Kangweon-Kyungki Math. Jour. 15 (2007), No. 2, pp. 167-170

# SOME APRIORI ESTIMATES FOR THE QUASI-GEOSTROPHIC EQUATION

## Wonjoon Kim

ABSTRACT. We present a new apriori estimates for the surface quasigeostrophic equation. This apriori estimates give a new blow-up criterion which is different from the known Beale-Kato-Majda type criterion.

# 1. Introduction

We consider the quasi-geostrophic equations in the whole 2-dimensional domain  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

(1.1) 
$$(QG) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (v \cdot \nabla)\theta = 0, \quad v = \nabla^{\perp} \Lambda^{-1} \theta & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \\ \theta(x, 0) = \theta_{0}(x) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta$  and v, respectively, are the surface temperature and the velocity of the flow.  $\Lambda = (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is the pseudo-differential operator defined in Fourier space by  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}u(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{k}|\hat{u}(\mathbf{k})$  and  $\nabla^{\perp}$  is the orthogonal derivative operator defined by  $(-\partial_2, \partial_1)$ . The surface quasi-geostrophic equation describes the dynamics of large eddies in the atmosphere and ocean. For the geophysical meaning of the surface quasi-geostrophic equation, see [9]. The main mathematical interest in the surface quasi-geostrophic equation lies in the similarities with the 3D Euler equations.  $\nabla^{\perp}\theta$  plays the similar role of the vorticity for the 3D Euler equations. This direction of the research was first initiated by Constantin, Majda and Tabak[5]. Weak solutions have been constructed by Resnick[10]. The following Beale-Kato-Majda[1] type blow up criterion for the quasi-geostrophic

Received October 15, 2007.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q30, 35Q35.

Key words and phrases: surface quasi-geostrophic equation, blow-up criterion.

Wonjoon Kim

equation has been proved by Constantin, Majda and Tabak<sup>[5]</sup>.

$$\limsup_{t \to T^*} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} = \infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_0^{T^*} \|\nabla^{\perp} \theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} dt = \infty.$$

This criterion has been refined in [2] using Triebel-Lizorkin space. Hyperbolic saddle collapse blow-up was one of the possible singularity formation scenario for the solutions of the quasi-geostrophic equation. The hyperbolic saddle type scenario for the quasi-geostrophic equation has been excluded by Cordoba[6](see [4] for numerical simulations).

Following the method presented in [3], we have

THEOREM 1. Let  $\theta \in C([0, T); H^m(\mathbb{R}^2))$  be a classical solution to the 2D quasi-geostrophic equations with m > 2. Suppose that there exists an absolute constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for some  $t_0$  with  $0 \le t_0 < T$ ,

(1.2) 
$$\sup_{t_0 \le t < T} (T-t) \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \epsilon_0.$$

Then  $\theta \in C([0, T + \delta); H^m(\mathbb{R}^2))$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

REMARK 1. The Theorem 1 implies that if  $T^*$  is the first time of singularity, then we have the following blow-up rate

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T^*} \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \ge \frac{\epsilon_0}{T^* - t}.$$

Our blow-up estimate has an advantage over BKM type criterion[5] in the sense that their estimates cannot exclude the possibility that blow-up rate behaves like  $o((T^* - t)^{-1})$ , e.g.,

$$\|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \sim O\left(1/\left((T^*-t)|\log(T^*-t)|\right)\right),$$

since  $1/(t \log t)$  is not integrable near origin. In contrast, our estimate (1.2) does not allow such blow-up rate.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we present the proofs of Theorem 1. The following commutator estimate is useful for the proof of Theorem 1 and the proof of the following proposition can be found in [8](see also [7]). The space  $H^{s,p}$ denotes a subspace of  $L^p(\Omega)$ , equipped with the norm  $\|f\|_{H^{s,p}} = \|\Lambda^s f\|_p$ .

168

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose that s > 0 and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . If  $f, g \in S$ , then

$$\|\Lambda^{s}(fg) - f\Lambda^{s}g\|_{p} \leq C\left(\|\nabla f\|_{p_{1}}\|g\|_{H^{s-1,p_{2}}} + \|f\|_{H^{s,p_{3}}}\|g\|_{p_{4}}\right),$$
  
where  $\frac{1}{p_{1}} + \frac{1}{p_{2}} = \frac{1}{p_{3}} + \frac{1}{p_{4}} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We first take  $\nabla^{\alpha}$  operator on the both sides of quasi-geostrophic equation, where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  is a multi-index with  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha_i \leq m$ . Let t < T. Multiplying (1.1) by  $(T - t)\nabla^{\alpha}\theta(t)$ , integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and summing over  $\alpha$  for  $|\alpha| \leq m$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\Big((T-t)\left\|\theta\right\|_{H^m}^2\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\left\|\theta\right\|_{H^m}^2 = -(T-t)\sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla^{\alpha}((v \cdot \nabla)\theta) \nabla^{\alpha}\theta dx := RHS.$$

Due to the commutator estimates Proposition 1, the righthand side is estimated as follows:

(2.3)  

$$RHS \le C(T-t) \left( \|\Lambda v(t)\|_{\infty} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m}^2 + \|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{\infty} \|v(t)\|_{H^m} \|\theta(t)\|_{H^m} \right).$$

Since we have  $\Lambda v(t) = \nabla^{\perp} \theta(t)$  and  $||v(t)||_{H^m} = ||\theta(t)||_{H^m}$ , (2.3) reduces to the following

(2.4) 
$$RHS \le C(T-t) \left\|\nabla \theta(t)\right\|_{\infty} \left\|\theta(t)\right\|_{H^m}^2.$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left((T-t)\left\|\theta\right\|_{H^{m}}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - C(T-t)\left\|\nabla\theta(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|\theta(t)\right\|_{H^{m}}^{2} \le 0.$$

We choose  $\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4C}$ , where C is the absolute constant in (2.4). It is straightforward, from the assumption (1.2), that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left((T-t)\left\|\theta\right\|_{H^{m}}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left\|\theta\right\|_{H^{m}}^{2} \le 0.$$

Therefore, integrating in time from  $t_0$  to  $\tau$  for any  $\tau \in (t_0, T)$ , we obtain

(2.5) 
$$\sup_{t_0 \le t < T} (T-t) \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^T \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2 dt \le (T-t_0) \|\theta(t_0)\|_{H^m}^2$$

Since  $\int_{t_0}^T \|\theta\|_{H^m}^2 dt$  is finite, the conclusion is immediate from BKM type criterion.

#### Wonjoon Kim

#### References

- J.T. Beale, T. Kato and A. Majda, Remarks on the breakdown of smooth solutions for the 3-D Euler equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 94, (1984), pp. 61–66.
- [2] D. Chae, The quasi-geostrophic equation in Triebel-Lizorkin space, Nonlinearity, 16 (2003) pp. 479–495.
- [3] D. Chae, K. Kang, and J. Lee, Notes on the asymptotically self-similar singularities in the Euler and the Navier-Stokes equations, preprint (2007).
- [4] P. Constantin, Q. Nie, and N. Schorghofer, Nonsingular surface quasi-geostrophic flows, Physics Letters A 241, (1998), pp. 168–172.
- [5] P. Constantin, A. J. Majda and E. G. Tabak, Formation of strong fronts in the 2D quasi-geostrophic thermal active scale, Nonlinearity 7, (1994), pp. 1495–1533.
- [6] D. Cordoba, Nonexistence of simple hyperbolic blow-up for the quasi-geostrophic equation, Ann. of Math. 148 pp. 1135–1152.
- [7] N. Ju, Existence and uniqueness of the solution to the dissipative 2D quasigeostrophic equations in the Sobolev space, Comm. Math. Phys. 251 (2004), pp. 365–376.
- [8] C. Kenig, G. Ponce, and L. Vega, Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg-De Vries equation, J. Am. Math. Soc., 4 (1991), pp. 323–347.
- [9] J. Pedlosky, Geophysical fluid dynamics, New-York: Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [10] S. Resnick, Dynamical problems in nonlinear advective partial differential equations, PH.D. Thesis, University of Chicago, 1995

Department of Mathematics Sungkyunkwan University Suwon 440–746, Korea *E-mail*: diealmond@skku.edu