

A NOTE ON GT-ALGEBRAS

JAEDOEK KIM^a, YOUNGMI KIM^b AND EUN HWAN ROH^{c,*}

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of GT-algebras as a generalization of the concept of Tarski algebras. We introduce the notion of GT-filters in GT-algebras, and we prove some properties of GT-filters.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of Tarski algebras was introduced by J. C. Abbott in [2]. These algebras are an algebraic counterpart of the $\{\vee, \rightarrow\}$ -fragment of the propositional classical calculus. S. A. Celani ([5]) introduced Tarski algebras equipped with a modal operator as a generalization of the concept of Boolean algebra with a modal operator which he researched into these fragments of the algebraic viewpoint. Properties of filters in Tarski algebras were treated by S. A. Celani ([5]) and the authors ([6]). Recently, the present authors ([6]) considered decompositions and expansions of filters in Tarski algebras, and also they have shown that there is no non-trivial quadratic Tarski algebras on a field X with $|X| \geq 3$. However, we feel that the concept of Tarski algebra is relatively too strong for filters. To deal with those, the algebraic structure should be treated in a more general setting, so-called a GT-algebra. In this paper, we shall introduce the notion of GT-algebras as a generalization of the concept of Tarski algebras. We introduce the notion of GT-filters in GT-algebras, and we shall prove some properties of GT-filters. Although the results of this paper are written in algebraic form, their own significance in theory of logics.

Let us review some definitions and results. By a *Tarski algebra* we mean an algebra $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$(T1) \quad (\forall a \in X)(1 \rightarrow a = a).$$

Received by the editors June 7, 2008. Revised October 7, 2008. Accepted February 4, 2009.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03B45, 03G25, 06F35.

Key words and phrases. Tarski algebra, GT-algebra, quasi-ordered set, GT-filter.

*Corresponding author.

$$(T2) (\forall a \in X)(a \rightarrow a = 1).$$

$$(T3) (\forall a, b, c \in X)(a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)).$$

$$(T4) (\forall a, b \in X)((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b = (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a).$$

In a Tarski algebra X we can define an order relation \leq by setting $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \rightarrow b = 1$ for any $a, b \in X$. It is well known that $(X; \leq)$ is an ordered set and that X is a join-semilattice where the supremum of two elements $a, b \in X$ is defined by $a \vee b = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$ ([3]).

A non-empty subset F of a Tarski algebra X is said to be a *filter* if $1 \in F$, and $a \in F$ and $a \rightarrow b \in F$ imply $b \in F$ ([5]).

2. GENERALIZED TARSKI ALGEBRAS

Definition 2.1. By a *generalized Tarski algebra* (*GT-algebra*, for short) we mean an algebra $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the following conditions: (T1), (T2), and (T3).

Example 2.2. (1) Every Tarski algebra is a GT-algebra.

(2) Let $X := \{a, b, c, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	1
a	1	1	c	1
b	1	1	c	1
c	1	1	1	1
1	a	b	c	1

It is routine to check that $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra, which is not a Tarski algebra since $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b = b \neq a = (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a GT-algebra. Then*

$$(p1) (\forall a \in X)(a \rightarrow 1 = 1).$$

$$(p2) (\forall a, b \in X)(a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow a) = 1).$$

$$(p3) (\forall a, b \in X)(a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = a \rightarrow b).$$

$$(p4) (\forall a, b \in X)(a \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) = 1).$$

$$(p5) (\forall a, b, c \in X)(a \rightarrow b = 1 \Rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow b) = 1).$$

Proof. (p1) Using (T2) and (T3), we have

$$a \rightarrow 1 = a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) = (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) = 1.$$

(p2) Using (T2), (T3) and (p1), we get

$$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow a) = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a) = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow 1 = 1.$$

(p3) By (T1), (T2) and (T3), we have

$$a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = 1 \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = a \rightarrow b.$$

(p4) Using (T2), (T3) and (p3), we get $a \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) = (a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = 1$.

(p5) Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $a \rightarrow b = 1$. Then we have

$$(c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = c \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = c \rightarrow 1 = 1$$

for all $c \in X$. This completes the proof. \square

A reflexive and transitive relation \mathfrak{R} on a set X is called a *quasi-ordering* of X , and the couple (X, \mathfrak{R}) is called a *quasi-ordered set*.

We provide a method to make a GT-algebra from a quasi-ordered set.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, \mathfrak{R}) be a quasi-ordered set. Suppose that $1 \notin X$ and let $X1 = X \cup \{1\}$. Define a binary operation \rightarrow on $X1$ as follows: $\forall a, b \in X1$,*

$$a \rightarrow b := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}, \\ b & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(X1; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra.

Proof. Since $(1, a) \notin \mathfrak{R}$ for every $a \in X1$, we have $1 \rightarrow a = a$ for all $a \in X1$. Thus $(X1; \rightarrow, 1)$ satisfies (T1). Since \mathfrak{R} is reflexive, $a \rightarrow a = 1$ for all $a \in X$. This proves the condition (T2) holds. To verify the condition (T3), we consider the following four cases:

Case (1): $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $(b, c) \in \mathfrak{R}$ imply that $(a, c) \in \mathfrak{R}$, and so

$$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow 1 = 1 = 1 \rightarrow 1 = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c).$$

Case (2): $(a, b) \notin \mathfrak{R}$ and $(b, c) \in \mathfrak{R}$ imply that $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow 1 = 1$. If $(a, c) \in \mathfrak{R}$, then $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = b \rightarrow 1 = 1 = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$; if $(a, c) \notin \mathfrak{R}$, then $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = b \rightarrow c = 1 = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$.

Case (3): $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $(b, c) \notin \mathfrak{R}$ imply that

$$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow c = 1 \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c).$$

Case (4): Let $(a, b) \notin \mathfrak{R}$ and $(b, c) \notin \mathfrak{R}$. If $(a, c) \in \mathfrak{R}$, then

$$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow c = 1 = b \rightarrow 1 = (a, b) \rightarrow (a, c);$$

if $(a, c) \notin \mathfrak{R}$, then

$$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow c = c = b \rightarrow c = (a, b) \rightarrow (a, c).$$

Hence the condition (T3) is true. This completes the proof. \square

Employing the idea of Theorem 2.4, we construct a GT-algebra which is not a Tarski algebra.

Example 2.5. Let $X := \{a, b, c, d\}$ be a quasi-ordered set with the following relation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R} := \{ & (a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, d), (b, b), (b, c), (b, d), \\ & (c, c), (c, d), (c, b), (d, d), (d, b), (d, c)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(X1 := X \cup \{1; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	d	1
a	1	1	1	1	1
b	a	1	1	1	1
c	a	1	1	1	1
d	a	1	1	1	1
1	a	b	c	d	1

Note that $X1$ is not a Tarski algebra since $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b = b \neq 1 = (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathfrak{R}X$ be a relation on a GT-algebra X defined by

$$(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R}X \text{ if } x \rightarrow y = 1.$$

Then $\mathfrak{R}X$ is a quasi-ordering of X . Moreover,

- (i) $(\forall a \in X)((a, 1) \in \mathfrak{R}X)$.
- (ii) If $a \in X$ such that $(1, a) \in \mathfrak{R}X$, then $a = 1$.

We call $\mathfrak{R}X$ the *induced quasi-ordering* of X .

Proof. Since $a \rightarrow a = 1$ for all $x \in X$, we get $(a, a) \in \mathfrak{R}X$, i.e., $\mathfrak{R}X$ is reflexive. Let $a, b, c \in \mathfrak{R}X$ such that $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}X$ and $(b, c) \in \mathfrak{R}X$. Then $a \rightarrow b = 1$ and $b \rightarrow c = 1$. It follows from (p1), (T1) and (T3) that

$$a \rightarrow c = 1 \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow 1 = 1$$

so that $(a, c) \in \mathfrak{R}X$, i.e., $\mathfrak{R}X$ is transitive.

(i) It is obvious by (p1).

(ii) Let $a \in X$ such that $(1, a) \in \mathfrak{R}X$. Then $a = 1 \rightarrow a = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

In a GT-algebra X , we consider the following condition:

$$(P) (\forall a, b, c \in X)(a \rightarrow b = 1 \Rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = 1).$$

In Example 2.2 (2), $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ satisfies the condition (P).

Proposition 2.7. *Let X be a GT-algebra with the condition (P) and $\mathfrak{R}X$ be the induced quasi-ordering of X . If $a, b \in X$ such that $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}X$, then $(c \rightarrow a, c \rightarrow b) \in \mathfrak{R}X$ and $(b \rightarrow c, a \rightarrow c) \in \mathfrak{R}X$ for all $c \in X$.*

Proof. Straightforward. □

For every quasi-ordering \mathfrak{R} of a GT-algebra X , denote by $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$ the relation on X given by

$$(a, b) \in \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R} \text{ iff } (a, b) \in \mathfrak{R} \text{ and } (b, a) \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

Obviously, $\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$ is an equivalence relation on X , which is called an *equivalence relation induced by \mathfrak{R}* . Denote by $[a]\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$ the equivalence class containing a and by $X/\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$ the collections of $[a]\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$, i.e.,

$$[a]\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R} := \{x \in X \mid (a, x) \in \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}\}$$

and

$$X/\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R} := \{[a]\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R} \mid a \in X\}.$$

Define a relation $\leq \mathfrak{R}$ on $X/\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$ by

$$[a]\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R} \leq \mathfrak{R}[b]\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R} \text{ iff } (a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

Then $\leq \mathfrak{R}$ is a partial order on $X/\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}$, and so $(X/\mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}, \leq \mathfrak{R})$ is a poset, which is called a *poset assigned to the quasi-ordered set (X, \mathfrak{R})* .

Let \mathfrak{R} be a relation on a GT-algebra X . Then \mathfrak{R} is said to be *compatible* if $(a \rightarrow e, b \rightarrow f) \in \mathfrak{R}$ whenever $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $(e, f) \in \mathfrak{R}$ for all $a, b, e, f \in X$. A compatible equivalence relation on X is said to be a *congruence* on X . The set

$$[1]\mathfrak{R} := \{x \in X \mid (1, x) \in \mathfrak{R}\}$$

is called the *kernel* of \mathfrak{R} .

Theorem 2.8. *Let X be a GT-algebra with the condition (P), $\mathfrak{R}X$ be the induced quasi-ordering of X , and let $\Theta = \mathfrak{C}\mathfrak{R}X$ be the equivalence relation induced by $\mathfrak{R}X$.*

Then

- (i) Θ is a congruence relation on X with kernel $[1]\Theta = \{1\}$.
- (ii) the quotient algebra $(X/\Theta; \Rightarrow, [1]\Theta)$ is a GT-algebra, where the operation \Rightarrow on X/Θ is defined by

$$[a]\Theta \Rightarrow [b]\Theta := [a \rightarrow b]\Theta.$$

Proof. (i) Note that Θ is an equivalence relation on X . Let $a, b, e, f \in X$ such that $(a, b) \in \Theta$ and $(e, f) \in \Theta$. Then $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{R}X, (b, a) \in \mathfrak{R}X, (e, f) \in \mathfrak{R}X$ and $(f, e) \in \mathfrak{R}X$. So by Proposition 2.7, we get $(e \rightarrow a, f \rightarrow a) \in \mathfrak{R}X$ and $(f \rightarrow a, f \rightarrow b) \in \mathfrak{R}X$. By the transitivity of $\mathfrak{R}X$, we have $(e \rightarrow a, f \rightarrow b) \in \mathfrak{R}X$. Similarly, we have $(f \rightarrow b, e \rightarrow a) \in \mathfrak{R}X$. Hence

$$(a \rightarrow e, b \rightarrow f) \in \Theta.$$

i.e., Θ is a congruence relation on X . Now if $a \in [1]\Theta$, then $(1, a) \in \Theta$. It follows from Proposition 2.6 (ii) that $a = 1$. Therefore $[1]\Theta = \{1\}$.

(ii) Straightforward. □

Let X be a GT-algebra and $K(\neq \emptyset) \subseteq X$. Denote by ΘK the relation on X given by

$$(a, b) \in \Theta K \text{ iff } a \rightarrow b \in K \text{ and } b \rightarrow a \in K.$$

Lemma 2.9. *Let K be a nonempty subset K of a GT-algebra X . If ΘK is a reflexive relation on X , then $[1]\Theta K = K$.*

Proof. Let ΘK be a reflexive relation for a nonempty subset K of a GT-algebra X . Then $1 = a \rightarrow a \in K$. If $a \in K$, then $1 \rightarrow a = a \in K$ and $a \rightarrow 1 = 1 \in K$. Thus $(1, a) \in \Theta K$, *i.e.*, $a \in [1]\Theta K$. Conversely, if $a \in [1]\Theta K$ then $(1, a) \in \Theta K$ and so $a = 1 \rightarrow a \in K$. Therefore $[1]\Theta K = K$. □

3. GENERALIZED TARSKI-FILTERS

Definition 3.1. Let X be a GT-algebra. A nonempty subset F of X is called a *generalized Tarski-filter* (*GT-filter*, for short) of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (F1) $(\forall a, b \in X)(b \in F \Rightarrow a \rightarrow b \in F)$.
- (F2) $(\forall a, b \in X)(a \rightarrow b \in F, a \in F \Rightarrow b \in F)$.

Obviously, X and $\{1\}$ are GT-filters of X . Note that every GT-filter contains the element 1 by (T2) and (F1).

In Example 2.2 (2), the subset $\{a, b, 1\}$ is a GT-filter of X , but $\{a, 1\}$ is not a GT-filter of X .

Theorem 3.2. *Let K be a GT-filter of a GT-algebra X . Then the relation ΘK is*

an equivalence relation on X with the kernel $[1]\Theta K = K$.

Proof. Since $x \rightarrow x = 1 \in K$ for all $x \in X$, we have $(x, x) \in \Theta K$. Obviously, ΘK is symmetric. Let $a, b, c \in X$ such that $(a, b) \in \Theta K$ and $(b, c) \in \Theta K$. Then $a \rightarrow b \in K, b \rightarrow a \in K, b \rightarrow c \in K$ and $c \rightarrow b \in K$. Since $b \rightarrow c \in K$, it follows from (T2) and (F1) that

$$(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \in K.$$

Using $a \rightarrow b \in K$ and (F2), we have $a \rightarrow c \in K$. Similarly, we have $c \rightarrow a \in K$. Therefore we obtain $(a, c) \in \Theta K$, i.e., ΘK is an equivalence relation on X . By Lemma 2.9, we have $[1]\Theta K = K$. \square

In Theorem 3.2, ΘK may not be compatible in general, as following example.

Example 3.3. Let $X := \{a, b, c, d, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	1
a	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
b	c	1	c	g	1	1	g	1
c	f	f	1	f	1	f	1	1
d	c	e	c	1	e	1	1	1
e	a	f	c	d	1	f	g	1
f	c	e	c	g	e	1	g	1
g	a	b	c	f	e	f	1	1
1	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	1

Then $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra, and the subset $K := \{e, 1\}$ is a GT-filter of X . Moreover, we can find

$$\Theta K = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e), (e, 1), (f, f), (g, g), (1, e), (1, 1)\}.$$

It is routine to check that ΘK is an equivalence relation on X , which is not compatible since $(e, 1) \in \Theta K$ and $(b, b) \in \Theta K$, but $(e \rightarrow b, 1 \rightarrow b) = (f, b) \notin \Theta K$.

Theorem 3.4. Let \mathfrak{R} be a congruence relation on a GT-algebra X . Then the kernel $[1]\mathfrak{R}$ is a GT-filter of X .

Proof. Let $a \in X$ and $b \in [1]\mathfrak{R}$. Then $(1, b) \in \mathfrak{R}$. Since \mathfrak{R} is reflexive and compatible, it follows from (p1) that

$$(1, a \rightarrow b) = (a \rightarrow 1, a \rightarrow b) \in \mathfrak{R}$$

so that $a \rightarrow b \in [1]\mathfrak{R}$.

Let $a, b, c \in X$ such that $a \rightarrow b \in [1]\mathfrak{R}$ and $a \in [1]\mathfrak{R}$. Then $(1, a \rightarrow b) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $(1, a) \in \mathfrak{R}$. Since \mathfrak{R} is reflexive and compatible, we have

$$(b, a \rightarrow b) = (1 \rightarrow b, a \rightarrow b) \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

Since \mathfrak{R} is symmetric and transitive, we have $(1, b) \in \mathfrak{R}$, and so $b \in [1]\mathfrak{R}$. \square

The following example shows that the condition ‘compatible’ is necessary in the Theorem 3.4.

Example 3.5. Let $X := \{a, b, c, d, 1\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

\rightarrow	a	b	c	d	1
a	1	1	c	1	1
b	a	1	c	1	1
c	a	1	1	1	1
d	a	1	c	1	1
1	a	b	c	d	1

Then $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$ is a GT-algebra. Let

$$\mathfrak{R} := \{(a, a), (a, 1), (b, b), (b, d), (c, c), (d, b), (d, d), (1, a), (1, 1)\}.$$

It can be readily check that \mathfrak{R} is an equivalence relation on X , which is not compatible since $(a, 1) \in \mathfrak{R}$ and $(d, d) \in \mathfrak{R}$ but $(a \rightarrow d, 1 \rightarrow d) = (1, d) \notin \mathfrak{R}$. Moreover, $[1]\mathfrak{R} = \{a, 1\}$ is not a GT-filter of X since $a \rightarrow d \in [1]\mathfrak{R}$, $d \notin [1]\mathfrak{R}$.

For any GT-algebra X and $x, y \in X$, we denote

$$A(x, y) := \{z \in X \mid x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = 1\}.$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be a GT-algebra and $x, y \in X$. Then $A(x, y)$ is a GT-filter of X .*

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Now, we give some characterization of GT-filters.

Theorem 3.7. *Let F be a nonempty subset of a GT-algebra X . Then F is a GT-filter of X if and only if for any $a, b \in F$, either $A(a, b) \subseteq F$ or $A(b, a) \subseteq F$.*

Proof. The necessity is straightforward. Suppose that for any $a, b \in F$, either $A(a, b) \subseteq F$ or $A(b, a) \subseteq F$. Let $c \in X$ and $d \in F$. Then it follows from (T3), (T2) and (p1) that $d \rightarrow (d \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d)) = d \rightarrow ((d \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (d \rightarrow d)) = d \rightarrow ((d \rightarrow c) \rightarrow 1) = d \rightarrow 1 = 1$, and so $c \rightarrow d \in F$ by assumption, which proves (F1). Let $c, d \in X$ such that $c \rightarrow d \in F$ and $c \in F$. Then we have $d \in A(c \rightarrow d, c)$. By assumption, we get $d \in F$, which proves (F2). Therefore, F is a GT-filter of X . \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let F be a nonempty subset of a GT-algebra X . Then F is a GT-filter of X if and only if $F = \bigcup_{x,y \in F} FA(x,y)$.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let F be a nonempty subset of a GT-algebra X . Then F is a GT-filter of X if and only if it satisfies $1 \in F$ and (F2).*

Proof. The necessity is straightforward. Suppose that F satisfies $1 \in F$ and (F2). Let $x \in X$ and $a \in F$. Then $a \rightarrow (x \rightarrow a) = 1 \in F$ by (p2). It follows from the assumption and $a \in F$ that $x \rightarrow a \in F$. Therefore F is a GT-filter of X . \square

Finally, we provide a method to make a GT-algebra from GT-filters.

Theorem 3.10. *Let $F(X)$ be the set of all GT-filters of a GT-algebra $(X; \rightarrow, 1)$. For any $F1, F2 \in F(X)$, we define*

$$F1 \Rightarrow F2 := \{x \in X \mid [x] \cap F1 \subseteq F2\}.$$

Then $(F(X); \Rightarrow, X)$ is a GT-algebra, where $[x] := \{z \in X \mid x \rightarrow z = 1\}$.

Proof. Let $F \in F(X)$. If $x \in X \Rightarrow F$ then $x \in F$ and so $X \Rightarrow F \subseteq F$. If $x \in F$ and $y \in [x] \cap X$ then we have $y \in F$, i.e., $[x] \cap X \subseteq F$. Thus $x \in X \Rightarrow F$. Hence $F \subseteq X \Rightarrow F$. Therefore, $(F(X); \Rightarrow, X)$ satisfies (T1).

For any $F \in F(X)$, obviously we get $F \Rightarrow F \subseteq X$. If $x \in X$, then we have $[x] \cap F \subseteq F$, and so $x \in F \Rightarrow F$. Hence $X \subseteq F \Rightarrow F$. Therefore, $(F(X); \Rightarrow, X)$ satisfies (T2).

To verify the condition (T3), we consider the following cases: Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & F1 \Rightarrow (F2 \Rightarrow F3) \subseteq (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \Rightarrow (F1 \Rightarrow F3) \\ \Leftrightarrow & x \in F1 \Rightarrow (F2 \Rightarrow F3) \Rightarrow x \in (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \Rightarrow (F1 \Rightarrow F3) \\ \Leftrightarrow & [x] \cap F1 \subseteq F2 \Rightarrow F3 \Rightarrow [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \subseteq F1 \Rightarrow F3 \\ \Leftrightarrow & [x] \cap F1 \subseteq F2 \Rightarrow F3 \ \& \ y \in [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \Rightarrow y \in F1 \Rightarrow F3 \\ \Leftrightarrow & [x] \cap F1 \subseteq F2 \Rightarrow F3 \ \& \ y \in [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \ \& \ z \in [y] \cap F1 \Rightarrow z \in F3. \end{aligned}$$

Let $[x] \cap F1 \subseteq F2 \Rightarrow F3$, $y \in [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2)$ and $z \in [y] \cap F1$ for all $F1, F2, F3 \in F(X)$. Then we have $y \in F1 \Rightarrow F2$ implies that $z \in F2$. Since $z \in [x] \cap F1$, we have $z \in F2 \Rightarrow F3$. Hence we get $z \in F3$. From the note above, we have $F1 \Rightarrow (F2 \Rightarrow F3) \subseteq (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \Rightarrow (F1 \Rightarrow F3)$.

On the other hand, we can observe that

$$(F1 \Rightarrow F2) \Rightarrow (F1 \Rightarrow F3) \subseteq F1 \Rightarrow (F2 \Rightarrow F3)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \subseteq F1 \Rightarrow F3 \Rightarrow [x] \cap F1 \subseteq F2 \Rightarrow F3$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \subseteq F1 \Rightarrow F3 \ \& \ y \in [x] \cap F1 \ \& \ z \in [y] \cap F2 \Rightarrow z \in F3.$$

Let $[x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2) \subseteq F1 \Rightarrow F3$, $y \in [x] \cap F1$ and $z \in [y] \cap F2$ for all $F1, F2, F3 \in F(X)$. Since $y \in F1$ and $z \in [y]$ and $F1 \in F(X)$, we get $z \in F1$. Thus we have $z \in F1 \Rightarrow F2$. Since $z \in [x] \cap (F1 \Rightarrow F2)$, we get $z \in F1 \Rightarrow F3$. Hence we obtain $z \in F3$ by $z \in [z] \cap F1$. Therefore the reverse inclusion $(F1 \Rightarrow F2) \Rightarrow (F1 \Rightarrow F3) \subseteq F1 \Rightarrow (F2 \Rightarrow F3)$ holds. Hence (T3) follows for $(F(X); \Rightarrow, X)$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was done during the third author's stay for the academic year 2007-2008 at the Jacksonville State University in Alabama, USA. The third author is highly grateful to the Department of Mathematics, Computing and Information Sciences of Jacksonville State University for their supporting.

REFERENCES

1. M. Abad, J.P. Dias Varela & M. Zander: Varieties and Quasivarieties of Monadic Tarski algebras. *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **56** (2002), no. 3, 599-612.
2. J.C. Abbott: Semi-boolean algebras. *Mathematicki Vesnik* **4** (1967), no. 19, 177-198.
3. ———: Implicational algebras. *Bull. Math, R. S. Roumaine* **11** (1967), 3-23.
4. G. Birkhoff: *Lattice Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., **25**, second edition 1948; third edition, 1967, Providence.
5. S.A. Celani: Modal Tarski algebras. *Rep. Math. Logic* **39** (2005), 113-126
6. J. Kim, Y. Kim & E.H. Roh: Decompositions and expansions of filters in Tarski algebras. *J. Chungcheong Math. Soc.* **20** (2007), no. 4, 457-463.

^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTING AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, JACKSONVILLE STATE UNIVERSITY, JACKSONVILLE, AL 36265-1602, USA

Email address: jkim@jsu.edu

^bDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COMPUTING AND INFORMATION SCIENCES, JACKSONVILLE STATE UNIVERSITY, JACKSONVILLE, AL 36265-1602, USA

Email address: ykim@jsu.edu

^cDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, CHINJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, JINJU 660-756, KOREA

Email address: ehroh@cue.ac.kr; ehroh0923@gmail.com