# Multichannel Quantum Defect Theory Analysis of Overlapping Resonance Structures in Lu-Fano Plots of Rare Gas Spectra 

Chun-Woo Lee ${ }^{*}$ and Ja-Hyun Kong<br> Received June 08. 2009, Accepted June 28. 2009


#### Abstract

Although overlapping resonances have been studied extensively in conventional resonance theories, there have not been many studies on them in multichannel quantum defect theories (MQDT). In MQDT, overlapping resonances occur between the channels instead of states, which pose far greater difficulty. Their systematic treatment was obtained for cases involving degenerate closed channels by apply ing our previous theory, which decouples backoround scattering from the resonance scattering in the MQDT formulation. The use of mathematical theory on con-diagonalization and con-similarity was essential for handling the non-Hermitian symmetric complex matrix. Overlapping resonances in rare gas spectra of $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}$ and Xe were analyzed using this theory and the results were compared with the ones of the previous alternative parameterizations of MQDT which make the open-open part $K^{* i c}$ and closed-closed part $K^{-c c}$ of reactance submatrices zero. The comparison revealed that separation of background and resonance scatterings achieved in our formulation in a systematic way was not achieved in the representation of $K^{\circ 0}=0$ and $K^{\circ c}=0$ when overlapping resonances are present.
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## Introduction

Although multichannel quantum defect theory (MQDT) is a powerful theory of resonance that can describe complex spectra including both bound and continuum regions with only a few parameters, ${ }^{1.3}$ the resonance structures were not identified transparently in its formulation due to the indirect treatment of resonance. A special treatment is needed to identify the resonance terms. Such treatments include the phase renormalization considered long ago by Eissner et al ${ }^{+}$introduced by Cooke and Cromer ${ }^{5}$ to obtain more effective representation and independently by Giusti-Suzor and Fano. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Since resonance shows up only when closed channels are present and are coupled to open channels. the main focus was on obtaining a pure coupling term between the open and closed chanmels. ${ }^{3-1}$

In different directions, efforts to reformulate MQDT into forms with a one-to-one correspondence to those in Fano's configuration mixing theory of resonance ${ }^{1]}$ revealed that more elaborate process of disentangling background and resonance scatterings is needed to analyze resonance structures in the MQDT formulation. ${ }^{15-15}$ This work is part of an ongoing investigation that extends the previous theory to the cases involving degenerate closed channels. These latter cases are interesting in that it is the simplest system where overlapping resonances are present and need to be handled properly. Not many MQDT studies ${ }^{-1 / t}$ of overlapping resonances are present in contrast to the huge amounts of work in a variety of disciplines in the conventional theory of resonance. ${ }^{16-24}$ In the formulations of MQDT. perturbations between Rydberg series. identification of an interloper in complex resonances, vanishing widths and stabilization of some excited levels. relations between the number of $q$ reversals and perturbers were the phenomena studied related to a overlapping resonance. ${ }^{7 / 10}$

This study refined the MQDT analysis of overlapping resonances by disentangling the background scatterings from the
resonance ones using the technique developed by this group. ${ }^{15}$ This theory was applied to the photo-absorption and ionization spectra of rare gases. where ample theoretical MQDT studies and experimental work have been carried out. ${ }^{25 \cdot 36}$ Ueda, Lecomte. Giusti-Suzor and Fano and Mullins also adopted the same altemant parameterization scheme to treat degenerate closed channels. ${ }^{9,37 \cdot 39}$ In particular. Ueda succeeded in find the phase renormalization and orthogonal transformations that make the open-open part $K^{\infty}$ and closed-closed part $K^{-\infty}$ of reactance sub-matrices zero for the systems involving degenerate closed channels. However, we will show in this work that making $K^{-c \infty}$ and $K^{-c c}$ null does not guarantee the separation of background and resonance scatterings and identification of resonance structures in the presence of overlapping resonances. Also. our formulation provides the physical background to his work of using complex quantum defects and removes the ambiguities in his approaches.

## Summay of the Previous Results

Before describing the altemant parameterization scheme to treat degenerate closed channels. let us first summarize our previous formulation. ${ }^{15}$ Let the scattering matrix

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S^{\mathrm{oo}} & S^{\mathrm{oc}}  \tag{1}\\
S^{\mathrm{co}} & S^{\mathrm{cc}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

describe the photo-fragmentation (including dissociation and ionization) processes in the intermediate range along the fragmentation coordinate. The super-indices, o and $c$. used for the $S^{\prime \prime}$ s sub-matrices denote the open and closed channels, respectively. Note that the classification of channels as open or closed is meaningful only at a large $R$. Nevertheless. it may still be convenient to keep this classification in the interme-
diate range. Although all the channels are needed to describe the motion in the intermediate range. some have become closed and no longer exist in the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore. open channels are only needed to describe the transition probability amplitudes in various photo-fragmentation processes. The physical scattering matrix, $\boldsymbol{S}$, which gives the probability amplitudes. is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S^{\infty 0}-S^{00}\left(S^{\infty 0}-\mathrm{e}^{2 i / \beta}\right)^{-1} S^{\infty 0} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is a quantum defect parameter used for the base pair of closed channels as $\mp\left(m_{j} / \pi K_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left( \pm \mathrm{i} \beta_{j}\right)\left(D_{j} f_{f}^{+} \pm \mathrm{i} D_{3}^{-1} f_{j}\right) / 2$. where $f_{i}=$ denotes $\exp \left( \pm \mathrm{i} k_{j} R\right)$. It is given by $\pi(-l+v)$ for the Coulomb fields. ${ }^{2}$ The remaining definitions of the parameters in the closed channel base pairs can be found in Ref. [2]
For the background scattering process, an 'effective' scattering sub-matrix $\sigma^{\infty}$ was introduced to supersede $S^{\infty}$. which is defined in terms of the reactance sub-matrix, $K^{\infty}$. as $\left(1-i K^{\infty}\right)$ $\left(1+i K^{-\infty}\right)^{-1}$ and simultaneously diagonalizable with $K^{-\infty} .^{14}$ (Note that $S^{(\infty)}$ is taken as a complex conjugate of the usual definition for convenience in studying autoionization.) In terms of this effective scattering matrix the physical scattering matrix can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}=\sigma^{\infty 0}-2 \mathrm{i}\left(1+\mathrm{i} K^{\infty 0}\right)^{-1} K^{\infty \infty}\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{\infty}\right)^{-1} K^{\infty \omega}\left(1+i K^{\infty}\right)^{-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa^{-\infty}$ is defined as $-\mathrm{i}\left(1-S^{\text {cc }}\right)\left(1+S^{c c}\right)^{-1}$ and is used extensively by Lecomte. ${ }^{9}$ Since $\sigma^{\infty}$ and $K^{+\infty}$ can be diagonalizable simultaneously. they can be expressed as $\sigma^{\infty}=U e^{-\mathrm{iid} \hat{\sigma}^{\mathrm{t}}} U^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $K^{\infty}=U \tan \delta^{0} U^{\mathrm{T}}$. By substituting these into Eq. (3). the following is obtained

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{S} & =U \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \xi^{0}}\left[1+2 \mathrm{i} \xi\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{\alpha 0}\right)^{-1} \xi^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} s^{0}} U^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{4}\\
& \equiv U \mathrm{e}^{-1 \delta^{0}} S_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{e}^{-1 \delta^{0}} U^{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$

where denotes $\cos \delta^{\circ} U^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{K}^{\infty}$ and the part inside the bracket is denoted as $S_{\text {r }}$

Consider extracting the resonance structures contained in Eq. (4). The resonance stnictures in the physical scattering matrix can best be seen in the behavior of its eigenphase shifts $\delta_{j}$ because they undergo rapid changes in the neighborhood of a resonance. The avoided interactions between the different eigenphase shifts $\delta_{j}$ also affect their behaviors. obscuring the resonance stnictures. but can be removed easily by considering the eigenphase sum. The eigenphase sum $\delta_{\leq}\left(=\Sigma_{j} \delta_{j}\right)$ can be obtained by calculating the determinant of the physical scattering matrix: $\operatorname{det}(S)=\exp \left(-2 i \delta \delta_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$. From

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{S}) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma^{\infty}\right) \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{\infty} *\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{\infty}\right)} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma^{\circ}\right) \frac{\tan \beta+\tan \Delta^{\circ} *}{\tan \beta+\tan \Delta^{\circ}} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$\delta_{\leq}=\delta_{\Sigma}^{\circ}+\delta_{i}$ is obtained. The last equality in Eq . (5) is valid only for the cases involving 1 closed channel. $J^{\circ}$ is the complex phase shift considered by Dubau and Seaton ${ }^{4(i)}$ and is defined as $\delta^{\circ}-\mathrm{i}_{\gamma^{c}}$. The unitary factorization performed in Eq. (5) still falls short of decoupling the background scattering from the resonance one. In order to remove the background contribution from $\delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ completely phase renormalization $\hat{\delta}_{\mathrm{r}}=\delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ $-\pi \mu_{\mathrm{r}}$ in the resonance eigenchannel needs to be performed for $\delta_{t}$. The extent of renonnalization is determined by the coupling strength $\xi^{*}$ ' between the open and closed channels in the "tilde" representation which is devoid of elastic potential scattering and is given by $\tan \pi \mu_{1}=-\xi^{2} \tan \dot{\delta}^{\circ}$. For more detailed information. please refer to Ref [15]. In the tilde representation, where the complete disentanglement of background scattering from resonance scattering is attained. the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \left(\delta_{\mathrm{\Sigma}}-\delta_{\mathrm{\Sigma}}^{0}\right)\left[\tan \beta+\mathfrak{M}\left(\kappa^{\infty}\right)\right]=\Im\left(\kappa^{\infty}\right)=-\xi^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{S}} \tan \bar{\beta}=\Im\left(\tilde{\kappa}^{\operatorname{cs}}\right)=-\tilde{亏}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the coupling parameters $s$ and $\underset{\sim}{\tilde{g}}$ between the open and closed channels in respective original and tilde representations are related by the simple equation. $\Xi^{\circ} \cos \pi t^{c}=\stackrel{\Xi}{5} \cos \pi t_{\mathrm{r}}$.

The paraneters described thus far were extracted from the Lu-Fano plots ${ }^{2}$ for the excited levels of two Rydberg series of $\mathrm{Ne}\left[J=1,2 p^{\circ}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{3 n}\right) n s\right.$ and $\left.2 p^{5}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{P}_{1 n}\right) n s^{\prime}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Kr}\left[J=0.4 p^{\prime}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{2 n}\right) n d\right.$ and $\left.4 p^{5}\left({ }^{-} \mathrm{P}_{10}\right) n s^{\prime}\right]^{6}$ obtained from the NIST Atomic Spectra Database ${ }^{41}$ and are summarized in Tables I and 2 and shown

Table 1. Dynamic parameters extracted from the Lu-Fano plot for the neon Rydberg series with $J=1\left(2 p^{3}{ }^{-} \mathrm{P}_{22} H s^{2}\right.$ and $\left.2 p^{5} \mathrm{P}_{1: 2} n s^{\prime}\right)$

| $\begin{array}{r} \delta^{c} \\ 0.95 \end{array}$ | $\tilde{7}_{0.0073}^{6}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta_{5}^{8} \\ 0.93 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} u t^{*} \\ 0.30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{r} \\ -0.0032 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mu_{5}^{n} \\ & 0.29 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{M}\left(\mathrm{A}^{c c}\right) \\ & 1.40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Sigma_{( }\left(k^{*}\right) \\ -0.021 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \stackrel{y^{2}}{2} \\ 0.021 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathfrak{R}\left(\hat{\mathcal{K}}^{心 \infty}\right) \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3\left(\hat{K}^{\infty}\right) \\ & -0.0073 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{z^{2}}{2} \\ & 0.0073 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2. Dynamic parameters extracted from the Lul-Fano plot the two interaction Rydberg series of KI with $J=0\left(4 p^{5} \mathrm{P}_{3: 2}\right)$ nd and $4 p^{5}$ ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{1: 2} n s^{\prime}$ )

| $\delta$ | $\because$ | $\delta_{5}^{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.301 | 0.0185 | 1.53 |
| $t^{*}$ | $\mu_{\text {r }}$ | $\mu_{\Sigma}{ }^{*}$ |
| 0.41 | -0.0212 | 0.4659 |
| $\mathfrak{M}\left(\mathrm{K}^{\text {ce }}\right)$ | F( $\mathrm{K}^{68}$ ) | $¢^{2}$ |
| 3.60 | -0.26 | 0.26 |
| $\mathfrak{H}\left(\widetilde{K}^{\text {ce }}\right.$ ) |  | $9^{2}$ |
| 0 | -0.018 | 0.018 |



Figure 1. Lu-Fano plot for the neon Rydberg series with $J=1\left(2 p^{5}\right.$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{3: 2} n s$ and $2 p^{5} \mathrm{P}_{1: 2} n s^{\prime}$ ).


Figure 2. Lu-Fano plot for the two interaction Rydberg series of Kr with $J=0\left(4 p^{5} \mathrm{P}_{322} n d\right.$ and $\left.4 p^{5}{ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{12} n s^{\prime}\right)$.
graphically in Figs. I and 2. Note that in both cases the values of $\xi^{*}$ are much smaller than those of $\xi^{2}$. which means caution should be taken not to use $5^{2}$ to represent the coupling strength between the open and closed channels. A cursory look at the Lu-Fano plot immediately shows weak coupling between the two channels and confirms the correctness of the small value of

Ovedapping Resonances for the System Involving Degenerate Closed Channels. Consider the case where closed channels are degenerate. as in the photo-absorption spectra of the noble gases. Ar to Xe. which were used as a testing ground for analyzing the multichannel phenomena. ${ }^{-56.36}$ Since noble gases have a $p^{61} \mathrm{~S}$ ground state. photo-absorption excites their atoms to $J=1$. which are odd parity channels with a $p^{5}{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}{ }^{0}$ core. The ionization channels have $l_{i}=0$ or 2 and $j_{i}=1 / 2.3 / 2$. $5 / 2$. The three values of $j_{i}$ combined with the $J_{i}=1 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ for the core yield 5 channels with $J=1$. where 3 channels have $E_{j}=E_{3,}$ and 2 have $E_{i}=E_{10}$.

Before describing the general approach. let us start from the


Figure 3. Lu-Fano plot for the $J=1$ states of argon at the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{3: 2}{ }^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1: 2}^{0}$.
straightforward formulation where the background and resonance scatterings remain intertwined but separate the two terms contributing to a resonance so that the resonance structures can be identified. It starts from obtaining the phase $\delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ of $\operatorname{det}\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{-2}\right)$ by calculating $\tan \delta_{t}$ from the ratio of its real and imaginary parts. The real part can be obtained as a quadratic polynomial of $\tan \beta$ and the imaginary one as a linear polynomial of $\tan \beta$. If $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are two roots of the real part, $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \delta_{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{s_{1}}{\tan \beta+r_{\mathrm{j}}}+\frac{s_{2}}{\tan \beta+r_{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two roots are obtained as $1 / 2\left\{\operatorname{tr} \mathscr{R}\left(\kappa^{c c}\right) \pm \sqrt{D}\right\}$, where $D$ denotes $\left[\operatorname{tr} \mathfrak{R}\left(\kappa^{c c}\right)\right]^{-}-4 \operatorname{det} \mathfrak{M}\left(\kappa^{c c}\right)+4 \operatorname{det} \mathfrak{F}\left(\kappa^{c c}\right)$. Similarly. the expressions for $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ can be obtained in a straightforward manner and are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{D}}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\Delta \Re\left(\kappa^{\infty}\right) \Delta \Im\left(\kappa^{\infty}\right)+\sqrt{D} \operatorname{tr} \Im\left(\kappa^{\infty}\right) \\
-\Delta \Re\left(\kappa^{\circ c}\right) \Delta \Im\left(\kappa^{c c}\right)+\sqrt{D} \operatorname{tr} \Im\left(\kappa^{c \infty}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+4 \Re\left(\kappa_{12}^{c c}\right) \Im\left(\kappa_{12}^{c c}\right)\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \mathfrak{F}\left(\kappa^{\text {cc }}\right)$ and $\Delta \pi\left(\kappa^{. c c}\right)$ denote the real and imaginary parts of the difference. $\kappa_{11}^{c c}-\kappa_{21}^{c c}$, of the diagonal elements of $\kappa^{c i}$. respectively. If a single closed chamel is involved. only one tenn will appear on the right hand side of Eq. (8). Therefore. each term on the right-hand side of Eq. (8) night be interpreted as $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{rl}}$ and $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{r} \imath}$, as done in Ref. [11]. Fig. 4 shows $\delta_{\mathrm{rl}} . \delta_{\mathrm{r} 2}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ obtained in this manner from the Lu-Fano plot of Ar in Fig. 3. A detailed discussion of the graphs will be given later but there was a problem with the interpretation described thus far in that not only is $\delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ different from $\delta_{11}+\delta_{\mathrm{t}}$ but there is also no way that $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ can be equal to $\tan \delta_{\delta_{\mathrm{r}}}+\tan \delta_{\mathrm{\delta}_{2}}$.


Figure 4. Decomposition of the sum of the eigenquantum-detects extracted from the Lu-Fano plot for the $J=1$ states of argon at the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{3}{ }_{2}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}$.

This problem can be avoided if symmetric $\kappa^{\text {ec }}$ can be diagonalized by a similarity transformation, $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{F}^{\circ}$, with a real orthogonal matrix $I$. Unfortunately, this cannot be achieved in general because $\kappa^{\infty \infty}$ is not a normal matri. ${ }^{+2}$ but a complex symmetrical one. Nevertheless. it can be diagonalized as I $K^{\text {cc }} \Gamma^{-T}$ with a complex unitary matrix $I$. Such a diagonalization is known as Takagi's factorization or more formally condiago-
 where $\Sigma$ is a diagonal matrix, whose elements $\sigma_{i}(j=1.2, \ldots)$ are nonnegative. The product of $\kappa^{* 3} \Gamma^{* *}$ is obtained as $I \Sigma D^{2}$. where $D$ is a diagonal matrix whose $j$-th element is given by $\exp \left(i \theta_{j}\right)$ with $\theta_{3}$ real. $\sigma_{y} D_{j}^{\hat{-}}$ is called a coneigenvalue with the coneigenvector $v_{y}$ which comprises F as $\left[v_{1} \cdots v_{n}\right]$. Unlike eigenvalues, they are not defined uniquely because $\mathrm{e}^{1 a_{j}} \sigma_{j} D_{j}^{2}$ is also a coneigenvalue for all real $\alpha_{f}$.

Using this theory of consimilarity and condiagonalization. $\kappa^{\text {ce }}$ is Takagi-factorized into $\sqrt{ } \Sigma D^{-\frac{V}{-T}}$. It can be given into a factorized form as $U \Sigma U^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $U=I D$. Using the complex phase shifts $J_{j}^{c}$ defined as $\delta_{j}^{c}-i i_{j / j}^{c}$ the diagonal matrix $\Sigma D^{2}$ may be denoted as tan $J^{c}$ whereby $\kappa^{\text {ic }}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{c c}=\Gamma \tan \Delta^{c} \Gamma^{-T} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\tan \beta+\tan \Delta^{c}$ cannot be obtained from $\tan \beta+\kappa^{* i}$ through a unitary transformation and is not a conjugate of it because $I^{-T} \mathrm{~F}$ differs from unity. A conjugation relation is obtained when $r$ is a real orthogonal matrix, which in turn requires $\kappa^{\text {se }}$ to be a nomal matrix. If this holds. $\tan \beta+\kappa^{* 3}$ would be a conjugate to $\tan \beta+\tan \Delta^{c}$ as $\tan \beta$ is a constant matrix for the case involving degenerate close channels and equal to $I\left(\tan \beta+\tan \Delta^{c}\right)!^{-1}$ whereby $\operatorname{det}\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{\text {cic }}\right)$ would become $\left.\Pi_{j=1}(\tan \beta+\tan \lrcorner_{j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$. In this case. the phase $\delta_{1}^{\text {ld }}$ of $\operatorname{det}(\tan \beta+$ $\kappa^{i c}$ ) could be obtained as a simple sum of terms $\delta_{\mathrm{y}}^{\text {id }}$ from each eigenchannel satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \delta_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{c}()}=\Im\left(\tan \Delta_{j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right) /\left[\tan \beta+\Re\left(\tan \Delta_{j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

without any coupling terms, which means that the resonances in each eigenchannel are isolated.

However. $\kappa^{\text {cc }}$ is generally not a normal matrix and $\delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{\text {st }}$ is no longer obtained as a sum of $\delta_{[i}^{(d)}$ but contains a coupling term. The resonances in different channels are no longer independent of each other and are overlapped. Since $r^{T} F^{\prime}$ is unity when $\kappa^{* *}$ is a normal matrix so that the resonances are isolated. the overlapping resonance can be dealt by a deviation of $V^{\cdot T} V^{\prime}$ from unity. If the deviation $I^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{r}}-1$ is denoted as $\Delta, \operatorname{det}(\tan \beta+$ $\kappa^{* i}$ ) can be expanded in terms of it using Cayley's theorem ${ }^{44}$ for the system of degenerate closed chamels as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\tan \beta+V^{\prime} \tan \Delta^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\tan \beta+\Gamma^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~V}^{\prime} \tan \Delta^{\mathrm{C}}\right) \\
& \quad=\prod_{1}\left(\tan \beta+\tan \Delta_{j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& \quad+\sum_{i}\left(\tan \beta+\tan \Delta^{\mathrm{c}}\right) \operatorname{cof}_{2}\left(\Delta I \tan \Delta^{\mathrm{c}}\right)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

where the matrix identity $\operatorname{det}(I-U T)=\operatorname{det}(I-I \cdot C)$ and the constancy of $\tan \beta$ for the degenerate closed channels were used for the first equality. Note that $\tan \beta+\mathrm{I}^{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{F}^{\prime} \tan \Delta^{c}$ is different from $I^{\top T}\left(\tan \beta+\kappa^{* \infty}\right) V^{\prime}$. If closed channels were not degenerate. the above procedure could not be applied. In that case, diagonalization of $\tan \beta+\kappa^{-\infty}$ instead of $\kappa^{\omega \prime}$ could be done to systematically obtain an overlapping resonance term and terms beyond it. The latter case will not be treated in this paper. The first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is the form of a product of terms corresponding to isolated resonances described by Eq. (11). The remaining terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) describe the effect beyond the isolated resonances and correspond to overlapping ones. Therefore, Takagi's factorization provides a means of describing an overlapping resonance in the context of MQDT.

For this use of the factorization the uniqueness problem of the factorization should be cleared up first. For example, factorization can be performed in either of two ways. $U \Sigma U^{\mathrm{T}}$ or $\Gamma \Sigma D^{-} I^{\mathrm{T}}$. Consider $U^{\mathrm{T}} U$ and $I^{-\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{F}$ : Note that $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(I^{-\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{I}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(U^{\mathrm{T}} U\right)\right|=1$ as both $U$ and $V$ are unitary. But. their traces are different from the number of closed channels. $\operatorname{tr}\left(I^{c c}\right)$. To make the problem easy. let us consider a system of two closed chamnels. for which $\operatorname{tr}\left(I^{c c}\right)=2$. In this case. any unitary matrix can be expressed as $\exp [\mathrm{i}(a+b \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{c})]$ with Pauli matrices $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$. If we take $r$ as exp (ibocc) with $\exp$ (ia) taken away with a suitable choice of $D$. $V^{\cdot T} F^{\prime}$ takes especially a simple form, that is, its off-diagonal elements are purely imaginary and its two diagonal elements are complex conjugate with each other so that its trace is real. ${ }^{+5.16}$ The latter property nakes the trace $\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{I}\right)\left[=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right]$ a nice candidate for a parameter showing the extent of overlapping of resonances. We will use this quantity in the next section as a barometer to show the extent of overlapping of resonances. Note that matrix relations are not invariant under con-similarity transformation. For example. the matrix relation $\kappa^{* *}=-\mathrm{i}(1-$ $\left.S^{c c}\right)\left(1+S^{c c}\right)^{-1}$ does not hold between the con-diagonalized $\kappa^{\text {cc }}$ and $S^{\prime \infty}$. Although it may be considered peculiar at first. actually it might be more physically reasonable. We want to investigate this point further in the future.

Let us consider the decoupling of background and resonance terms in the con-diagonalized tan $\Delta^{c}$ of $\kappa^{\infty}$. Using the general
relation obtained by Lecomte. ${ }^{9}$ the transformed form $\kappa^{10 i c}$ of tan $J^{c}$ under the phase renormalization $\pi \mu_{j}^{\mathrm{c}}$ is obtained as $\kappa^{\prime \prime c}=$ $\left(\tan \Delta^{c} \sin \pi \mu^{c}+\cos \pi \mu^{6}\right)^{-1}\left(\tan \Delta^{c} \cos \pi \mu^{i}-\sin \pi \mu^{c}\right)$. Note that $\kappa^{-1 c c}$ is diagonal and thus can be represented as tan $\Delta^{c \mathrm{c}} . \Delta_{j}^{c}$ is phase renormalized as $د^{\prime \prime},=د_{j}^{6}-\pi \mu^{6}$, under the phase renormalizations of $\beta_{j}^{\prime}=\beta_{j}+\pi \mu_{j}^{\mathrm{c}}$. If the phase renormalization is taken as $\pi t_{J}^{\text {c }}=\delta_{J}^{\mathrm{L}}(j=1.2 \ldots)$, tan $J^{\prime L}$ becomes a pure imaginary $\tan \left(-\mathrm{i} \gamma_{\rho}^{i}\right)=-\mathrm{i}$ tanh $\gamma_{I}^{c}$, yielding $\mathfrak{R}\left(\kappa^{\prime \prime c}\right)=0$. The representation obtained by this phase renormalization is the tilde representation defined in the previous section. In this representation. $\tilde{\delta}_{i j}$ that sums to $\tilde{\delta}_{i}\left(=\Sigma_{j} \tilde{\delta}_{i j}\right)$ satisfies the simplest form of $\tan \tilde{\delta}_{\mathrm{rg}}=-\tanh \gamma_{j}^{\dot{L}} / \tan \left(\tilde{\beta}_{3}\right)$ on the sacrifice of the degeneracy in $\beta$ which is lifted as $\beta_{j}=\beta+\pi \mu_{j,}^{\mathrm{c}}$. This also means that the resonance positions in the degenerate closed channels are different by $-\pi \mu_{j}^{c}$. Note that both resonance positions tend to the same ionization limit even though their relative positional relation remains unaltered throughout the series. Coupling parameters between closed eigen-channels of a normal $\kappa^{\text {cc }}$ with their corresponding " $a$ " states $s^{47}$ in the open chamnels can be extracted using the procedure described in Ref. [15]. In the next section, the two resonance structures identified in rare gas spectra in this analy sis will be shown to correspond to the $s$ and $d$ series. Channel couplings $\leftrightarrows^{-2}\left[=-\Im\left(\kappa^{c c}\right)\right]$ between closed and open channels are also different for degenerate closed channels. They take the simplest form tan $\left(-\mathrm{i}_{i j}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\right)=-\mathrm{i}$ $\tanh \left(\gamma_{i}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ or $-i{ }_{5}^{\frac{5}{3}}$ in the tilde representation. The results described thus far can be summarized diagrammatically as follows:

Comparison with Other's Approaches. Let us compare the present representation with the one where both reactance matrices $K^{+\infty}$ and $K^{-\infty}$ are null. which are the approaches considered as the final goal in the alternative parameterization of MQDT. ${ }^{-1 / i}$ In the latter representation couplings between open and open channels or between closed and closed channels are zero. In the systems involving only one closed channel it was shown that making both $K^{-\infty}$ and $K^{-c c}$ null is equivalent to the separation of background and resonance scatterings. ${ }^{1+}$ If a system involves more than one closed channel so that an overlapping between resonances cannot be ignored. the equivalence may not be guaranteed. In fact. as we will see below they are different. Making both $K^{-\infty}$ and $K^{-\infty}$ null was achieved by Ueda. ${ }^{8,3}$ The procedure of making $K^{+\infty}$ and $K^{+\infty}$ null in the context of the present formation starts from finding the orthogonal transformation $W^{c c}$ and phase renormalization $\mu{ }^{c}$ that make the transformed $\mathfrak{\Re}\left(\kappa^{\prime \prime c}\right)$ zero. Or equally. we could start from finding the orthogonal transformation $\Pi^{+\infty}$ and phase renomalization $\mu^{\prime \circ}$ that make the transformed $\mathfrak{R}\left(\kappa^{\prime \infty}\right)$ zero. which are possible as two operations commute with each other. In the present
systems, the former choice is better as the number of closed channels is two so that the orthogonal transformation can be parameterized with just one angle parameter. Once $\mu^{c}$ is obtained, $K^{-1 \infty}$ can be made zero while keeping the values of $u^{\circ}$ unaltered by $\mu^{\circ}$ and $H^{+\infty}$ obtained from the well known diagonalization procedure. ${ }^{13}$ Although $\mu^{c}$ and $H^{c c}$ are remained invariant under this procedure. $K^{-t c c}$ is not and destined to zero as described in Ref. [9.13]. Notice two important changes taking place under this procedure. One is that non-nomal $\kappa^{. c c}$ changed to a normal $\kappa^{1 c c}$ which is possible by the peculiar but still physically acceptable properties of phase renomalization and the other is that the degeneracy in $\beta$ is lifted to $\beta_{j}^{\prime}=\beta_{3}+\pi \mu_{j}^{c}$ by the phase renormalization again. Although $\kappa^{\prime \prime \infty}$ is now a nomal matrix and thus can be diagonalized with an orthogonal matrix. overlapping resonances are not isolated since $\beta^{\prime}$ ' is no more degenerate and thus not a constant matrix.

Let us examine the resonance behavior in the eigenphase sum obtained from Eq . (5) in the representation where $K^{, \infty}=$ 0 and $K^{-1+c}=0$ or, equivalently. $\mathfrak{R}\left(\kappa^{1+c}\right)=0$ hold. In this case. $\tan \delta_{r}^{\prime}$ can be calculated as before as described in Appendix A and is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \delta_{\mathrm{J}}^{\prime}=\frac{n_{2}}{d_{2}}+\frac{1}{d_{2}}\left(\frac{s_{1}}{\tan \beta+r_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}}{\tan \beta+r_{2}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The definitions of parameters $r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{1}, s_{2}, d_{2}$ and $n_{2}$ are given in Appendix A. Their values for $\mathrm{Ar} . \mathrm{Kr}^{-}$and Xe are shown in Tables 3.4 and 5 and are close to the values in other representations.

Resonance structures in Eq. (14) are most complicated among 3 representations and individual resonance contribution is not clearly discernible. indicating that they are not disentangled yet. Thus making $K^{r \infty}$ and $K^{\text {cec }}$ null is not sufficient to guarantee the separation of background and resonance scatterings and identification of resonance structures in the presence of overlapping resonances. It might be considered strange since making $K^{-1 c c}$ zero seems to be sufficient to disentangle the overlapping resonances. This derives from the disappearance of the degeneracy in $\beta$ after a phase renormalization. Couplings disentangled in the short-range $\kappa^{c c}$ move to the long-range part to lift the degeneracy in $\beta$ and further to entangle the dynamics

Table 3. Extraction of the dynamic parameters for the $J=1$ states of argon at the lowest ionization ${ }^{-} \mathrm{P}_{3: 2}^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{1: 2}^{\prime \prime}$ thresholds

| Original <br> representation | Con-diagonalized <br> representation | $K^{\text {ec }=0 \text { and } K^{-c s}=0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| representation |  |  |

Table 4. Extraction of the dynamic parameters for the $J=1$ states of krypton at the lowest ionization ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ e thresholds

| Original representation | Con-diagonalized representation |  | $K^{-\infty}=0 \text { and } K^{c c}=0$ <br> representation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r_{1} \quad r_{2}$ | $\mathfrak{R}\left(\mathrm{K}_{1 \mathrm{l}}^{\text {cid }}\right.$ ( $)$ | $\mathfrak{R}\left(\kappa_{2 i}^{\text {Eicil }}\right.$ ) | $r_{1}$ | $r_{2}$ |
| 0.710 .34 | 0.71 | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.34 |
| $s_{1} \quad s_{2}$ | $\mathfrak{Y}\left(\mathrm{k}_{11}^{\text {cid }}\right.$ ( $)=$ | $3\left(\kappa_{11}^{\text {ciil }}\right)=$ | $5_{1}$ | 52 |
| -0.20 -0.020 | -0.21 | -0.015 | -0.21 | -0.018 |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{\prime}$ | $d_{2}$ |
|  |  |  | 0.10 | 1.00 |

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{1}^{C}=0.20, u_{2}^{C}=0.11, \mu_{\mathrm{E}}^{0}=0.72, \mu_{\mathrm{rl}}=-0.033, \mu_{\mathrm{r} 2}=-0.0015 \\
\tilde{\xi}_{1}^{2}=0.14, \tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}=0.014, \operatorname{tr}\left(F^{T} V^{*}\right)=1.996
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 5. Extraction of the dynamic parameters for the $J=1$ states of xenon at the lowest ionization ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{3: 2}^{\prime}$ and ${ }^{-} \mathrm{P}_{1: 2}^{\prime}$ thresholds

there. By this rearrangement of dynamics by phase renomalization $\mu^{c}$ and orthogonal transformation $\psi^{\text {cc }}$, the constant $\tan \beta$ becomes non-diagonal $W^{+\infty} \tan \left(\beta+\pi i^{6}\right) W^{-c c \mathrm{~T}}$ and the isolation of overlapped resonances is not attained.

Since the difficulty in disentangling background from resonance couplings in the null $K^{-\infty}$ and $K^{-c c}$ representation arose from the impossibility of the disentanglement of background and resonance scatterings when there is overlapping resonances. it was avoided in the present work by limiting the application of making $K^{+\infty}$ and $K^{+\infty}$ null to the normal matrix part that is devoid of overlapping resonances. Such a restrictive application requires decomposition of $\tan \beta+\kappa^{-c c}$ into the nomal and nonnormal matrix parts. which was accomplished by the condiagonalization. The method also relied on the use of $\kappa^{\mathrm{cc}}$ since the minimal condition for disentangling background and resonance scatterings was provided by $\mathfrak{R}\left(\kappa^{L C}\right)=0$.

Application of the Theory to the Study of Overlapping Resonances in Rare Gas Spectra. The resonance structures in the Lu-Fano plot shown in Fig. 3 for $J=1$ states of argon at the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}_{3: 0}^{0}$ and ${ }^{*} \mathrm{P}_{12}^{0}$, were drawn using the NIST atomic spectra database. ${ }^{41}$ Theoretical Lu-Fano curves were obtained using the $U_{\text {ra }}$ values reported by Lee and $\mathrm{Lu}^{*}$ with $\mu_{a}$ values modified to $[0.20,0.07,0.48 .0 .15,0.12$ ] for better fitting to the experimental data. The resonance structures were first compared using the eigenquantum-defect sums in


Figure 5. Decomposition of the sum of the eigenquantum-defects extracted from the Lu-Fano plot for the $J=1$ states of argon at the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{2} \mathrm{P}_{32}^{\circ}$ and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{12}{ }^{\circ}$.
the original and con-diagonalized representations shown in Figs. 4 and 5 . respectively. Although the difference in the eigenquantum-defect sums is difficult to see in the graphs in both figures. a completely different situation would result if $\delta_{\mathrm{t} 1}+\delta_{\mathrm{t} 2}-\delta_{\mathrm{r}}$ were compared. The summation relation. $\delta_{\mathrm{t}}=\delta_{i 1}+$ $\delta_{t}$ - does not hold as shown in Fig. 4 while it holds in Fig. 5. Note that in order to draw Fig. 5. an isolated resonance case was assumed so that only the first term of the right-hand side of Eq. (12) is included.

Two contributions to the sum of the eigenquantum defects can be identified in Figs. 4 and 5 : the increase from $\sim 0.5$ to $\sim 1.5$ by one in the first half is due to $\delta_{\mathrm{rl}}$ and the increase from $\sim 1.5$ to -2.5 by one in the second half is due to $\delta_{\mathrm{t}}$. The overall increase of 2 in the quantum defect means that there are two resonances contributing in one unit interval of $v_{12}$, the $d$ and $s$ Rydberg series. respectively:

The resonance structures can be made conspicuous using time delays. ${ }^{48.19}$ The time-delay's were calculated from $\tau(E)=$ $2 \hbar d \delta(E) / d E$ where $E$ is related to $v_{12}$ by $I_{1: 2}-\operatorname{Ryd} / v_{12}$ in the present system. Note that time delays would be due to resonance scattering alone if the energy dependence of background scattering can be neglected. As before. the time delays, $\tau_{11}, \tau_{12}$ and $\tau_{\mathrm{r}}$ due to resonance scatterings are shown in Fig. 6 for both the original and con-diagonalized representations. Although both representations yielded almost identical total time delays, the time delays due to the $s$ series were different. which was expected because the summation relation $\tau=\tau_{1]}+\tau_{12}$ holds only for the con-diagonalized representation while not for the original one.

The resonance structures in the krypton and senon spectra were also analyzed using the $U_{i \alpha}$ values reported by Geiger ${ }^{210}$ and Dill ${ }^{-8}$ with $\mu_{a}$ values modified to $[0.235,0.097,0.47,0.12$. $0.07]$ and $[0.36 .0 .12 .0 .56,0.040 .-0.007]$, respectively, for the best fit to the experimental data. The results for Kr and Xe are shown. respectively. in Tables 4 and 5 and Figs. 7 and 8. The resonance structures in both spectra are similar. showing two resonance structures due to the $s$ and $d$ Rydberg series. The differences in the positions of the resonance structures

## Time delays of Ar in con-diagonalized representation <br> 



Figure 6. Deeomposition of the tume delays in the ongmal and con-diagonalized representations extracted from the l.a-rano plot Por the $I-I$ states of argon at the lowest ionization thresholds "P?" and ${ }^{-12}{ }^{3}$ "。


Figure 7. Decomposition of the sum of the eigencuantum-defects and the Lu-Fono plot for the $J=1$ states of krypton at the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{-1}{ }^{2}$ and ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$.
can be measured by the difference in $\mu$ and $\mu$. Their values increase from 0.04 in argon, to 0.09 and 0.25 in krypton and


Figure 8. Iecomposition of the sum of the eigenquantun-delects and Lu-fano plot tor the $f-1$ statesol xenon at the lowest ionization thresholds "P? "and "P1".
xenon, respectively: The contributions of the overlapping resonances can be obtained by calculating the extent of the defect from unity of $V^{\text {l }} V$. or more conveniently from its trace $\operatorname{tr}\left(V^{\mathrm{T}} V\right)$. Calculated values for 3 spectra are included in Tables 3. 4 and 5 . respectively. Note that values are close to 2 . meaning that resonances in these rare gas spectra are almost isolated. However, the overlapping cannot be neglected completely. Wintgen and Friedrich related almost non-overlapping to the vanishing widths, here, of the $s$ series. ${ }^{112}$ Interestingly; the deviation from unity [or from 2 for $\operatorname{tr}\left(V^{1 /} V\right)$ ] was largest in krypton instead of argon, where two resonance structures were located in close proximity. This anomaly is in line with the behavior in the variation of the values of the coupling strengths $\left(\tilde{g}_{1}^{2}, \tilde{\xi}_{2}^{2}\right)$ in the dand $s$ series which are given by $(0.18,0.0057)$. (0.14, 0.0091) and (0.25,0.0051) from Tables 3-5 for argon. krypton and xenon, respectively. The physical nature of these phenomena will not be examined further because the purpose of this paper was to set up an analysis tool of resonance structures.

The resonance structures in the autoionization spectra of $\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{Kr}$ and Xe in Figs. 9, 10 and 11, respectively, were analy zed using this method. The analesis revealed a one-to-one correspondence of the resonance structures in the autoionization spectra with the ones manifested in time delars. The overall shapes were quite similar in the autoionization spectra and time delays. However. their detailed structures differed. cven qualitatively: The difference was more conspicuous in the $d$ Rydberg series. The increase in the time delays with $v_{1}$ comes from the factor $v v^{\prime}=$ and reflects the increase in orbiting period.

Autoionization profile of Ar




Figure 9. Oscillator strength densities and time delays of the autoionization lines in the argon spectra belween the lowest ionization



Figure 10. Oscillator strength densities and time delay of the autoionization lines in the krypton spectra between the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{-} \mathrm{P}$ "and ${ }^{-P} \mathrm{P}_{12}^{\prime \prime}$.


Figure 11. Oscilator strength densities and time delays or the autoionization lines in the xenon spectra between the lowest ionization thresholds ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{3}^{\prime \prime}$ : and ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{P}_{12}{ }^{\prime \prime}$.

## Summary and Discussion

Previously, a methodology was developed for identifying the background and resonance channels and for finding phase renormalizations in those channels, but not in the open and closed channels which are incompatible with the background and resonance channels. Application of this theory to the Ne and Kr Lu-Fano plots showed quite different but more meaningful coupling strengths between the oper and closed charmels in the representation, where the background scattering was disentangled from resonance scattering.

This theory was extended to the cases involving the degenerate closed channels, which is interesting in that it is the simplest system where overlapping resonances are present and proper handlings are thus required. The case involving degenerate closed channels is special in that $\tan \beta$ is a constant matrix and commutes with any matrix. Identification of the resonance eigenchannels involves diagonalization of the nonHermitian (or, more properly, normal) symmetric complex matrix $\kappa^{c c}$. As non-normal symmetrical complex matrix can only be con-diagonalized unitarily, $\kappa^{\text {cli }}$ car be factorized to $V K^{\text {cic }} V^{\text {l }}$. It was found that the isolated resonances correspond to $\kappa^{\text {cic }}$ normal. Therefore, deviation from normality, which was measured by the defect of $V^{1} V$ from unity, was used to handle the overlapping resonances in the MQDI formulation systematically. The theory on con-diagonalization and con-similarity and Takagi's factorization is essential for handling the overlapping resonances.

In order to compare the present method with the established method of making $K^{-\infty}$ and $K^{-c c}$ null. resonance structures were derived when $K^{-\infty}$ and $K^{-c c}$ are null matrices. Resonance structures thus obtained were complicated so that individual resonance contribution was not clearly discernible, indicating that they were not disentangled yet. Thus making $K^{\infty}$ and $K^{\text {cc }}$ null was found not to be sufficient to guarantee the separation of background and resonance scatterings and identification of resonance structures in the presence of resonance overlapping. This strange result derived essentially from the impossibility of disentangling the background and resonance scatterings when overlapping resonances are present. The difficulty was avoided in this work at first by separating isolated and overlapped terms using condiagonalization.

The theory' was applied to the rare gas spectra of $\mathrm{Ar} . \mathrm{Kr}$ and Xe and the overlapping resonances present in their spectra were analyzed. The resonance structures in the $s$ and $d$ series in $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}$ and Xe spectra were separated and the relevant dynanuc parameters were extracted. The separations in the resonance positions, which were measured by the extent of the phase renormalizations in the closed channels, increased from Ar to Xe. However the coupling strengths showed a different behavior. Their magnitudes were the maximum and minimum in Kr in the $s$ and $d$ series, respectively. Overlapping between resonant structures was found to be virtually absent in all spectra. It was largest in the Kr spectra. showing similar tendency to the coupling strengths between the resonance and background scatterings. Although the line profiles were not analyzed. Figs. 9-11 suggest that they take rather symmetric shapes in the disentangled $s$ and $d$ series, which is in contrast to the apparent asymmetric appearance in the autoionization lines profiles. particularly in the $d$ series.
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## Appendix A: The Derivation of Eq. (14)

Since the phase $\delta_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}$ is defined as the one of det $\left(\tan \beta^{\prime}+\kappa^{* *}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{j}\right.$, its tangent is given as a ratio of the deteminant's real and inaginary parts:
where $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ denote $\beta+\pi \mu_{1}$ and $\beta+\pi \mu_{2}^{\text {E }}$, respectively. Using the trigonometric relation $\tan \left(\beta+\pi \mu_{i}^{c}\right)=\left(\tan \beta+\tan \pi \mu_{i}^{c}\right) /(1-\tan \beta$ $\left.\tan \pi H_{i}\right)^{2}$, both numerator and denominator can be expressed as quadratic functions of $\tan \beta$ so that $\tan \delta_{\mathrm{i}}^{\prime}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \delta^{\prime},=\frac{n_{2} \tan ^{2} \beta+n_{1} \tan \beta+n_{0}}{d_{2} \tan ^{2} \beta+d_{1} \tan \beta+d_{0}}, \tag{Al}
\end{equation*}
$$

where parameters in the numerator denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}=\operatorname{tr} \Im\left(\kappa^{-c \mathrm{cc}}\right)\left(1-\tan \pi \mu_{1}^{\mathrm{c}} \tan \pi \mu_{0}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and ones in the denominator denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{2}=1-\operatorname{det} \pi\left(\kappa^{1 c c}\right) \tan \pi \mu \mu_{1}^{c} \tan \pi / \mu_{2}^{c} \\
& d_{1}=\left(\tan \pi / h_{1}^{E}+\tan \pi \mu_{2}^{E}\right)\left[1+\operatorname{det} \widetilde{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\kappa^{\prime \prime c \epsilon}\right)\right] \\
& d_{0}=\tan \pi \mu_{1}^{G_{1}^{*}} \tan \pi \mu_{2}^{c}-\operatorname{det} \Im\left(\kappa^{\prime \cdots}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (Al) can be easily transformed into Eq. (14) where $r_{1}, r_{2}, s_{1}$ and $s_{1}$ are obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1: 2}=\frac{d_{1} \pm \sqrt{d_{1}^{2}-4 d_{0} d_{2}}}{2 d_{2}}, \\
& \binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}}=\frac{1}{d_{2}\left(r_{1}-r_{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left.r_{1}\left(n_{1}-n_{2} d_{1} / d_{2}\right)-n_{0}+n_{2} d_{0} / d_{2}\right) \\
\left.-r_{2}\left(n_{1}-n_{2} d_{1} / d_{2}\right)+n_{0}-n_{2} d_{0} / d_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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