# Aminolysis of 2,4-Dinitrophenyl 2-Furoate and 2-Thiophenecarboxylate: Effect of Modification of Nonleaving Group from Furoyl to Thiophenecarbonyl on Reactivity and Mechanism 

Ik-Hwan Um, ${ }^{*}$ Se-Won Min, and Sun-Mee Chun ${ }^{\text {a }}$<br>Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Homans Chwersin, Seoul 120-750, Korea. E-mail: Thumiaewhac. hr Received Mav 13, 2008


#### Abstract

Second-order rate constants have been determined spectrophotometrically for reactions of 2.4-dinitrophenyl 2 thiophenecarboxylate (2) with a series of alicyclic secondary amines in $80 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ at 25.0 $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The Bronsted-type plot e.hibits a downward curvature, i.e.. the slope decreases from $0.7+$ to $0.3+$ as the amine basicity increases. The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ at the center of the Bronsted curvature. defined as $\mathrm{p} K_{a}{ }^{\circ}$, has been determined to be 9.1. Comparison of the Bronsted-type plot for the reactions of 2 with that for the corresponding reactions of 2,4 -dinitropheny 12 -furoate (1) suggests that reactions of 1 and 2 proceed through a common mechanism, although 2 is less reactive than $\mathbf{1}$. The curved Bronsted-type plot has been interpreted as a change in RDS of a stepwise mechanism. The replacement of the O atom in the furoyl ring by an S atom (1 $\rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ ) does not alter the reaction mechanism but causes a decrease in reactivity. Dissection of the apparent second-order rate constants into the microscopic rate constants has revealed that the $k \Delta / k_{-1}$ ratio is not influenced upon changing the nonleaving group from furoyl to thiophenecarbonyl. However, $k$, has been calculated to be smaller for the reactions of 2 than for the corresponding reactions of $\mathbf{1}$, indicating that the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond in the thiophenecarbosylate $\mathbf{2}$ is less electrophilic than that in the furoate $\mathbf{1}$. The smaller $k_{1}$ for the reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ is fully responsible for the fact that $\mathbf{2}$ is less reactive than 1.
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## Introduction

Aminolysis of carboxylic esters with a weakly basic leaving group often results in a curved Bronsted-type plot, which has been taken as evidence for a stepwise mechanism. ${ }^{1.5}$ The rate-determining step (RDS) has been reported to be dependent on the basicity of the attacking amine and the leaving group. i.e., the RDS changes from breakdown of a zwitterionic tetrahedral intenmediate ( $\mathrm{T}^{=}$) to its formation as the attacking amine becomes more basic than the leaving group or the leaving group becomes less basic than the amine by 4 to $5 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ units. ${ }^{1 \cdot 5}$

The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ at the center of the Bronsted curvature has been defined as $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$. where a change in the RDS occurs. ${ }^{67}$ An intriguing question is that whether $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$ is dependent on the nature of the nonleaving group or not. Gresser and Jencks have found that the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$ for reactions of diaryl carbonates with a series of quinuclidines increases as the substituent in the nonleaving group changes from an electron-donating group (EDG) to an electron-withdrawing group (EWG). ${ }^{7}$ This has been rationalized on the basis that departure of the amine from $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$is favored. over that of the leaving group. as the substituent in the nonleaving group becomes a stronger EWG ${ }^{7}$ A similar result has been reported for pyridinolysis of 2.4-dinitrophenyl X-substituted benzoates. i.e., $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}=9.5$ when $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}$ but $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}>9.5$ when $\mathrm{X}=4-\mathrm{Cl}, 4-\mathrm{CN}$. or $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. and for aminolysis of $S$-2.4-dinitrophenyl X-substituted
thiobenzoates, $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ increases from 8.5 to 8.9 and 9.9 as X is changed from $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ to H and $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. in turn. ${ }^{8,9}$ Thus. $\mathrm{p} K_{a}{ }^{\circ}$ has been suggested to increase upon changing the substituent in the nonleaving group from an EDG to an EWG ${ }^{6.9}$

However, we have shown that the $\mathrm{p} K_{a}{ }^{\circ}$ value is independent of the electronic nature of the substituent $X$ in the nonleaving group for aminolysis of 2,4 -dinitrophenyl Xsubstituted benzoates ${ }^{10}$ and benzenesulfonates. ${ }^{11}$ A similar result has been found for reactions of Y-substituted phenyl X -substituted benzoates with piperidine and pyridines, i.e., the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$ remains nearly constant as the substituent X in the benzoyl moiety is progressively modified from an EWG to an $E D G^{\text {se. }}$.

We have recently performed reactions of 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-furoate (1) with a series of alicyclic secondary amines and


Scheme 1
concluded that the reactions proceed through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS as the amine becomes more basic than the leaving aryloxide or the leaving aryloxide becomes less basic than the amine by ca. $5 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ units. ${ }^{1 i d d}$ We have extended our study to aminolysis of 2,4dinitrophenyl 2-thiophenecarboxylate (2) to investigate the effect of modification of the nonleaving group from 2 -furoyl to 2-thiophenecarbonyl on reactivity and mechanism, particularly on the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio (see Scheme 1).

## Results and Discussion

Reactions of 2 with alicyclic secondary amines proceeded with quantitative liberation of 2.4 -dinitrophenoxide. The kinetic study was performed spectrophotometrically under pseudo-first-order conditions, e.g. the amine concentration was at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. All reactions obeyed first-order kinetics. Pseudo-first-order rate constants ( $k_{\text {obsd }}$ ) were calculated from the equation, $\ln \left(A_{x}-A_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=-k_{\text {obsd }}+\mathrm{C}$. The plot of $k_{\text {obsd }}$ versus amine concentration was linear and passed through the origin, indicating that general base catalysis by a second amine molecule is absent and the contribution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and/or $\mathrm{HO}^{-}$from hydrolysis of amine to $k_{o b s d}$ is negligible. Thus. the rate equation can be given as eq. (1). The apparent second-order rate constants ( $k$ ) were determined from the slope of the linear plots of $k_{\text {obsil }}$ versus amine concentration and are summarized in Table 1. It is estimated from the replicate rums that the uncertainty in the rate constants is less than $\pm 3 \%$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Rate }=k_{\mathrm{obst}}[2] . \text { where } k_{\mathrm{clssd}}=k_{\mathrm{R}}[\text { anline }] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Effect of Modification of Nonleaving Group from Furoyl to Thiophenecarbonyl on Reactivity and Mechanism. As shown in Table 1. the second-order rate constant $k_{\mathrm{A}}$ for the reactions of 2 decreases as the basicity of amines decreases, e.g. from $145 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to 15.3 and $0.397 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ as the $\mathrm{p} K_{4}$ of the conjugate acid of amines decreases from 11.02 to 8.65 and 5.95 , in turn. A similar result is shown for the corresponding reactions of 2.4-dinitrophenyl 2 -furoate (1) although the furoate $\mathbf{1}$ is $c a .3$ times more reactive than the thiophenecarboxylate 2 .

Table 1. Summary of Second-Order Rate Constants ( $k, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) for the Reactions of 2,4-Dinitrophenyl 2-Furoate (1) and 2-Thiophenecarboyylate (2) with Alicyclic Secondary Amines in $80 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $120 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMSO at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Entry | $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $k_{\mathrm{N}} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |  |
| 1 | piperidine | 11.02 | 427 | 145 |
| 2 | 3-methylpiperidine | 10.80 | 402 | 139 |
| 3 | piperazine | 9.85 | 224 | 68.2 |
| 4 | morpholine | 8.65 | 43.5 | 15.3 |
| 5 | l-fornylpiperazine | 7.98 | 12.3 | 4.04 |
| 6 | piperazinium ion | 5.95 | 1.47 | 0.397 |

[^0]

Figure 1. Bronsted-type plots for the reactions of 2,4 -dinitropheny1 2-Furoate (1, ) and 2,4-dinitrophenyl 2-thiophenecarbosylate (2, -) with alicyclic secondary amines in $80 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMSO at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The identity of points is given in Table 1 . The plots are statistically conected using $p$ and $q$. $^{\text {li }}$

The effect of amine basicity on reactivity is illustrated in Figure 1 for the reactions of 1 and 2 . The Bronsted-type plots are curved donnwardly, i.e, as the amine basicity increases, the slope decreases from 0.74 to 0.34 for the reactions of 2 and from 0.73 to 0.33 for those of $\mathbf{1}$. The curved Bronsted-type plot obtained for the reactions of the furoate 1 has recently been interpreted as evidence for a change in the RDS of a stepwise mechanism. i.e., from breakdown of $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$to its formation as the amine basicity increases. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~d}}$ The stepwise mechanism has been further supported from the contrasting Bronsted-type plots obtained for aminolysis of Y-substituted phenyl 2-furoates. i.e., the plot was linear with a $\beta_{\mathrm{lg}}$ value of 1.19 for the reactions with weakly basic morpholine but curved with decreasing $\beta_{\mathrm{lg}}$ from 1.25 to 0.28 for the reactions with strongly basic piperidine. ${ }^{12}$

The $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ at the center of the Bronsted curvature. defined as $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$ where $k_{-1}=k_{3}$, is 9.1 for the reactions of $\mathbf{2}$. which is $c a$. $5 \mathrm{p} K_{4}$ units higher than the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the conjugate acid of the leaving 2,4 -dinitrophenoxide. The current result is consistent with the report that a change in RDS occurs when the amine becomes more basic than the leaving group by 4 to $5 \mathrm{p} K_{4}$ units. ${ }^{1.5}$ Thus. one can suggest that the current aminolysis of 2 also proceeds through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS.

To examine the above argument that the reactions of 1 and 2 proceed through the same mechanism (i.e., a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS). a plot of $\log k_{\mathrm{v}}$ for the reaction of 2 versus $\log k_{\mathrm{h}}$ for the reaction of 1 has been constructed in Figure 2. One might expect a linear plot if the reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ proceed through a common mechanism.


Figure 2. Plot of $\log k$ for reactions of 1 versus $\log k$ for the reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ in $80 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMSO at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. the identity of pounts is given in lable 1 .

In fact. Figure 2 exhibits an excellent linearity, indicating that their mechanism is the same. The slope of 1.03 for the linear plot is consistent with the fact that the reactions of 2 exhibit slightly larger slope in the Bronsted-type plot than those of $\mathbf{1}$. Thus. one can conclude that the current reactions proceed through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS. Accordingly, the apparent second-order rate constant $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ can be expressed as eq. (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=k_{1} k_{2} /\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dissection of $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ into Microscopic Rate Constants. The nonlinear Bronsted-type plot in Figure I has been analyzed using a semiempirical equation (eq. 3). ${ }^{7,13}$ where $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta$ = represent the slope of the Bronsted-type plot in Figure I for the reaction with strongly and weakly basic amines. respectively. The $k_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\circ}$ refers to the $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ at $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}$ in which $k_{-1}=k_{\mathrm{s}}$. The parameters detemined for the reactions of 2 are as follows: $\log h^{0}{ }^{0}=1.20 . \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{0}=9.1 . \beta_{1}=0.34$ and $\beta_{2}=0.74$. Therefore, one can suggest that the RDS for the reaction of 2 changes from the $k$ step to the $k_{1}$ process as the amine basicity increases on the basis of the magnitude of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ values.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \left(k_{\mathrm{N}} / k_{\mathrm{y}}^{\circ}\right)=\beta_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\circ}\right)-\log (1+\alpha) / 2 \\
& \text { where } \log \alpha=\left(\beta_{z}-\beta_{\mathrm{l}}\right)\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\circ}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The $k_{\mathrm{y}}$ values for the reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ have been dissected into their microscopic rate constants to shed more light on the reaction mechanism. The $k_{y} / k_{-1}$ ratios associated with the reactions of 2 have been determined using eqs. (4)-(9). Eq. (2) can be simplified to eq. (4) or (5). Then. $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ can be expressed as eqs. (6) and (7). respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1}=k_{1} k_{2} / k_{-1} \text {, when } k_{2} \ll k_{-1}  \tag{4}\\
& \text { or } k_{N}=k_{1} \text {, when } k_{2} \gg k_{-1} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 2. Summary Microscopic Rate Constants $k_{1}$ and $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ Ratios for the Reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ with Alicyclic Secondary Ammes in 80 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DMSO}$ at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Entry | $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $k_{1} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  | $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}^{\sigma}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| 1 piperidine | 11.02 | 482 | 164 | 7.73 | 7.73 |
| 2 3-methylpiperidine | 10.80 | 466 | 161 | 632 | 6.32 |
| 3 piperazine | 9.85 | 336 | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 260 | 200 |
| 4 morpholine | 8.65 | 934 | 32.9 | 0.872 | 0872 |
| 5 1-formy lpiperazine | 7.98 | 38.5 | 12.6 | 0.470 | 0.470 |
| 6 piperazinium ion | 595 | 168 | 4.55 | 0.096 | 0.996 |

${ }^{a}$ Data for the reactions of 1 taken from ref. 10 d .

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta_{1} & =\mathrm{d}\left(\log k_{1}\right) / \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)  \tag{6}\\
\beta_{2} & =\mathrm{d}\left(\log k_{1} k_{2} / k_{-1}\right) / \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \\
& =\beta_{1}+\mathrm{d}\left(\log k_{2} / k_{-1}\right) / \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (7) can be rearranged as eq. (8). Integral of eq. (8) from $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$ results in eq. (9). Since $k_{2}=k_{-1}$ at $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}$, the tenm $\left(\log k_{2} / k_{-1}\right)_{p K^{\prime} a}{ }^{\circ}$ is zero. Therefore. one can calculate the $k_{-} / k_{-1}$ ratios for the reactions of 2 from eq. (9) using $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}=9.1 . \beta_{1}$ $=0.34$ and $\beta_{2}=0.74$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{2}-\beta_{1}=\mathrm{d}\left(\log k_{2} / k_{-1}\right) / \mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& \left(\log k_{2} / k_{-1}\right)_{\mathrm{pKa}}=\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\circ}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The $k_{1}$ values have been determined from eq. (10) using the $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ values in Table 1 and the $k_{7} / k_{-1}$ ratios detemined above. The $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratios and $k_{1}$ values determined are summarized in Table 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{y}}=k_{1} k_{2} /\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right)=k_{1} /\left(k_{-1} / k_{2}+1\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Effect of Nonleaving Group on Microscopic Rate Constants. It has been reported that the basicity of amines does not influence $k_{2}$ since the push provided by aminium moiety of $\mathrm{T}^{ \pm}$is absent. ${ }^{7.14}$ On the other hand. $k_{-1}$ would increase with decreasing the amine basicity. Thus. one can expect that the $k y / k_{-1}$ ratio decreases as the amine basicity decreases. In fact, as shown in Table 2, the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio decreases as the amine basicity decreases for the reactions of 1 and 2.

Thiophene- 2 -carboxylic acid is known to be a weaker acid than 2 -furoic acid. ${ }^{15}$ Accordingly. one might expect the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio would be larger for the reaction of 2 than for the corresponding reaction of 1 , if an acid strengthening substituent in the nonleaving group decreases the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio as suggested by Gresser and Jencks ${ }^{7}$ and by Castro et al.$^{8.9}$ However. as shown in Table 2, the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio for the reaction of 2 is exactly the same as that for the corresponding reaction of 1 . indicating that modification of the nonleaving group from furoyl to thiophenecarbonyl does not affect the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio. The current result is consistent with our previous proposal that the $k_{y} / k_{-1}$ ratio is independent of the electronic nature of the substituent in the nonleaving group of 2.4dinitrophenyl X-substituted benzoates ( $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right)$ and benzenesulfonates ( $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3}-$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}\right) .{ }^{10.11}$ We have proposed that an EWG in the non-


Figure 3. Bronsted-type plots for $k_{1}$ for the reactions of $1(0)$ and 2 ( - ) with alicyclic secondary amines in $80 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMSO at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The identity of points is given in Table 2 .
leaving group decreases both $k_{2}$ and $k_{-1}$. while an EDG increases them, since the leaving aryloxide and amine depart from $\mathrm{T}=$ with the bonding electron pair. This argument can account for the result that the reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ result in the same $k_{-} / k_{-1}$ ratio. ${ }^{\text {[0. } 11}$

As mentioned in the previous section, $k_{\mathrm{N}}$ for the reaction of $\mathbf{2}$ is smaller than that of $\mathbf{1}$ for a given amine. Since as shown in eq. (10), i.e., $k_{\mathrm{v}}=k_{1} k_{2} /\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right)$ or $k_{\mathrm{y}}=k_{1} /\left(k_{-1} / k_{\mathrm{z}}+\right.$ 1) in the current aminolysis. the magnitude of $k_{\mathrm{A}}$ for the reactions of 1 and 2 should be dependent on $k_{1}$ and/or the $k_{-} / k_{-1}$ ratio. Table 2 shows that the $k_{2} / k_{-1}$ ratio is the same for the reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 , while $k_{1}$ is larger for the reactions of 1 than for the corresponding reactions of 2 . One might expect that the replacement of the O atom in the furoyl ring by a less electronegative S atom causes a decrease in the $k_{1}$ value by decreasing the electrophilicity of 2 . Thus. one can suggest that the smaller $k_{1}$ for the reactions of $\mathbf{2}$ is fully responsible for the fact that $\mathbf{2}$ is less reactive than $\mathbf{1}$ toward all the amines studied.
The effect of amine basicity on $k_{1}$ is illustrated in Figure 3. It is shown that $k_{1}$ increases linearly as the amine basicity increases for both reactions of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$. The slope of the linear plots is slightly larger for the reactions of $2\left(\beta_{1}=0.34\right)$ than for those of $\mathbf{1}\left(\beta_{1}=0.32\right)$, but the difference in $\beta_{1}$ value is within the error range.

## Conclusions

The current study has allowed us to conclude the following: (1) Aminolysis of 2 proceeds through a stepwise mechanism with a change in the RDS at $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=9.1$. (2) Replacement of the O atom in the furoyl ring of $\mathbf{1}$ by an S atom ( $\mathbf{1}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ ) causes a decrease in reactivity but does not influence the reaction mechanism. (3) The reactions of 1 and 2 result
in the same $k_{-} / k_{-1}$ ratio, indicating that modification of the nonleaving group from furoyl to thiophenecarbonyl does not affect the $k \nu k_{-1}$ ratio. (4) Reactions of 2 result in smaller $k_{1}$ than the corresponding reactions of 1 . which is fully responsible for the fact that $\mathbf{2}$ is less reactive than $\mathbf{1}$.

## Experimental Section

Materials. Compound 2 was easily prepared from the reaction of 2,4 -dinitrophenol with 2 -thiophenecarbonyl chloride under presence of triethy lamine in anhydrous ether. The purity of 2 was checked by means of the melting point $\left(110-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 9.05$ (d. $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{IH}$ ). 8.58 (dd. $J=10.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{lH}) .8 .06$ (dd. $J=5.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .80$ (dd. $J=5.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H}) .7 .67(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{IH}) .7 .25(\mathrm{t}$. $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz} .1 \mathrm{H})$, and anal. calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 44.90: \mathrm{H}$. 2.06. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 44.07$ : H. 2.10. Other chemicals including the amines used were of the highest quality available. The reaction medium was $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DMSO due to low solubility of the substrate $\mathbf{2}$ in pure $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Doubly glass distilled water was further boiled and cooled under nitrogen just before use.

Kinetics. The kinetic study was performed with a UV-vis spectrophotometer for slow reactions ( $t_{12} \geq 10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) or with a stopped-flow spectrophotometer for fast reactions ( $t_{12}<10$ s) equipped with a constant temperature circulating bath to keep the temperature in the reaction cell at $25.0 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was followed by monitoring the appearance of the leaving 2,4 -dinitophenoxide ion. All the reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the amine concentrations were at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. The amine stock solution of $c a .0 .2$ M was prepared by dissolving two equiv of free amine and one equiv of standardized HCl solution to keep the pH constant by making a self buffered solution. Five different amine concentrations were employed to determine secondorder rate constants. All the solutions were prepared freshly just before use under nitrogen and transferred by gas-tight syringes.

Product Analysis. 2.4-dinitrophenoxide was liberated quantitatively and identified as one of the products by comparison of the UV-vis spectrum at the end of reaction with the authentic sample under the experimental condition.
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