Prone Position-Related Meralgia Paresthetica after Lumbar Spinal Surgery: A Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Lateral femoral cutaneous neuropathy occurring during spinal surgery is frequently related to iliac bone graft harvesting, but meralgia paresthetica (MP) can result from the patient being in the prone position. Prone position-related MP is not an uncommon complication after posterior spine surgery but there are only few reports in the literature on this subject. It is usually overlooked because of its mild symptoms and self-limiting course, or patients and physicians may misunderstand the persistence of lower extremity symptoms in the early postoperative period to be a reflection of poor surgical outcome. The authors report a case of prone position-related MP after posterior lumbar interbody fusion at the L3-4 and reviewed the literature with discussion on the incidence, pathogenesis, and possible risk factors related to this entity.
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INTRODUCTION

Meralgia paresthetica (MP) is a neuropathy resulting from compression of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN) as it crosses between the anterior superior iliac spine (ASIS) and the inguinal ligament, while entering the thigh.1-3,8,10,11

LFCN neuropathy occurring during spinal surgery is frequently related to iliac bone graft harvesting, but MP can occur de novo as a transient compression neuropathy resulting from placing the patient in a prone position for spinal surgery3,8,10,11,13. Prone position-related MP is not an uncommon occurrence in patients who have undergone posterior spine surgery, but there are only few reports in the literature on this subject.3,8,10,11

We report a case of prone position-related MP after posterior lumbar interbody fusion (PLIF) at the L3-L4 level with review of the literature and discussion on the incidence, pathogenesis, and possible risk factors related to this entity.

CASE REPORT

A 51-year-old female presented with several months history of low back pain and referred pain to her buttock and thigh. She was treated with various non-operative measures, but her pain became more intense over the course of the treatment period. Her height was 160 cm, and her body weight was 61 kg. Radiographs of the lumbar spine demonstrated spondylolisthesis with dynamic instability at the L3-L4 level. Magnetic resonance images demonstrated lumbar stenosis at that level. Instrumented PLIF was performed, with pedicle screws and two intervertebral cages filled with artificial bone chips. Intraoperative blood loss was 500 cc, and operative time was recorded as 270 min.

Postoperatively, the patient complained of unpleasant paresthesia and burning sensation over the anterior aspect of the right thigh. Neurological examination revealed hypesthesia of the right anterior thigh, with no motor weakness. We initially considered the possibility of right L3 nerve root injury caused by pedicle screw misplacement, but postoperative plain radiography and computed tomography of the lumbar spine demonstrated proper positioning of the pedicle screws and the right-sided intervertebral cage (Fig. 1). The day after surgery, the patient noted
increased sensitivity to clothing. She was able to partially alleviate the burning sensation by rubbing or massaging her right thigh. She was not able to sit for a few minutes because of aggravation of the burning sensation in the right thigh. She had to alternate between sitting and standing multiple times during meals. She also noted a tender point near the right ASIS, where pressure reproduced the burning sensation. We considered the possibility of MP secondary to compression of the LFCN near the ASIS, possibly caused by the pelvic bolster placed under the ASIS during surgery in the prone position. Oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) and gabapentin were administered, but the patient’s symptom persisted.

Two weeks after surgery, an electrodiagnostic study was conducted to determine if there was nerve injury. No waves were found on nerve conduction study or sensory evoked potential studies of the right LFCN. Needle electromyography studies revealed no definite evidence of denervation in the vastus lateralis, vastus medialis, iliopsoas, or adductor magnus muscles. We diagnosed the patient with the right LFCN entrapment neuropathy at the level of the ASIS, without lumbar radiculopathy, on the basis of the electrodiagnostic study results.

The patient’s symptoms were partially relieved after injection of a local anesthetic and steroid at the point of tenderness. With continued NSAID and gabapentin, her symptoms almost completely subsided by 6 months after surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

The LFCN is formed from the L2 and L3 spinal nerve roots and travel along the posterolateral aspect of the psoas major muscle, crossing the ilium toward the ASIS. Compression of the LFCN may occur at the level of the L2 and L3 roots as a result of upper lumbar disk herniation, or tumor in the second lumbar vertebra. The LFCN may also be compressed along its retroperitoneal course by space-occupying lesions, such as tumors, or by retraction of the psoas muscle during a retroperitoneal procedure. Abnormal posture, persistent regional muscle spasm, tight-fitting braces or corsets, and thigh injuries are other sources of impingement on the LFCN. LFCN neuropathy occurring during spinal surgery is frequently related to iliac bone graft harvesting but MP can occur de novo as a transient compression neuropathy resulting from prone positioning of the patient during spinal surgery.

Variability exists in the course of the LFCN, its relation to the ASIS, and its exit point. Aszmann et al. observed that in 5 of the 9 dissected cadaver, the exit of the nerve was more than 1 cm away from the ASIS, and Murata et al. found that LFCN may cross over the iliac crest more than 2 cm away from the ASIS. De Riddler et al. found abnormal anatomy in 26% of cadavers. The nerve is most vulnerable and susceptible to compression and entrapment at its exit point. Prone position-related MP is not an uncommon occurrence in patients who have undergone posterior spine
surgery, but there are few reports in the literature on this subject (Table 1).

The reported incidence of prone position-related MP after posterior spinal surgery was as high as 24%. Unilateral MP was more frequent, although Mirowski et al. reported MP in 11 of 45 patients who underwent posterior spinal procedures, mainly fusions without iliac bone graft harvesting, on four-post Hall-Relton frame and all the 11 patients showed bilateral MP\textsuperscript{5,7,13}. Asymmetric positioning may cause excessive compression near one LFCN and may cause unilateral involvement of the LFCN. If the distance between two pelvic posts, supports, or bolsters is not wide enough, excessive compression will be generated near both ASIS and may cause bilateral MP\textsuperscript{13}. Statistically significant risk factors related to the development of prone position-related MP are surgery longer than 3.5 hours and degenerative spinal disorders related to mild preexisting damage to the second or third lumbar roots caused by degenerative intervertebral disks or hypertrophic facet joint\textsuperscript{10}. Probable risk factors are ankylosing spondylitis, intraoperative hypotension and significant blood loss during surgery\textsuperscript{4,10}. There are conflicting opinions about patient body weight as it relates to prone position-related MP risk. Yang et al. reported that overweight/obese patients and those with greater body mass index (BMI) [calculated by the formula: body weight (kg)/height (m)\textsuperscript{2}] had a significantly higher incidence of prone position-related MP. In the prone position, the abdominal wall distends downward because of the weight of the viscera and an abundance of subcutaneous fat. As the LFCN courses between the two laminae of the iliac fascia, the downward distension of the abdominal wall can stretch the anterior lamina, which is firmly attached to the muscles of the anterior abdominal wall, and increase compression of the LFCN. This compression effect is more significant in overweight and obese patients\textsuperscript{13}. However, Gupta et al. reported a higher incidence of prone position-related MP in thinner individuals, supporting the theory of direct compression of the LFCN in the prone position\textsuperscript{11}.

In our patient, operative time was 4 hours. She had spondylolisthesis and stenosis at the L3-L4 level, which was probably related to chronic damage to the L3 nerve root. Intraoperative blood loss was not insignificant (500 cc). Her BMI was 23.8 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}, which was within normal limit according to the report by WHO expert consultation\textsuperscript{12}. Longer surgical time, probably chronic damage to the L3 root, significant intraoperative blood loss and asymmetric positioning might have led to the unilateral prone position-related MP in our patient.

Although prone position-related MP is not uncommon occurrence after posterior spine surgery, it is usually overlooked because the sensory impairment is not always severe and recovery is usually rapid and complete as in the literature reviewed\textsuperscript{5,7,13}. The condition is usually self-limiting and does not require any specific treatment. Nevertheless, it is sometimes associated with severe pain, paresthesias, burning sensation, and restriction of daily living, as was seen in our patient. Patients and physicians may misunderstand the persistence of lower extremity symptoms in the early postoperative period to be a reflection of poor surgical outcome. MP is frequently misdiagnosed and may be mistakenly attributed to surgical sequelae, as in our case. As soon as MP is suspected, it can be diagnosed or excluded through electrophysiological studies of the LFCN and can be treated with medication, physiotherapy, local steroid/anesthetic injection, or nerve decompression surgery\textsuperscript{19}.

**CONCLUSION**

Although prone position-related MP is not an uncommon complication after posterior spine surgery, it is usually overlooked because of its mild symptoms and self-limiting course. Nevertheless, it is sometimes associated with severe burning sensation and restriction of daily living, and may be mistakenly attributed to surgical sequelae or poor surgical outcome, as in our patient. To decrease the incidence of prone position-related MP, certain preoperative cautions should be observed. The patient should be positioned symmetrically, with smaller bolsters under the ASIS and adequate distance between the two pelvic posts, supports, or bolsters. Every effort should be made to reduce the length of surgery, minimize intraoperative blood loss, and avoid hypotension during surgery.
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