

STABILITY OF A MIXED TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN 3-VARIABLES

SANG HAN LEE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the stability of a mixed type functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & f(-x + y + z) + f(x - y + z) + f(x + y - z) \\ &= f(x - y) + f(y - z) + f(z - x) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z). \end{aligned}$$

1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam ([15]) posed the following question on the stability of homomorphisms: Given a group G_1 , a metric group G_2 with a metric d and a number $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then a homomorphism $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ exists with

$$d(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in G_1$? This question became a source of the stability theory in the Hyers-Ulam sense. The case of approximately additive mappings was solved by D. H. Hyers ([3]) under the assumption that G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias ([12]) generalized the Hyers-Ulam stability by considering variables. In 1994, it also generalized to the function case by Gavruta.

A quadratic function $f(x) = x^2$ is a solution of the functional equation

$$(1.1) \quad f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

Received August 1, 2007. Revised October 1, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 39B72.

Key words and phrases : quadratic functional equation, stability.

Hence this equation is called a quadratic functional equation, and every solution of the quadratic functional equation (1.1) is called a quadratic function. A Hyers-Ulam stability for the quadratic functional equation (1.1) was proved by Skof [13]. In [2], Czerwik proved the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the quadratic functional equation. Later, the stability problems of a various quadratic type functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of mathematicians ([1], [5-10]).

Consider the following functional equation

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(-x + y + z) + f(x - y + z) + f(x + y - z) \\ & = f(x - y) + f(y - z) + f(z - x) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z). \end{aligned}$$

A function $f(x) = x^2 + x$ is a solution of the functional equation (1.2). We note that the equation (1.2) is called a mixed type functional equation.

The main purpose of the present paper is to prove the stability of a functional equation (1.2). In section 2, we obtain the general solution of a functional equation (1.2). In section 3, we investigate the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a functional equation (1.2).

2. Solutions of a functional equation (1.2)

THEOREM 1. *Let X and Y be real linear spaces. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (1.2) for all $x, y, z \in X$ if and only if there exist an additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $f(x) = A(x) + Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*

Proof. Necessity. Putting $x = y = z = 0$ in (1.2) we have $f(0) = 0$. Let $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ be the functions defined by $A(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$ and $Q(x) := \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)]$, respectively. Then we have $A(0) = Q(0) = 0$, $A(-x) = -A(x)$ and $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Obviously, we have $f(x) = A(x) + Q(x)$ for all $x \in X$. We claim that A is additive and Q is

quadratic. Since f satisfies (1.2) for all $x, y, z \in X$, it is immediately seen that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & A(-x + y + z) + A(x - y + z) + A(x + y - z) \\ &= A(x - y) + A(y - z) + A(z - x) + A(x) + A(y) + A(z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q(-x + y + z) + Q(x - y + z) + Q(x + y - z) \\ &= Q(x - y) + Q(y - z) + Q(z - x) + Q(x) + Q(y) + Q(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Putting $z = 0$ in (2.1) we have

$$A(-x + y) + A(x - y) + A(x + y) = A(x - y) + A(y) + A(-x) + A(x) + A(y), \quad \text{or}$$

$$(2.3) \quad A(-x + y) + A(x + y) = 2A(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Putting $x = y$ in (2.3) we have $A(2y) = 2A(y)$ and

$$(2.4) \quad A(-x + y) + A(x + y) = A(2y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Letting $-x + y = u$ and $x + y = v$ in (2.4) we have $A(u) + A(v) = A(u + v)$ for all $u, v \in X$. Consequently, A is additive as claimed.

Putting $z = 0$ in (2.2) we have

$$Q(-x + y) + Q(x - y) + Q(x + y) = Q(x - y) + Q(y) + Q(-x) + Q(x) + Q(y), \quad \text{or}$$

$$Q(-x + y) + Q(x + y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Consequently, Q is quadratic as claimed.

Sufficiency. This is obvious. □

In the next section we prove the stability of a functional equation (1.2).

3. Stability of a functional equation (1.2)

Throughout this section X and Y will be a real normed linear space and a real Banach space, respectively. Given a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we set

$$Df(x, y, z) := f(-x + y + z) + f(x - y + z) + f(x + y - z) \\ - f(x - y) - f(y - z) - f(z - x) - f(x) - f(y) - f(z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

THEOREM 2. Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi_1(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} \phi(2^i x, 2^i y, 2^i z) < \infty$$

or

$$(3.1') \quad \Phi_2(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^i \phi(2^{-(i+1)} x, 2^{-(i+1)} y, 2^{-(i+1)} z) < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \phi(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \Phi_1(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.1)} \\ \Phi_2(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.1')} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. The function Q is given by $Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} f(2^n x)$ if ϕ satisfies (3.1) and $Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(2^{-n} x)$ if ϕ satisfies (3.1').

Proof. (I) The case ϕ satisfies (3.1). Letting $y = x$ and $z = 0$ in (3.2) we have

$$\|f(2x) - 3f(x) - f(-x)\| \leq \phi(x, x, 0), \quad \text{or}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|4^{-1}f(2x) - f(x)\| \leq 4^{-1}\phi(x, x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$, since f is even. Replacing x by $2^{n-1}x$ in (3.4) we get

$$\|4^{-1}f(2^n x) - f(2^{n-1}x)\| \leq 4^{-1}\phi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x, 0), \text{ or}$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|4^{-n}f(2^n x) - 4^{-(n-1)}f(2^{n-1}x)\| \leq 4^{-n}\phi(2^{n-1}x, 2^{n-1}x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all nonnegative integers n . By (3.5) and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \|4^{-n}f(2^n x) - 4^{-m}f(2^m x)\| \leq \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} 4^{-(i+1)}\phi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$ and all nonnegative integers m and n with $m < n$. By virtue of (3.1), the right hand side of the inequality (3.6) tends to zero as m tends to infinity. Hence, the sequence $\{4^{-n}f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x \in X$. Consequently, we can define a function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$. Putting $m = 0$ in (3.6) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have the formula (3.3). We have $Q(-x) = Q(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|DQ(x, y, z)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} \|Df(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} \phi(2^n x, 2^n y, 2^n z) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. As in the proof of Theorem 1, Q is quadratic. Now, let $Q' : X \rightarrow Y$ be another quadratic function satisfying (3.3). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x) - Q'(x)\| &= 4^{-n} \|Q(2^n x) - Q'(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq 4^{-n} (\|Q(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|Q'(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 4^{-n} \Phi_1(2^n x, 2^n x, 0) \\ &= 2 \cdot 4^{-n} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} \phi(2^i \cdot 2^n x, 2^i \cdot 2^n x, 0) \\ &= 2 \cdot \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} \phi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and all positive integers n . But, by virtue of (3.1) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} \phi(2^i x, 2^i x, 0) = 0.$$

Therefore we can conclude that $Q(x) = Q'(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(II) The case ϕ satisfies (3.1'). We omit the proof, since the proof is similar to the case (I). □

THEOREM 3. *Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi_3(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(i+1)} \phi(2^i x, 2^i y, 2^i z) < \infty$$

or

$$(3.7') \quad \Phi_4(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^i \phi(2^{-(i+1)} x, 2^{-(i+1)} y, 2^{-(i+1)} z) < \infty$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. If an odd function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (3.2) for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \Phi_3(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.7)} \\ \Phi_4(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.7')} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$. The function A is given by $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$ if ϕ satisfies (3.7) and $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(2^{-n} x)$ if ϕ satisfies (3.7').

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2, we can find inequalities

$$\|2^{-1} f(2x) - f(x)\| \leq 2^{-1} \phi(x, x, 0)$$

and

$$\|f(x) - 2f(2^{-1}x)\| \leq \phi(2^{-1}x, 2^{-1}x, 0)$$

for all $x \in X$.

If ϕ satisfies (3.7), then as in the proof of Theorem 2 it can be proved that the sequence $\{2^{-n} f(2^n x)\}$ is a Cauchy and the function A , defined by $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$, is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.8).

If ϕ satisfies (3.7'), then the sequence $\{2^n f(2^{-n}x)\}$ is a Cauchy and the function A , defined by $A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(2^{-n}x)$, is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.8).

We omit the details. □

THEOREM 4. *Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (3.1') or (3.7) for all $x, y, z \in X$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (3.2) for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(3.9) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_1(x, x, 0) + \tilde{\Phi}_3(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.7)} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_2(x, x, 0) + \tilde{\Phi}_4(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.1')}, \end{cases}$$

$$(3.10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) - Q(x) \right\| \leq \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_1(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.7)} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_2(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.1')} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) - A(x) \right\| \leq \begin{cases} \tilde{\Phi}_3(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.7)} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_4(x, x, 0), & \text{if } \phi \text{ satisfies (3.1')} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$, where $\tilde{\Phi}_i(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_i(x, y, z) + \Phi_i(-x, -y, -z))$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Proof. Let $g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x))$ for all $x \in X$. Then $g(-x) = g(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dg(x, y, z)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|Df(x, y, z)\| + \|Df(-x, -y, -z)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\phi(x, y, z) + \phi(-x, -y, -z)) \\ &= \tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(x, y, z) + \phi(-x, -y, -z))$.

(I) If ϕ satisfies (3.7). Let $\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} \tilde{\phi}(2^i x, 2^i y, 2^i z)$. Then we get $\tilde{\Phi}_1(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_1(x, y, z) + \Phi_1(-x, -y, -z)) < \infty$, since $\Phi_1(x, y, z) \leq \Phi_3(x, y, z) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

(II) If ϕ satisfies (3.1'). Let $\tilde{\Phi}_2(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^i \tilde{\phi}(2^{-(i+1)}x, 2^{-(i+1)}y, 2^{-(i+1)}z)$. Then we get $\tilde{\Phi}_2(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_2(x, y, z) + \Phi_2(-x, -y, -z)) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. By Theorem 2, there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.10).

Let $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x))$ for all $x \in X$. Then $h(-x) = -h(x)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dh(x, y, z)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\|Df(x, y, z)\| + \|Df(-x, -y, -z)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(\phi(x, y, z) + \phi(-x, -y, -z)) \\ &= \tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, where $\tilde{\phi}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(x, y, z) + \phi(-x, -y, -z))$.

(III) If ϕ satisfies (3.7). Let $\tilde{\Phi}_3(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(i+1)} \tilde{\phi}(2^i x, 2^i y, 2^i z)$. Then we get $\tilde{\Phi}_3(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_3(x, y, z) + \Phi_3(-x, -y, -z)) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

(IV) If ϕ satisfies (3.1'). Let $\tilde{\Phi}_4(x, y, z) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^i \tilde{\phi}(2^{-(i+1)}x, 2^{-(i+1)}y, 2^{-(i+1)}z)$. Then we get $\tilde{\Phi}_4(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_4(x, y, z) + \Phi_4(-x, -y, -z)) < \infty$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, since $\Phi_4(x, y, z) \leq \Phi_2(x, y, z) < \infty$. By Theorem 3, there exists a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (3.11). Clearly, we have (3.9). \square

Applying Theorem 2, we have the following:

COROLLARY 5. Let $\delta, \theta \geq 0$ and let a function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy

(i) $\psi(st) \leq \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\psi(2) < 4$, or

(ii) $\psi(st) \geq \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, $\psi(2) > 4$ and $\delta = 0$.

If an even function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\theta}{|4 - \psi(2)|} (2\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. (I) The case (i). Let $\phi(x, y, z) := \delta + \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_1(x, y, z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} \phi(2^i x, 2^i y, 2^i z) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-(i+1)} (\delta + \theta(\psi(\|2^i x\|) + \psi(\|2^i y\|) + \psi(\|2^i z\|))) \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^{-1} \left(\frac{\psi(2)}{4}\right)^i \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|)) \\ &= \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\theta}{4 - \psi(2)} (\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|)) \\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

(II) The case (ii). Let $\phi(x, y, z) := \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|))$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2(x, y, z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^i \phi(2^{-(i+1)} x, 2^{-(i+1)} y, 2^{-(i+1)} z) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 4^i \theta(\psi(\|2^{-(i+1)} x\|) + \psi(\|2^{-(i+1)} y\|) + \psi(\|2^{-(i+1)} z\|)) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi(2)^{-1} \left(\frac{4}{\psi(2)}\right)^i \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|)) \\ &= \frac{\theta}{\psi(2) - 4} (\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|)) \\ &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 2, the corollary can be proved. \square

Applying Theorem 3, we have the following:

COROLLARY 6. Let $\delta, \theta \geq 0$ and let a function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy

(i) $\psi(st) \leq \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\psi(2) < 2$, or

(ii) $\psi(st) \geq \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, $\psi(2) > 2$ and $\delta = 0$.

If an odd function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exists a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \delta + \frac{\theta}{|2 - \psi(2)|} (2\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. We omit the details, since the proof is similar to the proof of Corollary 5. □

Applying Corollary 5 and Corollary 6, we have the following:

COROLLARY 7. Let $\delta, \theta \geq 0$ and let a function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ satisfy

- (i) $\psi(st) \leq \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ and $\psi(2) < 2$, or
- (ii) $\psi(st) \geq \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, $\psi(2) > 4$ and $\delta = 0$, or
- (iii) $\psi(st) = \psi(s)\psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, $2 < \psi(2) < 4$ and $\delta = 0$.

If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(\|y\|) + \psi(\|z\|))$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{4\delta}{3} + \left(\frac{1}{|2 - \psi(2)|} + \frac{1}{|4 - \psi(2)|} \right) \theta(2\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(0)),$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) - Q(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{\theta}{|4 - \psi(2)|} (2\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(0))$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) - A(x) \right\| \leq \delta + \frac{\theta}{|2 - \psi(2)|} (2\psi(\|x\|) + \psi(0))$$

for all $x \in X$.

Define $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by $\psi(t) = t^p$. Applying Corollary 7, we have the following:

COROLLARY 8. Let $\delta, \theta \geq 0$, $p \in (0, \infty) \setminus \{1, 2\}$ and $\delta = 0$ if $p > 1$. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\| \leq \delta + \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, then there exist a unique additive function $A : X \rightarrow Y$ and a unique quadratic function $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - Q(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{4\delta}{3} + \left(\frac{1}{|2-2^p|} + \frac{1}{|4-2^p|} \right) 2\theta \|x\|^p,$$

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) - Q(x) \right\| \leq \frac{\delta}{3} + \frac{2\theta}{|4-2^p|} \|x\|^p$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) - A(x) \right\| \leq \delta + \frac{2\theta}{|2-2^p|} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

References

1. J.-H. Bae and K.-W. Jun, *On the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **38** (2001), 325-336.
2. S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59-64.
3. D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222-224.
4. D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aeq. Math. **44** (1992), 125-153.
5. K.-W. Jun and Y.-H. Lee, *On the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a pexiderized quadratic inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **4** (2001), 93-118.
6. S.-M. Jung and B. Kim, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation on bounded domains*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **69** (1999), 293-308.
7. S.-M. Jung, *Quadratic functional equations of Pexider type*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **24** (2000), 351-359.
8. G. H. Kim, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **25** (2001), 217-229.
9. S. H. Lee, *Stability of a quadratic Jensen type functional equation*, Korean J. Comput. & Appl. Math. (Series A) **9** (2002), 389-399.

10. S. H. Lee and K.-W. Jun, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic type functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), 183-193.
11. Y. H. Lee and K. W. Jun, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Jensen's equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **238** (1999), 305-315.
12. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297-300.
13. F. Skof, *Local properties and approximations of operators*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113-129.
14. T. Trif, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **40** (2003), 253-267.
15. S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Chapter VI, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.

Sang Han Lee,
Department of Cultural Studies,
Chungbuk Provincial University of Science & Technology,
Okcheon 373-807, Korea
E-mail: shlee@ctech.ac.kr