KRULL RING WITH UNIQUE REGULAR MAXIMAL IDEAL

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let R be a Krull ring with the unique regular maximal ideal M. We show that R has a regular prime element and regdim $R = 1 \Leftrightarrow R$ is a factorial ring and reg-dim $(R) = 1 \Rightarrow M$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow R \subsetneq [R:M] \Leftrightarrow M$ is divisorial \Leftrightarrow reg-ht $M = 1 \Rightarrow R$ is a rank one discrete valuation ring. We also show that if M is generated by regular elements, then R is a rank one discrete valuation ring \Rightarrow R is a factorial ring and reg-dim(R) = 1.

1. Introduction

Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let T(R) be the total quotient ring of R. An element of R is said to be *regular* if it is not a zero divisor. An ideal of R is *regular* if it contains a regular element of R. The regular height of a regular prime ideal P of R, denoted by reghtP, is defined to be the supremum of the lengths of chains consisting of regular prime ideals contained in P plus 1. The regular dimension of R, reg-dim(R), is sup{reg-htP|P is a regular prime ideal of R}. Thus reg-dim(R) = 1 if and only if each regular prime ideal of R is a maximal ideal. Also, if R is an integral domain, then dim(R) = reg-dim(R) and htP = reg-htP for all nonzero prime ideals P of R.

It is easy to see that if R is a quasi-local Krull domain with maximal ideal M, then R is a factorial domain of dim $(R) = 1 \Leftrightarrow M$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow M$ is divisorial $\Leftrightarrow htM = 1 \Leftrightarrow R$ is a rank one discrete valuation ring. In [1, Proposition 2.13], Alajbegovic and Osmanagic proved that if R is a Krull ring with the unique regular maximal ideal M, then M is invertible

Received August 21, 2007.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 13A18, 13C15, 15F05.

Key words and phrases: Krull ring, (regular) maximal ideal, rank one DVR, idealization.

This work was supported by the University of Incheon Research Fund, 2006.

Gyu Whan Chang

 $\Rightarrow M$ is divisorial \Rightarrow reg-ht $M = 1 \Rightarrow R$ is a rank one discrete valuation ring. They also showed that if each regular ideal of R is generated by regular elements, then R is a rank one discrete valuation ring $\Rightarrow M$ is invertible. In this short note, we show that R has a regular prime element and reg-dim $R = 1 \Leftrightarrow R$ is a factorial ring and reg-dim(R) = 1 $\Rightarrow M$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow R \subsetneq [R:M] \Leftrightarrow M$ is divisorial \Leftrightarrow reg-htM = 1 $\Rightarrow R$ is a rank one discrete valuation ring. We also show that if M is generated by regular elements, then R is a rank one discrete valuation ring $\Rightarrow R$ is a factorial ring and reg-dim(R) = 1.

Let G be a totally ordered abelian group and let T be a commutative ring with 1. Extend G by the symbol ∞ by defining $g < \infty$ and $g + \infty = \infty + g = \infty$ for all $g \in G$. A valuation v on T with value group G is a map from T onto $G \cup \{\infty\}$ such that for all $x, y \in T$,

- 1. v(xy) = v(x) + v(y).
- 2. $v(x+y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(y)\}.$
- 3. v(1) = 0 and $v(0) = \infty$.

Note that $0 = v(1) = v((-1)^2) = v(-1) + v(-1)$ by (1), and since *G* is totally ordered, v(-1) = 0; hence v(-x) = v(x) for all $x \in T$. Also, if *x* is a unit in *T*, then $v(\frac{1}{x}) = -v(x)$. Let $x, y \in T$ such that v(x) > v(y). Then $v(x+y) \ge \min\{v(x), v(y)\} = v(y)$ by (2), and hence $v(y) = v(y + x - x) \ge \min\{v(x+y), v(-x) = v(x)\} \ge v(y)$. Thus $v(x+y) = v(y) = \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$.

Let R be a subring of the ring T. It is known that there exists a valuation v on T such that $R = \{x \in T | v(x) \ge 0\}$ and $P = \{x \in T | v(x) > 0\}$ if and only if, for each $x \in T \setminus R$, there exists $x' \in P$ such that $xx' \in R \setminus P$ [4, Theorem 5.1]. In this case, (R, P) is called the valuation pair of T associated with valuation v. We call R a valuation ring if T = T(R). In particular, if G is an additive group of integers \mathbb{Z} , then R is called a rank one discrete valuation ring (DVR).

Let $\mathcal{F}(R)$ be the set of all regular fractional ideals of R. If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(R)$, then $[A:B] = \{x \in T(R) | xB \subseteq A\}$ is also in $\mathcal{F}(R)$. For any $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$, let $A_v = [R:[R:A]]$. If $A = A_v$, then A is said to be *divisorial*. It is well known that $A \subseteq A_v$, $(A_v)_v = A_v$, and $(AB)_v = (AB_v)_v$ (see, for example, [2]). For any $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(R)$, define $A * B = (AB)_v$; then D(R), the set of all divisorial ideals of R, is a commutative semigroup under the operation *. Moreover, D(R) is a group if and only if R is completely integrally closed (cf. [1, Proposition 1.8]).

116

2. Main results

A ring R is called a *Krull ring* if either R = T(R) or there exists a family $\{(V_{\alpha}, P_{\alpha})\}$ of rank one discrete valuation rings such that

- 1. $R = \bigcap_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and
- 2. for each regular element x of R, $xV_{\alpha} = V_{\alpha}$ for all but a finite number of the V_{α} 's.

It is well known that R is a Krull ring if and only if R is completely integrally closed and the ascending chain condition on regular divisorial ideals holds (cf. [1, Theorem 2.2]). A ring R is called a *factorial ring* if each regular element of R can be expressed by a finite product of (regular) prime elements. Next, we give the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1. Let R be a Krull ring with the unique regular maximal ideal M. Consider the following statements.

- 1. R has a regular prime element and reg-dim(R) = 1.
- 2. R is a factorial ring and reg-dim(R) = 1.
- 3. M is invertible.
- 4. $R \subsetneq [R:M]$.
- 5. M is divisorial.
- 6. reg-htM = 1.
- 7. R is a rank one DVR.

Then the implications $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) \Leftrightarrow (5) \Leftrightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7)$ hold, and if M is generated by a set of regular elements, then the implication $(7) \Rightarrow (1)$ holds.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (3): Since reg-dim(R) = 1, M is the unique regular prime ideal of R. So M = pR for a regular prime element p of R by (1). Hence M is invertible and R is a factorial ring.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$: This is clear.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (6) \Rightarrow (7)$: These appear in [1, Proposition 2.13].

 $(5) \Rightarrow (3)$: Note that $R \subsetneq [R : M]$ because R = [R : M] implies $M = M_v = [R : [R : M]] = R$. Suppose that M[R : M] = M, and let $x \in [R : M] \setminus R$. Then $xM \subseteq M$, and hence $x^nM \subseteq M \subseteq R$ for all positive integers n. Thus if $r \in M$ is a regular element of R, then $rx^n \in R$, and so x is almost integral over R. But, since R is completely integrally closed [1, Theorem 2.2], $x \in R$, a contradiction. Hence $M \subsetneq M[R : M]$, and since M is a maximal ideal, M[R : M] = R.

Gyu Whan Chang

 $(6) \Rightarrow (5)$: It follows from [1, Corollary 2.9] because R is a Krull ring and M is a regular minimal prime ideal.

 $(7) \Rightarrow (1)$: Here we assume that M is generated by a set of regular elements. If reg-ht $M \ge 2$, there exists a regular prime ideal P of R such that $P \subsetneq M$. Since R is a rank one DVR, $R = R_{[P]} := \{x \in T(R) | sx \in R$ for some $s \in R \setminus P\}$ [1, Proposition 2.11]. Also, since M is generated by regular elements, there exists a regular element $a \in M \setminus P$. So $\frac{1}{a} \in R_{[P]} = R$ and $1 = \frac{1}{a}a \in M$, a contradiction. Thus reg-htM = 1.

Next, let v be the valuation on T(R) such that $R = \{x \in T(R) | v(x) \ge 0\}$ of $M = \{x \in T(R) | v(x) > 0\}$. Let $\{a_{\alpha}\}$ be the set of regular elements of R in M; then $M = (\{a_{\alpha}\})$ by (7). Note that $\{v(a_{\alpha})\}$ is a set of natural numbers; so by the well-ordering property of \mathbb{N} , $\{v(a_{\alpha})\}$ has a minimal element, say v(x). Then, for all $a_{\alpha} \in \{a_{\alpha}\}$, we have $0 < v(x) \le v(a_{\alpha})$, and hence $0 \le v(\frac{a_{\alpha}}{x})$ or $a_{\alpha} \in xR$. Thus M = xR and x is a regular prime element. \Box

In Theorem 1, if R is quasi-local with maximal ideal M, then (3) implies (1) since an invertible ideal in a quasi-local ring is principal [3, Proposition 7.4]. This cannot be generalized to a Krull ring with the unique regular maximal ideal. We next use the concept of "idealization" to give a rank one DVR (and hence a Krull ring) with the unique regular maximal ideal M such that M is invertible but not principal.

Let R be a ring, and let B be an R-module. Consider the set $R \times B = \{(r, b) | r \in R, b \in B\}$. For any $(r, b), (s, c) \in R \times B$, define

1. (r, b) = (s, c) if r = s and b = c,

2.
$$(r,b) + (s,c) = (r+s,b+c)$$
, and

3.
$$(r,b)(s,c) = (rs, rc + sb).$$

Then $R \times B$ becomes a commutative ring with identity under these definitions. This ring, denoted by R(+)B, is called the *idealization* of B in R.

EXAMPLE 2. Let \mathbb{Q} be the field of rational numbers, and let v be the rank one valuation on $\mathbb{Q}(X)$ given by $v(\frac{f}{g}) = \deg g - \deg f$. The valuation ring associated with v is $\mathbb{Q}[X^{-1}]_{(X^{-1})}$. Let p be a prime polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree > 1. Restricting v to $\mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}]$ gives a valuation v_0 : $\mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$. The valuation ring of v_0 is $\mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}] \cap \mathbb{Q}[X^{-1}]_{(X^{-1})}$. Let $B = \sum (\mathbb{Q}[X]/P)$ (direct), where $P \in Spec(\mathbb{Q}[X]) \setminus \{(p)\}$, and let $R_0 = \mathbb{Q}[X](+)B$. Then the total quotient ring $T(R_0)$ of R_0 is $\mathbb{Q}[X]_S(+)B_S$, where $S = \{(cp^m, b)|0 \neq c \in Q \text{ and } m \geq 0\}$. Thus

118

 $T(R_0) = \mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}](+)B$. Moreover, $w : \mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}](+)B \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ given by $w((a,b)) = v_0(a)$ is a rank one discrete valuation whose associated valuation ring is $V = \mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}] \cap \mathbb{Q}[X^{-1}]_{(X^{-1})}(+)B_S$. Let $M = \{(a,b) \in V | v_0(a) > 0\}$, then M is the unique regular maximal ideal of V such that M is invertible but not principal.

Remark. See [4, Examples 9 and 10, p.183] for more on the ring $V = \mathbb{Q}[X][\frac{1}{p}] \cap \mathbb{Q}[X^{-1}]_{(X^{-1})}(+)B_S$ of Example 2.

References

- J. H. Alajbegovic and E. Osmanagic, Essential valuations of Krull rings with zero divisors, Comm. Algebra 18(1990), 2007-2020.
- [2] G.W. Chang and B.G. kang, Integral closure of a ring whose regular ideals are finitely generated, J. Algebra 251(2002), 529-537.
- [3] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [4] J. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, Decker, New York, 1988.

Department of Mathematics University of Incheon Incheon 402-749, Korea. *E-mail*: whan@incheon.ac.kr