

## On a Generalization of Closed Sets

*Dedicated to Professor Takashi Noiri on the occasion of his retirement and his 63th birthday*

MIGUEL CALDAS

*Departamento de Matematica Aplicada, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Rua Mario Santos Braga, s/n 24020-140, Niteroi, RJ, Brasil*  
e-mail : gmamccs@vm.uff.br

MAXIMILIAN GANSTER

*Department of Mathematics, Graz University of Technology, Steyrergasse 30, A-8010 Graz, Austria*  
e-mail : ganster@weyl.math.tu-graz.ac.at

DIMITRIOS N. GEORGIU

*Department of Mathematics, University of Patras, 26500 Patras, Greece*  
e-mail : georgiou@math.upatras.gr

SAEID JAFARI

*Department of Mathematics and Physics, Roskilde University, Postbox 260, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark*  
e-mail : sjafari@ruc.dk

VALERIU POPA

*Department of Mathematics, Bacau University, Bacau, Romania*  
e-mail : vpopa@ub.ro

ABSTRACT. It is the objective of this paper to study further the notion of  $\Lambda_s$ -semi- $\theta$ -closed sets which is defined as the intersection of a  $\theta$ - $\Lambda_s$ -set and a semi- $\theta$ -closed set. Moreover, we introduce some low separation axioms using the above notions. Also we present and study the notions of  $\Lambda_s$ -continuous functions,  $\Lambda_s$ -compact spaces and  $\Lambda_s$ -connected spaces.

### 1. Introduction

We begin to recall some known notions which will be used in the sequel.

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . We denote the interior and the closure of a set  $A$  by  $Int(A)$  and  $Cl(A)$ , respectively. The subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be *semi-open* (see [7]) if there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $U \subset A \subset Cl(U)$ . The complement of

---

Received September 30, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54B05, 54C08, 54D05.

Key words and phrases: semi- $\theta$ -open, semi- $\theta$ -closed,  $\Lambda_s$ -semi- $\theta$ -closed set.

a semi-open set is called *semi-closed* set (see [2]). The intersection of all semi-closed sets containing  $A$  is called the *semi-closure* of  $A$  (see [2]) and is denoted by  $sCl(A)$ . The semi- $\theta$ -closure (see [3]) denoted by  $sCl_\theta(A)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  such that  $sCl(O) \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for every semi-open set  $O$  of  $X$  containing  $x$ . A subset  $A$  is called *semi- $\theta$ -closed* (see [3]) if  $A = sCl_\theta(A)$ . The set  $\{x \in X : sCl(O) \subseteq A \text{ for some semi-open set } O \text{ containing } x\}$  is called the *semi- $\theta$ -interior* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $sInt_\theta(A)$ . A subset  $A$  is called *semi- $\theta$ -open* (see [5]) if  $A = sInt_\theta(A)$ . By [6], it is proved that, for a subset  $A$ ,  $sCl_\theta(A)$  is the intersection of all semi- $\theta$ -closed sets each containing  $A$ . We denote the collection of all semi- $\theta$ -open (resp. semi- $\theta$ -closed) sets by  $S\theta O(X, \tau)$  (resp.  $S\theta C(X, \tau)$ ). The notion of  $\theta$ - $\Lambda_s$ -set is introduced and investigated by Caldas et al. [1] by utilizing semi- $\theta$ -open sets. These sets suggested a new class of sets which they called  $\Lambda_s$ -semi- $\theta$ -closed sets. They offered some properties of these sets. Among others, they proved that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\theta$ - $T_0$  if and only if every singleton of  $X$  is  $\Lambda_s$ -semi- $\theta$ -closed. Recall that a topological space is semi- $\theta$ - $T_0$  [1] if to each pair of points  $x, y \in X$  and  $x \neq y$ , there exists a semi- $\theta$ -open set which contains one of them but not the other.

In what follows  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or  $X$  and  $Y$ ) denote topological spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall the definitions of  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$  [1] and  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s^*}$ -sets.

**Definition 1** ([1]). Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $X$ . By  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A)$  we denote the set  $\cap\{O \in S\theta O(X, \tau) \mid A \subset O\}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -set if  $A = \Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For subsets  $A$  and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of a space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following hold:

- (1)  $A \subset \Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A)$ .
- (2)  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A)) = \Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A)$ .
- (3) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A) \subset \Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(B)$ .
- (4)  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(\cap\{A_i : i \in I\}) \subset \cap\{\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ .
- (5)  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(\cup\{A_i : i \in I\}) = \cup\{\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ .
- (6)  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}(A)$  is a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -set.
- (7) If  $A$  is semi- $\theta$ -open, then  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -set.
- (8) If  $A_i$  is  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap\{A_i : i \in I\}$  and  $\cup\{A_i : i \in I\}$  are  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -sets.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We set  $\tau^{\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}} = \{A : A \text{ is a } \Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s} \text{-set of } X\}$ . The pair  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}})$  is an Alexandroff space.

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1. □

**Definition 2.** Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . By  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s^*}(A)$ , we denote the set  $\cup\{B \in S\theta C(X, \tau) \mid B \subset A\}$ . A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s^*}$ -set if  $A = \Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s^*}(A)$ .

We obtain the following lemma which is similar to Lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 2.3.** For subsets  $A, B$  and  $A_i$  ( $i \in I$ ) of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A) \subseteq A$ .
- (2) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(B)$ .
- (3) If  $A$  is semi- $\theta$ -closed, then  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A) = A$ .
- (4)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(\cap\{A_i : i \in I\}) = \cap\{\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A_i) : i \in I\}$ .
- (5)  $\cup\{\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A_i) : i \in I\} \subseteq \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(\cup\{A_i : i \in I\})$ .
- (6)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^s}(X - A) = X - \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A)$  and  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(X - A) = X - \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^s}(A)$ .
- (7)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}(A)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}$ -set.
- (8) If  $A$  is a semi- $\theta$ -closed, then  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}$ -set.
- (9) If  $A_i$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}$ -set for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cup\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  and  $\cap\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  are  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}$ -sets.

Observe that if  $X$  is a topological space and  $\tau^{\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}} = \{A : A \text{ is a } \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*} \text{ - set of } X\}$ , then  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^*}})$  is an Alexandroff space.

### 3. $\Lambda_s$ -semi- $\theta$ -closed sets

**Definition 3.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $\Lambda_s$ -semi- $\theta$ -closed [1], denoted by  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed, if  $A = T \cap C$ , where  $T$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^s}$ -set and  $C$  is a semi- $\theta$ -closed set.

**Lemma 3.1** ([1], Lemma 2.23). Let  $A$  be a subset of a space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed,
- (2)  $A = P \cap sCl_{\theta}(A)$ , where  $P$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^s}$ -set,
- (3)  $fA = \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^s}(A) \cap sCl_{\theta}(A)$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . The semi- $\theta$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  are  $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . The set  $A = \{c\}$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed since it is semi  $\theta$ -closed but it is not closed.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, \}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . The set  $A = \{c\}$  is closed but it is not  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

The Example 3.2 and Example 3.3 shown that the sets  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed and closed are independent of each other.

Note that every semi  $\theta$ -closed set is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed, but the converse is not true in general.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be as in the Example 3.2. Then  $B = \{b, c\}$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed since it is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda^s}$ -set, but it is not semi  $\theta$ -closed.

**Lemma 3.5.** If  $A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cap\{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A_i$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed for each  $i \in I$ . Then, for each  $i \in I$  there exist a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}$ -set  $T_i$  and a semi- $\theta$ -closed set  $C_i$  such that  $A_i = T_i \cap C_i$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap \{A_i : i \in I\} &= \bigcap \{T_i \cap C_i : i \in I\} \\ &= \bigcap \{T_i : i \in I\} \cap \bigcap \{C_i : i \in I\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $\bigcap \{T_i : i \in I\}$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}$ -set and  $\bigcap \{C_i : i \in I\}$  is semi- $\theta$ -closed. This shows that  $\bigcap \{A_i : i \in I\}$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $(s\theta, s\theta)$ -generalized closed if  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \subseteq G$  holds whenever  $A \subseteq G$  and  $G \in S\theta O(X, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(s\theta, s\theta)$ -generalized closed if and only if  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Necessity: Suppose that there is a point  $x \in X$  such that  $x \notin \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}(A)$ . Then, there exists a subset  $O \in S\theta O(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq O$  and  $x \notin O$ . This implies that  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \subseteq O$ . Hence  $x \notin sCl_{\theta}(A)$  since  $A$  is  $(s\theta, s\theta)$ -generalized closed.

Sufficiency: Obvious.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\theta$ -closed if and only if  $A$  is  $(s\theta, s\theta)$ -generalized closed and  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Necessity: Every semi- $\theta$ -closed set is both  $(s\theta, s\theta)$ -generalized closed and  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

Sufficiency: Since  $A$  is  $(s\theta, s\theta)$ -generalized closed, then by Lemma 3.3,  $sCl_{\theta}(A) \subseteq \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}(A)$ . By assumption and Lemma 3.1  $A = \Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}(A) \cap sCl_{\theta}(A) = sCl_{\theta}(A)$ . i.e.,  $A$  is semi- $\theta$ -closed.  $\square$

**Definition 5.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open if  $X \setminus A$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.8.** The union of any family of  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets is a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set.

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem follows by the fact that the intersection of a family of  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed sets is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.** The following statements are equivalent for a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$ :

- (1)  $A$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open
- (2)  $A = T \cup C$ , where  $T$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s^*}$ -set and  $C$  is a semi- $\theta$ -open set.

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10.** Every  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s^*}$ -set is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open.

*Proof.* Take  $A = A \cup \emptyset$ , where  $A$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s^*}$ -set,  $X$  is semi- $\theta$ -closed and  $\emptyset = X \setminus X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.** A subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is called a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D$  set if there are two  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $U \neq X$  and  $A = U - V$ .

It is true that every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  different from  $X$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D$  set if  $A = U$  and  $V = \emptyset$ .

**Example 3.11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space as in the Example 3.2. Then the sets  $\{c\}$  and  $\{a, c\}$  are  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed sets since they are  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ -sets. Thus the sets  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets. So the set  $A = \{a\} = \{a, b\} - \{b\}$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set which is not open and  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set.

**Definition 7.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (i)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_0$  if for any distinct pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  there exists a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set of  $X$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$  or a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set of  $X$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ .
- (ii)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_1$  if for any distinct pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  there exist a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set of  $X$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$  and a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set of  $X$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ .
- (iii)  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_2$  if for any distinct pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  there exist disjoint  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  sets  $G$  and  $E$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively.

A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property if for any distinct pair of points in  $X$ , there is a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other.

**Remark 3.12.**

- (i) If  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property, then it is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_0$ .
- (ii) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_i$ , then it is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_{i-1}$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following statements are true:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_0$  if and only if it satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property.
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_1$  if and only if it is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_2$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency for (1) and (2) follows from the above Remark 3.5.

Necessity condition for (1). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_0$  so that for any distinct pair of points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$  at least one belongs to a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set  $O$ . Therefore we choose  $x \in O$  and  $y \notin O$ . Suppose  $O = U - V$  for which  $U \neq X$  and  $U$  and  $V$  are  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets in  $X$ . This implies that  $x \in U$ . For the case that  $y \notin O$  we have (i)  $y \notin U$ , (ii)  $y \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . For (i), the space  $X$  satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property since  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . For (ii), the space  $X$  also satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property since  $y \in V$  but  $x \notin V$ .

Necessity condition for (2). Suppose that  $X$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_1$ . It follows from the definition that for any distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$  there exist  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  sets  $G$  and  $E$  such that  $G$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$  and  $E$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ . Let  $G = U - V$  and  $E = W - D$ , where  $U, V, W$  and  $D$  are  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets in  $X$ . By the fact that  $x \notin E$ , we have two cases, i.e. either  $x \notin W$  or both  $W$  and  $D$  contain  $x$ . If  $x \notin W$ , then from  $y \notin G$  either (i)  $y \notin U$  or (ii)  $y \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . If (i) is the case, then it follows from  $x \in U - V$  that  $x \in U - (V \cup W)$ , and also it follows from  $y \in W - D$  that  $y \in W - (U \cup D)$ . Thus we have  $U - (V \cup W)$  and  $W - (U \cup D)$  which are disjoint. If (ii) is the case, it follows that  $x \in U - V$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $(U - V) \cap V = \emptyset$ . If  $x \in W$  and  $x \in D$ , we have  $y \in W - D$ ,  $x \in D$  and  $(W - D) \cap D = \emptyset$ . This shows that  $X$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_2$ .  $\square$

**Definition 8.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A point  $x \in X$  which has only  $X$  as the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -neighborhood is called a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ -neat point.

**Theorem 3.14.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  that satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_1$ ;

(2)  $(X, \tau)$  has no  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -neat point.

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2). Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D_1$ , so each point  $x$  of  $X$  is contained in a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D$  set  $O = U - V$  and thus in  $U$ . By definition  $U \neq X$ . This implies that  $x$  is not a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -neat point.

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1). Since  $X$  satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property, then for each distinct pair of points  $x, y \in X$ , at least one of them, choose  $x$  for example has a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -neighborhood  $U$  containing  $x$  and not  $y$ . Thus  $U$  which is different from  $X$  is a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D$  set. If  $X$  has no  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -neat point, then  $y$  is not a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -neat point. This means that there exists a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  such that  $V \neq X$ . Thus  $y \in (V - U)$  but not  $x$  and  $V - U$  is a  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D$  set. Hence  $X$  is  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D_1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.15.** It is clear that a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  that satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property is not  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ - $D_1$  if and only if there is a unique  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -neat point in  $X$ . It is unique because if  $x$  and  $y$  are both  $\Lambda_\theta^{\Lambda_s}$ -neat point in  $X$ , then at least one of them say  $x$  has a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -neighborhood  $U$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$ . But this is a contradiction since  $U \neq X$ .

**Definition 9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is called  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -cluster point of  $A$  if for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  we have  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -cluster points is called the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closure of  $A$  and is denoted by  $A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . For the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closure, the following properties hold.

- (1)  $A \subset A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ .
- (2)  $A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} = \cap \{F \mid A \subset F \text{ and } F \text{ is } (\Lambda, s\theta)\text{-closed}\}$ .
- (3) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset B^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ .
- (4)  $A$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed if and only if  $A = A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ .
- (5)  $A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

**Definition 10.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -symmetric if for  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ ,  $x \in \{y\}^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  implies  $y \in \{x\}^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ .

In what follows the set  $\{x\}^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  is denoted by  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.17.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -symmetric if and only if for  $x \in X$ ,  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subseteq E$  whenever  $x \in E$  and  $E$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $x \in y^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  but  $y \notin x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ . This means that the complement of  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  contains  $y$ . Therefore the set  $\{y\}$  is a subset of the complement of  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ . This implies that  $y^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  is a subset of the complement of  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ . Now the complement of  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  contains  $x$  which is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that  $\{x\} \subset E$  and  $E$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  but  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  is not a subset of  $E$ . This means that  $x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  and the complement of  $E$  are not disjoint. Let  $y$  belongs to their intersection. Now we have  $x \in y^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  which is a subset of the complement of  $E$  and  $x \notin E$ . But this is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** For a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -symmetric topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  satisfies the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -property;
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_0$ ;
- (3)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_1$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\leftrightarrow$  (2) : Lemma 3.10.

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (2) : Remark 3.12.

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (3) : Let  $x \neq y$  and by (1), we may assume that  $x \in E \subset \{y\}^c$  for some  $E$   $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $x \notin y^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  and hence  $y \notin x^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ . Hence there exists a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $F$  such that  $y \in F \subset \{x\}^c$ . Since every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D$  set, we have that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta}^{\Lambda s}$ - $D_1$  space.  $\square$

#### 4. $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous functions

**Definition 11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two topological spaces. A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in V$  there exists a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ .

The function  $f$  is called  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous if  $f$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous at every point  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 12.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space,  $x \in X$  and  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  be a net of  $X$ . We say that the net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$   $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $x$  if for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  there exists an element  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $s \geq s_0$  implies  $x_s \in U$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . A point  $x \in A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  if and only if there exists a net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  of  $A$  which  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $x$ .

*Proof.* The existence of such a net clearly implies that  $x \in A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ . Suppose  $x \in A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  and let us denote by  $\mathcal{U}$  the set of all  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open subsets  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  directed by the relation  $\subseteq$ , i.e., let us define that  $U_1 \leq U_2$  if  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ . The net  $\{x_U, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ , where  $x_U$  is an arbitrary point of  $A \cap U$ ,  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $x$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** For a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous;
- (2)  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $V$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ ;
- (3)  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed set  $F$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ ;
- (4)  $f(A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}) \subset [f(A)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  for each subset  $A$  of  $X$ ;
- (5)  $[f^{-1}(B)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset f^{-1}(B^{(\Lambda, s\theta)})$  for each subset  $B$  of  $Y$ ;
- (6) For every  $x \in X$  and every net  $\{x_s, s \in S\}$  of  $X$  which  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $x$  in  $X$ , the net  $\{f(x_s), s \in S\}$   $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $f(x)$  in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): Let  $V$  be any  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set of  $(Y, \sigma)$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $f$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous, there exists a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U_x$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U_x) \subset V$ . Therefore, we have  $x \in U_x \subset f^{-1}(V)$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) = \cup\{U_x \mid x \in f^{-1}(V)\}$ . By Theorem 3.8,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1): This is obvious.

(2)  $\leftrightarrow$  (3): This is obvious from Definition 5.

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (4): Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$ . Since  $A \subset f^{-1}([f(A)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)})$ , by Lemma 3.15 we

have  $A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset f^{-1}([f(A)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)})$  and hence  $f(A^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}) \subset [f(A)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$ .

(4)  $\rightarrow$  (5): Let  $B$  be any subset of  $Y$ . By (4) we have  $f([f^{-1}(B)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}) \subset [f(f^{-1}(B))]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset B^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}$  and hence  $[f^{-1}(B)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset f^{-1}(B^{(\Lambda, s\theta)})$ .

(5)  $\rightarrow$  (3): Let  $F$  be any  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By Lemma 3.15,  $[f^{-1}(F)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset f^{-1}(F^{(\Lambda, s\theta)}) = f^{-1}(F)$  and  $[f^{-1}(F)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} \subset f^{-1}(F)$ . Therefore, we obtain  $[f^{-1}(F)]^{(\Lambda, s\theta)} = f^{-1}(F)$ . This shows that  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

(1)  $\rightarrow$  (6): Let  $x \in X$  and  $\{x_s \mid s \in S\}$  be a net  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converging to  $x$ . For any  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set of  $(Y, \sigma)$  containing  $f(x)$ , by (1) there exists a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \subset V$ . Since  $\{x_s \mid s \in S\}$  converges to  $x$ , there exists  $s_0 \in S$  such that  $s \geq s_0$  implies  $x_s \in U$ . Therefore,  $f(x_s) \in V$  for any  $s \geq s_0$  and the net  $\{f(x_s) \mid s \in S\}$   $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $f(x)$ .

(6)  $\rightarrow$  (1): Let us suppose that there exists a point  $x \in X$  and a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $f(x)$  such that for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $f(U) \not\subseteq V$ . Then for every  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$ , we choose an element  $x_U \in U$  such that  $f(x_U) \notin V$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the set of all  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets  $U$  of  $X$  containing  $x$  and is directed by the relation  $\subseteq$  i.e., let us define that  $U_1 \leq U_2$  if  $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ . Easily, the net  $\{x_U, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$   $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converges to  $x$  but the net  $\{f(x_U), U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  does not  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -converge to  $f(x)$  which is a contradiction. Thus there exists a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $f(U) \subseteq V$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** We recall that a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be quasi irresolute [4] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is semi- $\theta$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  for each semi- $\theta$ -open set  $V$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

Clearly, if a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is quasi irresolute, then  $f : (X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}})$  is continuous.

Indeed let  $V$  be any  $\Lambda_{\theta^*}$ -set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then  $V = \Lambda_{\theta^*}^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}(V) = \cup\{W \mid V \supset W \in S\theta C(Y, \sigma)\}$ . Since  $f$  is quasi irresolute, we have  $f^{-1}(V) = \cup\{f^{-1}(W) \mid f^{-1}(V) \supset f^{-1}(W) \in S\theta C(X, \tau)\} \subset \cup\{U \mid f^{-1}(V) \supset U \in S\theta C(X, \tau)\} = \Lambda_{\theta^*}^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}(f^{-1}(V))$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have  $f^{-1}(V) \supset \Lambda_{\theta^*}^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}(f^{-1}(V))$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta^*}^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$ .

Observe that if a function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is quasi irresolute, then  $f : (X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}})$  is continuous.

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a quasi irresolute function, then it is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Then there exist a  $\Lambda_{\theta^*}^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}$ -set  $T$  and a semi- $\theta$ -closed set  $C$  such that  $F = T \cap C$ . Since  $f$  is quasi irresolute  $f^{-1}(T)$  is a  $\Lambda_{\theta^*}^{\Lambda_{\theta^*}}$ -set of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $f^{-1}(C)$  is semi- $\theta$ -closed. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(T) \cap f^{-1}(C)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Theorem 4.2,  $f$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Example 4.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . The semi- $\theta$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  are  $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ , the  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  are  $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$  and the semi- $\theta$ -closed sets of  $(X, \sigma)$  are  $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ . Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be the identity function. Then  $f$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous but it is not quasi-irresolute since  $f^{-1}(\{b, c\})$  is not semi- $\theta$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .



### 5. $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compactness and $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -connectedness

**Definition 13.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact (resp. semi- $\theta$ -compact) if every cover of  $X$  by  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open (resp. semi- $\theta$ -open) sets has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 5.1.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact (resp. semi- $\theta$ -compact) if and only if for every family  $\{A_i : i \in I\}$  of  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed (resp. semi- $\theta$ -closed) sets in  $X$  satisfying  $\bigcap\{A_i : i \in I\} = \emptyset$ , there is a finite subfamily  $A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_n}$  with  $\bigcap\{A_{i_k} : k = 1, \dots, n\} = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

**Theorem 5.2.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following hold:

- (1) If  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta}^{s*}})$  is compact, then  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\theta$ -compact.
- (2) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact, then  $(X, \tau)$  is semi- $\theta$ -compact.
- (3) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact, then  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta}^{s*}})$  is compact.

*Proof.* (1) This follows from Lemma 2.1.

(2) This follows from Theorem 5.1 and of the fact that every semi- $\theta$ -closed set is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed.

(3) This follows from Lemma 3.10. □

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous surjection and  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact space, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$  be any cover of  $Y$  by  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -continuous, by Theorem 4.2  $\{f^{-1}(V_i \mid i \in I)\}$  is a cover of  $X$  by  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets of  $(X, \tau)$ . By  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compactness of  $(X, \tau)$ , there exists a finite subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  such that  $X = \bigcup\{f^{-1}(V_i) \mid i \in I_0\}$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, we obtain  $Y = f(X) = \bigcup_{i \in I_0} V_i$ . This shows that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact. □

**Corollary 5.4.** The  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compactness is preserved by quasi irresolute surjections.

*Proof.* This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 4.4. □

**Definition 14.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -connected if  $X$  cannot be written as a disjoint union of two non-empty  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open sets.

**Theorem 5.5.** For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) The space  $X$  is  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -connected;
- (2) The only subsets of  $X$ , which are both  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -open and  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -closed are the empty set  $\emptyset$  and  $X$ .

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

#### Open problems.

- (1) Does there exist a space  $(X, \tau)$  which is semi- $\theta$ -compact but the space  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta}^{s*}})$  is not compact?
- (2) Does there exist a space  $(X, \tau)$  which is semi- $\theta$ -compact but the space  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact?

- (3) Does there exist a space  $(X, \tau)$  such that the space  $(X, \tau^{\Lambda_{\theta}^*})$  is compact but the space  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $(\Lambda, s\theta)$ -compact?

**Acknowledgment.** Part of this research was carried out while M.Caldas was visiting the Institute of Mathematics of the Universidad Autónoma de México under the TWAS-UNESCO

## References

- [1] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, *On  $\theta$ -semigeneralized closed sets in topology*, Kyungpook Math. J., **43**(2003), 135-148.
- [2] S. G. Grossley and S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closure*, Texas J. Sci., **22**(1971), 99-112.
- [3] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri, *On  $s$ -closed spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **18**(3)(1987), 226-233.
- [4] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri, *Weak and strong forms of irresolute functions*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl., **18**(1988), 255-273.
- [5] S. Ganguly and C. K. Basu, *Further characterizations of  $s$ -closed spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **23**(9)(1992), 635-641.
- [6] M. N. Mukherjee and C. K. Basu, *On semi- $\theta$ -closed sets, semi- $\theta$ -connectedness and some associated mappings*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **83**(1991), 227-238.
- [7] N. Levine, *Generalized closed sets in topology*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **19**(2)(1970), 89-96.