Clinical Analysis of Video-assisted Thoracoscopic Spinal Surgery in the Thoracic or Thoracolumbar Spinal Pathologies

Objective: Thoracoscopic spinal surgery provides minimally invasive approaches for effective vertebral decompression and reconstruction of the thoracic and thoracolumbar spine, while surgery related morbidity can be significantly lowered. This study analyzes clinical results of thoracoscopic spinal surgery performed at our institute.

Methods: Twenty consecutive patients underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) to treat various thoracic and thoracolumbar pathologies from April 2000 to July 2006. The lesions consisted of spinal trauma (13 cases), thoracic disc herniation (4 cases), tuberculous spondylitis (1 case), post-operative thoracolumbar kyphosis (1 case) and thoracic tumor (1 case). The level of operation included upper thoracic lesions (3 cases), midthoracic lesions (6 cases) and thoracolumbar lesions (11 cases). We classified the procedure into three groups: stand-alone thoracoscopic discectomy (3 cases), thoracoscopic fusion (11 cases) and video assisted mini-thoracotomy (6 cases).

Results: Analysis on the Frankel performance scale in spinal trauma patients (13 cases), showed a total of 7 patients who had neurological impairment preoperatively: Grade D (2 cases), Grade C (2 cases), Grade B (1 case), and Grade A (2 cases). Four patients were neurologically improved postoperatively, two patients were improved from C to E, one improved from grade D to E and one improved from grade B to grade D. The preoperative Cobb’s and kyphotic angle were measured in spinal trauma patients and were 18.9° ± 4.4° and 18.8° ± 4.6°, respectively. Postoperatively, the angles showed statistically significant improvement, 15.1° ± 3.7° and 11.3° ± 2.4°, respectively (P<0.001).

Conclusion: Although VATS requires a steep learning curve, it is an effective and minimally invasive procedure which provides biomechanical stability in terms of anterior column decompression and reconstruction for anterior load bearing, and preservation of intercostal muscles and diaphragm.
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INTRODUCTION

Video-assisted thoracoscopic spinal surgery (VATS) is an important minimally invasive approach to access for anterior pathologies of the thoracic and thoracolumbar spine. Since it was first reported in 1993 by Macks and associates, thoracoscopic spinal surgery has gradually become more popular in the treatment of various thoracic spinal pathologies. The use of VATS has several advantages including reduced postoperative pain and complication rates and faster recovery times. It is not yet familiar to most spinal surgeons because of its technically demanding performance and steep learning curve, and the need for special endoscopic instruments with related expenses. Therefore, the posterior approach is still a more universal procedure to treat thoracic lesions.

Traumatic spinal injuries frequently involve the thoracolumbar junction, and cause significant injury to the anterior column of the spine, which absorbs the compression load of the spine. Thoracolumbar junction injuries presenting with neurological deficits have significant anterior compression of neural tissue. The anterior thoracic approach offers many biomechanical benefits, such as effective direct decompression of anterior pathologies, immediate restoration of anterior load-bearing, and short segment stabilization. Given these biomechanically sound advantages, thoracoscopic spinal surgery is a useful minimally invasive procedure for the anterior approach.
This study analyzes our clinical experiences of thoracoscopic spinal surgery to treat various pathologies, such as thoracic disc herniation, tumors, and spinal trauma.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Demographic features**

Between April 2000 and July 2006, a total of twenty consecutive patients underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery. They presented with thoracic spinal trauma (13 cases), thoracic disc herniations (4 cases), and one case of spinal tumor, tuberculous spondylitis, and postoperative kyphosis respectively. The mean age was 41 years (range, from 19 to 77 years) and the male to female ratio was three to one. Mean follow up period was 15 months. The characteristics of the patients are summarized in (Table 1).

We classified the VATS procedures into three groups: stand-alone thoracoscopic discectomy (3 cases), thoracoscopic fusion (10 cases), and video assisted mini-thoracotomy (VAMT) (7 cases). The most frequent vertebral level of the bursting fracture and dislocation was T12 (6 cases), followed by midthoracic region (5 cases), T11 (1 case), L1 (2 cases) and L2 (1 case). Additional posterior instrumentation was performed for three patients who sustained three column injuries. The instruments used were Z-plate (Sofamor Danek, Memphis, TN) in 2 cases, Kaneda systems (DePuy AcroMed, Raynham, MA) in 3 cases, MACS-TL (Aesculap, Tuttingen, Germany) in 2 cases, and Antares (Medtronic SNT, Louisville, CO) in 6 cases.

**Clinical and Radiographic Evaluation**

The comparison between preoperative and postoperative pain score was measured using the VAS score. We measured kyphotic angle and Cobb’s angle in lateral radiographs of thoracic and thoracolumbar fractures due to trauma preoperatively and postoperatively. To determine the Cobb’s angle, measurements were taken from the superior endplate of the vertebra one level above the treated vertebra to the inferior endplate of the vertebral body one level below the treated vertebra. The local kyphotic angle was the angle in degree defined by the intersection of superior and inferior endplate of the fractured body (Fig. 1). The preoperative and postoperative angles were compared using paired t-tests statistically. The Frankel neurological performance scale was also evaluated to compare the preoperative or postoperative neurological status of the patients who sustained traumatic spinal fractures.

**Operative Technique**

Under general anesthesia using a double lumen endotracheal intubation for one lung ventilation, the patients were positioned in the lateral decubitus position on the operating table. The portal placement was one of the most important procedures for successful thoracoscopic surgery. In the upper

**Table 1. The characteristics of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>S/A</th>
<th>Dx</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Level Treated</th>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>Chest tube</th>
<th>My</th>
<th>Cx</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/19</td>
<td>T8 FX</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T7–9</td>
<td>Z-plate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/46</td>
<td>T1LFX</td>
<td>VAMT</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>Kaneda</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/77</td>
<td>Thoracic HNP</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic discectomy</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>T10–11</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/44</td>
<td>Thoracic HNP</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic discectomy</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>T6–7</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F/56</td>
<td>Tumor T7*</td>
<td>VAMT</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T6–9</td>
<td>Kaneda</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/32</td>
<td>Thoracic HNP</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic discectomy</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>T1–2</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F/44</td>
<td>Postop Kyphosis</td>
<td>VAMT</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T6–7</td>
<td>Kaneda</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M/41</td>
<td>Bursting Fr. T12</td>
<td>VAMT</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>Kaneda</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M/67</td>
<td>Thoracic HNP</td>
<td>Dis c fus</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>T12–L1</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M/46</td>
<td>T12 FX</td>
<td>VAMT</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T11–L2</td>
<td>MACS–TL</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F/77</td>
<td>Tb spine T5–6</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>T4–6</td>
<td>MACS–TL</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M/62</td>
<td>T12 FX</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>BG</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F/54</td>
<td>T5 FX</td>
<td>VAMT</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T4–6</td>
<td>Z-plate</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M/38</td>
<td>Fr–dis T12</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T11–T12</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>M/23</td>
<td>Fr–dis L1, L2</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>M/46</td>
<td>T12 FX</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T11–L1</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(–)</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>M/31</td>
<td>Bursting Fr. L1</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T12–L2</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Chylothorax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M/66</td>
<td>Bursting Fr. T11</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T10–L1</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>CF leak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>M/31</td>
<td>Bursting Fr. T9</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T8–T10</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F/39</td>
<td>Bursting Fr. T8–9</td>
<td>Thoracoscopic fusion</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>T7–10</td>
<td>Antares</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>(–)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

thoracic spine, the initial trocar incision was made at the fourth intercostal space along the anterior axillary line to avoid the brachial plexus. The other two manipulating channels were positioned at the posterior axillary line at the T2-3 and T3-4 intercostal space (ICS). In the middle thoracic or thoracolumbar junction lesion, the working channel was centered over the target vertebrae and the optical channel was placed two or three intercostal spaces cranial to the target vertebra. The approach for suction and irrigation or retractor was placed approximately 5 to 10 cm anterior to the working and optical channel. Another approach for diaphragm retraction was placed at the posterior axillary line at T9-T10 ICS. Thus the shape of the positioned portals were a diamond shape (Fig. 2).

The parietal pleura over the level of the spinal pathology was incised and mobilized to expose the spine. To access the spinal canal, the rib head and proximal 2 to 3 cm of ribs of the target lesion were removed to expose the pedicles of the affecting vertebra. The pedicles were key landmarks for identifying the lateral margin of the spinal canal. The ipsilateral pedicle was removed after dissection of the rib to expose the dura. After the dura had been exposed and identified, the discectomy or corpectomy and interbody fusion were performed in affected site. To perform thoracoscopic discectomy, only the superior part of the pedicle was removed. If the disc had migrated caudally, the entire pedicle was resected.

The video assisted mini-thoracotomy procedure was also performed for effective corpectomy and interbody fusion using one or two thoracoscopic ports and a mini-skin incision (minithoracotomy). A mini-skin incision (5-7 cm) was made obliquely along the intercostal space at the level of the lesion without rib resection and retraction. Under video-assisted thoracoscopy, which provided better illumination and magnification, effective decompression and fusion could be performed through the minithoracotomy working port which provided better 3D visualization during surgery.

RESULTS

Stand-alone thoracoscopic discectomy

All three patients who had thoracic disc herniation underwent stand-alone thoracoscopic discectomy due to radiculopathy (1 case) and combined myelopathy with radiculopathy (2 cases). Postoperatively their symptoms and sign were significantly improved. Although pediculotomy and vertebrotomy were performed, the postoperative 3 dimensional CT scan demonstrated the degrees of the pediculotomy and vertebrotomy for the satisfactory decompression of the thoracic disc herniation (Fig. 3). The preoperative average of VAS score was 7.5 and it was improved to 1.5 postoperatively.
Stand-alone thoracoscopic fusion versus video assisted mini-thoracotomy (VAMT)

We performed stand-alone thoracoscopic fusion in 11 patients. All patients had complete bony fusion without affecting kyphotic angle. Nine patients had traumatic spinal fractures, one patient had tuberculosis spondylitis of the thoracic spine, and the other one had thoracic disc herniation. The instruments were Z-plate (1 case), MACSTL® (2 cases) and Antares® (6 cases). The mean length of surgery was 491 minutes and the mean blood loss was 1700 ml.

The video assisted mini-thoracotomy was performed for the six patients who had traumatic spinal fracture or dislocation (4 cases), multiple myeloma with severe kyphotic angle (1 case) and postoperative kyphosis (1 case). Early on in our clinical experiences of video assisted mini-thoracotomy spinal surgery until 2003, we used the Kaneda system (4 cases) and Z-plate (1 case).

The overall VAS score was significantly improved from 8.8 to 2.4. The minithoracotomy was useful for correction of the kyphotic deformity, effective decompression and restoration of the anterior column.

Clinical outcomes of spinal trauma

We performed thoracoscopic spinal fusion for traumatic spinal instability: compression fracture with ligament injury (6 cases), fracture and dislocation (3 cases), and bursting fracture (4 cases). A total of 13 patients were classified into five groups by using the Frankel neurological performance scale grade; grade E for five patients (38%), which is no motor or sensory deficits, grade D for two patients (15%) which is preservation of useful function distal to injury, grade C for two patients (15%) which is non-useful motor function distal to injury, grade B for one patients (8%) which is complete motor paralysis, but some residual sensory perception below the lesion, and grade A for three patients (23%) which is complete neurological injury. Postoperatively, four patients showed neurological significant improvement; two patients improved from C to E, one patients improved from grade D to E and one patient was improved from grade B to grade D. The patient who had complete spinal cord injury (Grade A) did not have any neurological improvement postoperatively (Table 2). One patient who had delayed postoperative kyphosis and myelopathy also did not show significant improvement after surgery (Fig. 4).

The preoperative Cobb and kyphotic angle were 18.9 ± 4.4° and 18.8 ± 4.6° respectively. Postoperative deformity angles were statistically improved to 15.1 ± 3.7° and 11.3 ± 2.4°, respectively (p<0.001) (Table 3). The average VAS score was also improved from 8.9 to 2 (preoperative and postoperative, retrospectively).

Complications

There were three transient postoperative complications, including transitional pleural effusion, chylothorax followed by paraspinal abscess, and CSF leak. The pleural effusion was improved by repeated thoracentesis. The CSF leak due to dural laceration during surgery was improved by prolonged indwelling catheter and lumbar drainage. One patient who sustained a thoracolumbar burst fracture showed postoperative
chylolithorax due to traumatic injury of the thoracic duct. To treat the chylolithorax, we maintained the patient with hyperalimentation for only 2 weeks. Eventually, he showed spiking fever, and postoperative MRI revealed paraspinal abscess formation. By revision of the operating wound with drainage of the abscess pocket using thoracoscopy and closed wound irrigation through a chest tube, the patient recovered from wound infection and chylolithorax.

DISCUSSION

Video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery was developed in the late 1980s by cardiothoracic surgeons to decrease the morbidity of the open thoracotomy approach. In the early 1990s, VATS techniques were applied to the treatment of spinal disease simultaneously by both Rosenthal et al. in Germany and Mack et al. in the United States. Recently VATS have been used for management of thoracic and thoracolumbar junction pathologies, including thoracic disc herniation, fractures, tuberculosis spondylitis, idiopathic scoliosis, neuromuscular spinal deformity, congenital scoliosis, tumors.

Using thoracoscopic surgery, anterior and anterolateral reconstruction can be performed to provide effective anterior load-bearing. In addition, thoracoscopic surgery provides small thin incisions without the need for rib resection or rib retractors, excellent direct intraoperative visualization of the abnormality, treatment of multisegmental abnormality without the need for additional rib resection, avoidance of division of the diaphragm and significantly reduced injury to the chest wall. The procedure offers reduction of pain, better cosmetic effect, lower perioperative morbidity and an earlier return to normal activity.

The most significant disadvantage of VATS procedures is an extremely steep learning curve for proper thoracoscopic surgery technique; such as, establishing proper operative orientation under the angled 2-dimensional endoscopic image and performing the operation through the smaller portals with long surgical instruments at longer distances from the target area.

The posterior elements, the contralateral pedicle, or contralateral transverse process cannot be exposed. The costs for thoracoscopic instrumentation are high. However, the learning curve associated with thoracoscopic spinal instrumentation appears to be acceptable. The most common complication of VATS is intraoperative bleeding due to damage of the segmental vessels and intercostal vessels. Although ligation of the segmental vessels is a time-consuming procedure, it eliminates catastrophic intraoperative or postoperative bleeding. It is important to identify the segmental vessels during the procedure at the midportion of the vertebral body.
sient intercostal neuralgia is the second most common complication, but it usually resolves spontaneously in one to 2 weeks. Other complications are related to pulmonary atelectasis or pleural effusions and these occur much less often than with an open procedure. Postoperative chylothorax is an uncommon complication, but a potentially lethal disorder().

The author treated a patient who had post-traumatic or intraoperative chylothorax. It was successfully managed with prolonged indwelling chest tube and mid-chain triglyceride diet; however, the patient showed intermittent spiking high fever 14 days postoperatively due to paraspinal abscess formation caused by the long-standing malnourished status to treat chylothorax. The postoperative abscess formation was treated with re-exploratory thoracoscopic abscess drainage and irrigation with the use of closed suction-irrigation circuit.

VATS has many benefits compared to an open procedure, but the open procedure will still have a place for stabilization of the anterior column because of the steep learning curve. The video-assisted mini-thoracotomy provides a 3-dimensional operative image and wide space for manipulation of long instruments. Until 2003, only the Z-plate system or the Kaneda system were available for anterior fixation. The disadvantage of applying a plate system is that it is hard to apply and to correct coronal displacement or deformity caused by spinal trauma. However, anterior screw-rod systems can offer better stability to correct coronal spinal deformity and they make it easy to perform anterior spinal fixation compared with using plate systems. Since 2003, various thoracoscopic implants and instrumentation have been available such as MACS-TL, and Antares, a dual-rod fixation system which is designed to be introduced thoracoscopically and assembled within the chest cavity using longer instruments. These systems decrease the instrumentation-related delays and difficulties substantially and serve biomechanical stability.

Conclusively, the dual-rod system is the most useful instruments for performing thoracoscopic spinal surgery in terms of manipulation and application of the instrument to the deformed post-traumatic spine. Video assisted mini-thoracotomy using a dual-rod system is considered to be the most effective procedure for management of thoracic and thoracolumbar pathologies to correct post-traumatic spinal deformity. Recently, expandable interbody fusion devices are also available to correct some degree of sagittal deformity (kyphosis). The authors prefer to perform video-assisted mini-thoracotomy using expandable interbody devices and dual rod systems for direct anterior decompression and reconstruction.

CONCLUSION

Using VATS, the postoperative thoracotomy pain can be significantly reduced with lower perioperative morbidity and an earlier return to normal activity. Although spinal surgery using VATS requires a steep learning curve, it nevertheless provides effective anterior column decompression and short segment fusion and fixation with a minimally invasive approach.
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