

A NOTE ON LOWER RADICALS OF HEMIRINGS

MUHAMMAD ZULFIQAR

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we generalize a few results of [7, 10] for lower radical classes of rings, by using the limit ordinal construction for lower radical classes of hemirings.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

D. M. Olson and T. L. Jenksins [8] discussed general Radical Theory of Hemirings. The theory was further enriched by many authors (see [4, 12, 13]). The lower radicals were investigated by (see [5, 6, 9, 10, 11]) for radical classes of rings. Here we are interesting to generalize a several results of (see [3, 7, 10]) in the frame work of hemiring which is quite different from ring theoretical approach discussed in (see [3, 7, 10]).

A semiring $(R, +, \cdot)$ is called a *hemiring* if

- (i) ‘+’ is commutative,
- (ii) there exists an element $0 \in R$ such that 0 is the identity of $(R, +)$ and the zero element of (R, \cdot) , i.e., $0r = r0 = 0, \forall r \in R$.

If I is an semi-ideals of R , then we denote $I \leq R$.

Lower radical classes for hemirings can be constructed similar to the construction of lower radicals for rings (see [3, 5, 6, 9, 10,11]).

First we include necessary preliminary, let ω be the universal class of all hemirings and M be a sub-class of ω and let M_0 be the homomorphic closure of M in ω . For each $A \in \omega$, let $D_1(R)$ be the set of all semi-ideals of R . Inductively we define

$$D_{n+1}(R) = \{I : I \text{ is an semi-ideal of some hemiring in } D_n(R)\}.$$

Let $D(R) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_n(R)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. By using ring theoretical approach discussed in [11], we have

$$\mathcal{LM} = \{R \in \omega : D(R/I) \cap M_0 \neq 0 \text{ for each proper semi-ideal } I \text{ of } R\},$$

Received March 20, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16N80.

Key words and phrases. hemiring, radical classes, universal class, semisimple class, homomorphically closed, p -semi-ideal, k -ideal, hereditary class, lower radical.

is the Lee construction for lower radical determined by M , and $M \subseteq \mathcal{L}M$ (see also [8, 12, 13]).

Let ω be a universal class of (not necessarily associative) hemirings and let $A \subseteq \omega$. R. Wiegandt has given in [11] a construction for $\mathcal{L}A$, the lower radical class determined by A in ω . Using this construction, Leavitt and Hoffmann have proved in [3] that if A is a hereditary class (if $K \in A$ and $I \leq K$, then $I \in A$), then $\mathcal{L}A$ is also hereditary. In this paper lower radical construction is given. As applications, a simple proof is given of the theorem of Leavitt and Hoffmann and a result of Y. L. Lee and R. E. Propes [7] for hemirings is extended to not necessarily associative hemirings.

Let $A \subseteq \omega$ be any class of hemirings. Define $R_1(A)$ to be the homomorphic closure of A . Proceeding inductively, let μ be an ordinal exceeding one and suppose the classes $R_\lambda(A)$ have been defined for all $\lambda < \mu$. If μ is not a limit ordinal, define

$$R_\mu(A) = \{K \in \omega : I, K/I \in R_{\mu-1}(A) \text{ for some } I < K\}.$$

If μ is a limit ordinal, define $R_\mu(A) = \{K \in \omega : K \text{ contains a chain } I_\gamma \text{ of semi-ideals such that each } I_\gamma \in \bigcup_{\lambda < \mu} R_\lambda(A), \text{ and } K = \cup I_\gamma\}$. Finally define $R(A) = \cup R_\lambda(A)$, where the union is taken over all ordinals λ . For undefined terms of hemirings we may refer (see [1, 2, 8]).

2. Lower radicals

We extend the result of [7] by using the above limit ordinal construction of lower radical for hemiring which is indeed provides an excellent and different approach to handle the many results of [7] in the frame work of hemiring.

The following theorem was proved by F. A. Szasz [10] for rings. Here we generalize it for hemiring, which can be obtained on the line of rings theoretical approach.

Theorem 2.1. *Let ω be a universal class of hemiring and let $A \subseteq \omega$. Then A is a radical class in ω if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) A is homomorphically closed,
- (2) If $I, K/I \in A$, then $K \in A$,
- (3) The union of a chain of A -semi-ideals of a ω -hemiring K is again an A -semi-ideal of K .

The following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 2.2. *If λ and μ are ordinals with $\lambda \leq \mu$, then $R_\lambda(A) \subseteq R_\mu(A)$.*

Theorem 2.3. *For every ordinal $\lambda \geq 1$, $R_\lambda(A)$ is homomorphically closed. Hence $R(A)$ is homomorphically closed.*

Proof. $R_1(A)$ is homomorphically closed. Let $\mu > 1$ be an ordinal, and suppose $R_\lambda(A)$ is homomorphically closed for all $\lambda < \mu$. Let $K \in R_\mu(A)$ and let $I < K$. If μ is a limit ordinal, there is a chain $\{I_\gamma\}$ of semi-ideals of K such that

$I_\gamma \in R_\lambda(A)$ with $\lambda < \mu$ and such that $K = \cup I_\gamma$. But $\{(I + I_\gamma)/I\}$ is a chain of semi-ideals of K/I , and K/I is its union. Since $(I + I_\gamma)/I \cong I_\gamma/(I^* \cap I_\gamma)$, where I^* is a k -ideal generated by I (see [8, 13]) each of these semi-ideals is a homomorphic image of some I_γ , and thus by induction hypothesis each $(I + I_\gamma)/I \in R_\lambda(A)$ with $\lambda < \mu$. This implies that $K/I \in R_\mu(A)$.

Now suppose $\mu - 1$ exists. Then K contains an semi-ideal J so that $J, K/J \in R_{\mu-1}(A)$. By the induction hypothesis, $(J + I)/I \in R_{\mu-1}(A)$ and $K/(I + J) \in R_{\mu-1}(A)$, since the former is a homomorphic image of J and latter of K/J . Since $[R/I]/[(J + I)^*/I] \cong R/(J + I)$, where $(J + I)^*$ is a k -ideal generated by $(J + I)$ (see [12]), $K/I \in R_\mu(A)$. Thus by transfinite induction $R_\mu(A)$ is homomorphically closed for ordinal μ . It follows immediately that $R(A)$ is homomorphically closed. \square

We now show that $R(A)$ satisfies Conditions 2 and 3 of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $K \in \omega$, and let $\{I_\lambda\}$ be a chain of $R(A)$ -semi-ideals of K . Then $\cup I_\lambda$ is an $R(A)$ -semi-ideal of K .*

Proof. Since K is a set, there is by Theorem 2.2 an ordinal μ with the property that $I_\lambda \in R_\mu(A)$ for each λ . Let δ be a limit ordinal exceeding μ , then $\cup I_\lambda \in R_\delta(A)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let $K \in \omega$, and suppose K contains an semi-ideal $I \in R(A)$ such that $K/I \in R(A)$. Then $K \in R(A)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, there is an ordinal μ such that $I, K/I \in R_\mu(A)$. This implies that $K \in R_{\mu+1}(A)$. \square

Theorem 2.6. $R(A) = \mathcal{L}(A)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 and Theorems 2.3, 2.4, and 2.5, $R(A)$ is a radical class in ω . By the minimality of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ among radical classes in ω which contain A , it is enough to show $R(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$. This is accomplished by proving $R_\lambda(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ for every ordinal λ . Clearly $R_1(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$. Let μ be an ordinal exceeding one, and assume $R_\lambda(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ for all ordinals $\lambda < \mu$. Let $K \in R_\mu(A)$. If μ is a limit ordinal, K be the union of a chain of semi-ideals from the classes $R_\lambda(A)$, where $\lambda < \mu$. Thus by induction hypothesis K is the union of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -semi-ideals, so $K \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ by Theorem 2.1. If μ is not a limit ordinal, there is an semi-ideal I of K such that $I \in R_{\mu-1}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ and $K/I \in R_{\mu-1}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$. Again, $K \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ by Theorem 2.1. Thus $R_\mu(A) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ for all ordinals $\mu \geq 1$. \square

We now give a simple proof of the following theorem which appears in [3]. Other results of the form A has property ρ implies $\mathcal{L}A$ has property ρ , perhaps, be provable in a similar way. The following theorem was proved by A. E. Hoffman and W. G. Leavitt [3] and we generalize it in the frame work of hemirings. Here we give a proof of this theorem which is entirely different from [3].

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A \subseteq \omega$ where ω is some universal class of hemiring. Then if A is hereditary, so is $\mathcal{L}(A)$.*

Proof. We prove that $R_\mu(A)$ is hereditary for each $\mu \geq 1$. This is easily seen to be true if $\mu = 1$. Thus, assume $\mu > 1$, and suppose $R_\lambda(A)$ is a hereditary class for each $\lambda < \mu$. Let $K \in R_\mu(A)$, and suppose $I \leq K$. If μ is a limit ordinal, $K = \cup I_\gamma$ where $\{I_\gamma\}$ is a chain of semi-ideals each belonging to one of the (hereditary) classes $R_\lambda(A)$, $\lambda < \mu$. But then $I = \cup(I_\gamma \cap I)$ so $I \in R_\mu(A)$. If μ is not a limit ordinal, there is a semi-ideal J of K so that $J, K/J \in R_{\mu-1}(A)$. Since $R_{\mu-1}(A)$ is hereditary, $I \cap J \in R_{\mu-1}(A)$ and $(J + I)/J \cong I/(I \cap J^*) \in R_{\mu-1}(A)$, where J^* is a k -ideal generated by J (see [8, 13]). This implies that $I \in R_\mu(A)$. \square

If ρ is a radical class of hemiring then its semisimple class is denoted by $S\rho$. The proof of Theorem 2.9 requires the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *If ρ is a radical class in ω and for some $\acute{K} \in \omega$ a subhemiring $K \subseteq \acute{K}$ is the set theoretic union of ρ -semi-ideals of \acute{K} , then $K \in \rho$.*

Proof. If $K = \cup I_\lambda$ not belong to ρ , then $K/I \in S\rho = \{T \in \omega : T \text{ has no nonzero } \rho\text{-semi-ideals}\}$ for some $I \neq K$. By λ , we have $I_\lambda \not\subseteq I$, so

$$(I_\lambda + I)/I \cong I_\lambda/(I^* \cap I_\lambda),$$

where I^* is a k -ideal generated by I (see [8, 13]) is a nonzero ρ -semi-ideal of K/I . This contradiction proves that $K \in \rho$. \square

The following theorem was proved by Y. L. Lee and R. E. Propes [7] and we generalize it in the frame work of hemirings. Here we give a proof of this theorem which is entirely different from [7].

Theorem 2.9. *If A_1 and A_2 are homomorphically closed, hereditary classes of ω -hemirings, then $\mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \mathcal{L}A_1 \cap \mathcal{L}A_2$.*

Proof. Trivially $\mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}A_1 \cap \mathcal{L}A_2$. Since $K \in \mathcal{L}A_1 \cap \mathcal{L}A_2$ if and only if $K \in R_\gamma(A_1) \cap R_\gamma(A_2)$ for some ordinal number γ . It suffices to prove $R_\gamma(A_1) \cap R_\gamma(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}A_1 \cap \mathcal{L}A_2$ for each ordinal $\gamma \geq 1$. This is clear for $\gamma = 1$. Let μ be an ordinal number greater 1 and suppose $R_\lambda(A_1) \cap R_\lambda(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ for each ordinal $\lambda < \mu$. Let $K \in R_\mu(A_1) \cap R_\mu(A_2)$. If μ is a limit ordinal, K is the union of a chain $\{I_\gamma\}$, $\gamma \in C$ of semi-ideals each belonging to one of the classes $R_\lambda(A_1)$ for $\lambda < \mu$. Also K is the union of a chain $\{J_\delta\}$, $\delta \in D$ of semi-ideals each belonging to one of the classes $R_\lambda(A_2)$ for $\lambda < \mu$. If $x \in K$, $x \in J_\delta$ for some $\delta \in D$ and $x \in I_\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in C$, so $x \in J_\delta \cap I_\gamma$ for some $(\delta, \gamma) \in D \times C$. Since $J_\delta \in R_\lambda(A_2)$ for $\lambda < \mu$, and since $R_\lambda(A_2)$ is hereditary (see proof of Theorem 2.7), $J_\delta \cap I_\alpha \in R_\lambda(A_2)$. Similarly $J_\delta \cap I_\gamma \in R_\eta(A_1)$ for some $\eta < \mu$. Thus $J_\delta \cap I_\gamma \in R_\beta(A_1) \cap R_\beta(A_2)$, where $\beta = \max[\eta, \lambda]$. Since $\beta < \mu$, the induction hypothesis so that K is the set-theoretic union of $\mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ -semi-ideals. So, by Lemma 2.8, $K \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$. Now suppose $\mu - 1$ exists, and let $K \in R_\mu(A_1) \cap R_\mu(A_2)$. Then there exist semi-ideals I and

J such that $I, K/I \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1)$ and $J, K/J \in R_{\mu-1}(A_2)$. Since $R_{\mu-1}(A_1)$ and $R_{\mu-1}(A_2)$ are hereditary, $I \cap J \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1) \cap R_{\mu-1}(A_2)$ so $I \cap J \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$. Since $R_{\mu-1}(A_1)$ is homomorphically closed (Theorem 2.3),

$$I/(I \cap J^*) \cong (I + J)/J \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1),$$

where J^* is a k -ideal generated by J (see [8, 13]). Since $R_{\mu-1}(A_2)$ is hereditary, $(I + J)/J$, as a semi-ideal of K/J is a member of $R_{\mu-1}(A_2)$. Thus

$$I/(I \cap J^*) \cong (I + J)/J \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1) \cap R_{\mu-1}(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2),$$

where J^* is a k -ideal generated by J (see [8, 13]). Thus $I \cap J \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1) \cap R_{\mu-1}(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ and $I/(I + J) \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1) \cap R_{\mu-1}(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$.

Thus since $I \cap J \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ and $I/(I + J) \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$, $I \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$. Similarly, $J \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ so that $I + J$ is an $\mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ -semi-ideal of K . Also $K/(I + J) \in R_{\mu-1}(A_1) \cap R_{\mu-1}(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ since it is homomorphically image of both K/J and K/I . Thus, since $I + J \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ and $K/(I + J) \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$, we have that $K \in \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$. We have shown that $R_{\mu}(A_1) \cap R_{\mu}(A_2) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A_1 \cap A_2)$ which proves the theorem. \square

References

- [1] J. S. Golan, *The theory of semirings with applications in mathematics and theoretical computer science*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 54. Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [2] U. Hebisch and H. J. Weinert, *Semirings: algebraic theory and applications in computer science*, Translated from the 1993 German original. Series in Algebra, 5. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [3] A. E. Hoffman and W. G. Leavitt, *Properties inherited by the lower radical*, Portugal. Math. **27** (1968), 63–66.
- [4] D. R. LaTorre, *On h -ideals and k -ideals in hemirings*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **12** (1965), 219–226.
- [5] Y. L. Lee, *On the construction of upper radical properties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1165–1166.
- [6] ———, *On the construction of lower radical properties*, Pacific J. Math. **28** (1969), 393–395.
- [7] Y. L. Lee and R. E. Propes, *On intersections and unions of radical classes*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **13** (1972), 354–356.
- [8] D. M. Olson and T. L. Jenksins, *Radical theory for hemirings*, J. Natur. Sci. Math. **23** (1983), no. 1, 23–32.
- [9] H. J. le Roux and G. A. P. Heyman, *A note on the lower radical*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **28** (1981), no. 1-2, 11–13.
- [10] F. A. Szász, *Radicals of Rings*, Mathematical Institute Hungarian Academy of Sciences, 1981.
- [11] R. Wiegandt, *Radical and Semisimple Classes of Rings*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 37. Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., 1974.
- [12] S. M. Yusuf and M. Shabir, *Radical classes and semisimple classes for hemirings*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **23** (1988), no. 1-2, 231–235.
- [13] M. Zulfiqar, *The sum of two radical classes of hemirings*, Kyungpook Math. J. **43** (2003), no. 3, 371–374.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
GOVT. COLLEGE UNIVERSITY LAHORE
LAHORE 54000, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: mzulfiqarshafi@hotmail.com