Nonlinear stochastic differential inclusions on Banach space*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **12** (1994), no. 1, 1–10.
- [2] J. P. Aubin and G. D. Prato, *The viability theorem for stochastic differential inclusions*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **16** (1998), no. 1, 1–15.
- [3] A. A. Levakov, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of stochastic differential inclusions*, Differ. Uravn. **34** (1998), no. 2, 204–210.
- [4] G. D. Prato and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 44. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [5] B. Truong-Van and X. D. H. Truong, *Existence results for viability problem associated to nonconvex stochastic differentiable inclusions*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **17** (1999), no. 4, 667–685.
- [6] ———, *Existence of viable solutions for a nonconvex stochastic differential inclusion*, Discuss. Math. Differential Incl. **17** (1997), no. 1-2, 107–131.
- [7] Y. S. Yun, *On the estimation of approximate solution for SDI*, Korean Ann. Math. **20** (2003), 63–69.
- [8] Y. S. Yun and I. Shigekawa, *The existence of solutions for stochastic differential inclusion*, Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) **7** (2002), no. 2, 205–212.

YONG SIK YUN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES
CHEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
JEJU 690-756, KOREA
E-mail address: yunys@cheju.ac.kr

SANG UK RYU
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLLEGE OF NATURAL SCIENCES
CHEJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
JEJU 690-756, KOREA
E-mail address: ryusu81@cheju.ac.kr