

WHEN IS AN ENDOMORPHISM RING P -COHERENT?

LIXIN MAO

ABSTRACT. A ring is called left P -coherent if every principal left ideal is finitely presented. Let M be a right R -module with the endomorphism ring S . We mainly study the P -coherence of S . It is shown that S is a left P -coherent ring if and only if the left annihilator $\text{ann}_S(X)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of S for any M -cyclic submodule X of M if and only if every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree preenvelope. As applications, we investigate when the endomorphism ring S is left PP or von Neumann regular.

1. Introduction

As a new generalization of coherent rings, the concept of P -coherent rings has been recently introduced and studied in [6]. R is called a *left P -coherent ring* if every principal left ideal of R is finitely presented, or equivalently, if the left annihilator of a in R is a finitely generated left ideal of R for any $a \in R$. The examples of left P -coherent rings include left coherent rings and domains. Recall that R is called a *left PP ring* (resp., *PF ring*) if every principal left ideal of R is projective (resp., flat). It is obvious that R is a left PP ring if and only if R is a left P -coherent and PF ring. Another interesting fact is that R is a left coherent ring if and only if every $n \times n$ matrix ring $M_n(R)$ is a left P -coherent ring for every $n \geq 1$ (see [6, Proposition 2.4]). P -coherent rings are also closely related to two classes of classical modules, i.e., torsionfree and divisible modules. In fact, it has been shown that R is a left P -coherent ring if and only if any direct product of torsionfree right R -modules is torsionfree, if and only if any direct limit of divisible left R -modules is divisible (see [6, Theorem 2.7]).

In this article, we will further consider the P -coherence of the endomorphism ring S of a right R -module M . To this aim, we first introduce the concept of M -torsionfree modules in Section 2. Some elementary properties of M -torsionfree

Received June 5, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16P70, 16D20, 16D40.

Key words and phrases. P -coherent ring, M -torsionfree module, preenvelope.

This research was supported by SRFDP (No.20050284015), NSFC (No.10771096), NSF of Jiangsu Province of China (No.BK2008365), Jiangsu 333 Project, Jiangsu Qinglan Project, and Science Research Fund of Nanjing Institute of Technology.

modules are given. For example, if N is an M -torsionfree right R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a torsionfree right S -module.

In Section 3, we study when the endomorphism ring S of a right R -module M_R is left P -coherent. It is shown that S is a left P -coherent ring if and only if every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree preenvelope if and only if the left annihilator $\text{ann}_S(X)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of S for any M -cyclic submodule X of M_R .

Section 4 is devoted to some applications. We show that every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree preenvelope which is a monomorphism if and only if the endomorphism ring S is left P -coherent and ${}_S M$ is divisible. It is also proven that S is a left P -coherent ring and submodules of M -torsionfree right R -modules are M -torsionfree if and only if every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an $\text{add}M_R$ -envelope which is an epimorphism. In addition, we further investigate when the endomorphism ring of M_R is left PP or von Neumann regular in case M_R is quasi-projective.

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unitary. As usual, $E(M)$ denotes the injective envelope of M , M^I stands for the direct product of copies of M indexed by a set I . M_R (${}_R M$) denotes a right (left) R -module. For a module M_R , we denote by $S = \text{End}(M_R)$ the endomorphism ring of M_R and by $\text{add}M_R$ the category consisting of all modules isomorphic to direct summands of finite direct sums of copies of M_R . The reader may consult [1], [2] and [7] for background materials in ring and module theory.

2. M -torsionfree modules

Let M_R be a right R -module. Recall that a right R -module is called *M -cyclic* if it is isomorphic to M/X for some submodule X of M . A right R -module N is called *cyclically M -presented* if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with K M -cyclic. A right R -module is called *cyclically presented* if it is cyclically R_R -presented.

Definition 2.1. Let M_R be a right R -module. A right R -module N is called *M -torsionfree* if every R -homomorphism $K \rightarrow N$ with K cyclically M -presented factors through a right R -module in $\text{add}M_R$, or equivalently, factors through M^n for some integer $n \geq 0$.

Remark 2.2. (1) By definitions, the class of M -torsionfree right R -modules is closed under direct summands and finite direct sums.

(2) If $N \in \text{add}M_R$, then N is clearly M -torsionfree. The converse holds if N is cyclically M -presented.

(3) Recall that a right R -module N is called *torsionfree* [3] if $\text{Tor}_1^R(N, R/Ra) = 0$ for all $a \in R$. By [10, Proposition 2], it is easy to verify that a right R -module N is torsionfree if and only if every R -homomorphism $K \rightarrow N$ with K cyclically presented factors through a finitely generated projective right R -module. So R_R -torsionfree modules are exactly torsionfree right R -modules.

Let M_R be a right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. For a right R -module A , there is a natural R -homomorphism $\theta_A : \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \otimes_S M \rightarrow A$ defined via $\theta_A(f \otimes x) = f(x)$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$ and $x \in M$.

On the other hand, for a right S -module B , there is a natural S -homomorphism $\xi_B : B \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B \otimes_S M)$ defined via $\xi_B(b)(x) = b \otimes x$ for $b \in B$ and $x \in M$.

Recall that a right R -module N is called M -projective if, for every quotient module Q of M , the sequence $\text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, Q) \rightarrow 0$ is exact. M is called *quasi-projective* if M is M -projective.

Proposition 2.3. *Let M_R be a right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. If N is an M -torsionfree right R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a torsionfree right S -module. The converse holds if M_R is a quasi-projective right R -module.*

Proof. Suppose that N is an M -torsionfree right R -module. For any cyclically presented right S -module G , there is a right R -module exact sequence

$$S \otimes_S M \rightarrow S \otimes_S M \rightarrow G \otimes_S M \rightarrow 0.$$

So $G \otimes_S M$ is a cyclically M -presented right R -module. Let $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ be any S -homomorphism. Since N is M -torsionfree, the right R -homomorphism $G \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1} \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{\theta_N} N$ factors through M^n for some $n \geq 0$. Thus, applying $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$, we get the right S -homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, G \otimes_S M) \xrightarrow{(\alpha \otimes 1)_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_S M) \xrightarrow{(\theta_N)_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, N),$$

which factors through $\text{Hom}_R(M, M^n) \cong S^n$. Therefore the right S -homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\xi_G} \text{Hom}_R(M, G \otimes_S M) \xrightarrow{(\alpha \otimes 1)_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_S M) \xrightarrow{(\theta_N)_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ factors through S^n . It is easy to verify that

$$\alpha = (\theta_N)_*(\alpha \otimes 1)_*\xi_G.$$

So $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a torsionfree right S -module by [10, Proposition 2].

Conversely, assume that M is a quasi-projective right R -module and $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a torsionfree right S -module. Let H be a cyclically M -presented right R -module and $f : H \rightarrow N$ any R -homomorphism. Then $\text{Hom}_R(M, H)$ is a cyclically presented right S -module since M is quasi-projective. So the right S -homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(M, H) \xrightarrow{f_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ factors through S^m for some integer $m \geq 0$. Thus the induced R -homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(M, H) \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{f_* \otimes 1} \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes_S M$ factors through $S^m \otimes_S M \cong M^m$. Since H is cyclically M -presented, we have $\text{Hom}_R(M, H) \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{\theta_H} H$ is an isomorphism. Note that

$$f\theta_H = \theta_N(f_* \otimes 1).$$

So f factors through M^m , and hence N is an M -torsionfree right R -module. \square

Let M_R be a right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. For right R -modules A, B , there is a natural homomorphism

$$\sigma_{A,B} : \text{Hom}_R(M, A) \otimes_S \text{Hom}_R(B, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(B, A)$$

defined via $\sigma_{A,B}(f \otimes g)(b) = f(g(b))$ for $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$, $g \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$, $b \in B$.

It is easy to check that $\sigma_{A,B}$ is an isomorphism if $A \in \text{add}M_R$ or $B \in \text{add}M_R$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let M and A be right R -modules. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) A is M -torsionfree;
- (2) For any cyclically M -presented right R -module B , $\sigma_{A,B}$ is an epimorphism.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(B, A)$. By (1), f factors through a right R -module M^n for some integer $n \geq 0$, i.e., there exist $g : B \rightarrow M^n$ and $h : M^n \rightarrow A$ such that $f = hg$. Let $\pi_i : M^n \rightarrow M$ be the i th projection and $\lambda_i : M \rightarrow M^n$ the i th injection, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Put $f_i = h\lambda_i$ and $g_i = \pi_i g$. It is easy to check that

$$f = \sigma_{A,B} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \right).$$

So $\sigma_{A,B}$ is an epimorphism.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let B be a cyclically M -presented right R -module and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(B, A)$. By (2), there are $f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(M, A)$ and $g_i \in \text{Hom}_R(B, M)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$f = \sigma_{A,B} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i \otimes g_i \right).$$

Define $g : B \rightarrow M^n$ via

$$g(b) = (g_1(b), g_2(b), \dots, g_n(b)), \quad b \in B$$

and define $h : M^n \rightarrow A$ via

$$h(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(m_i), \quad m_i \in M.$$

Then $f = hg$ and hence (1) follows. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let M_R be a finitely presented right R -module. Then every pure submodule of an M -torsionfree right R -module is M -torsionfree.*

Proof. Let N be a pure submodule of an M -torsionfree right R -module L and $j : N \rightarrow L$ the inclusion. Since L is M -torsionfree, for any cyclically M -presented right R -module P and any homomorphism $f : P \rightarrow N$, there are $Q \in \text{add}M_R$ and $g : P \rightarrow Q$ and $h : Q \rightarrow L$ such that $jf = hg$. Note that there

is a pure epimorphism $\phi : H \rightarrow L$ with H pure-projective by [10, Proposition 1], so we have the pullback diagram of j and ϕ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & H & \xrightarrow{\pi\phi} & L/N \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \phi & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{j} & L & \xrightarrow{\pi} & L/N \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since M_R is finitely presented, Q is finitely presented. Thus there exists $l : Q \rightarrow H$ such that $h = \phi l$ since ϕ is pure. Therefore we have $\pi\phi l g = \pi h g = \pi j f = 0$, which implies that $l g(P) \subseteq K$ (here λ is regarded as the inclusion). Since P is finitely generated, so is $l g(P)$. Note that j and ϕ are pure, it is easily seen that λ is also pure. On the other hand, since H is pure-projective, by [11, Proposition 1.4(3)], we get a homomorphism $k : H \rightarrow K$ such that $k l g(p) = l g(p)$ for all $p \in P$. Put $\beta = \alpha k l$, then $\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(Q, N)$.

For all $p \in P$, we have

$$\beta g(p) = j \alpha k l g(p) = \phi \lambda k l g(p) = \phi \lambda l g(p) = \phi l g(p) = h g(p) = j f(p) = f(p).$$

So $f = \beta g$. Thus N is M -torsionfree. □

3. When is the endomorphism ring of a right R -module P -coherent?

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of right R -modules and N a right R -module. A homomorphism $\phi : N \rightarrow F$ with $F \in \mathcal{C}$ is called a \mathcal{C} -preenvelope of N [2] if for any homomorphism $f : N \rightarrow F'$ with $F' \in \mathcal{C}$, there is a homomorphism $g : F \rightarrow F'$ such that $g\phi = f$. Moreover, if every endomorphism $g : F \rightarrow F$ such that $g\phi = \phi$ is an isomorphism, the \mathcal{C} -preenvelope ϕ is called a \mathcal{C} -envelope of N .

For \mathcal{C} the class of M -torsionfree right R -modules, \mathcal{C} -(pre)envelopes will simply be called M -torsionfree (pre)envelopes.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M_R be a right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a left P -coherent ring;
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ is a finitely generated left S -module for any cyclically M -presented right R -module N ;
- (3) Every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree preenvelope;
- (4) The left annihilator $\text{ann}_S(X)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of S for any M -cyclic submodule X of M_R ;
- (5) All direct products of copies of M_R are M -torsionfree;
- (6) All direct products of M -torsionfree right R -modules are M -torsionfree.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module, i.e., there is a right R -module exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$. Then we have an

induced left S -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, M) \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f^*} S.$$

Note that $\text{im}(f^*)$ is a principal left ideal of S . Thus $\text{im}(f^*)$ is finitely presented by (1), and so $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ is a finitely generated left S -module.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $a \in S$. Then there is a left S -module exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{g} S \xrightarrow{f} Sa \rightarrow 0$, which induces a right R -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(Sa, M) \xrightarrow{f^*} \text{Hom}_S(S, M) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Hom}_S(K, M).$$

Note that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{f} & Sa \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \delta_S & & \downarrow \delta_{Sa} \\ \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(S, M), M) & \xrightarrow{f^{**}} & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(Sa, M), M), \end{array}$$

where δ_{Sa} and δ_S are the canonical maps.

Let $i : Sa \rightarrow S$ be the inclusion. We get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \longrightarrow & Sa & \xrightarrow{i} S \\ & \downarrow \delta_{Sa} & \downarrow \delta_S \\ \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(Sa, M), M) & \xrightarrow{i^{**}} & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(S, M), M) \end{array}$$

So $i^{**}\delta_{Sa} = \delta_S i$ is a monomorphism.

On the other hand, there exists a right R -module exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_S(S, M) \xrightarrow{f^* i^*} \text{Hom}_S(S, M) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

Since G is cyclically M -presented, we have that $\text{Hom}_R(G, M)$ is a finitely generated left S -module by (2). Therefore there exists $\psi : K \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(G, M)$ such that the following diagram with exact rows is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{g} & S & \xrightarrow{f} & Sa \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \delta_S & & \downarrow i^{**}\delta_{Sa} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(G, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(S, M), M) & \xrightarrow{i^{**}f^{**}} & \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(S, M), M) \end{array}$$

By the Five Lemma, ψ is an isomorphism. Thus K is finitely generated, and so Sa is finitely presented. Hence S is a left P -coherent ring.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. By (2), $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$ is a finitely generated left S -module, so there is a generating set $\{f_j \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M) : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ of $\text{Hom}_R(N, M)$. Define $f : N \rightarrow M^n$ via

$$x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x)), \quad x \in N.$$

We will show that f is an M -torsionfree preenvelope of N . In fact, let G be an M -torsionfree right R -module and $\varphi : N \rightarrow G$ any R -homomorphism.

Then there are $g : N \rightarrow M^m$ and $\psi : M^m \rightarrow G$ such that $\varphi = \psi g$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Let $\pi_i : M^m \rightarrow M$ be the i th projection, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Note that $\pi_i g \in \text{Hom}_R(N, M)$, so there exist $s_{ij} \in S$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) such that $\pi_i g = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} f_j$. Define $h_i : M^n \rightarrow M$ via

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij} a_j, \quad a_j \in M.$$

Then there exists $h : M^n \rightarrow M^m$ such that $h_i = \pi_i h$. So $\pi_i h f = h_i f = \pi_i g$ and hence $g = h f$. Thus $\varphi = \psi g = (\psi h) f$. Consequently f is an M -torsionfree preenvelope.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Let X be an M -cyclic submodule of the right R -module M .

Consider the right R -module exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{\pi} M/X \rightarrow 0$, where i is the inclusion and π is the canonical map. Since M/X is cyclically M -presented, M/X has an M -torsionfree preenvelope $\theta : M/X \rightarrow Y$ by (3). So there exist $\alpha : M/X \rightarrow M^m$ and $\eta : M^m \rightarrow Y$ such that $\theta = \eta \alpha$ for some integer $m \geq 0$. Let $p_k : M^m \rightarrow M$ (resp., $\lambda_k : M \rightarrow M^m$) be the k th canonical projection (resp., injection) and $\beta_k = p_k \alpha \pi \in S$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. It is clear that $\beta_k \in \text{ann}_S(X)$.

On the other hand, for any $f \in \text{ann}_S(X)$, there is a right R -homomorphism $\gamma : M/X \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma \pi = f$. Since θ is an M -torsionfree preenvelope, there exists $\phi : Y \rightarrow M$ such that $\phi \theta = \gamma$. Thus

$$f = \phi \theta \pi = \sum_{k=1}^m \phi \eta \lambda_k p_k \alpha \pi = \sum_{k=1}^m (\phi \eta \lambda_k) \beta_k \in \sum_{k=1}^m S \beta_k,$$

which implies that $\text{ann}_S(X) = \sum_{k=1}^m S \beta_k$ is a finitely generated left ideal of S .

(4) \Rightarrow (3). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. Then there is a right R -module exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$, where K is M -cyclic. Thus $\text{ann}_S(K)$ is a finitely generated left ideal of S by (4). Suppose that f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m is a generating set of $\text{ann}_S(K)$. Then K is contained in the kernel of the product map $f : M \rightarrow M^m$ induced by the f_i (we set $\pi_i f = f_i$, where $\pi_i : M^m \rightarrow M$ is the i th canonical projection, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), and hence there is a map $h : N \rightarrow M^m$ such that $f = h g$. We claim that h is an M -torsionfree preenvelope of N . In fact, for any homomorphism $\psi : N \rightarrow G$ with G M -torsionfree, there exist $\alpha : N \rightarrow M^n$ and $\beta : M^n \rightarrow G$ such that $\gamma = \beta \alpha$ for some integer $n \geq 0$. Let $\pi_j : M^n \rightarrow M$ be the j th canonical projection, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It is obvious that $\pi_j \alpha g \in \text{ann}_S(K)$. Thus there exist $t_{ij} \in S$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\pi_j \alpha g = \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij} f_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij} \pi_i \right) f = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij} \pi_i \right) h g.$$

Since g is epic, we have $\pi_j\alpha = (\sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij}\pi_i)h$. In addition, there exists $\varphi : M^m \rightarrow M^n$ such that $\pi_j\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij}\pi_i$ for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $\pi_j\varphi h = (\sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij}\pi_i)h = \pi_j\alpha$, and so $\varphi h = \alpha$. Thus $\gamma = \beta\alpha = (\beta\varphi)h$. It follows that h is an M -torsionfree preenvelope.

(3) \Rightarrow (6). Let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of M -torsionfree right R -modules and N any cyclically M -presented right R -module. By (3), N has an M -torsionfree preenvelope $f : N \rightarrow F$. It follows that the sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, M_i) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M_i) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus we get the exact sequence

$$(\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, M_i))^I \rightarrow (\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M_i))^I \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that

$$(\mathrm{Hom}_R(F, M_i))^I \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(F, M_i^I) \text{ and } (\mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M_i))^I \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(N, M_i^I).$$

So every homomorphism from N to M_i^I factors through F . In addition, since F is M -torsionfree, every homomorphism from N to F factors through a right R -module in $\mathrm{add}M_R$. Thus every homomorphism from N to M_i^I factors through a right R -module in $\mathrm{add}M_R$. So M_i^I is M -torsionfree.

(6) \Rightarrow (5) is trivial.

(5) \Rightarrow (2). Let A be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. For any index set I , we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M))^I & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M^I) \\ \uparrow \varphi & & \uparrow \sigma_{M^I, A} \\ S^I \otimes_S \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, M^I) \otimes_S \mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M), \end{array}$$

where φ is a canonical homomorphism, θ and ψ are isomorphisms. By Proposition 2.4, $\sigma_{M^I, A}$ is epic since M^I is M -torsionfree. Thus φ is epic, and hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(A, M)$ is a finitely generated left S -module by [9, Lemma 13.1, p. 41]. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let M_R be a finitely presented right R -module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M_R)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a left P -coherent ring;
- (2) Every right R -module has an M -torsionfree preenvelope.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N be any right R -module. By [2, Lemma 5.3.12], there is a cardinal number \aleph_α such that for any R -homomorphism $f : N \rightarrow L$ with L M -torsionfree, there is a pure submodule Q of L such that $\mathrm{Card}(Q) \leq \aleph_\alpha$ and $f(N) \subseteq Q$. Thus f has a factorization $N \rightarrow Q \rightarrow L$, where Q is M -torsionfree by Proposition 2.5. Now let $(\varphi_i)_{i \in I}$ give all such homomorphisms $\varphi_i : N \rightarrow Q_i$ with $\mathrm{Card}(Q_i) \leq \aleph_\alpha$ and Q_i M -torsionfree. Then any homomorphism $N \rightarrow H$ with H M -torsionfree has a factorization $N \rightarrow Q_j \rightarrow H$ for some $j \in I$. Thus

$N \rightarrow Q_i^I$ is an M -torsionfree preenvelope since Q_i^I is M -torsionfree by (1) and Theorem 3.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear by Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let M_R be a quasi-projective right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a right P -coherent ring;
- (2) For any $s \in S$, there exist $n \geq 0$ and a right R -homomorphism $\alpha : M^n \rightarrow \ker(s)$ such that $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{coker}(\alpha)) = 0$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $s \in S$. Then there is a right R -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(s) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{s} M,$$

which gives rise to the right S -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(s)) \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{s_*} S.$$

So $\text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(s))$ is a finitely generated right S -module since $\text{im}(s_*)$ is finitely presented by (1). Thus there is a right S -module exact sequence

$$S^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(s)) \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces a right R -module exact sequence

$$M^n \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(s)) \otimes_S M \rightarrow 0.$$

Put

$$\alpha = \theta_{\ker(s)} \beta : M^n \xrightarrow{\beta} \text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(s)) \otimes_S M \xrightarrow{\theta_{\ker(s)}} \ker(s).$$

Next we will show $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{coker}(\alpha)) = 0$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{coker}(\alpha))$ and $\gamma : \ker(s) \rightarrow \text{coker}(\alpha)$ be the canonical map. Since M is a quasi-projective right R -module, M is $\ker(s)$ -projective by [1, Proposition 16.12]. So there exists $g : M \rightarrow \ker(s)$ such that $f = \gamma g$. For any $x \in M$, we have $g(x) = \theta_{\ker(s)}(g \otimes x) \in \text{im}(\alpha)$. Thus $f(x) = \gamma g(x) = 0$, and hence $f = 0$. So $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{coker}(\alpha)) = 0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{\varphi} S$ be an exact sequence of right S -modules. It is enough to show that K is finitely generated. By tensoring with ${}_S M$, $S \xrightarrow{\varphi} S$ induces a right R -homomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow M$. By Five Lemma, we have $K \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(\psi))$. In addition, there exists $\alpha : M^n \rightarrow \ker(\psi)$ such that $\text{Hom}_R(M, \text{coker}(\alpha)) = 0$ by (2). Since M is a quasi-projective right R -module, we get the right S -module exact sequence

$$S^n \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \ker(\psi)) \rightarrow 0.$$

So K is finitely generated. It follows that S is a right P -coherent ring. \square

4. Applications

Recall that a left R -module N is said to be *divisible* [3] if $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/Ra, N) = 0$ for all $a \in R$.

Let ${}_S M_R$ be a bimodule. A right R -module L is called M_R -*torsionless* [1] if the canonical map $L \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(L, M), M)$ is a monomorphism.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M_R be a right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. Then ${}_S M$ is divisible if and only if every cyclically M -presented right R -module is M_R -torsionless.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”. Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module, i.e., there is a right R -module exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$. Then by [5, Lemma 4.1], there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(L, M) \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(N, M), M),$$

where $L = \text{coker}(f^*)$ is a cyclically presented left S -module. Thus $\text{Ext}_S^1(L, M) = 0$, which implies that N is M_R -torsionless.

“ \Leftarrow ”. Let G be a cyclically presented left S -module, i.e., there is a left S -module exact sequence $S \xrightarrow{g} S \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$. Thus we obtain an induced exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(G, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(S, M) \xrightarrow{g^*} \text{Hom}_S(S, M) \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0,$$

where $H = \text{coker}(g^*)$ is a cyclically M -presented right R -module, and so is M_R -torsionless by hypothesis. Now applying [5, Lemma 4.1] to the right half of the above sequence, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(Q, M) \rightarrow H \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(H, M), M),$$

where $Q = \text{coker}(g^{**}) \cong G$. Thus $\text{Ext}_S^1(G, M) = 0$, and so ${}_S M$ is divisible. \square

Theorem 4.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a right R -module M_R with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$:*

- (1) S is a left P -coherent ring and ${}_S M$ is divisible;
- (2) S is a left P -coherent ring, and every cyclically M -presented right R -module embeds in L with $L \in \text{add}M_R$;
- (3) S is a left P -coherent ring, and every injective right R -module is M -torsionfree;
- (4) S is a left P -coherent ring, and the injective envelope of every simple right R -module is M -torsionfree;
- (5) Every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree pre-envelope which is a monomorphism.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) holds by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) are straightforward.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. We will show that N is M_R -torsionless. It is enough to show that, for any $0 \neq m \in N$,

there exists $f : N \rightarrow M$ such that $f(m) \neq 0$. In fact, there is a maximal submodule K of mR , and so mR/K is simple. By the injectivity of $E(mR/K)$, there exists $j : N \rightarrow E(mR/K)$ such that $j\iota = i\pi$, where $\iota : mR \rightarrow N$ and $i : mR/K \rightarrow E(mR/K)$ are the inclusions, and $\pi : mR \rightarrow mR/K$ is the canonical map. Note that $j(m) = j\iota(m) = i\pi(m) \neq 0$. On the other hand, since $E(mR/K)$ is M -torsionfree by (4), there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g : N \rightarrow M^n$ and $h : M^n \rightarrow E(mR/K)$ such that $j = hg$. Therefore $g(m) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$. So there exists some $x_i \neq 0$. Let $p_i : M^n \rightarrow M$ be the i th projection. Then $p_i g(m) \neq 0$. Hence N is M_R -torsionless. Thus N embeds in an M -torsionfree right R -module by Theorem 3.1, and so N has an M -torsionfree preenvelope which is a monomorphism by Theorem 3.1 again.

(5) \Rightarrow (1) follows from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.3. *The following conditions are equivalent for an injective right R -module M_R with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$:*

- (1) S is a left P -coherent ring and ${}_S M$ is divisible;
- (2) Every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree envelope which is a monomorphism.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. By Theorem 4.2, $E(N)$ is M -torsionfree. We claim that the inclusion $i : N \rightarrow E(N)$ is an M -torsionfree envelope of N . In fact, for any M -torsionfree right R -module F and any homomorphism $f : N \rightarrow F$, f factors through a module L in $\text{add}M_R$, i.e., there exist $g : N \rightarrow L$ and $h : L \rightarrow F$ such that $f = hg$. Since M_R is injective, we have L is injective. Therefore there is $j : E(N) \rightarrow L$ such that $g = ji$. Thus $f = h(ji) = (hj)i$, which means that i is an M -torsionfree preenvelope, and hence i is an M -torsionfree envelope of N since i is an injective envelope.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) is clear Theorem 4.2. \square

Theorem 4.4. *The following conditions are equivalent for a right R -module M_R with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$:*

- (1) S is a left P -coherent ring, and every submodule of an M -torsionfree right R -module is M -torsionfree;
- (2) Every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an M -torsionfree envelope which is an epimorphism;
- (3) Every cyclically M -presented right R -module has an $\text{add}M_R$ -envelope which is an epimorphism.

If M_R is quasi-projective, then the conditions above are also equivalent to

- (4) S is a left PP ring.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. Then N has an M -torsionfree preenvelope $f : N \rightarrow F$ by Theorem 3.1 since S is a left P -coherent ring. However $\text{im}(f)$ is M -torsionfree by (1), it follows that $N \rightarrow \text{im}(f)$ is an epic M -torsionfree envelope.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let N be a cyclically M -presented right R -module. Then N has an epic M -torsionfree envelope $f : N \rightarrow F$ by (2). So f factors through a module L in $\text{add}M_R$, i.e., there exist $g : N \rightarrow L$ and $h : L \rightarrow F$ such that $f = hg$. On the other hand, since L is M -torsionfree, there exists $\alpha : F \rightarrow L$ such that $g = \alpha f$. Thus $f = h\alpha f$, and so $h\alpha = 1$ since f is epic. Hence F is isomorphic to a direct summand of L . Therefore $F \in \text{add}M_R$ and (3) follows.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). It is clear that S is a left P -coherent ring by Theorem 3.1. Now suppose that N is a submodule of L with L an M -torsionfree right R -module, and $\iota : N \rightarrow L$ is the inclusion. For any cyclically M -presented right R -module K and $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(K, N)$, $\iota\alpha$ factors through a module H in $\text{add}M_R$, i.e., there exist $g : K \rightarrow H$ and $h : H \rightarrow L$ such that $\iota\alpha = hg$. By (3), K has an epic $\text{add}M_R$ -envelope $\beta : K \rightarrow Q$. Thus there exists $\gamma : Q \rightarrow H$ such that $g = \gamma\beta$, which implies that $\ker(\beta) \subseteq \ker(\alpha)$ and so there exists $\varphi : Q \rightarrow N$ such that $\alpha = \varphi\beta$. Therefore N is M -torsionfree.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). Let $\alpha \in S$. Then there is a right R -module exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$. Since M is quasi-projective, we get a right S -module exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\lambda : \alpha(M) \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion. By (1), $\alpha(M)$ is M -torsionfree, so $\text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha(M))$ is a torsionfree right S -module by Proposition 2.3. Note that

$$\alpha S = \alpha_*(S) = \lambda_*(\text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha(M))) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \alpha(M)).$$

Thus αS is torsionfree, and so is flat by [8, p. 2047, 5(a)]. Hence S is a right PF ring. But the property that S is a PF ring is left-right symmetric (see [4]), therefore S is a left PP ring.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let N be a submodule of H with H an M -torsionfree right R -module. Then there is a right R -module exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, which induces a right S -module exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, H) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\text{Hom}_R(M, H)$ is a torsionfree right S -module by Proposition 2.3.

Let $a \in S$. Then Sa is projective by (4). So the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Sa \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/Sa \rightarrow 0$ induces the exactness of the sequence

$$0 = \text{Tor}_2^S(G, S) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_2^S(G, S/Sa) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(G, Sa) = 0.$$

Thus $\text{Tor}_2^S(G, S/Sa) = 0$. Therefore we have the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{Tor}_2^S(G, S/Sa) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(\text{Hom}_R(M, N), S/Sa) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^S(\text{Hom}_R(M, H), S/Sa) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\text{Tor}_1^S(\text{Hom}_R(M, N), S/Sa) = 0$, which implies that $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is a torsionfree right S -module, and so N is an M -torsionfree right R -module by Proposition 2.3. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let M_R be a quasi-projective right R -module with $S = \text{End}(M_R)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a von Neumann regular ring;
- (2) S is a left PP ring and ${}_S M$ is divisible;
- (3) Every cyclically M -presented right R -module is M -torsionfree;
- (4) Every right R -module is M -torsionfree.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) is trivial.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) follows from Theorems 4.2 and 4.4.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is clear by Remark 2.2 (2).

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Let $\alpha \in S$. Then there is a right R -module exact sequence $M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{\beta} N \rightarrow 0$. Note that $N \in \text{add}M_R$ by (4). Therefore N is M -projective by [1, Proposition 16.10] since M is quasi-projective. Thus β is a split epimorphism. So we get a right S -module exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, M) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \xrightarrow{\beta_*} \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \rightarrow 0,$$

where β_* is a split epimorphism. Thus $\alpha S = \alpha_*(S)$ is a direct summand of S . Hence S is a von Neumann regular ring. \square

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Nanqing Ding for his constant help and the referee for the valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 13. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.
- [2] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 30. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.
- [3] A. Hattori, *A foundation of torsion theory for modules over general rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **17** (1960), 147–158.
- [4] S. Jøndrup, *p.p. rings and finitely generated flat ideals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **28** (1971), 431–435.
- [5] L. X. Mao, *Relatively endocoherent modules*, Algebra Colloq. **14** (2007), no. 2, 327–340.
- [6] L. X. Mao and N. Q. Ding, *On divisible and torsionfree modules*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), no. 2, 708–731.
- [7] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [8] A. Shamsuddin, *n -injective and n -flat modules*, Comm. Algebra **29** (2001), no. 5, 2039–2050.
- [9] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
- [10] R. B. Warfield, Jr., *Purity and algebraic compactness for modules*, Pacific J. Math. **28** (1969), 699–719.
- [11] W. Zimmermann, *On locally pure-injective modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **166** (2002), no. 3, 337–357.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 NANJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 NANJING 211167, P. R. CHINA
 E-mail address: maolx2@hotmail.com