A LOWER BOUND FOR AREA OF COMPACT SINGULAR SURFACES OF NONPOSITIVE CURVATURE

YOUNG DO CHAI AND DOOHANN LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain some lower bounds for area of nonsimply connected compact singular surfaces of nonpositive curvature. One inequality involves systole and area of the surface.

1. Introduction

A CAT(k)-space is a singular metric space of curvature bounded above by k in the sense of Alexandrov [1]. The systole is, by definition, the infimum of the lengths of closed curves, which are not homotopic to zero, in a CAT(k)-space X, and we denote it by sys(X). sys(X) is realized by a closed geodesic, and this closed geodesic of minimal length is called a systolic in X. In this paper, we obtain geometric inequalities giving lower bound for the area of nonsimply connected compact singular surface of nonpositive curvature by terms of sys(X).

Related with sys(X) to area of a 2-dimensional regular surface X, the first result is the Loewner's inequality which states for the torus T^2 , $Area(T^2) \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} sys^2(T^2)$, for every metric on T^2 ; equality holds if and only if T^2 is a flat torus obtained from a hexagonal lattice. For the projective plane $\mathbb{R}P^2$, Pu [8] proved $Area(\mathbb{R}P^2) \geq \frac{2}{\pi} sys^2(\mathbb{R}P^2)$, for every metric on $\mathbb{R}P^2$; equality holds if and only if $\mathbb{R}P^2$ has the elliptic metric. For the Klein bottle KB, Bavard [2] obtained the optimal inequality that $Area(KB) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} sys^2(KB)$. Also it is known that for an oriented regular surface X, $Area(X) \geq \frac{1}{2} sys^2(X)$ and for a nonoriented surface X, $Area(X) \geq \frac{1}{4} sys^2(X)$ [3], [6]. In [5], Gromov gave a result that the area of a nonsimply connected regular surface X is not less than the area of a ball of radius $\frac{1}{2} sys(X)$. In this paper, we first show that Gromov's result still holds for nonsimply connected compact singular surfaces of nonpositive curvature, that is to say,

Received February 1, 2006.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C70, 53C45.

Key words and phrases. surface area, systole.

This work was supported by Faculty Research Fund, Sungkyunkwan University, 2003 and KOSEF R01-2004-000-10183-0 (2005).

Theorem 1.1. For a nonsimply connected compact singular surface X of non-positive curvature, we have

$$H(X) \geq \frac{\pi}{4} sys^2(X),$$

where H denotes 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

We also obtain another lower bound for area of such a surface as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Let X be a nonsimply connected compact singular surface of nonpositive curvature, and let γ be a unit speed closed geodesic in X. We assume that an ε -neighborhood $N(\gamma, \varepsilon)$ of γ has a property that the metric projection $\pi_{\gamma}: N(\gamma, \varepsilon) \to \gamma$ is well-defined. Then we have

$$H(X) \ge H(N(\gamma, \varepsilon)) \ge 2\varepsilon \ell(\gamma),$$

where $\ell(\gamma)$ denotes the length of γ .

2. Singular surfaces of nonpositive curvature

Let (X, d) be an intrinsic metric space, that is to say, for any p, q in the metric space X,

$$d(p,q) = \inf_{\gamma} \, \ell(\gamma) \,,$$

where the infimum is taken over all continuous curves γ joining p and q, and the length $\ell(\gamma)$ of a continuous curve $\gamma:[a,b]\to X$ is

$$\ell(\gamma) = \sup \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d(p_i, p_{i+1}),$$

where p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n is an arbitrary sequence of points of γ numbered in the order of their position on the curve, and the supremum is taken over all such sequence of points. A continuous curve $\gamma:[a,b]\to X$ is called a unit speed geodesic in X if each $t\in[a,b]$ has an open neighborhood $V\subset[a,b]$ such that $d(\gamma(t_1),\gamma(t_2))=|t_1\,t_2|$ for all $t_1,t_2\in V$. If $d(\gamma(t_1),\gamma(t_2))=|t_1\,t_2|$ for all $t_1,t_2\in[a,b]$, then a geodesic $\gamma:[a,b]\to X$ is called a minimizer or a shortest curve joining $\gamma(a)$ and $\gamma(b)$. A subset $U\subset X$ is said to be convex if any two points in U are joined by a minimizer of X lying inside U. A triangle $\Delta=(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3)$ in X is a set consisting of three minimizers $\sigma_1,\sigma_2,\sigma_3$ called the sides, which are pairwisely joining three points called the vertices.

For a triangle $\triangle = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ in X, a triangle $\bar{\triangle} = (\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2, \bar{\sigma}_3)$ in 2-dimensional Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 with the usual metric d_0 is called a comparison triangle for \triangle if $\ell(\bar{\sigma}_i) = \ell(\sigma_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. A triangle \triangle in X is said to be 0-thin if

$$d(x,y) \leq d_0(\bar{x},\bar{y}),$$

for all points x, y on sides of \triangle and the corresponding points \bar{x}, \bar{y} on the sides of the comparison triangle $\bar{\triangle} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. An intrinsic metric space X is called a CAT(0)-space, or a space of nonpositive curvature, if each point $p \in X$ has a convex domain R_0 such that every triangle \triangle in R_0 is 0-thin. From now on,

a singular surface of nonpositive curvature means a 2-dimensional topological manifold endowed with an intrinsic metric of nonpositive curvature in the sense of Alexandrov.

For $\delta > 0$, let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : [0, \delta] \to X$ be a pair of unit speed geodesics emanating from a point p in a complete CAT(0)-space X. For $s, t \in (0, \delta]$ let $\overline{\Delta}_{st} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the comparison triangle for the triangle $\Delta_{st} = (p, \gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(t))$. Then the angle between γ_1 and γ_2 is defined by

$$\angle(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \lim_{s,t\to 0} \alpha(s,t),$$

where $\alpha(s,t)$ is the angle of $\overline{\triangle}_{st}$ at \overline{p} in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Let C be a convex closed subset in a complete simply connected singular surface X of nonpositive curvature. Then the metric projection $\pi_C: X \to C$ defined by the closest point $\pi_C(p) \in C$ to the point $p \in X$ is well defined in X, and the unique point $\pi_C(p) \in C$ is called the footpoint of p on C. Also, $\pi_C: X \to C$ is a 1-Lipschitz retraction on X, and for the projective geodesic segment $\overline{x_{C}(x)}$ and a geodesic segment $\overline{\pi_C(x)}y$ contained in C, we have

$$\angle(\overline{\pi_C(x)x},\overline{\pi_C(x)y}) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}\,,$$

for any $y \in C$ [4].

In what follows, we denote by H 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure in a metric space and by L Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^2 , respectively.

3. A lower bound for area of singular spaces of nonpositive curvature

Let r_p be the injectivity radius of $p \in X$, and suppose that a metric ball $B(p, r_p)$ is contained in a convex domain of X. Then it is known the following area comparison theorem for balls in a singular surface of nonpositive curvature [7].

Proposition 3.1. Let (M^2, d) be a singular surface of nonpositive curvature. Then

$$H(B(p,r_p)) \ge L(B_0(\overline{p},r_p)),$$

where $B(p,r_p)$ is an open metric ball centered at p of the injectivity radius r_p in M^2 and $B_0(\overline{p},r_p)$ is an open ball centered at $\overline{p} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ of radius r_p in \mathbb{R}^2 .

From now on, we shall identify a unit speed curve γ with its image $\gamma([0, \ell(\gamma)]) \subset X$. Now we apply Proposition 3.1 to obtain a lower bound of area of a nonsimply connected singular surfaces of nonpositive curvature.

Lemma 3.1. Let γ be a shortest closed geodesic in a singular surface X of nonpositive curvature. For $p \in \gamma$, any point q in the metric ball $B(p, \ell(\gamma)/2)$ is joined to p by the unique minimizer.

Proof. Suppose two points p and q are joined by two minimizers σ_1 and σ_2 . Since a minimizer is a convex subset of X, any point a in σ_1 has the unique footpoint $\pi_{\sigma_2}(a) \in \sigma_2$. If $\pi_{\sigma_2}(a)$ is either p or q for all $a \in \sigma_1$, then the concatenation $\sigma_1 \star \sigma_2^{-1}$ is a closed geodesic with length less than $\ell(\gamma)$. This is contrary to the assumption.

On the other hand, if $\pi_{\sigma_2}(a)$ is neither p nor q for some $a \in \sigma_1$, then the angle $\angle(a\pi_{\sigma_2}(a), p\pi_{\sigma_2}(a))$ between two geodesics $a\pi_{\sigma_2}(a)$ and $p\pi_{\sigma_2}(a)$ is not less than $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Similarly, we have

$$\angle(\overline{a\,\pi_{\sigma_2}(a)},\overline{q\,\pi_{\sigma_2}(a)}) \ge \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

This implies that either the triangle $\triangle p \, a \, \pi_{\sigma_2}(a)$ or the triangle $\triangle q \, a \, \pi_{\sigma_2}(a)$ has an interior angle more than π , which is a contradiction. Hence, we prove the lemma.

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a nonsimply connected compact singular surface of nonpositive curvature. Then we have

$$H(X) \ge \frac{\pi}{4} sys^2(X)$$
.

Proof. Let γ be a systolic in X. Then it is obvious that, for any point $p \in \gamma$, the metric ball $B(p,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})$ does not contain γ . Also, the lemma above implies that $B(p,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})$ is contractible. Hence, by the generalized Hadamard-Cartan theorem for singular space, the ball $B(p,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})$ does not contain any closed geodesic, and a pair of two points in $B(p,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})$ is joined by the unique minimizer. This implies that the ball $B(p,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})$ is homeomorphic a topological disc, and it is of nonpositive curvature. Hence

$$H(X) \ge H(B(p, \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})).$$

Since the Hausdorff measure $H(B(p, \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2}))$ of the ball $B(p, \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})$ is not less than the Lebesgue measure of a corresponding ball in 2-dimensional Euclidean space by Proposition 3.1, we obtain that

$$H(B(p, \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})) \ge \frac{\pi}{4}\ell(\gamma)^2.$$

The following is another lower bound for area of a nonsimply connected compact singular surface of nonpositive curvature.

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, d_X) be a nonsimply connected compact singular surface of nonpositive curvature. Let γ be a unit speed closed geodesic in X, and we denote the length of γ by $\ell(\gamma)$. We assume that an ε -neighborhood $N(\gamma, \varepsilon)$ of γ has a property that the metric projection $\pi_{\gamma}: N(\gamma, \varepsilon) \to \gamma$ is well-defined. Then we have

$$H(X) \ge H(N(\gamma, \varepsilon)) \ge 2\varepsilon \ell(\gamma).$$

Proof. Divide the closed geodesic γ by two parts $\gamma_1 \equiv \gamma \mid_{[0,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2})}$ and $\gamma_2 \equiv \gamma \mid_{[\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2},\ell(\gamma))}$. Then ε -neighborhood $N(\gamma,\varepsilon)$ of γ is the union of ε -neighborhood $N(\gamma_1,\varepsilon)$ of γ_1 and ε -neighborhood $N(\gamma_2,\varepsilon)$ of γ_2 . Also, for each i=1,2, the ε -neighborhood $N(\gamma_i,\varepsilon)$ of γ_i consists of two connected domains $N^+(\gamma_i,\varepsilon)$ and $N^-(\gamma_i,\varepsilon)$ such that $N^+(\gamma_i,\varepsilon) \cap N^-(\gamma_i,\varepsilon) = \gamma_i$. The four domains are simply connected singular surfaces of nonpositive curvature. From the assumption, for $x \in N(\gamma_i,\varepsilon)$, there exists a unique footpoint $\pi_{\gamma_i}(x) \in \gamma_i$.

Define four mappings

$$F_i^+: N^+(\gamma_i, \varepsilon) \to [0, \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2}] \times [0, \varepsilon) (\subset \mathbb{R}^2)$$

and

$$F_i^-: N^-(\gamma_i, \varepsilon) \to [0, \frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2}] \times [0, \varepsilon) (\subset \mathbb{R}^2)$$

by

$$F_i^+(x) = (d_X(\gamma(0), \pi_{\gamma_i}(x)), d_X(x, \pi_{\gamma_i}(x))),$$

and

$$F_i^-(x) = (d_X(\gamma(0), \pi_{\gamma_i}(x)), d_X(x, \pi_{\gamma_i}(x))),$$

which are well-defined by the assumption.

Choose $x, y \in N^+(\gamma_1, \varepsilon)$. Then both x and y have their unique footpoints $\pi_{\gamma_1}(x)$ and $\pi_{\gamma_1}(y)$ in γ_1 , respectively. By the convexity of distance function between geodesics, $d_X(x,y) \geq d_0(F_1^+(x), F_1^+(y))$. Therefore, F_1^+ is nonexpanding, and by Kolmogorov's principle, we obtain that

$$H(N^+(\gamma_1,\varepsilon)) \ge L([0,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2}] \times [0,\varepsilon)).$$

In a similar way, we obtain that

$$H(N^-(\gamma_1,\varepsilon)) \ge L([0,\frac{\ell(\gamma)}{2}] \times [0,\varepsilon)),$$

and they induce the desired inequality.

References

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, A theorem on triangles in a metric space and some of its applications, Tr. Mat. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR 38 (1951), 5-23.
- [2] C. Bavard, Inégalité isosystolique pour la bouteille de Klein, Math. Ann. 274 (1986), no. 3, 439-441.
- [3] Y. D. Burago and V. A. Zalgaller Geometric inequalities, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI) 110 (1981), 235–236.
- [4] S. Buyalo, Lectures on space of curvature bounded from above III, spring semester 1994/95 a.y. University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign.
- [5] M. Gromov, Filling Riemannian manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no. 1, 1– 147.
- [6] J. J. Hebda, Some lower bounds for the area of surfaces, Invent. Math. 65 (1981/82), no. 3, 485-490.
- [7] K. Nagano, A volume convergence theorem for Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded above, Math. Z. 241 (2002), no. 1, 127-163.

[8] P. M. Pu, Some inequalities in certain nonorientable Riemannian manifolds, Pacific J. Math. 2 (1952), 55-71.

YOUNG DO CHAI
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY
SUWON 440-746, KOREA
E-mail address: ydchai@skku.edu

DOOHANN LEE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SUNGKYUNKWAN UNIVERSITY
SUWON 440-746, KOREA
E-mail address: dhl221@skku.edu