# IMAGINARY BICYCLIC FUNCTION FIELDS WITH THE REAL CYCLIC SUBFIELD OF CLASS NUMBER ONE

### HWANYUP JUNG

ABSTRACT. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$  and  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ . Fix a prime divisor  $\ell$  of q-1. In this paper, we consider a  $\ell$ -cyclic real function field  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  as a subfield of the imaginary bicyclic function field  $K = k(\sqrt[\ell]{P}, \sqrt[\ell]{-Q})$ , which is a composite field of  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  with a  $\ell$ -cyclic totally imaginary function field  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{-Q})$  of class number one, and give various conditions for the class number of  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  to be one by using invariants of the relatively cyclic unramified extensions  $K/F_i$  over  $\ell$ -cyclic totally imaginary function field  $F_i = k(\sqrt[\ell]{-P^iQ})$  for  $1 < i < \ell - 1$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Gauss has conjectured that there exist infinitely many real quadratic fields of class number one, which is now still unsolved. In [9], in connection with this Gauss' conjecture, Yokoi considered a real quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ , where p is a prime number with  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , as a subfield of the imaginary biquadratic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}, \sqrt{-q})$ , which is a composite field of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  with an imaginary quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$  of class number one, and gave various conditions for the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$  to be one by using invariants of the relatively cyclic unramified extension K/F over imaginary quadratic field  $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-pq})$ . In this paper we extend Yokoi's result to the imaginary bicyclic function field case.

Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ , the rational function field with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ . Fix a prime divisor  $\ell$  of q-1. For a monic prime  $P \in \mathbb{A}$ , if  $\ell \mid \deg P$ , then  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  is the unique  $\ell$ -cyclic real subfield of  $K_P^+$ , where  $K_P^+$  is the maximal real subfield of the P-th cyclotomic function field  $K_P$ . Otherwise  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{-P})$  is the unique  $\ell$ -cyclic totally imaginary subfield of  $K_P$ . In this paper, we consider a  $\ell$ -cyclic real function field  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  as a subfield of the imaginary bicyclic function field  $K = k(\sqrt[\ell]{P}, \sqrt[\ell]{-Q})$ , which is a composite field of  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  with a  $\ell$ -cyclic totally imaginary function field  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{-Q})$  of class number one, and give various

Received October 5, 2007.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11R29, 11R58.

Key words and phrases. imaginary bicyclic function field, real cyclic function field, class number one.

This work was supported by the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation(KOSEF) grant funded by the Korea government(MOST) (No. R01-2006-000-10320-0).

conditions for the class number of  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  to be one by using invariants of the relatively cyclic unramified extensions  $K/F_i$  over  $\ell$ -cyclic totally imaginary function field  $F_i = k(\sqrt[\ell]{-P^iQ})$  for  $1 \le i \le \ell - 1$ . When  $\ell = 2$  (q is odd) our result is a function field analog of Yokoi's result.

# 2. Notations and preliminary results

Let F be a global function field with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $S_{\infty}(F)$  be a fixed finite set of primes of F. For any finite separable extension K/F, we denote by  $S_{\infty}(K)$  the set of primes of K which are extensions of primes in  $S_{\infty}(F)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be the ring of functions in K whose poles are in  $S_{\infty}(K)$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_K$  be the group of nonzero fractional ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\mathcal{P}_K$  be its subgroup of nonzero principal fractional ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Set  $\mathcal{C}l_K := \mathcal{I}_K/\mathcal{P}_K$ , called the ideal class group of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and  $h_K := |\mathcal{C}l_K|$ , called the ideal class number of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

For a finite Galois extension K/F with Galois group G := Gal(K/F), the following notations are used throughout this paper:

- $\mathcal{A}_{K/F}$ : the group of ambiguous classes of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  with respect to K/F
- $a_{K/F} := |\mathcal{A}_{K/F}|$ , the ambiguous class number of K/F
- $\mathcal{A}_{K/F}^0$ : the group of ideal classes of  $Cl_K$  represented by ambiguous ideals with respect to K/F, and  $a_{K/F}^0 := |\mathcal{A}_{K/F}^0|$
- $N_{K/F}$ : the norm mapping with respect to K/F, and simultaneously the homomorphism from  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  to  $\mathcal{C}l_F$  induced by the norm mapping
- $j_{K/F}$  : the homomorphism from  $\mathcal{C}l_F$  to  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  induced by extension of ideals
- $N_{K/F} := j_{K/F} \circ N_{K/F}$ , the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  defined as composition of  $N_{K/F}$  and  $j_{K/F}$
- $\mathcal{A}_F$ : the group of classes of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  represented by ideals of F, and  $a_F:=|\mathcal{A}_F|$
- $\mathcal{C}l_F^0$ : the group of those classes of  $\mathcal{C}l_F$  whose ideals become principal in K, and  $h_0 := |\mathcal{C}l_F^0|$ .

For each prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of F,

- $e(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$ : the ramification index of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in K/F
- $f(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$ : the inertia degree of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in K/F
- $g(\mathfrak{p}, K/F) = \frac{[K:F]}{e(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)f(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)}$ : the number of primes of K lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$
- $d(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$ : the order of decomposition group of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in K/F.

When K is fixed, we set  $e(\mathfrak{p}) := e(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{p}) := f(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$ ,  $g(\mathfrak{p}) := g(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$  and  $d(\mathfrak{p}) := d(\mathfrak{p}, K/F)$  for simplicity.

Some results of Yokoi in [8] are translated into function field case by Kang and Lee in [4, §1] when  $|S_{\infty}(F)| = 1$ . It is easy to show that all statements in [4, §1] also hold with some modification even though  $|S_{\infty}(F)| > 1$ . Thus we will only refer their results when we need them.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let K/F be a finite Galois extension with G = Gal(K/F). Then

(i) 
$$a_{K/F}^0 = h_F \cdot \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}} e(\mathfrak{p})}{|H^1(G, E_K)|}$$
, where  $\mathfrak{p}$  runs through all finite primes of  $F$ .

(ii) 
$$H^1(G, E_K) \simeq \mathcal{P}_K^{\hat{G}}/\mathcal{P}_F$$
 and  $|H^1(G, E_K)| \equiv 0 \mod h_0$ .

*Proof.* (i) See Proposition 1.1 in [4].

(ii) See the proof of [5, Theorem 1] for the first one. The second one follows immediately from Lemma 1.2 (iii) in [4]. 

Let K/F be a finite cyclic extension with G = Gal(K/F). Let B be any abelian group on which G acts. We denote by Q(B) the Herbrand quotient of B with respect to G. It is well known that  $Q(\mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$  and  $Q(E_K) = 1$  $(\prod_{\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}\in S_{\infty}(F)}d(\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}))/[K:F].$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** Let K/F be a finite cyclic extension with G = Gal(K/F). Then

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \ \ a_{K/F} = h_F \cdot \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{p}_{\infty} \in S_{\infty}(F)} d(\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{\infty}(F)} e(\mathfrak{p})}{[K:F][E_F:E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*)]} = |\widetilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| |H^0(G,\mathcal{C}l_K)|. \\ \text{(ii)} \ \ a_{K/F}/a_{K/F}^0 = [E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*):N_{K/F}(E_K)] \ \ and \end{array}$$

$$a_{K/F}^0/a_F = \frac{h_0 \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{\infty}(F)} e(\mathfrak{p})}{|H^1(G, E_K)|}.$$

(iii) 
$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}_{\infty} \in S_{\infty}(F)} d(\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{\infty}(F)} e(\mathfrak{p}) \equiv 0 \mod [E_F : E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*)].$$

*Proof.* (i) It follows directly from Theorem 1.5 in [4] and definition of  $H^0(G, \mathbb{R}^n)$  $Cl_K$ ).

- (ii) See Lemma 1.4 and Corollary 2 in [4].
- (iii) It follows from the fact that  $n_2$  is an integer in [4, Theorem 1.5].

## 3. Finite unramified cyclic extension

By the Hilbert class field  $H_F$  of  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , we means the maximal unramified abelian extension of F in which each  $\mathfrak{p}_{\infty} \in S_{\infty}(F)$  splits completely. The Galois group  $Gal(H_F/F)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}l_F$  via Artin automorphism, and so  $[H_F:F]=h_F$ . The genus field  $G_{K/F}$  of K/F is the maximal extension of Kin  $H_K$  which is the composite of K and some abelian extension of F. If K/Fis a finite cyclic extension, then  $Gal(G_{K/F}/K)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}l_K/\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma}$ (Proposition 2.4 in [2]), where  $\sigma$  is a generator of Gal(K/F). Since  $\mathcal{A}_{K/F}$  is the kernel of the multiplication by  $1-\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{C}l_K$ , we have  $a_{K/F}=|\mathcal{C}l_K/\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma}|=$  $[G_{K/F}:K].$ 

In the following, by a finite unramified cyclic extension of F, we always mean a finite cyclic extension of F which is contained in  $H_F$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let K/F be a finite unramified cyclic extension with G =Gal(K/F). Then we have

- (i)  $a_{K/F} = h_F/[K:F]$ , i.e.,  $H_F = G_{K/F}$ .
- (ii)  $h_0 = |H^1(G, E_K)| = [K : F][E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*) : N_{K/F}(E_K)].$
- (iii)  $|H^0(G, \mathcal{C}l_K)| = |\mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)|.$

- (iv)  $|H^0(G,\mathcal{C}l_K)| \equiv 0 \mod |H^0(G,E_K)|$  and  $|H^0(G,\mathcal{C}l_K)| = |H^0(G,E_K)|$  if and only if  $A_F = \widetilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)$ .
- (v) Any ambiguous ideal class of K/F becomes principal in  $H_F$ .
- *Proof.* (i) In [4, Theorem 1.5], we have  $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}_{\infty} \in S_{\infty}(F)} d(\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}) \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \notin S_{\infty}(F)} e(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , and so  $n_2 = [E_F : E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*)] = 1$ . Thus, we have  $a_{K/F} = h_F/[K : F] = [H_F : K]$ , and so  $H_F = G_{K/F}$ .
- (ii) By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we have  $[E_F: E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*)] = 1$ . We also have  $\mathcal{I}_K^G = \mathcal{I}_F$  as in the proof of [5, Theorem 1]. Thus  $|H^0(G, E_K)| = [E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*): N_{K/F}(E_K)]$  and  $h_0 = |H^1(G, E_K)| = [K:F]|H^0(G, E_K)|$  by Corollary 2 in [4]. Thus we get the result.
- (iii) By definition,  $|H^0(G, \mathcal{C}l_K)| = a_{K/F}/|\tilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)|$ . By (i) and Class field theory, we have  $|N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = h_F/[K:F] = a_{K/F}$ . From the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K) \longrightarrow N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K) \xrightarrow{j_{K/F}} \widetilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K) \longrightarrow 1,$$

we have  $|\tilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = |N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)|/|\mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)|$ . Thus we get the result.

(iv) By Lemma 2.2, we have  $[\mathcal{A}_{K/F}:\mathcal{A}_{K/F}^0]=[E_F:N_{K/F}(E_K)]=|H^0(G,E_K)|$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_K^G=\mathcal{I}_F$ , we have  $[\mathcal{A}_{K/F}^0:\mathcal{A}_F]=1$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} |H^0(G,\mathcal{C}l_K)| &= [\mathcal{A}_{K/F}:\mathcal{A}_{K/F}^0][\mathcal{A}_F:\widetilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)] \\ &= |H^0(G,E_K)|[\mathcal{A}_F:\widetilde{N}_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)]. \end{split}$$

Hence we get the result.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let K/F be a finite unramified cyclic extension with G = Gal(K/F). Then the following conditions are equivalent:

П

- (i)  $a_{K/F} = a_{K/F}^0$ , i.e.,  $A_{K/F} = A_{K/F}^0$ .
- (ii)  $[E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*) : N_{K/F}(E_K)] = 1.$
- (iii)  $H^0(G, E_K) = 1$ .
- (iv)  $|H^1(G, E_K)| = h_0 = [K : F].$

*Proof.* (i)⇔(ii) It follows immediately from Lemma 2.2 (ii).

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) By Lemma 2.2 (iii), we have  $[E_F: E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*)] = 1$ , and so

$$|H^0(G, E_K)| = [E_F \cap N_{K/F}(K^*) : N_{K/F}(E_K)].$$

Thus we get the result.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let K/F be a finite unramified cyclic extension. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $Cl_F = Cl_F^0 \times N_{K/F}(Cl_K)$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F}) = \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F})$ .
- (iii)  $H^0(G, Cl_K) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) It generally holds that  $\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F}) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F}) = N_{K/F}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}l_F^0)$ . For any  $\mathfrak{c} \in \operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F})$ ,  $N_{K/F}(\mathfrak{c}) \in \mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F}) = \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F})$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Since  $N_{K/F}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)) = N_{K/F}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}l_F^0) = \operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{N}_{K/F}) = \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F})$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$ . Thus  $H^0(G,\mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$  by Proposition 3.1 (iii).

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If  $H^0(G, \mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}l_F^0 \cap N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$  by Proposition 3.1 (iii). Since  $|\mathcal{C}l_F^0| \equiv 0 \mod [K:F]$  (by Proposition 3.1 (ii)) and  $|N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = h_F/[K:F]$ ,

$$|\mathcal{C}l_F^0 \times N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = |\mathcal{C}l_F^0||N_{K/F}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = \frac{|\mathcal{C}l_F^0|}{[K:F]} \cdot h_F \ge |\mathcal{C}l_F|,$$

and so  $Cl_F = Cl_F^0 \times N_{K/F}(Cl_K)$ .

# 4. Imaginary bicyclic function fields

Let  $k := \mathbb{F}_q(T)$ , the rational function field with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{F}_q[T]$ . We set  $S_{\infty}(k) := \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  is the prime divisor of k associated to (1/T). For each monic  $N \in \mathbb{A}$ , let  $K_N$  be the N-th cyclotomic function field and  $K_N^+$  be its maximal real subfield. Fix a prime divisor  $\ell$  of q-1. For a monic prime  $P \in \mathbb{A}$ , if  $\ell \mid \deg(P)$ , then  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{P})$  is the unique  $\ell$ -cyclic real subfield of  $K_P^+$ . Otherwise  $k(\sqrt[\ell]{-P})$  is the unique  $\ell$ -cyclic totally imaginary subfield of  $K_P$ .

Let P and Q be fixed monic primes in  $\mathbb{A}$  with  $\ell \mid \deg P$  and  $\ell \nmid \deg Q$ . Set  $K_0 := k(\sqrt[\ell]{-Q}), \ K_1 := k(\sqrt[\ell]{P}), \ K := k(\sqrt[\ell]{P}, \sqrt[\ell]{-Q})$  and  $F_i := k(\sqrt[\ell]{-P^iQ})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ . We also set  $G := \operatorname{Gal}(K/k)$  and  $G_i := \operatorname{Gal}(K/F_i)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**  $H^0(\Delta, E_{K_1})$  is trivial, where  $\Delta = Gal(K_1/k)$ .

Proof. At first we note that P is the only finite prime of k which is (totally) ramified in  $K_1$ . Then, by Theorem 1.5 in [4], we have  $a_{K_1/k} = 1$  and so  $a_{K_1/k}^0 = 1$ . Thus  $|H^1(\Delta, E_{K_1})| = \ell$  by Proposition 1.1 in [4]. Since the Herbrand quotient  $Q(E_{K_1})$  with respect to  $\Delta$  is  $1/\ell$ , we have  $|H^0(\Delta, E_{K_1})| = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let K and  $F_i$  be as above. Then, for  $1 \le i \le \ell - 1$ ,  $K/F_i$  is a finite unramified cyclic extension of degree  $\ell$ , and moreover the followings hold:

(i) 
$$G_{K/F_i} = H_{F_i}$$
.

(ii) 
$$h_{F_i} \equiv 0 \mod \ell \text{ for } 1 \le i \le \ell - 1 \text{ and } h_K = h_{K_0} h_{K_1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{h_{F_i}}{\ell}.$$

(iii) 
$$H^0(G_i, E_K) = 1$$
.

(iv) 
$$a_{K/F_i} = a_{K/F_i}^0$$
, i.e.,  $A_{K/F_i} = A_{K/F_i}^0$ .

(v) 
$$h_0 = \ell$$

(vi)  $H^0(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$  or cyclic group of order  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* P and Q are the only ramified finite primes of k which are ramified on K, and  $e(P, K/k) = e(P, F_i/k) = e(Q, F_i/k) = e(Q, K/k) = \ell$  by Abhyankar's Lemma ([6, III. 8.9 Proposition]). Thus  $K/F_i$  is unramified at all finite primes. Since  $\infty$  is totally ramified in  $K_0$  and splits completely in  $K_1$ , we have  $e(\infty, K/k) = \ell$ . Thus  $e(\mathfrak{p}_{\infty}, K/F_i) = 1$  for any  $\mathfrak{p}_{\infty} \in S_{\infty}(F_i)$ . Hence  $K \subset H_{F_i}$ , and so  $K/F_i$  is a finite unramified cyclic extension of degree  $\ell$ .

- (i) follows immediately from Proposition 3.1.
- (ii) By Proposition 3.1 (i), we have  $h_{F_i} \equiv 0 \mod \ell$ . Since  $F_i$  and  $K_0$  are totally imaginary extensions over k,  $E_{F_i} = E_{K_0} = \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Thus, by the Main Theorem in [10], we have

$$h_K = \varrho \, h_{K_0} h_{K_1} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{h_{F_i}}{\ell},$$

where  $\varrho = [E_K : E_{K_1}]$ . It remains to show that  $\varrho = 1$ . Since  $K_1$  is the maximal real subfield of K, by Lemma 2.2 in [1],  $\varrho = 1$  or  $\ell$ . But any primes  $\mathfrak Q$  of  $K_1$ lying over Q is totally ramified in K. Thus  $\varrho = 1$ .

- (iii) Since  $E_K = E_{K_1}$ , we have  $H^0(G_i, E_K) \simeq H^0(\Delta, E_{K_1}) = 1$  by Lemma 4.1.
  - (iv), (v) are immediate consequences of Proposition 3.2 and (iii).
  - (vi) Since  $|\mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0| = \ell$ , we have  $|\mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0 \cap N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = 1$  or  $\ell$ .

Thus  $|H^0(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K)| = 1$  or  $\ell$  by Proposition 3.1 (iii). Thus  $H^0(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$ or cyclic group of order  $\ell$ .

Corollary 4.3. Let K and  $F_i$  be as in Theorem 4.2. Then

- (i)  $a_{K/F_i} = a_{K/F_i}^0 = h_{F_i}/\ell$ .
- (ii)  $H^1(G_i, E_K)$  is a cyclic group of order  $\ell$ .

*Proof.* Both (i) and (ii) are immediate consequences of Proposition 3.2, Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 3.1.

Let  $N_K: \mathcal{C}l_K \to \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i}$  and  $j_K: \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i} \to \mathcal{C}l_K$  be the homomorphisms defined by  $N_K(\mathfrak{c}) = (N_{K/F_1}(\mathfrak{c}), \dots, N_{K/F_{\ell-1}}(\mathfrak{c}))$  and  $j_K(\mathfrak{e}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{e}_{\ell-1}) = 0$  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} j_{K/F_i}(\mathfrak{e}_i)$ , respectively.

**Theorem 4.4.** If  $h_{K_0} = 1$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $h_{K_1} = 1$ .
- (i)  $h_K = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} a_{K/F_i}$ , i.e.,  $Cl_K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell-1} A_{K/F_i}$ . (ii)  $N_K$  is injective with  $N_K(Cl_K) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(Cl_K)$ .
- (iv)  $j_K$  is surjective with kernel  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} Cl_{F_i}^0$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) By Theorem 4.2 (ii) and Proposition 3.1 (i),  $h_{K_1}=1$  if and only if  $h_K=\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1}a_{K/F_i}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{C}l_K=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}$ .

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) Note that  $N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)$ . Thus

$$|\operatorname{Coker}(N_K)| \ge \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} [\mathcal{C}l_{F_i} : N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)] = \ell^{\ell-1},$$

and so

$$h_K = \frac{|\operatorname{Ker}(N_K)|}{|\operatorname{Coker}(N_K)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} h_{F_i} \le |\operatorname{Ker}(N_K)| \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{h_{F_i}}{\ell}.$$

Hence  $h_{K_1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow h_K = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{h_{F_i}}{\ell} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(N_K) = 1 \text{ and } |\operatorname{Coker}(N_K)| = \ell^{\ell-1} \Leftrightarrow N_K \text{ is injective with } N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K).$ 

(i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) Note that  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0 \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(j_K)$ . Thus

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} |\mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0| = \ell^{\ell-1} \le |\operatorname{Ker}(j_K)|,$$

and so

$$h_K = rac{|\operatorname{Coker}(j_K)|}{|\operatorname{Ker}(j_K)|} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} h_{F_i} \leq |\operatorname{Coker}(j_K)| \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} rac{h_{F_i}}{\ell}.$$

Hence  $h_{K_1} = 1 \Leftrightarrow h_K = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{h_{F_i}}{\ell} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(j_K) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}(j_K) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0$   $\Leftrightarrow j_K$  is surjective with  $\operatorname{Ker}(j_K) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0$ .

Corollary 4.5. Suppose that  $h_{K_0} = 1$ . If  $h_{K_1} = 1$ , then  $H_K$  is the compositum of the  $H_{F_1}, \ldots, H_{F_{\ell-1}}$ , i.e.,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i} = 1$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is a generator of  $G_i = Gal(K/F_i)$ . When  $\ell = 2$  (q is odd), the converse also holds.

*Proof.* At first, we show that  $\operatorname{Ker}(N_K) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i} \subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i})$  and  $\operatorname{Ker}(N_K) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i})$ . We also have  $|\operatorname{Coker}(N_{K/F_i})| = [K:F_i] = \ell$  by Class field theory. It follows from the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}l_K \xrightarrow{N_{K/F_i}} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker}(N_{K/F_i}) \longrightarrow 1$$

that  $|\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i})| = \ell h_K/h_{F_i} = h_K/a_{K/F_i} = |\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}|$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i}) = \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}$ , and so  $\operatorname{Ker}(N_K) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}$ . By Theorem 4.2 (i),  $\operatorname{Gal}(H_K/H_{F_i}) \simeq \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}$ . Thus it follows from Theorem 4.4 (iii) that  $H_K$  is the compositum of the  $H_{F_1}, \ldots, H_{F_{\ell-1}}$ .

When  $\ell=2$  (q is odd),  $H_K=H_{F_1}$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_1}=1$ ) implies that  $\mathcal{C}l_K=\mathcal{A}_{K/F_1}$ . Thus  $h_{K_1}=1$  by Theorem 4.4.

**Proposition 4.6.** For  $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ , the  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}$  is equal to  $\varrho_i$  or  $\varrho_i + 1$ , where  $\varrho_i = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}(\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}/\mathcal{C}l_K^{(1-\sigma_i)^2})$ .

*Proof.* At first, we note that

$$\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}=\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i})\subseteq \operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i})=N_{K/F_i}^{-1}(\mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0\cap N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K))$$

and

$$H^0(G_i, \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}) = \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i} / \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}^{\ell} \simeq H^1(G_i, \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}) = \operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i},$$

$$H^0(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}) = \operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i} \simeq H^1(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}) = \mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i} / \mathcal{C}l_K^{(1-\sigma_i)^2}$$

as  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ -vector spaces. Thus,  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{A}_{K/F_i} = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}(\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i})$ . The morphism  $N_{K/F_i} : \operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i}) \to \mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0 \cap N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)$  induces the following injective morphisms

$$\frac{\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i})\cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}}{\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i})\cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}}\hookrightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i})}{\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i})}\hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0\cap N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K).$$

By Proposition 3.1 (iii) and Theorem 4.2 (iv),  $|\mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0 \cap N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = 1$  or  $\ell$ . Thus  $|(\operatorname{Ker}(\widetilde{N}_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i})/(\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i})| = 1$  or  $\ell$ , and so  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}$  is equal to  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}(\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}/\mathcal{C}l_K^{(1-\sigma_i)^2})$  or  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{\ell}}(\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_i}/\mathcal{C}l_K^{(1-\sigma_i)^2}) + 1$ .  $\square$ 

Corollary 4.7. Suppose that  $h_{K_0} = 1$ . If  $h_{K_1} = 1$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \varrho_i \leq \ell \text{-rank of } \mathcal{C}l_K \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \varrho_i + (\ell-1).$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Theorem 4.4 (ii) and Proposition 4.6.

Remark 4.8. When  $\ell = 2$  (q is odd), if  $h_{K_0} = h_{K_1} = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}l_K = \mathcal{A}_{K/F_1}$  and  $\mathcal{C}l_K^{1-\sigma_1} = 1$ . Thus  $\varrho_1 = 0$ , and so the  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  is equal to 0 or 1 (compare to Proposition 5 (ii) in [9]).

Let  $\widetilde{N}_K = j_K \circ N_K$  be the endomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  defined as composition of  $N_K$  and  $j_K$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** If the endomorphism  $\widetilde{N}_K$  of  $Cl_K$  is injective or surjective, then

- (i)  $h_{K_0} = h_{K_1} = 1$ .
- (ii)  $H^{n}(G_{i}, \mathcal{C}l_{K}) = 1$  for any integer n and  $1 \leq i \leq \ell 1$ .
- (iii)  $\ell$ -rank of  $Cl_K$  is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \varrho_i$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  is a finite abelian group, if  $\widetilde{N}_K$  is injective or surjective, then it is an automorphism. The condition that  $\widetilde{N}_K$  is an automorphism is equivalent to the condition that  $N_K$  is injective and  $j_K$  is surjective with  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i} = N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) \times \mathrm{Ker}(j_K)$ . Then  $N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)$  and  $|N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K)| = N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K)$ 

 $h_K$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} h_{F_i} \\ &= \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \mathcal{C}l_{F_i} : \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)\Big] \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K) : N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K)\Big] [N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) : 1] \\ &= \ell^{\ell-1} \Big[\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K) : N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K)\Big] h_K. \end{split}$$

By Theorem 4.2 (ii), we have  $h_{K_0} = h_{K_1} = 1$  and  $N_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}l_K = \tilde{N}_K(\mathcal{C}l_K) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \tilde{N}_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K)$ . Since  $\tilde{N}_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}$ , by Theorem 4.4 (ii), we have  $\tilde{N}_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K) = \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell-1$ . Thus  $H^0(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$ , and so  $H^n(G_i, \mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$  for any integer n. By Proposition 3.1 (iii), we also have  $\mathcal{C}l_{F_i}^0 \cap N_{K/F_i}(\mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$ . In the proof of Proposition 4.6,  $|\operatorname{Ker}(\tilde{N}_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}| = |\operatorname{Ker}(N_{K/F_i}) \cap \mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}|$  and so the  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{A}_{K/F_i}$  is equal to  $\varrho_i$ . Thus, by Theorem 4.4 (ii), the  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \varrho_i$ .

Remark 4.10. When  $\ell=2$  (q is odd), if the endomorphism  $\widetilde{N}_K$  of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  is injective or surjective (i.e., automorphism), then the  $\ell$ -rank of  $\mathcal{C}l_K$  is equal to 0 (compare to Proposition 7 (iii) in [9]).

Now we consider the case  $\ell=2$  (q is odd). Then P and Q are monic primes in A of even and odd degree, respectively. Set  $F:=k(\sqrt{-PQ})$  and

$$\lambda_i := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \left( \mathcal{C}l_{F,2}^{(1-\tau)^{i-1}} / \mathcal{C}l_{F,2}^{(1-\tau)^i} \right) \text{ for } i \ge 1,$$

where  $Cl_{F,2}$  denotes the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $Cl_F$  and  $\tau$  is the generator of Gal(F/k). Then  $\lambda_1 = 1$  (Theorem 2.1 in [7]), and by section 3, part (ii) in [7], we have

$$\lambda_2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (Q/P) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } (Q/P) = -1, \end{cases}$$

where (/) is the Legendre symbol. Thus,  $h_F \not\equiv 0 \mod 4$  (i.e.,  $2||h_F|$ ) if and only if (Q/P) = -1. We follow exactly the same process as in [9, Proposition 6] to get the following.

**Proposition 4.11.** If  $h_{K_0} = h_{K_1} = 1$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (Q/P) = -1.
- (ii)  $h_F \not\equiv 0 \mod 4$ , *i.e.*,  $2||h_F|$ .
- (iii) 2-rank of  $Cl_K$  is equal to 0, i.e.,  $(2, h_K) = 1$ .
- (iv)  $H^n(G_1, \mathcal{C}l_K) = 1$  for any integer n, where  $G_1 = Gal(K/F)$ .

#### References

- [1] J. Ahn, S. Bae, and H. Jung, Cyclotomic units and Stickelberger ideals of global function fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), no. 5, 1803-1818.
- [2] S. Bae and J. Koo, Genus theory for function fields, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 60 (1996), no. 3, 301-310.
- [3] V. Fleckinger and C. Thiébaud, *Idéaux ambiges dans les corps de fonctions*, J. Number Theory 100 (2003), no. 2, 217–228.
- [4] P.-L. Kang and D.-S. Lee, Genus numbers and ambiguous class numbers of function fields, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 12 (1997), no. 1, 37-43.
- [5] M. Rosen, Ambiguous divisor classes in function fields, J. Number Theory 9 (1977), no. 2, 160-174.
- [6] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [7] C. Wittmann, l-class groups of cyclic function fields of degree l, Finite Fields Appl. 13 (2007), no. 2, 327-347.
- [8] H. Yokoi, On the class number of a relatively cyclic number field, Nagoya Math. J. 29 (1967), 31-44.
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Imaginary bicyclic biquadratic fields with the real quadratic subfield of classnumber one, Nagoya Math. J. 102 (1986), 91-100.
- [10] J. Zhao, Class number relation between type (l, l, ..., l) function fields over  $\mathbf{F}_q(T)$  and their subfields, Sci. China Ser. A **38** (1995), no. 6, 674–682.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY CHEONGJU 361-763, KOREA

E-mail address: hyjung@chungbuk.ac.kr