# LINDELÖFICATION OF FRAMES

MEE KYUNG KHANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce a concept of countably strong inclusions  $\triangleleft$  and that of  $\triangleleft$ - $\sigma$ -ideals and prove that the subframe  $S(\triangleleft)$  of the frame  $\sigma$ IdL of  $\sigma$ -ideals is a Lindelöfication of a frame L. We also deal with conditions for which the converse holds. We show that any countably approximating regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame has the smallest countably strong inclusion and any frame which has the smallest  $D(\aleph_1)$  Lindelöfication is countably approximating regular  $D(\aleph_1)$ .

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

This section is a collection of basic definitions and results on frames. For general notions and facts concerning frames, we refer to Johnstone[10].

### 1.1. Frames.

DEFINITION 1.1. ([3]) A frame is a complete lattice L in which binary meet distributes over arbitrary join, that is,  $x \wedge \bigvee S = \bigvee \{x \wedge s \in S\}$  for any x in L and any subset S of L.

We will denote the bottom element of a frame L by 0 or  $0_L$  and the top element by e or  $e_L$ .

EXAMPLE 1.2. (1) Every complete chain is a frame.

- (2) Every complete Boolean algebra is a frame.
- (3) For a topological space X, the open set lattice  $\Omega(X)$  of X under the inclusion is a frame.
- (4) For a topological space X, the regular open set lattice  $O_{reg}(X)$  is a complete Boolean algebra and hence it is a frame.

Received August 12, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06D22; Secondary, 54D35.

Key words and phrases: frame, Lindelöf frame, Lindelöfication,  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame, countably strong inclusion,  $\sigma$ -ideal, countably approximating frame.

DEFINITION 1.3. A frame homomorphism is a map  $h : L \to M$  between frames L and M Preserving all finitary meets and binary joins.

For any element a of a frame L, the map  $a \wedge \_: L \to L$  preserves arbitraty joins; hence it has a right adjont, which will be denoted by  $a \to \_: L \to L$ . In particular,  $a \to 0$  exists for any a in L and we write  $a \to 0 = a^*$ , called the *pseudocomplement* of a.

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** Let L be a frame and a, b in L. Then we have :

(1)  $0^* = e$  and  $e^* = 0$ . (2)  $a \wedge a^* = a^{**} \wedge a^* = 0$ . (3)  $a \le a^{**}$ . (4) If  $a \le b$ , then  $a^* \ge b^*$ . (5)  $(\bigvee_{\iota \in I} a_\iota)^* = \bigwedge_{\iota \in I} a_\iota^*$  for any family  $(a_\iota)_{\iota \in I}$  in L.

A frame homomorphism  $h : L \to M$  has the right adjoint which will be denoted by  $h^*$  and given by

$$h^*(y) = \bigvee \{ x \in \mathcal{L} \mid h(x) \le (y) \} (y \in \mathcal{M}).$$

Then  $h^*$  has the following properties :

- (1) h is 1-1 if and only  $h^* \circ h = id_{\mathbf{L}}$ .
- (2) h is onto if and only if  $h \circ h^* = id_M$ .

DEFINITION 1.5. A frame homomorphism  $h: L \to M$  is said to be :

(1) dense if h(a) = 0 implies a = 0.

(2) codense if h(a) = e implies a = e.

### 1.2. Some Special Frames.

DEFINITION 1.6. ([8])Let L be a frame and a, b in L. We say that a is rather below b, if there exists c in L such that  $a \wedge c = 0$  and  $b \vee c = e$ , equivalently,  $a^* \vee b = e$ . In this case, we write  $a \prec b$ .

We note that  $u \prec v$  in  $\Omega(X)$  means  $\overline{u} \subseteq v$ , for a topological space  $(X, \Omega(X))$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** Let L be a frame and a, b, x, y in L. Then

(1)  $0 \prec x$  and  $x \prec e$ .

(2)  $a \prec a$  if and only if a is complemented.

- (3)  $a \prec b$  implies  $a \leq b$ .
- (4) If  $x \leq a \prec b \leq y$ , then  $x \prec y$ .

- (5) If  $a \prec b$  and  $x \prec y$ , then  $a \land x \prec b \land y$  and  $a \lor x \prec b \lor y$ .
- (6)  $a \prec b$  implies  $b^* \prec a^*$ .

PROPOSITION 1.8. Let L and M be bounded distributive lattices and  $f: L \to M$  a bounded lattice homomorphism. Then f preserves  $\prec$ .

DEFINITION 1.9. A frame L is said to be regular if for any a in L,  $a = \bigvee \{b \in L | b \prec a\}.$ 

It is clear that a topological space  $(X, \Omega(X))$  is regular if and only if  $\Omega(X)$  is a regular frame.

DEFINITION 1.10. Let L be a complete lattice and a, b in L. We say that a is way below b and write  $a \ll b$ , if for any subset S of L,  $b \leq \bigvee S$  implies  $a \leq \bigvee E$  for some finite subset E of S.

EXAMPLE 1.11. Let  $(X, \Omega(X))$  be a locally compact space. Then  $u \ll v$  in  $\Omega(X)$  if and only if there is a compact subset w of X such that  $u \subseteq w \subseteq v$ .

In [8], we have the following :

DEFINITION 1.12. A complete lattice L is said to be *continuous*, if for any a in L,  $a = \bigvee \{x \in L \mid x \ll a\}$ .

PROPOSITION 1.13. If L is a continuous frame, then the relation  $\ll$  interpolates, i.e., for  $x \ll y$ , there is z in L with  $x \ll z \ll y$ .

DEFINITION 1.14. Let L be a complete lattice and a, b in L. We say that a is countably way below b and write  $a \ll_c b$ , if for any subset S of L,  $b \leq \bigvee S$  implies  $a \leq \bigvee C$  for some countable subset C of S.

- EXAMPLE 1.15. (1) Let A and B be subsets of a set X. Then  $A \ll_c B$  in the frame  $\wp(X)$  of the power set of X if and only if there is a countable subset C of X with  $A \subseteq C \subseteq B$ .
- (2) In  $\Omega(X)$  of a topological space  $(X, \Omega(X))$ ,  $u \ll_c v$  if there is a Lindelöf subset w of X with  $u \subseteq w \subseteq v$ . If X is locally Lindelöf, then the converse also holds.

**PROPOSITION 1.16.** Let L be a frame and a, b, x, y in L. Then

- (1)  $0 \ll_c a$ .
- (2)  $a \ll_c b$  implies  $a \leq b$ .
- (3) If  $x \leq a \ll_c b \leq y$ , then  $x \ll_c y$ .
- (4) If  $a_n \ll_c b$  for all  $n \in N$ , then  $\bigvee_{n \in N} a_n \ll_c b$ .

(5) If  $a \ll b$ , then  $a \ll_c b$ .

DEFINITION 1.17. ([11])A complete lattice L is said to be countably approximating, if for any x in L,  $x = \bigvee \{a \in L \mid a \ll_c x\}$ .

EXAMPLE 1.18. (1) A continuous frame L is countably approximating by (5) of Proposition 1.16.

- (2) If a frame is countable, then it is countably approximating.
- (3) The frame  $\Omega(X)$  of a locally Lindelöf space  $(X, \Omega(X))$  is countably approximating.

### 2. Lindelöfication of a Frame

In this section, we deal with Lindelöfications of frames. We define countably strong inclusions on a frame and study relationship between Lindelöfications and countably strong inclusions.

**2.1. Lindelöf Frames.** The following definition is a natural generalization of compact frames.

DEFINITION 2.1. A frame L is said to be a Lindelöf frame, if for any subset S of L with  $\bigvee S = e$ , there is a countable subset C of S such that  $\bigvee C = e$ .

REMARK. (1) A topological space  $(X, \Omega(X))$  is a Lindelöf space if and only if  $\Omega(X)$  is a Lindelöf frame.

(2) A frame L is a Lindelöf frame if there is a countable subset B of L such that for any x in L,  $x = \bigvee B'$  for some  $B' \subseteq B$ . Thus the regular open set lattice  $O_{reg}(R)$  of the real line R is a non-spatial Lindelöf frame.

Using the definition of a closed sublocale and codense homomorphism, we obtain :

PROPOSITION 2.2. A closed sublocale of a Lindelöf frame is a Lindelöf frame.

PROPOSITION 2.3. If  $h : L \to M$  is a codence frame homomorphism and M is a Lindelöf frame, then L is a Lindelöf frame.

A 1-1 frame homomorphism is clearly codence and therefore the following is immedate;

COROLLARY 2.4. If  $h : L \to M$  is a 1-1 frame homomorphism and M is a Lindelöf frame, then L is a Lindelöf frame.

DEFINITION 2.5. A frame L is said to be a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame, if for any a in L and any sequence  $(b_n)_{n \in N}$  in L,  $a \lor (\bigwedge_{n \in N} b_n) = \bigwedge_{n \in N} (a \lor b_n)$ .

- EXAMPLE 2.6. (1) Suppose  $\Omega(X)$  of a topological space X is closed under countable intersections, then  $\Omega(X)$  is a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame. In particular, for any topological space X, the topology generated by the set of all  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in the space is a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame.
- (2) Every completely distributive frame is  $D(\aleph_1)$ .
- (3) Every complete Boolean algebra is  $D(\aleph_1)$  and hence atomless complete Boolean algebra is non-spatial  $D(\aleph_1)$ .
- (4) The regular open set lattice  $O_{reg}(R)$  of the real line R is a nonspatial Lindelöf  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame. But the open set frame  $\Omega(R)$  of the real line is not  $D(\aleph_1)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.7. If  $x_n \prec y$  for all n in N in a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame L, then  $\bigvee_{n \prec y} x_n \prec y$  in L.

*Proof.* By the assumption,  $x_n^* \lor y = e$  for all n in N. Since L is  $D(\aleph_1)$ ,  $(\bigvee_{n \in N} x_n)^* \lor y = (\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n^*) \lor y = \bigwedge_{n \in N} (x_n^* \lor y) = e$ . Thus  $\bigvee_{n \in N} x_n \prec y$ .  $\Box$ 

**2.2. Lindelöfication of a Frame.** In this section, we introduce a concept of countably strong inclusions and using these, we construct Lindelöfication of frames.

DEFINITION 2.8. A binary relation  $\triangleleft$  on a frame L is said to be a countably strong inclusion, if it satisfies :

- (1) if  $x \leq a \triangleleft b \leq y$ , then  $x \triangleleft y$ .
- (2)  $\triangleleft$  is closed under finite meets and countable joins.
- (3)  $a \triangleleft b$  implies  $a \prec b$ .
- (4)  $\triangleleft$  interpolates.
- (5)  $a \triangleleft b$  implies  $b^* \triangleleft a^*$ .
- (6)  $a = \bigvee \{x \in L \mid x \triangleleft a\}$  for any a in L.

**REMARK.** (1) A binary relation  $\triangleleft$  on a frame with the condition 1) in the above definition satisfies 2) if and only if  $\triangleleft$  satisfies :

- (i)  $e \triangleleft e$ ; if  $x \triangleleft y_1$  and  $x \triangleleft y_2$ , then  $x \triangleleft y_1 \land y_2$  and
- (ii) if  $x_n \triangleleft y$  for all n in N, then  $\bigvee x_n \triangleleft y$ .

 $n \in N$ 

(2) Let X be a set. Then  $\subseteq$  is a countably strong inclusion on  $\wp(X)$ .

By proposition 1.7, we have the following :

PROPOSITION 2.9. If L is a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame, then  $\prec$  is a countably strong inclusion.

EXAMPLE 2.10. (1)  $\wp(N)$  is a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame.

(2) Let X be an uncountable set and p a particular point of X. Define a topology on X by declaring open any set whose complement either is countable or includes p. Then  $\Omega(X)$  is a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame.

We will investigate the relationship between countably strong inclusions and Lindelöfication.

DEFINITION 2.11. A Lindelöfication of a frame L is a dense, onto frame homomorphism  $h : L \to M$  such that M is a Lindelöf regular frame.

Consider a dense onto frame homomorphism  $h : L \to M$  with the right adjoint  $h^* : L \to M$ . We define a relation  $\triangleleft$  on L as follows :  $x \triangleleft y$  if and only if  $h^*(x) \prec h^*(y)$  for any x, y in L. Then since h is onto,  $h \circ h^* = id_L$  and hence  $\triangleleft \subseteq \stackrel{2}{h}(\prec)$ , where  $\stackrel{2}{h}$  denotes the map  $h \times h$ .

Using these notions, we have the following:

Proposition 2.12.  $\triangleleft = \stackrel{2}{h}(\prec)$ 

Proof. Suppose  $u \prec v$  in M and let h(u) = x and h(v) = y. Then  $v \leq h^*(y)$ ; hence  $u \prec h^*(y)$ . Thus there exists t in M such that  $u \wedge t = 0$  and  $h^*(y) \vee t = e$ . Since h is onto,  $h(h^*(x) \wedge t) = h(h^*(x)) \wedge h(t) = x \wedge h(t) = h(u) \wedge h(t) = h(u \wedge t) = 0$ . Since h is dense,  $h^*(x) \wedge t = 0$ . Thus  $h^*(x) \prec h^*(y)$ ; hence  $x \triangleleft y$ .

The properties of pseudocomplements and dense homomorphisms lead us the following :

LEMMA 2.13. For a dense onto homomorphism  $h: M \to L$ ,  $(h^*(a))^* = h^*(a)^*$  for all a in L.

PROPOSITION 2.14. Suppose that  $h: M \to L$  is a Lindelöfication of a frame L and M is a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame. Then the relation  $\triangleleft$  defined as above is a countably strong inclusion on L.

In the following, we construct a Lindelöfication from a countably strong inclusion. For this, we study some properties of  $\sigma$ -ideals.

We recall that a subset D of a poset L is said to be *countably directed*, if every countable subset of D has an upper bound in L.

DEFINITION 2.15. A subset I of a frame L is said to be a  $\sigma$ -ideal if it is a countably directed lower set, equivalently, it is a lower set and closed under countable joins.

Let  $\sigma$ IdL denote the set of all  $\sigma$ -ideals in L. Then  $\sigma$ IdL is clearly closed under arbitrary intersections in the power set lattice  $\wp(L)$  of L and therefore it is a complete lattice.

- EXAMPLE 2.16. (1) Let X be an infinite set. Count(X) = {S  $\subseteq$ X | S is a countable set } is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\wp(X)$ . Fin(X) = {F \subseteq X | F is a finite set} is an ideal but not a  $\sigma$ -ideal of  $\wp X$ .
- (2) If L is a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame, then for any a in L,  $\{x \in L \mid x \prec a\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of L by Proposition (2.7).
- (3) For a frame L and a in L,  $\downarrow_c a = \{x \in L \mid x \ll_c a\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of L by (1), (3) and (4) in Proposition (1.16).

LEMMA 2.17. For  $(I_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq \sigma IdL$ ,  $\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda} = \{\bigvee_{k \in N} x_k \mid (x_k)_{k \in N} \text{ is a }$ sequence in  $\bigcup I_{\lambda}$  in  $\sigma IdL$ .

 $\lambda \in \Lambda$ 

PROPOSITION 2.18.  $\sigma IdL$  is a Lindelöf frame.

We now consider the set of all  $\triangleleft$  - $\sigma$ -ideal in a frame L as a candidate of a Lindelöfication of L.

DEFINITION 2.19. Let I be a  $\sigma$ -ideal and  $\triangleleft$  a countably strong inclusion on L. Then I is said to be a  $\triangleleft -\sigma$ -ideal if for any a in I, there is b in I such that  $a \triangleleft b$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.20.** Let  $\triangleleft$  be a countably strong inclusion on a frame L. Then for any a in L,  $\{x \in L \mid x \triangleleft a\}$  is a  $\triangleleft \neg \sigma$ -ideal.

We note that for a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame L,  $\prec$  is a countably strong inclusion on L and hence for any a in L,  $\{x \in L \mid x \prec a\}$  is a  $\prec$  $-\sigma$ -ideal.

In the following, let  $\triangleleft$  denote a countably strong inclusion on a frame L and let  $S(\triangleleft)$  denote the set of all  $\triangleleft -\sigma$ -ideals in L.

PROPOSITION 2.21.  $S(\triangleleft)$  is a Lindelöf subframe of  $\sigma$  IdL.

Consider  $j : \sigma \operatorname{IdL} \to \operatorname{L}$  defined by  $j(J) = \bigvee J$  and the restriction  $j_0 : S(\triangleleft) \to \operatorname{L}$  of j. Since j is a dense homomorphism, so is  $j_0$ .

Consider  $k : L \to S(\triangleleft)$  defined by  $k(a) = \{x \in L \mid x \triangleleft a\}$ . Then k is well defined by Proposition (2.20), and  $\bigvee k(a) = a$ , for  $\triangleleft$  is a countably strong inclusion. Thus  $j_0(k(a)) = a$  for all  $a \in L$ . So  $j_0$  in onto.

The following is immediate from the definition of  $\triangleleft$  - $\sigma$ -ideals.

LEMMA 2.22. For any J in  $S(\triangleleft)$ ,  $J = \bigcup_{a \in J} k(a)$ .

LEMMA 2.23. If  $x \triangleleft a$ , then  $k(x) \prec k(a)$ .

PROPOSITION 2.24.  $S(\triangleleft)$  is regular.

*Proof.* For any J in  $S(\triangleleft)$ ,  $J = \bigcup_{a \in J} k(a)$  and for any a in J, there is x in J such that  $a \triangleleft x$ . By the above lemma,  $k(a) \prec k(x) \leq J$ . Thus  $J = \bigcup_{a \in J} k(a) \leq \bigvee \{I \in S(\triangleleft) \mid I \prec J\} \leq J$ ; hence  $J = \bigvee \{I \in S(\triangleleft) \mid I \prec J\}$ . Therefore  $S(\triangleleft)$  is regular.

Collecting the above results, we have the following :

THEOREM 2.25. Suppose  $\triangleleft$  is a countably strong inclusion on a frame L. Then  $j_0: S(\triangleleft) \rightarrow L$  is a Lindelöfication of L.

PROPOSITION 2.26. If L is  $D(\aleph_1)$ , then so is  $\sigma IdL$ .

By Proposition 2.21, 2.24 and 2.26, we have the following :

COROLLARY 2.27. Suppose L is  $D(\aleph_1)$  and  $\triangleleft$  is closed under countable meets. Then  $S(\triangleleft)$  is  $D(\aleph_1)$  and hence  $j_0 : S(\triangleleft) \to L$  is a  $D(\aleph_1)$ Lindelöfication of L.

We note that if L is  $D(\aleph_1)$ , then  $\prec$  is closed under countable meets. Indeed,  $x \prec y_n$  for all  $n \in N$  in L, then  $x^* \lor (\bigwedge_{n \in N} y_n) = \bigwedge_{n \in N} (x^* \lor y_n) = e$ and therefore,  $x \prec \bigwedge_{n \in N} y_n$ .

NOTATION 2.28. In the following,  $j_0 : S(\triangleleft) \to L$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{I}_L : S(\triangleleft) \to L$ .

Let CS(L) be the set of all countably strong inclusions on a frame L. Then  $(CS(L), \subseteq)$  is a poset.

DEFINITION 2.29. Let  $f : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{L}$  and  $g : \mathbf{N} \to \mathbf{L}$  be Lindelöfications of a frame L. If there is a frame homomorphism  $h : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{N}$  with  $g \circ h = f$ , then we say that f is smaller than g and write  $f \leq g$ .

Note that if h exists in the above definition, it is unique since g is dense and hence a monomorphism for N is a regular frame. Moreover, if the reverse also holds, then h is an isomorphism, which shows that the equivalence relation associated with this preorder is just an isomorphism of Lindelöfications.

Let Lind(L) be the set of all equivalent classes of Lindelöfications of L. Then (Lind(L),  $\leq$ ) is a poset. Consider maps  $\varphi$  : Lind<sup>\*</sup>(L)  $\rightarrow$  CS(L) defined by  $\varphi(h : M \rightarrow L) = \overset{2}{h}(\prec_{M})$  and  $\psi$  : CS(L)  $\rightarrow$  Lind(L) defined by  $\psi(\triangleleft) = (\mathcal{I}_{L} : S(\triangleleft) \rightarrow L)$ , where Lind<sup>\*</sup>(L) denotes the set of all  $D(\aleph_{1})$ Lindelöfications of L.

**PROPOSITION 2.30.** The map  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are isotones.

THEOREM 2.31. Suppose  $\triangleleft$  is a countably strong inclusion on a frame L such that  $S(\triangleleft)$  is  $D(\aleph_1)$ . Then  $\varphi(\psi(\triangleleft)) = \triangleleft$ .

Proof. Take any countably strong inclusion  $\triangleleft$  on L and let  $\triangleleft_0 = \varphi(\psi(\triangleleft))$  the countably strong inclusion determined by  $\psi(\triangleleft)$ . Note that  $\bigvee J \leq a$  if and only if  $J \subseteq k(a) = \{x \in L \mid x \triangleleft a\}$  for all J in  $S(\triangleleft)$  and a in L because J is a  $\triangleleft$ - $\sigma$ -ideal. Thus k is the right adjoint of  $\mathcal{I}_L$ , the join map. Thus  $x \triangleleft_0 y$  if and only if  $k(x) = \mathcal{I}_L^*(x) \prec \mathcal{I}_L^*(y) = k(y)$  by Proposition 2.12. Suppose  $x \triangleleft_0 y$ , then there is J in  $S(\triangleleft)$  such that  $k(x) \land J = \{0\}$  and  $k(x) \lor J = L$ . Thus we have  $x \land \bigvee J = 0$ , and  $z \lor t = e$  for some  $z \in k(y)$  and  $t \in J$ ; hence  $x \triangleleft y$ . Therefore  $\triangleleft_0 \subseteq \triangleleft$ . If  $x \triangleleft y$ , then  $k(x) \prec k(y)$  i.e.,  $x \triangleleft_0 y$ . Hence  $\triangleleft \subseteq \triangleleft_0$ . In all,  $\triangleleft_0 = \triangleleft$ .

LEMMA 2.32. Let L be a regular frame. Then any codense homomorphism  $h: L \to M$  is 1-1.

LEMMA 2.33. Let L be a regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame and M a Lindelöf frame. Then any dense homomorphism  $h: L \to M$  is codense.

For a frame homomorphism  $h: L \to M$ , let  $\sigma \text{Idh} : \sigma \text{IdL} \to \sigma \text{IdM}$  be the frame homomorphism assigning each  $\sigma$ -ideal J in L, to the  $\sigma$ -ideal

 $\downarrow h(J) = \bigcup_{a \in J} \{\downarrow h(a) \mid a \in J\}$ . Then  $\sigma Idh$  is a frame homomorphism. Thus  $\sigma Id$  is a functor from <u>Frm</u> to <u>Frm</u>.

LEMMA 2.34. Let M be a regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame. Then  $s: M \to \sigma IdM$  defined by  $s(a) = \{x \in M \mid x \prec a\}$  is a frame homomorphism.

THEOREM 2.35. For a  $D(\aleph_1)$  Lindelöfication  $h: M \to L$  of a frame  $L, \psi \circ \varphi(h) \cong M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi(h) = \triangleleft$ , then  $x \triangleleft y$  if and only if  $h^*(x) \prec h^*(y)$ . It is enough to show that there is an isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{M} \to S(\triangleleft)$  such that  $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L}} \circ f = h$ .

Define  $f : \mathbb{M} \to S(\triangleleft)$  by  $f(a) = \downarrow h\{x \in M \mid x \prec a\}$ , which is clearly a  $\sigma$ -ideal in L. Since M is a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame,  $x \prec a$  implies that  $x \prec y \prec a$ , for some y in M and hence  $h(x) \lhd h(y)$  and h(y) is in f(a). Thus for any z in  $f(a), z \le h(x)$  for some  $x \prec a$ ; hence  $z \lhd h(y)$ for some h(y) in f(a). Therefore f(a) is in  $S(\lhd)$ . Thus f is well-defined. For any a in M,  $\bigvee f(a) = \bigvee \{h(x) \mid x \prec a\} = h(a)$ . Hence we have  $\mathcal{I}_{L} \circ f = h$ .

As noted above  $f = (\sigma Idh) \circ s$ , where  $s(a) = \{x \in M \mid x \prec a\}$ . Thus by lemma 2.34, f is a frame homomorphism.

Since h is dense, so is f; hence f is 1-1 by the above lemma.

For any J in  $S(\triangleleft)$ , let  $a = \bigvee h^{-1}(J)$ . Assume that  $x \prec a$  then  $e = x^* \lor a = x^* \lor \bigvee h^{-1}(J)$  and therefore  $e = x^* \lor \bigvee E$ , for some countable subset E of  $h^{-1}(J)$ . Hence  $x = x \land e = x \land (x^* \lor \bigvee E) = x \land \bigvee E$ , so that  $x \leq \bigvee E \in h^{-1}(J)$ . Therefore h(x) is in J. And if h(x) is in J, then there is h(y) in J such that  $h(x) \lhd h(y)$ , because h is onto. Thus  $x \leq h^*h(x) \prec h^*h(y)$  and  $h^*h(y) = \bigvee \{z \in M \mid h(z) \leq h(y)\} \leq a$ . Hence  $x \prec a$ . This show that  $h(x) \in J$  if and only if  $x \prec a$ . Since h is onto,  $f(a) = \bigcup (h\{x \in M \mid x \prec a\}) = h(\{x \in M \mid x \prec a\})$ , so that f(a) = J. In all, f is an isomorphism. This completes the proof.

**2.3.** The Smallest Lindelöfication. In this section, we deal with Lindelöfications of countably approximating frames.

PROPOSITION 2.36. Let L be a countably approximating regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frames, then  $x \ll_c y$  if and only if  $x \prec y$  and  $\uparrow x^*$  is a Lindelöf frame.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $x \ll_c y$ . Since L is regular,  $y = \bigvee \{z \in L \mid z \prec y\}$ . Since L is  $D(\aleph_1)$ ,  $\{z \in y \mid z \prec y\}$  is countably directed and hence there is z in L such that  $x \prec z$  and  $x \leq z$ , which implies  $x \prec y$ .

Since L is countably approximating, there exists z in L such that  $x \ll_c z \ll_c y$ . Take any  $S \subseteq \uparrow x^*$  with  $e = \bigvee S$ . Then  $y \leq e = \bigvee S$ . Since  $z \ll_c y$ , there is  $(a_n)_{n \in N}$  in S such that  $z \leq \bigvee_{n \in N} a_n$ . And  $x \ll_c z$  implies  $x \prec z$ ; hence  $x \prec \bigvee_{n \in N} a_n$ . Thus  $e = x^* \lor (\bigvee_{n \in N} a_n) = \bigvee_{n \in N} (x^* \lor a_n) = \bigvee_{n \in N} a_n$ . Hence  $\uparrow x^*$  is a Lindelöf frame.

 $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Suppose that } y \leq \bigvee S \text{ for a subset } S \text{ of } L. \text{ Since } x \prec y, e = x^* \lor y = x^* \lor (\bigvee S) = \bigvee_{s \in S} (x^* \lor s). \text{ Since } \uparrow x^* \text{ is a Lindelöf frame,}$ there is  $(a_n)_{n \in N}$  in S such that  $e = \bigvee_{n \in N} (x^* \lor s_n) = x^* \lor (\bigvee_{n \in N} s_n).$  Hence  $x = x \land e = x \land (x^* \lor (\bigvee_{n \in N} s_n)) = x \land (\bigvee_{n \in N} s_n), \text{ which implies that}$  $x \leq \bigvee_{n \in N} s_n.$  Therefore  $x \ll_c y.$ 

REMARK. In any frame L, if  $x \prec y$  and  $\uparrow x^*$  is a Lindelöf frame, then  $x \ll_c y$ . Furthermore, the relation  $\prec$  on any Lindelöf frame L implies  $\ll_c$  for  $\uparrow x^*$  for any  $x \in X$ . Thus every Lindelöf regular frame is countably approximating, because  $x = \bigvee \{y \in L \mid y \prec x\} \leq \bigvee \{y \in L \mid y \ll_c x\} \leq x$  for any x in L.

But the converse does not hold since the frame of the discrete topology on the real line is countably approximating but not a Lindelöf frame.

Using the above remark, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.37. Let L be a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame and x, y in L. Then  $x \ll_c y$  if and only if  $x \prec y$ .

We define  $a \triangleleft \triangleleft b$  in a frame L if and only if  $a \prec b$ , and  $\uparrow a^*$  or  $\uparrow b$  is a Lindelöf frame.

**PROPOSITION 2.38.** Let L be a frame and a, b, x, y in L. Then

- (1) If  $x \leq a \triangleleft \triangleleft b \leq y$ , then  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft y$ .
- (2) If  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft a$  and  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft b$ , then  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft a \land b$ .
- (3) If  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft a$ , then  $a^* \triangleleft \triangleleft x^*$ .
- (4) Suppose L is a  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame, and  $x_n \triangleleft \triangleleft a$  for all  $n \in N$ , then  $\bigvee_{n \in N} x_n \triangleleft \triangleleft a$ .

PROPOSITION 2.39. Suppose L is a countably approximating regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame. Then  $\triangleleft \triangleleft$  interpolates.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft a$ . Then  $x \prec a$ .

Assume that  $\uparrow x^*$  is a Lindelöf frame. Then  $x \ll_c a$  by Proposition 2.36, and since L is countably approximating, there is b in L such that  $x \ll_c b \ll_c a$  and hence  $x \prec b \prec a$ . Since  $x \prec b$  and  $\uparrow x^*$  is a Lindelöf frame,  $x \triangleleft \lhd b$ . Since  $b \ll_c a$  and  $\uparrow b^*$  is a Lindelöf frame,  $b \triangleleft \lhd a$ . In all,  $x \triangleleft \lhd b \lhd \lhd a$ .

Assume that  $\uparrow a$  is a Lindelöf frame. Since  $x \prec a$ , there is z in L such that  $x \land z = 0$  and  $a \lor z = e$ . Thus  $e = a \lor z = a \lor \bigvee \{u \in L \mid u \ll_c z\}$ . Since  $\uparrow a$  is a Lindelöf frame, there is a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in N}$  in L such that  $u_n \ll_c z$  and  $a \lor \bigvee_{\substack{n \in N \\ n \in N}} u_n = e$ . Let  $u = \bigvee_{\substack{n \in N \\ n \in N}} u_n$ . Then  $u \ll_c z$  and hence  $u \prec z$  and  $z^* \prec u^*$ . Since  $x \leq z^*$ ,  $x \prec u^*$ . Since  $u \ll_c z$ ,  $\uparrow u^*$  is a Lindelöf frame and hence  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft u^*$ . Since  $a \lor z = e$ ,  $u^* \prec a$  and hence  $u^* \triangleleft \triangleleft a$ .

Collecting the above propositions, we can conclude the following:

PROPOSITION 2.40. If L is a countably approximating regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame, then the relation  $\triangleleft \lhd$  is a countably strong inclusion.

THEOREM 2.41. A countably approximating regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame L has a smallest countably strong inclusion.

*Proof.* By the above proposition, it is enough to show that a countably strong inclusion  $\triangleleft$  on L contains  $\triangleleft \triangleleft$ .

Suppose that  $x \triangleleft \triangleleft y$ . Then  $x \prec y$ .

Assume that  $\uparrow x^*$  is a Lindelöf frame, then by Proposition 2.36,  $x \ll_c y = \bigvee \{z \in L \mid z \triangleleft y\}$  and  $\{z \in L \mid z \triangleleft y\}$  is countably directed. Thus there is z in L such that  $x \leq z$  and  $z \triangleleft y$  and hence  $x \triangleleft y$ .

Suppose that  $\uparrow y$  is a Lindelöf frame. Since  $x \prec y$ , there is u in L such that  $x \land u = 0$  and  $y \lor u = e$ . Thus  $e = y \lor u = y \lor \bigvee \{v \in L \mid v \lhd u\}$ . Since  $\uparrow y$  is a Lindelöf frame, there is a sequence  $(v_n)_{n \in N}$  in L such that  $y \lor (\bigvee_{n \in N} v_n) = e$  and  $v_n \lhd u$  for all  $n \in N$ . Let  $v = \bigvee_{n \in N} v_n$ . Then  $v \lhd u$  and  $y \lor v = e$ . Thus  $x \le u^* \lhd v^* \le y$ ; hence  $x \lhd y$ . This completes the proof.

We will show that a frame which has the smallest  $D(\aleph_1)$  Lindelöfication is countably approximating regular.

LEMMA 2.42. Let M be a Lindelöf regular  $D(\aleph_1)$  frame and a an element of M. Then  $M_a = \{x \in M \mid x \leq a \text{ or } x \lor a = e\}$  is a regular subframe of M.

LEMMA 2.43. Let M be a countably approximating frame and a in M. Then  $\downarrow a$  is countably approximating.

THEOREM 2.44. Suppose that a frame L has the smallest Lindelöfication  $h: M \to L$  such that M is  $D(\aleph_1)$ . Then L is a countably approximating regular frame.

*Proof.* <u>Case 1</u>. L is a Lindelöf frame.

By Lemma 2.32 and 2.33, h is 1-1 and hence an isomorphism. Thus L is countably approximating regular because M is by above remark. Case 2. L is not a Lindelöf frame.

Then h is not an isomorphism and hence not codense by Lemma 2.32. Thus there is a in M with a < e and h(a) = e. Then  $M_a$  is a regular subframe of M where  $M_a = \{x \in M \mid x \leq a \text{ or } x \lor a = e\}$ , by Lemma 2.42.

Consider  $\overline{h} : M_a \to L$  defined by  $\overline{h}(x) = h(x)$ , which is a frame homomorphism since  $M_a$  is a subframe of M. Since h is onto and  $h(a) = e, \overline{h}$  is also onto. Since M is a Lindelöf frame, so is  $M_a$  by Corollary 2.4. Moreover  $\overline{h}$  is dense for h is dense. Thus  $\overline{h}$  is a Lindelöfication of L. Since  $M_a$  is also closed under countable meets in M,  $M_a$  is also  $D(\aleph_1)$ . Since M is the smallest  $D(\aleph_1)$  Lindelöfication,  $M_a = M$  and hence for any x in M,  $x \leq a$  or  $x \lor a = e$ .

Consider  $h : \downarrow a \to L$  defined by h(x) = h(x) which is an onto frame homomorphism by the same argument for  $\overline{h}$ .

If  $b \leq a$  and h(b) = e, then  $M_b = M = M_a$ ; hence  $a \leq b$  or  $b \lor a = e$ . Since  $b \leq a$  implies  $a \lor b = a$ , and a < e,  $a \lor b \neq e$ . Thus a = b. Therefore  $\tilde{h}$  is codense and 1-1 by Lemma 2.32 so that  $\tilde{h}$  is an isomorphism.

Since  $M_a$  is a Lindelöf regular frame and a is in  $M_a$ ,  $\downarrow a$  is countably approximating. Hence L is countably approximating for  $\tilde{h}$  is an isomorphism. This completes the proof.

REMARK. In the above proof, a is, in fact, a maximal element in M. Indeed, suppose that  $a \leq b < e$ . Then since  $b \in M = M_a$ ,  $b \leq a$  or  $b \lor a = e$ . But  $b \lor a \neq e$ , because  $a \lor b = b < e$ . Thus a = b.

### References

- B. Banaschewski, Another look at the localic Tychonoff theorem, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 26, 1988, pp. 1-14.
- [2] B. Banaschewski, Compactification of frames, Math. Nach., 149, 1990, pp. 105-116.

- B. Banaschewski, Frames and compactifications, Extension theory of topological structures and its applications, Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1969, pp. 29-33.
- [4] B. Banaschewski, and G. C. L. Brümmer, Stably continuous frames, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 104, 1988, no. 1, pp. 7-19.
- [5] B. Banaschewski, and G. C. L. Brümmer, K. A. Hardie, *Biframes and bispaces*, Quaestiones Math., 6, 1983, pp. 13-25.
- [6] B. Banaschewski, and C. J. Mulvey, Stone-Čech compactification of locales I, Houston J. Math., 6, 1980, pp. 301-312.
- [7] X. Chen, *Closed homomorphism*, Doctoral Dissertation, McMaster University, Hamilton, 1991.
- [8] G. Gierz, K. H. Hoffman, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove and D. S. Scott, A compendium of continuous lattices, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [9] J. R. Isbell, Atomless parts of locales, Math. Scand., 31, 1972, pp. 5-32.
- [10] P. T. Johnstone, Stone spaces, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- S. O. Lee, On countably approximating lattices, J. Korean Math, Soc., 25, no. 1, 1988, pp. 11-23.

Department of Applied Mathematics Paichai University Daejeon 302-735, Korea *E-mail*: mkkhang@pcu.ac.kr