## 이펙트 집합에서 확률측도로서 시그마 모르피즘 개념

# The concept of $\sigma$ -morphism as a probability measure on the set of effects

윤용식\*·강경훈\*·박진원\*\*

Yong Sik Yun<sup>\*</sup>, Kyoung Hun Kang<sup>\*</sup>, Jin Won Park<sup>\*\*</sup>

### \*Department of Mathematics, Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea \*\*Department of Mathematics Education, Jeju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea

#### 요 약

이 논문에서는 사건과 확률변수를 각각 일반화한 이펙트와 옵저버블을 소개하였다. 그리고 σ-함수의 개념을 소개하고 이 펙트 집합위에서의 확률측도로서의 σ-함수의 성질을 조사하였다.

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of effects and observable as generalizations of event and random variable, respectively. Also, we introduce the concept of  $\sigma$ -morphism and we investigate some results on  $\sigma$ -morphism as a probability measure on the set of effects.

Key Words :  $\sigma$ -morphism, fuzzy probability

#### 1. 서 론

The imprecision in probability theory comes from our incomplete knowledge of the system but the random variables (measurements) still have precise values. But, in fuzzy theory, we also have an imprecision in our measurements, and so random variables must be replaced by fuzzy random variables and events by fuzzy events. In this sense, S. Gudder introduced the concepts of effects (fuzzy events), observable(fuzzy random variables) and their distribution. Also, he introduced the concept of  $\sigma$ -morphism on the set of effects. In this paper, we have some results on  $\sigma$ -morphism as a probability measure on the set of effects.

For general fuzzy theoretical background, we refer to L. A. Zadeh [5].

#### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty set. Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $\Omega$ , that is, a non-empty class of subsets of

접수일자 : 2009년 2월 10일 완료일자 : 2009년 6월 3일 Corresponding Author : yunys@jejunu.ac.kr (Yong Sik Yun) This work was supported by the research grant of the Jeju National University in 2006.  $\Omega$  which is closed under countable union and complementation. The basic structure is a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  where  $\Omega$  is a sample space consisting of *outcomes* and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field of *events* in  $\Omega$  corresponding to some probabilistic experiment. If  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , then  $\mu(A)$  is interpreted as the probability that the event A occurs. A measurable function  $f: \Omega \rightarrow R$  is called a *random variable*. The *expectation* of f is defined by  $E[f] = \int f d\mu$ . Denoting the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on the real line R by  $\mathcal{B}$ (R), the *distribution* of f is the probability measure  $\mu_f$ on  $(R, \mathcal{B}(R))$  given by  $\mu_f(B) = \mu(f^{-1}(B))$ . We interpret  $\mu_f(B)$  as the probability that f has a value in the set B. A random variable  $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called an *effect* or

Findom variable  $f: \Omega \to [0, 1]$  is called an *effect* of *fuzzy event*. Thus, an effect is just a measurable fuzzy subset of  $\Omega$ . The set of effects is denoted by  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ . If  $\mu$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  and  $f \in \mathcal{E}$ , we define the *probability* of f to be its expectation  $E[f] = \int f d\mu$ . If  $(f_i)$  is an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$ , then by the monotone convergence theorem,  $E[\lim f_i] = \lim E[f_i]$  so E is countably additive. Stated in another

way, if a sequence  $(f_i)$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies  $\sum f_i \in \mathcal{E}$ , then

 $E[\sum f_i] = \sum E[f_i].$ 

**Definition 2.1** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -field of  $\Lambda$ . An observable is a map  $X: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $X(\Lambda) = 1_{\Omega}$  and if  $B_i \in \mathcal{B}(i = 1, 2, 3 \cdots)$  are mutually disjoint, then  $X(\cup B_i) = \sum X(B_i)$  where the convergence of the summation is pointwise.

**Example 2.2** If  $f: (\Lambda, \mathcal{B}) \to (\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a measurable function, the corresponding sharp observable  $X_f: \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E}$  $(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is given by  $X_f(B) = I_{f^{-1}(B)}$ .

**Definition 2.3** A state on  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  is a map  $s : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to [0, 1]$  that satisfies  $s(1_{\Omega}) = 1$  and if  $(f_i)$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\sum f_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ ,

then  $s(\sum f_i) = \sum s(f_i)$ .

**Definition** 2.4  $\widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $\sigma$ -morphism if  $\widetilde{X}(1_{\Omega}) = 1_{\Lambda}$  and if  $(f_i)$  is a sequence in

 $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\sum f_i \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , then

 $\widetilde{X} \left( \sum \ f_i \, \right) = \ \sum \ \widetilde{X}(f_i \, ).$ 

**Example 2.5** Let  $\Omega = [0,1]$  and  $\Lambda = [1,2]$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  and be  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$ , respectively. Define  $\widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  by  $\widetilde{X}(f)(x) = f(x-1)$ .

Then  $\widetilde{X}$  is a  $\sigma$ -morphism. In fact,

 $\widetilde{X}(1_{\Omega})(x) = 1_{\Omega}(x-1) = 1_{\Lambda}(x)$  and

$$\widetilde{X}(\sum f_i)(x) = \sum f_i(x-1)$$
$$= \sum \widetilde{X}(f_i)(x).$$

**Example 2.6** Let  $\Omega = \Lambda = [0, 1]$ . Let  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be  $\sigma$ -fields of  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$ , respectively. Define  $\widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{J}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  by  $\widetilde{X}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(1-x)).$ 

Then  $\widetilde{X}$  is a  $\sigma$ -morphism. In fact,

$$\widetilde{X}(1_{\varOmega})(x) = \frac{1}{2}(1_{\varOmega}(x) + 1_{\varOmega}(1-x)) = 1_{A}(x)$$
 and

$$\widetilde{X}\left(\varSigma f_{i}\right)(x) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\varSigma f_{i}\left(x\right) + \varSigma f_{i}\left(1-x\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum \frac{1}{2} (f_i(x) + f_i(1-x))$$
  
=  $\sum \tilde{X} (f_i)(x).$ 

#### 3. Basic properties

Theorem 3.1([1]) We have the followings.

- 1. If  $\widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $\sigma$ -morphism, then  $\widetilde{X}(\lambda f) = \lambda \widetilde{X}(f)$  for every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  and  $f \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ .
- If s: E(Ω, J)→[0,1] is a state, then there exists a unique probability measure μ on (Ω, J) such that s(f) = ∫fdμ for every f ∈ E(Ω, J).

The next result shows that there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between observables and  $\sigma$  -morphisms.

**Theorem 3.2** ([1]) If  $X: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is an observable, then X has a unique extension to a  $\sigma$ -morphism  $\widetilde{X}: \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$ . If  $Y: \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{J}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $\sigma$ -morphism, then  $Y|_F$  is an observable.

If  $f: \Lambda \to \Omega$  is a measurable function, the corresponding sharp observable  $X_f: \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is given by  $X_f(B) = I_{f^{-1}(B)}$ . The next result shows that  $\widetilde{X_f}: \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{J})$  $) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  has a simple form.

**Corollary 3.3** ([1]) If  $f: \Lambda \to \Omega$  is a measur- able function, then  $\widetilde{X}_f(g) = g \circ f$  for every  $g \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , where  $\widetilde{X}_f$  is an extension of  $X_f$  in Example 2.2.

**Theorem 3.4** If  $\widetilde{X}: \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $\sigma$ -morphism, then

1. 
$$\tilde{X}(0_{\Omega}) = 0_{A}$$
.  
2.  $\tilde{X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{X}(f_{i})$ .  
3. If  $f - g \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , then  $\tilde{X}(f - g) = \tilde{X}(f) - \tilde{X}(g)$ . In particular,  
 $\tilde{X}(1_{\Omega} - g) = 1_{A} - \tilde{X}(g)$ .  
4. If  $f \leq g$ , then  $\tilde{X}(f) \leq \tilde{X}(g)$ .  
5.  $\tilde{X}(f + g - fg) = \tilde{X}(f) + \tilde{X}(g) - \tilde{X}(fg)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f_1 = 1_{\Omega}$ ,  $f_i = 0_{\Omega}(i \ge 2)$ . Since  $\widetilde{X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i\right) = \widetilde{X}(1_{\Omega}) = 1_A$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{X}(f_i) = \widetilde{X}(f_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{X}(f_i)$ 

$$= 1_{A} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{X}(0_{\Omega}),$$
  
we have  $1_{A} = 1_{A} + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \widetilde{X}(0_{\Omega})$  and thus  $\widetilde{X}(0_{\Omega}) = 0_{A}.$   
(2) Let  $f_{i} = 0_{\Omega} \ (i \ge n+1),$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}.$  Thus  
 $\widetilde{X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}\right) = \widetilde{X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{X}(f_{i})$   
 $= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{X}(f_{i}).$ 

(3) Since

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{X}(f) &= \widetilde{X}(f - g + g) = \widetilde{X}(f - g) + \widetilde{X}(g), \text{ we have} \\ \widetilde{X}(f - g) &= \widetilde{X}(f) - \widetilde{X}(g). \\ \end{split}$$
 
$$\begin{split} \text{(4) Since } \widetilde{X}(g) &= \widetilde{X}(g - f) + \widetilde{X}(f), \ \widetilde{X}(g - f) \ge 0. \\ \text{(5) It is trivial.} \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\widetilde{X}_f : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_2, \mathcal{B}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_1, \mathcal{B}_1), \quad \widetilde{X}_g : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_3, \mathcal{B}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$  and  $\widetilde{X}_{g \circ f} : \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_3, \mathcal{B}_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ . Since  $\widetilde{X}_{g \circ f}(h)(\omega) = h \circ (g \circ f)(\omega)$  for every  $h \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_3, \mathcal{B}_3)$  and  $\omega \in \Lambda_1$ ,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{X}_{g \circ f}(h)(\omega) &= h \circ (g \circ f)(\omega) \\ &= (h \circ g) \circ f(\omega) \\ &= \widetilde{X}_{f}(h \circ g)(\omega) \\ &= \widetilde{X}_{f} \circ \widetilde{X}_{g}(h)(\omega). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\widetilde{X}_{q \circ f} = \widetilde{X}_{f} \circ \widetilde{X}_{q}$ .

#### 4. Main results

**Theorem 4.1** Let  $f: \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_1$  be a measurable function and  $\mu_i: (\Lambda_i, \mathcal{B}_i) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a probability measure (i = 1, 2). If  $\mu_1 = (\mu_2)_f$ , then  $\mu_2 \circ \widetilde{X}_f = \mu_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i I_{B_i}$  be a simple function in  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ , then by Corollary 3.3,

$$\begin{split} \mu_2 \circ \widetilde{X}_f(g) &= \int \widetilde{X}_f(g) \ d\mu_2 \\ &= \int (g \circ f) d\mu_2 \\ &= \int \sum_{i=1}^n \ (c_i I_{B_i} \circ f) \ d\mu_2 \\ &= \int \sum_{i=1}^n \ c_i I_{f^{-1}(B_i)} d\mu_2. \end{split}$$

And, by the definition of expectation and distribution,

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i I_{f^{-1}(B_i)} d\mu_2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mu_2 (f^{-1}(B_i))$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (\mu_2)_f (B_i)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mu_1 (B_i)$$
$$= \mu_1 (g).$$

Hence,  $\mu_2 \circ \widetilde{X}_f(g) = \mu_1(g)$ .

Now for an arbitrary  $g \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$ , there exists an increasing sequence of simple functions  $g_n \in \mathcal{E}(\Lambda_1, \mathcal{B}_1)$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = g$ . Then by Corollary 3.3,

$$\begin{split} \mu_2 \, \circ \, \widetilde{X}_f(g) &= \; \int \; \widetilde{X}_f(g) \; d\mu_2 \\ &= \; \int \; \widetilde{X}_f \Bigl( \lim_{n \to \infty} \; g_n \Bigr) d\mu_2 \\ &= \; \int (\lim_{n \to \infty} \; g_n \; \circ \; f \,) d\mu_2. \end{split}$$

By the monotone convergence theorem and the continuity of probability,

$$\begin{split} \int & \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \ g_n \ \circ \ f \right) d\mu_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ \int (g_n \ \circ \ f ) d\mu_2 \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \ \mu_2 \ \circ \ \widetilde{X}_f \left( g_n \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \ \mu_1 \left( g_n \right) \\ &= \mu_1 \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} \ g_n \right) \\ &= \mu_1 \left( g \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_2 \circ \widetilde{X}_f = \mu_1$ .

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Theorem} & \textbf{4.2} \quad \text{Let} \quad \widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}\right) \to \mathcal{E}\left(\Lambda, \mathcal{B}\right) & \text{be a } \sigma \\ \text{-morphism. If } (g_n) \text{ is an increasing sequence in } \mathcal{E}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}\right) \\ \text{with } \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = g, \text{ then } \lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{X}\left(g_n\right) = \widetilde{X}\left(g\right) \text{ in } \mathcal{E}\left(\Lambda, \mathcal{B}\right). \end{array}$ 

*Proof.* Let  $f_1 = g_1$  and  $f_n = g_n - g_{n-1}$   $(n \ge 2)$ . Then  $f_n \in \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  for all n and  $g_n = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ . Since  $g = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{X}(g) &= \widetilde{X} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{X}(f_i) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{X}(f_i) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{X} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{X}(g_n). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{X}(g_n) = \widetilde{X}(g).$ 

**Corollary 4.3** Let  $\widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  be a  $\sigma$ -morphism. If  $(g_n)$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ ) with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = g$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{X}(g_n) = \widetilde{X}(g)$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$ .

**Theorem 4.4** Let  $\widetilde{X} : \mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to \mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$  be a  $\sigma$ -morphism. If  $(g_n)$  is sequence in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} g_n = g$ , then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \widetilde{X}(g_n) = \widetilde{X}(g)$  in  $\mathcal{E}(\Lambda, \mathcal{B})$ .

Proof. First, we prove that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{X} & \left( \varinjlim_{n \to \infty} \ g_n \right) \leq \varinjlim_{n \to \infty} \ \widetilde{X} \left( g_n \right) \\ & \leq \varlimsup_{n \to \infty} \ \widetilde{X} \left( g_n \right) \\ & \leq \widetilde{X} \left( \varlimsup_{n \to \infty} \ g_n \right). \end{split}$$

Let  $f_n = \inf_{i \ge n} g_i$ . Since  $(f_n)$  is an increasing sequence in  $\mathcal{E}(\Omega, \mathcal{J})$ , by Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{X}_{\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n\right)} &= \widetilde{X} \left(\sup_{n\geq 1} \inf_{i\geq n} g_i\right) \\ &= \widetilde{X} \left(\sup_{n\geq 1} f_n\right). \\ &= \widetilde{X}_{\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n\right)} \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{X} \left(f_n\right)_{\cdot} \end{split}$$

Let  $n \in N$ . Then, for each  $n \leq i$ ,  $f_n \leq g_i$ , we have  $\widetilde{X}(f_n) \leq \widetilde{X}(g_i)$  and hence  $\widetilde{X}(f_n) \leq \inf_{i \geq n} \widetilde{X}(g_i)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sup_{n\geq 1} \widetilde{X}\left(f_{n}\right) &\leq \sup_{n\geq 1} \inf_{i\geq n} \widetilde{X}\left(g_{i}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{X}\left(g_{n}\right) \\ & \text{But, since } \lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{X}\left(f_{n}\right) = \sup_{n\geq 1} \widetilde{X}\left(f_{n}\right), \\ & \widetilde{X}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{n}\right) &\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{X}\left(g_{n}\right). \\ & \text{Similarly, } \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{X}\left(g_{n}\right) \leq \widetilde{X}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{n}\right)}. \\ & \text{For } g_{n} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}\right), \text{ since} \\ & \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{X}\left(g_{n}\right) \leq \widetilde{X}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{n}\right)} \\ & = \widetilde{X}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{n}\right) \\ & = \widetilde{X}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{n}\right) \\ & = \widetilde{X}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_{n}\right). \end{split}$$

we have

$$\begin{split} & \lim_{n \to \infty} \ \widetilde{X}\left(g_n\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \ \widetilde{X}\left(g_n\right) \\ & = \frac{\lim_{n \to \infty} \ \widetilde{X}\left(g_n\right)}{\lim_{n \to \infty} \ \widetilde{X}\left(g_n\right)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \widetilde{X} \left( \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n \right) \\ &= \widetilde{X} \left( g \right). \end{split}$$

Hence  $\lim \widetilde{X}(g_n) = \widetilde{X}(g)$ .

#### References

- S. Gudder, Fuzzy Probability Theory, Demon. Math. Vol. 31, 235–254, 1998
- [2] S. Gudder, What is Fuzzy Probability Theory?, *Foundations of Physics*, Vol. 30, 1663–1678, 2000
- [3] R. Mesiar, Fuzzy observables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* Vol. 174, 178–193, 1993
- [4] R. Yager, A note on probabilities of fuzzy events, *Information Sci.* Vol. 128, 113–129, 1979
- [5] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information Cont.* Vol. 8, 338–353, 1965
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Probability measures and fuzzy events, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 23, 421–427, 1968

#### 윤용식(Yong Sik Yun)

2006~현재:제주대학교 수학과 교수

관심분야 : Fuzzy probability, Probability theory E-mail : yunys@jejunu.ac.kr

#### 강경훈(Kyoung Hun Kang)

2005~현재:제주대학교 수학과 박사과정

관심분야 : Fuzzy probability, Probability theory E-mail : hopepience@hanmail.net

#### 박진원(Jin Won Park)

2006~현재: 제주대학교 수학교육과 교수

관심분야 : Fuzzy probability, General topology E-mail : jinwon@jejunu.ac.kr