Aminolysis of 2,4-Dinitrophenyl 2-Furoate and 2-Thiophenecarboxylate: Effect of Modification of Nonleaving Group from Furoyl to Thiophenecarbonyl on Reactivity and Mechanism

Ik-Hwan Um," Se-Won Min, and Sun-Mee Chuna

Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea. 'E-mail: ihum@ewha.ac.kr Received May 13, 2008

Second-order rate constants have been determined spectrophotometrically for reactions of 2.4-dinitrophenyl 2thiophenecarboxylate (2) with a series of alicyclic secondary amines in 80 mol % H₂O/20 mol % DMSO at 25.0 \pm 0.1 °C. The Bronsted-type plot exhibits a downward curvature, *i.e.*, the slope decreases from 0.74 to 0.34 as the amine basicity increases. The p K_a at the center of the Bronsted curvature, defined as p K_a° , has been determined to be 9.1. Comparison of the Bronsted-type plot for the reactions of 2 with that for the corresponding reactions of 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-furoate (1) suggests that reactions of 1 and 2 proceed through a common mechanism, although 2 is less reactive than 1. The curved Bronsted-type plot has been interpreted as a change in RDS of a stepwise mechanism. The replacement of the O atom in the furoyl ring by an S atom (1 \rightarrow 2) does not alter the reaction mechanism but causes a decrease in reactivity. Dissection of the apparent second-order rate constants into the microscopic rate constants has revealed that the k_2/k_{-1} ratio is not influenced upon changing the nonleaving group from furoyl to thiophenecarbonyl. However, k_1 has been calculated to be smaller for the reactions of 2 than for the corresponding reactions of 1, indicating that the C=O bond in the thiophenecarboxylate 2 is less electrophilic than that in the furoate 1. The smaller k_1 for the reactions of 2 is fully responsible for the fact that 2 is less reactive than 1.

Key Words : Aminolysis. Mechanism. Bronsted-type plot. Rate-determining step. Nonleaving group

Introduction

Aminolysis of carboxylic esters with a weakly basic leaving group often results in a curved Bronsted-type plot, which has been taken as evidence for a stepwise mechanism.^{1.5} The rate-determining step (RDS) has been reported to be dependent on the basicity of the attacking amine and the leaving group. *i.e.*, the RDS changes from breakdown of a zwitterionic tetrahedral intermediate (T⁼) to its formation as the attacking amine becomes more basic than the leaving group or the leaving group becomes less basic than the amine by 4 to 5 pK_a units.^{1.5}

The p K_a at the center of the Bronsted curvature has been defined as pK_a° , where a change in the RDS occurs.^{6,7} An intriguing question is that whether pK_a° is dependent on the nature of the nonleaving group or not. Gresser and Jencks have found that the pK_a° for reactions of diaryl carbonates with a series of quinuclidines increases as the substituent in the nonleaving group changes from an electron-donating group (EDG) to an electron-withdrawing group (EWG).⁷ This has been rationalized on the basis that departure of the amine from T[±] is favored, over that of the leaving group, as the substituent in the nonleaving group keen exported for pyridinolysis of 2.4-dinitrophenyl X-substituted benzoates. *t.e.*, $pK_a^{\circ} = 9.5$ when X = H but $pK_a^{\circ} > 9.5$ when X = 4-Cl, 4-CN, or 4-NO₂, and for aminolysis of S-2.4-dinitrophenyl X-substituted

thiobenzoates, pK_a° increases from 8.5 to 8.9 and 9.9 as X is changed from 4-CH₃ to H and 4-NO₂. in turn.^{8,9} Thus. pK_a° has been suggested to increase upon changing the substituent in the nonleaving group from an EDG to an EWG ^{6,9}

However, we have shown that the pK_a° value is independent of the electronic nature of the substituent X in the nonleaving group for aminolysis of 2,4-dinitrophenyl Xsubstituted benzoates¹⁰ and benzenesulfonates.¹¹ A similar result has been found for reactions of Y-substituted phenyl X-substituted benzoates with piperidine and pyridines, *i.e.*, the pK_a° remains nearly constant as the substituent X in the benzoyl moiety is progressively modified from an EWG to an EDG^{5e,5g}

We have recently performed reactions of 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-furoate (1) with a series of alicyclic secondary amines and





^aPresent address: Daihanink Co., Ltd., Anyang, Gyunggi 430-849, Korea

concluded that the reactions proceed through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS as the amine becomes more basic than the leaving aryloxide or the leaving aryloxide becomes less basic than the amine by *ca*. 5 pK_a units. ^{10d} We have extended our study to aminolysis of 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-thiophenecarboxylate (2) to investigate the effect of modification of the nonleaving group from 2-furoyl to 2-thiophenecarbonyl on reactivity and mechanism, particularly on the k_2/k_{-1} ratio (see Scheme 1).

Results and Discussion

Reactions of 2 with alicyclic secondary amines proceeded with quantitative liberation of 2.4-dinitrophenoxide. The kinetic study was performed spectrophotometrically under pseudo-first-order conditions, e.g., the amine concentration was at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. All reactions obeyed first-order kinetics. Pseudofirst-order rate constants (k_{obsd}) were calculated from the equation. In $(A_{\infty} - A_{t}) = -k_{obsd}t + C$. The plot of k_{obsd} versus amine concentration was linear and passed through the origin, indicating that general base catalysis by a second amine molecule is absent and the contribution of H₂O and/or HO⁻ from hydrolysis of amine to k_{obsd} is negligible. Thus, the rate equation can be given as eq. (1). The apparent second-order rate constants (k_N) were determined from the slope of the linear plots of k_{obsd} versus amine concentration and are summarized in Table 1. It is estimated from the replicate runs that the uncertainty in the rate constants is less than $\pm 3\%$.

Rate =
$$k_{obsd}$$
[2], where k_{obsd} = k_N [amine] (1)

Effect of Modification of Nonleaving Group from Furoyl to Thiophenecarbonyl on Reactivity and Mechanism. As shown in Table 1, the second-order rate constant $k_{\rm N}$ for the reactions of 2 decreases as the basicity of amines decreases, *e.g.*, from 145 M⁻¹s⁻¹ to 15.3 and 0.397 M⁻¹s⁻¹ as the $pK_{\rm a}$ of the conjugate acid of amines decreases from 11.02 to 8.65 and 5.95, in turn. A similar result is shown for the corresponding reactions of 2.4-dinitrophenyl 2-furoate (1) although the furoate 1 is *ca*. 3 times more reactive than the thiophenecarboxylate 2.

Table 1. Summary of Second-Order Rate Constants $(k_N, M^{-1}s^{-1})$ for the Reactions of 2,4-Dinitrophenyl 2-Furoate (1) and 2-Thiophenecarboxylate (2) with Alicyclic Secondary Amines in 80 mol % H₂O /20 mol % DMSO at 25.0 \pm 0.1 °C

| E | | $\rightarrow V$ | $k_{\rm N}/{\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1}$ | | |
|----------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|--|
| Entry | | pr ^a - | 1 ^a | 2 | |
| 1 | piperidine | 11.02 | 427 | 145 | |
| 2 | 3-methylpiperidine | 10.80 | 402 | 139 | |
| 3 | piperazine | 9.85 | 224 | 68.2 | |
| 4 | morpholine | 8.65 | 43.5 | 15.3 | |
| 5 | 1-formylpiperazine | 7.98 | 12.3 | 4.04 | |
| 6 | piperazinium ion | 5.95 | 1.47 | 0.397 | |

"Data taken from ref. 10d.



Figure 1. Bronsted-type plots for the reactions of 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-Furoate (1, \bullet) and 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-thiophenecarboxylate (2, \bigcirc) with alicyclic secondary amines in 80 mol % H₂O/20 mol % DMSO at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. The identity of points is given in Table 1. The plots are statistically corrected using *p* and *q*.¹⁶

The effect of amine basicity on reactivity is illustrated in Figure 1 for the reactions of 1 and 2. The Bronsted-type plots are curved downwardly, *i.e.*, as the amine basicity increases, the slope decreases from 0.74 to 0.34 for the reactions of 2 and from 0.73 to 0.33 for those of 1. The curved Bronsted-type plot obtained for the reactions of the furoate 1 has recently been interpreted as evidence for a change in the RDS of a stepwise mechanism. *i.e.*, from breakdown of T[±] to its formation as the amine basicity increases.^{10d} The stepwise mechanism has been further supported from the contrasting Bronsted-type plots obtained for aminolysis of Y-substituted phenyl 2-furoates, *i.e.*, the plot was linear with a β_{lg} value of 1.19 for the reactions with weakly basic morpholine but curved with decreasing $\beta_{
m lg}$ from 1.25 to 0.28 for the reactions with strongly basic piperidine.12

The pK_a at the center of the Bronsted curvature, defined as pK_a° where $k_{-1} = k_2$, is 9.1 for the reactions of **2**, which is *ca*. 5 pK_a units higher than the pK_a of the conjugate acid of the leaving 2,4-dinitrophenoxide. The current result is consistent with the report that a change in RDS occurs when the amine becomes more basic than the leaving group by 4 to 5 pK_a units.^{1.5} Thus, one can suggest that the current aminolysis of **2** also proceeds through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS.

To examine the above argument that the reactions of 1 and 2 proceed through the same mechanism (*i.e.*, a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS), a plot of log k_N for the reaction of 2 versus log k_N for the reaction of 1 has been constructed in Figure 2. One might expect a linear plot if the reactions of 1 and 2 proceed through a common mechanism.

Aminolysis of 2,4-Dinitrophenyl 2-Thiophenecarboxylate



Figure 2. Plot of log k_N for reactions of 1 versus log k_N for the reactions of 2 in 80 mol % H₂O/20 mol % DMSO at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. The identity of points is given in Table 1.

In fact. Figure 2 exhibits an excellent linearity, indicating that their mechanism is the same. The slope of 1.03 for the linear plot is consistent with the fact that the reactions of **2** exhibit slightly larger slope in the Bronsted-type plot than those of **1**. Thus, one can conclude that the current reactions proceed through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS. Accordingly, the apparent second-order rate constant $k_{\rm N}$ can be expressed as eq. (2).

$$k_{\rm N} = k_1 k_2 / (k_{-1} + k_2) \tag{2}$$

Dissection of $k_{\rm N}$ into Microscopic Rate Constants. The nonlinear Bronsted-type plot in Figure 1 has been analyzed using a semiempirical equation (eq. 3).^{7,13} where β_1 and β_2 represent the slope of the Bronsted-type plot in Figure 1 for the reaction with strongly and weakly basic amines. respectively. The $k_{\rm N}^{\circ}$ refers to the $k_{\rm N}$ at $pK_{\rm a}^{\circ}$ in which $k_{-1}=k_2$. The parameters determined for the reactions of 2 are as follows: log $k_{\rm N}^{\circ} = 1.20$. $pK_{\rm a}^{\circ} = 9.1$. $\beta_1 = 0.34$ and $\beta_2 = 0.74$. Therefore, one can suggest that the RDS for the reaction of 2 changes from the k_2 step to the k_1 process as the amine basicity increases on the basis of the magnitude of β_1 and β_2 values.

$$\log (k_{\rm N}/k_{\rm N}^{\circ}) = \beta_2 (pK_{\rm a} - pK_{\rm a}^{\circ}) - \log (1 + \alpha)/2$$

where $\log \alpha = (\beta_2 - \beta_1) (pK_{\rm a} - pK_{\rm a}^{\circ})$ (3)

The k_N values for the reactions of 2 have been dissected into their microscopic rate constants to shed more light on the reaction mechanism. The k_2/k_{-1} ratios associated with the reactions of 2 have been determined using eqs. (4)-(9). Eq. (2) can be simplified to eq. (4) or (5). Then, β_1 and β_2 can be expressed as eqs. (6) and (7), respectively.

$$k_{\rm N} = k_1 k_2 / k_{-1}$$
, when $k_2 << k_{-1}$ (4)

or
$$k_{\rm N} = k_1$$
, when $k_2 >> k_{-1}$ (5)

Table 2. Summary Microscopic Rate Constants k_1 and k_2/k_{-1} Ratios for the Reactions of 1 and 2 with Alicyclic Secondary Ammes in 80 mol % H₂O/20 mol % DMSO at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C

| Enter | pKa - | $k_1/M^{-1}s^{-1}$ | | k_2/k_{-1} | |
|----------------------|-------|------------------------------|------|--------------|-------|
| Endy | | 1 ^{<i>a</i>} | 2 | 1^{a} | 2 |
| I piperidine | 11.02 | 482 | 164 | 7.73 | 7.73 |
| 2 3-methylpiperidine | 10.80 | 466 | 161 | 6 32 | 6.32 |
| 3 piperazine | 9.85 | 336 | 102 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| 4 morpholine | 8.65 | 934 | 32.9 | 0.872 | 0 872 |
| 5 1-formylpiperazine | 7.98 | 38.5 | 12.6 | 0.470 | 0.470 |
| 6 piperazinium ion | 5 95 | 168 | 4.55 | 0.096 | 0.096 |

^aData for the reactions of 1 taken from ref. 10d.

$$\beta_1 = d(\log k_1) / d(pK_a) \tag{6}$$

$$\beta_2 = d(\log k_1 k_2 / k_{-1}) / d(pK_a)$$

= $\beta_1 + d(\log k_2 / k_{-1}) / d(pK_a)$ (7)

Eq. (7) can be rearranged as eq. (8). Integral of eq. (8) from pK_a° results in eq. (9). Since $k_2 = k_{-1}$ at pK_a° , the term $(\log k_2/k_{-1})_{pKa^{\circ}}$ is zero. Therefore, one can calculate the k_2/k_{-1} ratios for the reactions of **2** from eq. (9) using $pK_a^{\circ} = 9.1$, $\beta_1 = 0.34$ and $\beta_2 = 0.74$.

$$\beta_2 - \beta_1 = d(\log k_2/k_{-1}) / d(pK_a)$$
(8)

$$(\log k_2/k_{-1})_{pKa} = (\beta_2 - \beta_1)(pK_a - pK_a^{\circ})$$
(9)

The k_1 values have been determined from eq. (10) using the k_N values in Table 1 and the k_2/k_{-1} ratios determined above. The k_2/k_{-1} ratios and k_1 values determined are summarized in Table 2.

$$k_{\rm N} = k_1 k_2 / (k_{-1} + k_2) = k_1 / (k_{-1} / k_2 + 1)$$
(10)

Effect of Nonleaving Group on Microscopic Rate Constants. It has been reported that the basicity of amines does not influence k_2 since the push provided by aminium moiety of T[±] is absent.^{7,14} On the other hand, k_{-1} would increase with decreasing the amine basicity. Thus, one can expect that the k_2/k_{-1} ratio decreases as the amine basicity decreases. In fact, as shown in Table 2, the k_2/k_{-1} ratio decreases as the amine basicity decreases for the reactions of 1 and 2.

Thiophene-2-carboxylic acid is known to be a weaker acid than 2-furoic acid.¹⁵ Accordingly, one might expect the k_2/k_{-1} ratio would be larger for the reaction of 2 than for the corresponding reaction of 1, if an acid strengthening substituent in the nonleaving group decreases the k_2/k_{-1} ratio as suggested by Gresser and Jencks⁷ and by Castro et al.^{8,9} However, as shown in Table 2, the k_2/k_{-1} ratio for the reaction of 2 is exactly the same as that for the corresponding reaction of 1, indicating that modification of the nonleaving group from furoyl to thiophenecarbonyl does not affect the k_2/k_{-1} ratio. The current result is consistent with our previous proposal that the k_2/k_{-1} ratio is independent of the electronic nature of the substituent in the nonleaving group of 2.4dinitrophenyl X-substituted benzoates (X-C₆H₄CO-OC₆H₃-(NO₂)₂) and benzenesulfonates (X-C₆H₄SO₂-OC₆H₃-(NO₂)₂).^{10.11} We have proposed that an EWG in the non-



Figure 3. Bronsted–type plots for k_1 for the reactions of $1 (\bullet)$ and $2 (\odot)$ with alicyclic secondary amines in 80 mol % H₂O/20 mol % DMSO at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. The identity of points is given in Table 2.

leaving group decreases both k_2 and k_{-1} , while an EDG increases them, since the leaving aryloxide and amine depart from T⁼ with the bonding electron pair. This argument can account for the result that the reactions of 1 and 2 result in the same k_2/k_{-1} ratio.^{10, 11}

As mentioned in the previous section, k_N for the reaction of 2 is smaller than that of 1 for a given amine. Since as shown in eq. (10), *i.e.*, $k_N = k_1k_2/(k_{-1} + k_2)$ or $k_N = k_1/(k_{-1}/k_2 +$ 1) in the current aminolysis, the magnitude of k_N for the reactions of 1 and 2 should be dependent on k_1 and/or the k_2/k_{-1} ratio. Table 2 shows that the k_2/k_{-1} ratio is the same for the reactions of 1 and 2, while k_1 is larger for the reactions of 1 than for the corresponding reactions of 2. One might expect that the replacement of the O atom in the furoyl ring by a less electronegative S atom causes a decrease in the k_1 value by decreasing the electrophilicity of 2. Thus, one can suggest that the smaller k_1 for the reactions of 2 is fully responsible for the fact that 2 is less reactive than 1 toward all the amines studied.

The effect of amine basicity on k_1 is illustrated in Figure 3. It is shown that k_1 increases linearly as the amine basicity increases for both reactions of **1** and **2**. The slope of the linear plots is slightly larger for the reactions of **2** ($\beta_1 = 0.34$) than for those of **1** ($\beta_1 = 0.32$), but the difference in β_1 value is within the error range.

Conclusions

The current study has allowed us to conclude the following: (1) Aminolysis of 2 proceeds through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS at $pK_a = 9.1$. (2) Replacement of the O atom in the furoyl ring of 1 by an S atom (1 \rightarrow 2) causes a decrease in reactivity but does not influence the reaction mechanism. (3) The reactions of 1 and 2 result in the same k_2/k_{-1} ratio, indicating that modification of the nonleaving group from furoyl to thiophenecarbonyl does not affect the k_2/k_{-1} ratio. (4) Reactions of 2 result in smaller k_1 than the corresponding reactions of 1. which is fully responsible for the fact that 2 is less reactive than 1.

Experimental Section

Materials. Compound **2** was easily prepared from the reaction of 2,4-dinitrophenol with 2-thiophenecarbonyl chloride under presence of triethylamine in anhydrous ether. The purity of **2** was checked by means of the melting point (110-112 °C). ¹H NMR δ 9.05 (d. J = 2.5 Hz. 1H). 8.58 (dd. J = 10.0, 2.5 Hz. 1H). 8.06 (dd. J = 5.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H). 7.80 (dd. J = 5.0, 1.3 Hz. 1H). 7.67 (d, J = 10.0 Hz. 1H). 7.25 (t. J = 5.0 Hz. 1H), and anal. calcd for C₁₁H₆N₂O₆: C, 44.90: H. 2.06. Found: C, 44.07: H. 2.10. Other chemicals including the amines used were of the highest quality available. The reaction medium was H₂O containing 20 mol % DMSO due to low solubility of the substrate **2** in pure H₂O. Doubly glass distilled water was further boiled and cooled under nitrogen just before use.

Kinetics. The kinetic study was performed with a UV-vis spectrophotometer for slow reactions ($t_{1/2} \ge 10$ s) or with a stopped-flow spectrophotometer for fast reactions ($t_{1/2} \le 10$ s) equipped with a constant temperature circulating bath to keep the temperature in the reaction cell at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. The reaction was followed by monitoring the appearance of the leaving 2,4-dinitophenoxide ion. All the reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the amine concentrations were at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. The amine stock solution of ca. 0.2 M was prepared by dissolving two equiv of free amine and one equiv of standardized HCl solution to keep the pHconstant by making a self buffered solution. Five different amine concentrations were employed to determine secondorder rate constants. All the solutions were prepared freshly just before use under nitrogen and transferred by gas-tight syringes.

Product Analysis. 2.4-dinitrophenoxide was liberated quantitatively and identified as one of the products by comparison of the UV-vis spectrum at the end of reaction with the authentic sample under the experimental condition.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by a grant from Korea Research Foundation (KRF-2005-015-C00256).

References

- M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 2622-2637. (e) Kirsch, J. F.; Clewell, W.; Simon, A. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 127-132.
 (a) Castro, E. A.; Echevarria, G. R.; Opazo, A.; Robert, P. S.;
- (a) Castro, E. A.; Echevarria, G. R.; Opazo, A.; Köbert, P. S.; Santos, J. G. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2008, 21, 62-67. (b) Castro, E. A.; Aliaga, M.; Campodonico, P. R.; Leis, J. R.; Garcia-Rio, L.; Santos, J. G. J. Phys. Org. Chem. 2006, 19, 683-688. (c) Castro, E. A.; Aliaga, M.; Gazitua, M.; Santos, J. G. Tetrahedron 2006, 62.

Aminolysis of 2, 4-Dinitrophenyl 2-Thiophenecarboxylate

4863-4869. (d) Castro, E. A.; Campodonico, P. R.; Contreras, R.; Fuentealba, P.; Santos, J. G.; Leis, J. R.; Garcia-Rio, L.; Saez, J. A.; Domingo, L. R. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 2555-2562.

- (a) Oh, H. K.; Oh, J. Y.; Sung, D. D.; Lee, I. J. Org. Chem. 2005. 70, 5624-5629. (b) Oh, H. K.; Jin, Y. C.; Sung, D. D.; Lee, I. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 1240-1244. (c) Sung, D. D.; Koo, I. S.; Yang, K. Y.; Lee, I. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2006, 432, 426-430. (d) Jeong, K. S.; Oh, H. K. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 2535-2538.
- (a) Campodonico, P. R.; Fuentealba, P.; Castro, E. A.; Santos, J. G.; Contreras, R. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1754-1760. (b) Arcelli, A.; Concilio, C. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 1682-1688. (c) Maude, A. B.; Williams, A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1995, 691-696.
- (a) Um, I. H.; Yoon, S.; Park, H. R.; Han, H. J. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1618-1624. (b) Um, I. H.; Lee, J. Y.; Fujio, M.; Tsuno, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2979-2985. (c) Um, I. H.; Hwang, S. J.; Baek, M. H.; Park, E. J. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9191-9197. (d) Um, I. H.; Shin, Y. H.; Han, J. Y.; Mishima, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7715-7720. (e) Um, I. H.; Lee, J. Y.; Ko, S. H.; Bae, S. K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5800-5803. (f) Um, I. H.; Kim, E. J.; Park, H. R.; Jeon, S. E. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2302-2306. (g) Um, I. H.; Han, H. J.; Baek, M. H.; Bae, S. Y. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 6365-6370.
- (a) Castro, E. A. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 3505-3524. (b) Castro, E. A.; Cubillos, M.; Aliaga, M.; Evangelisti, S.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 2411-2416. (c) Castro, E. A.; Aguayo, R.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8157-8161. (d) Castro, E. A.; Andujar, M.; Toro, A.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 3608-3613. (e) Castro, E. A.; Aliaga, M.; Campodonico, P.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 8911-8916.
- 7. Gresser, M. J.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6970-

6980.

- (a) Castro, E. A.; Valdivia, J. L. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1668-1672. (b) Castro, E. A.; Santander, C. L. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3595-3600. (c) Castro, E. A.; Steinfort, G. B. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1983, 453-457.
- (a) Castro, E. A.; Aguayo, R.; Bessolo, J.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7788-7791.
 (b) Castro, E. A.; Aguayo, R.; Bessolo, J.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3530-3536.
 (c) Castro, E. A.; Vivanco, M.; Aguayo, R.; Aguayo, R.; Santos, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5399-5404.
- (a) Um, I. H.: Park, Y. M.: Fujio, M.: Mishima, M.: Tsuno, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4816-4821. (b) Um, I. H.: Kim, K. H.: Park, H. R.: Fujio, M.: Tsuno, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3937-3942.
 (c) Um, I. H.: Jeon, S. E.: Seok, J. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1237-1243. (d) Um, I. H.: Chun, S. M.: Akhtar, K. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 220-224.
- (a) Um, I. H.; Hong, J. Y.; Seok, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 1438-1444. (b) Um, I. H.; Chun, S. M.; Chae, O. M.; Fujio, M.; Tsuno, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 3166-3172. (c) Um, I. H.; Hong, J. Y.; Kim, J. J.; Chae, O. M.; Bae, S. K. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5180-5185.
- Um. I. H.: Akhtar, K.: Park, Y. M.: Khan, S. B. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 1353-1357.
- 13. Castro, E. A.; Ureta, C. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2153-2159.
- (a) Gresser, M. J.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6963-6970. (b) Satterthwait, A. C.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7018-7031.
- Albert, A. *Physical Methods in Heterocyclic Chemistry*. Katritzky, A. R., Ed.; Academic Press: London, 1963; vol. 1, p 44.
- Bell, R. P. The Proton in Chemistry, Methuen: London, U. K., 1959; p 159.