# A Fast SIFT Implementation Based on Integer Gaussian and Reconfigurable Processor

# Le Tran Su\* and Jong Soo Lee\*

Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) is an effective algorithm in object recognition, panorama stitching, and image matching, however, due to its complexity, real time processing is difficult to achieve with software approaches. This paper proposes using a reconfigurable hardware processor with integer half kernel. The integer half kernel Gaussian reduces the Gaussian pyramid complexity in about half [] and the reconfigurable processor carries out a parallel implementation of a full search Fast SIFT algorithm. We use a low memory, fine grain single instruction stream multiple data stream (SIMD) pixel processor that is currently being developed. This implementation fully exposes the available parallelism of the SIFT algorithm process and exploits the processing and I/O capabilities of the processor which results in a system that can perform real time image and video compression. We apply this novel implementation to images and measure the effectiveness. Experimental simulation results indicate that the proposed implementation is capable of real time applications.

#### Key Word

SIFT, Gaussian Filter, Reconfigurable Processor, SIMD, DOG

#### 1. Introduction

Nowadays, image matching is used to solve the many problems in computer vision, including object or scene recognition, 3D structure from multiple images, stereo correspondence, and motion tracking. In recent years, an approach has been proposed to generate a set of salient image features. This approach has been named the Scale Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT); it transforms image data into scale invariant coordinates relative to local features. The SIFT algorithm is a complex

\* School of Computer Engineering & Information Technology, University of Ulsan(jsoolee@mail.ulsan.ac.kr) #논문번호 : KIIECT2009-03-12 #접수일자 : 2009.08.24 #최종논문접수일자 : 2009.09.11

algorithm. To apply SIFT in multimedia applications, it is necessary to find a scheme to implement the algorithm in real time. In the SIFT algorithm, the Gaussian convolution is used in the first step to determine key

points. When we use a Gaussian filter mask of real coefficients; it may take а longer to obtain for calculations. То improve the performance of the SIFT algorithm a approach has been proposed new named Fast SIFT algorithm based on Integer Gaussian [2].

In our approach the SSE instructions are used to improve the performance of Gaussian filter calculations, with a new method to calculate the Gaussian convolution; this method is called Block Bv Filtering. using Block Filtering. we showed that the Gaussian filter calculations can be processed more quickly about two times than another implementation [2]. We a parallel approach use to implement the Fast SIFT algorithm

based on Integer Gaussian. We use a single instruction stream multiple data stream pixel processor exploiting the benefits of integrating optoelectronic devices into a high performance digital processing system. By using the SIMD pixel processor system, we can expose fully the available parallelism calculation of the SIFT algorithm. Our paper is organized as follow: Section 2 we describe briefly the Fast

SIFT algorithm based on Integer Gaussian. Section 3 discusses the SIMD pixel processor system, low memory SIMD architecture. Section 4 describes in detail how the Fast SIFT algorithm based on Integer Gaussian has been adapted to fully exploit the unique capability of the SIMD processor svstem. Strong proposals for the performance of the system and experimental results are also shown in section 4. Section 5 concludes this paper with presentation of simulation results

# II. Fast Scale Invariant Feature Transform algorithm based on integer Gaussian

The SIFT algorithm combines a scale invariant region detector and а descriptor based on the gradient distribution in the detected regions. The descriptor is presented by a 3D histogram of gradient locations and orientations. Those descriptors (local features) are very distinctive and invariant for image scaling or rotation.

The SIFT algorithm consists of 4 main filtering stages [1]:

1. Scale space extreme detection: The first stage of computation searches all scales and image locations.

2. Keypoint localization: At each candidate location, a detailed model is modelled to determine location and scale.

3. Orientation assignment: One or more orientations are assigned to each keypoint location based on local image gradient directions.

4. Keypoint descriptor: The local image gradients are measured at the selected scale in the neighbourhood of each keypoint.

SIFT We applied the binaries provided by Lowe for various image and measured the performance. The obtained results shown that most of calculations are implemented in first state: Scale space extreme detection. Therefore, to improve the performance of the SIFT algorithm implementation we concentrate to improve the performance of scale space extreme detection stage.

computation time for each stage in the SIFT algorithm

Table 1. The distribution of

|                           | Execution time     |                    |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| SIFT's stage              | 800 x 600<br>pixel | 640 x 480<br>pixel |  |  |  |  |
| DoG detector              | 2.126 (s)          | 1.905 (s)          |  |  |  |  |
| Orientation<br>assignment | 1.105 (s)          | 0.928 (s)          |  |  |  |  |
| SIFT<br>descriptor        | 1.047 (s)          | 0.839 (s)          |  |  |  |  |
| CUET's stars              | Execution time     |                    |  |  |  |  |
| SIF I S stage             | 256 x 256<br>pixel | 128 x 128<br>pixel |  |  |  |  |
| DoG detector              | 0.392 (s)          | 0.204 (s)          |  |  |  |  |
| Orientation<br>assignment | 0.211 (s)          | 0.142 (s)          |  |  |  |  |
| 0                         |                    |                    |  |  |  |  |

Scale space extrema detection stage of the filtering attempts to equate different view points which are projections of a specific 3D object point. They are then examined in Identification further detail. of candidate locations can be efficiently achieved using the continuous "scale space" function which is based on the Gaussian function. The scale space is defined by the function:

$$L(x, y, \sigma) = G(x, y, \sigma)^* I(x, y) \quad (1)$$

SIFT is one such technique which locates scale space extrema from Gaussian image differences  $D(x,y,\sigma)$ 

given by:

 $D(x, y, \sigma) = L(x, y, k\sigma) - L(x, y, \sigma)$ (2)

#### Where

\* is the convolution operator,

 $G(x, y, \sigma)$  is a variable scale Gaussian kernel,

I(x, y) is the input image.

 $k \quad \ \ \, is used to up and down scale$ 

To detect the local maxima or minima of  $D(x, y, \sigma)$  each point is compared with its 8 neighbours on the same scale, and its 9 neighbours on the up and down scale. If this value is larger than all 26 neighbours it is a maximum, if less a minimum.

In the Fast SIFT algorithm we propose a new method to implement the Gaussian convolution in scale space extreme stage. In our method we use a block of 4x4 to implement the Gaussian filter. This block is shown in Figure 1.

For example we have 4x4 pixels. This is a 4x4 block. We use a half kernel; in this case, it is an array with 4 elements. And then we put the half kernel to the block.

In figure 1 we explain the "half kernel" definition. We divide the Gaussian Kernel into 4 blocks.



Fig 1. The illustration of half kernel

These blocks will be applied to four directions (Left, Right, Top, and Bottom).



Fig 2. A 4x4 block filtering

In our new method to implement Gaussian filter, we use a 4x4 block. This implementation includes the four steps below.

1. Load 4x4 pixels in 4 XMM registers.

2. Load 4 kernel values in a XMM register.

3. Compute convolution (Left, Right, Top, and Bottom)

Left, Right: reverse multiplication

Top: Matrix transpose

Bottom: Matrix transposes then reverse multiplication

By repeating all image data we will have the output image.

#### III. Related works

SIFT is perhaps the most popular invariant local feature detector at and has been applied present, successfully in many occasions, such as object recognition, image match SFM & 3D and registration, vSLAM, reconstruction. image panorama, etc.

Nevertheless the complexity of the SIFT algorithm results in very high time consumption. But the high popularity of SIFT, it is no surprise that several variants and extensions of SIFT have been For proposed. example Ke and Sukthankar proposed the so called PCA SIFT [5] that apply Principal Components Analysis (PCA) to the normalized gradient patch. The Gradient location and orientation histogram (GLOH) [6] changes SIFTs location grid and uses PCA to reduce the size of SIFT. The primary focus of these extensions is to gain improved performance.

An approach named Harris - SIFT [7], by removing many indistinctive candidates before generating descriptors, Harris - SIFT saves a lot of computations. Harris - SIFT reduces both the feature number and database size, or in other words, cuts down the feature matching time. But provided results of Harris - SIFT method are not fast enough to implement in real time.

In the fast approximated SIFT [8], they proposed a modified SIFT method for recognition purpose. They speed up the SIFT computation by using approximations (mainly employing integral images) both the DoG detector (section II.1) and the SIFT descriptor (section II.4). Their SIFT method can reduce the computation time by a factor of eight compared to the binaries SIFT provided by Lowe. However, the loss in matching performance is a major drawback of this approach.

## IV. SIMD Processor Array Architecture

A reconfigurable processor is a microprocessor with erasable hardware

that can rewire itself dynamically. This allows the chip to adapt effectively to the programming tasks demanded by the particular software they are interfacing with at any given time. Ideally. the reconfigurable processor can transform itself from a video chip to a central processing unit (CPU) to a graphics chip, for all optimized allow example, to applications to run at the highest possible speed. In this paper we apply the SIFT algorithm in SIMD Processor.

The SIMD Pixel Processor system [4] exploits the benefits from integrating optoelectronic devices into a high performance digital processing system. In this system, an array of thin film detectors is integrated on top of and electrically interfaced to digital SIMD processing elements. The general architecture of a SIMD system is depicted in Figure 3. The program is stored in the array control unit (ACU). and each instruction is broadcasted to every node of the system in a lockstep fashion (i.e., via a single instruction stream). Each node, in turn, executes the received instructions on its local data (multiple data stream), while exchanging data with other nodes through the interconnection network. Each SIMD processor node is interconnected to its

neighbours through a mesh four network closed as a torus. In this way the opposite rows (or columns) of the mesh are connected to each other. enabling more powerful communication schemes than those available with a standard NEWS (North East West South) network. The micro architecture of a SIMD processing element (PE) is shown in Figure 4. along with the interconnection network. The 16 bit data path includes an adder/subtractor, barrel shifter. and multiply accumulator (MACC) unit. Each PE also includes 64 words of local memory.

In addition, each SIMD processor node interfaces to a small array of thin film detectors, which is a subset of the focal plane arrav. The instruction set architecture allows a single node to address up to 16 x 16 arrays of detectors. Each processor incorporates eight bit sigma delta analog to digital converters to convert intensities, incident light on the detectors, into digital values. The SAMPLE instruction simultaneously samples all detectors values and makes them available for further The SIMD processing. execution model allows the entire image projected on many nodes to be sampled in a single cycle.

This monolithic integration is the key - feature of the SIMD Pixel Processor system, providing an extremely compact, high frame rate, focal - plane processing.



Fig 1. Organization of a SIMD parallel architecture



Fig 2. A block diagram of a SIMD processor array

# V. Implement Fast-SIFT in SIMD parallel architecture

To carry out the Fast SIFT algorithm, we consider all pixels of the images. By using the specified SIMD array, we distribute all pixels into all PEs in which every PE owns 16 pixels. Assume n is the total number of pixels. As a result, the number of PEs involved in the computation is n/16. By dividing the pixels among n/16 processors, every PE caries out the computation only on the local memory containing 16 owned pixels along with their membership values as well as center values. Then, the Fast SIFT algorithm is implemented on n/16 processors in which some new equations are required for every PE. This enhances the performance of Fast SIFT algorithm implementation.

The first stage of Fast SiFT algorithm includes two steps: DOG (difference of Gaussian) space construction and extrema detection. Figure 5 shows the process of building DOG space. The input image will be resized to build scale space. In each scale space, we apply new approach to implement Gaussian filter After subtraction for images. of blurred images we get DOG space. Figure 6 describes DOG space and how to detect the extrema. To detect the local maxima or minima, each with point is compared its 8 neighbours on the same scale, and its 9 neighbours on the up and down scale. If this value is larger than all

26 neighbours it is a maxima, if less a minima. Figure 7 shows the flowchart of keypoints detection.



Fig 5. DoG space construction

SIMD processor architecture provides a suitable way to implement the DOG space construction. Two levels of parallelism are exploited in this implementation. One is image scaling process. Second is applying Gaussian filter. We will describe in more detail these steps in the next section.



Fig 6. DoG space scale

#### 1. Image scaling

The purpose of this step is constructing scale space. The original image will be resized by a constant k. In my implementation k = 0.5.

The image scaling process is described in Figure 6. In this step, first we shrink the image to the left. Each pair of adjacent pixels will be replaced by a new one. The value of new pixel is the mean value of these pixels. After shrinking the image to the left, we implement shrinking image to the bottom. In this way, we get the resized image.



Fig 7. Flowchart of keypoint detection algorithm



Figure 8: Image scaling process



(a) (b) (c)
Fig 9. Scale space images
(a) 1st octave (b)2nd octave (c)3rd octave

#### 2. Gaussian filter

As we described in section II, in our method we use a block filtering technique to implement Gaussian filter.





Original image Blurred image Fig 10. Difference of Gaussian image

#### VI. Performance Evaluation

To evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, we use a cycle SIMD accurate simulator. We developed the parallel Fast SIFT algorithm in their respective assembly languages for the SIMD processor array. In this study, the image size of  $256 \times 256$  pixels is used. For a fixed  $256 \times 256$  pixel system, because each PE contains 4x4 pixels so the number of 4,096 PEs is used.

We summarize the parameters of the system configuration in table 2.

The metrics of execution time and sustained throughput of each case form the basis of the study comparison, defined in (8) and (9)

Execution time

$$t_{exec} = \frac{C}{f_k} \tag{8}$$

Sustained throughput

$$\eta_{E} = \frac{\mathcal{O}_{exec} U.N_{PE}}{t_{exec}} [\frac{Gops}{sec}]$$
(9)

Where C is the cycle count, fk is the clock frequency, Oexec is the number of executed operations, U is the system utilization, and NPE is the number of processing elements.

| Tuble 2, System parameter | Table | 2. | svstem | parameter |
|---------------------------|-------|----|--------|-----------|
|---------------------------|-------|----|--------|-----------|

| Parameters           | Value            |  |  |
|----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| Number of PEs        | 4,096            |  |  |
| Pixels/PE            | 16               |  |  |
| Memory/PE [word]     | 256 [32bit word] |  |  |
| VLSI technology      | 100 nm           |  |  |
| Clock frequency      | 150 MHz          |  |  |
| Interconnection      | Torus            |  |  |
| network              |                  |  |  |
| intALU/intMLU/Barrel | 1/1/1/1/1        |  |  |
| Shifter/intMACC/Comm | 1/1/1/1/1        |  |  |



Fig 11. Features detected by SIFT algorithm with the changing number of scales.

Figure (11.a): number of octave is 2, (11.b) and (11.c) are 3 and 4 respectively.

Figure 11 presents the detected SIFT features with Lena image. As the number of scale is higher the detected SIFT features is more exactly.

Table 3 summarizes the execution parameters for each image in the 4,096 PE system. Scalar instructions control the processor array. Vector instructions, performed on the processor array, execute the algorithm in parallel. System Utilization is calculated as the average number of active processing elements. The algorithm operates with System Utilization of 54% in average, resulting in high sustained throughput. Overall, our parallel implementation supports sufficient performance of real time (30 frame/sec or 33 ms) and provides efficient processing for the SIFT algorithm.

Table 4 shows the distribution of vector instructions for the parallel algorithm. Each bar divides the instructions into the arithmetic logic unit (ALU). memory (MEM). communication (COMM), PE activity control unit (MASK), and image loading (PIXEL). The ALU and MEM instructions are computation cycles while COMM and MASK instructions are necessary for data distribution and synchronization of the SIMD processor Results indicate arrav. that the proposed algorithm is dominated by ALU, MEM and MASK operations.

Table 5 shows the comparison between our parallel implementation with the SIFT binaries implementation and the SIFT implementation based on OpenCV. The results indicate that our method can reduce the performance by around 390 times to SIFT binaries method and 230 times to OpenCV based implementation. These results demonstrate that the proposed parallel approach supports fast performance and also provide reliable and efficient processing for SIFT implementation

| In                         | nage                 | Vec<br>t o r<br>Inst<br>ruct<br>ion | Scal<br>a r<br>Inst<br>ruct<br>ion | Syste<br>m<br>Util<br>izat<br>ion<br>[%] | T<br>o t<br>o t<br>C<br>y<br>c 1<br>es  | T<br>e<br>c<br>c<br>[<br>m<br>s<br>] | Sust<br>aine<br>Thro<br>ughp<br>ut<br>[Gop<br>s/sec<br>] |
|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| I<br>m<br>a<br>g<br>e<br>1 | oc<br>ta<br>ve<br>=2 | $61,1 \\ 63$                        | 18,7<br>98                         | 52.<br>3                                 | 799,<br>16  | 0<br>5<br>3                          | 247  |
|                            | octa<br>ve<br>=3     | 89,2<br>39                          | 28,1<br>96                         | 54.<br>6                                 | 1<br>7<br>4<br>7<br>2<br>5  | 0<br>7<br>8                          | 255  |
|                            | octa<br>ve<br>=4     | 115,<br>503                         | 37,5<br>93                         | 55.<br>2                                 | 1<br>33096  | $\begin{array}{c}1\\0\\2\end{array}$ | 256  |
| I<br>m<br>a<br>g<br>e<br>2 | oc<br>ta<br>ve<br>=2 | 67,5<br>89                          | 18,8<br>04                         | <u>5</u> 2.                              | ,   | 0<br>5<br>8                          | 252  |
|                            | octa<br>ve<br>=3     | 90,4<br>73                          | 28,5<br>38                         | 54.<br>9                                 | 1<br>9<br>1<br>1  | 0<br>7<br>9                          | 257  |
|                            | octa<br>ve=4         | 116,<br>169                         | 37,7<br>25                         | 55.<br>9                                 | 1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1,<br>1 | 1<br>0<br>3                          | 258  |
| I<br>m<br>a<br>g<br>e<br>3 | oc<br>ta<br>ve<br>=2 | 67,9<br>93                          | 18,8<br>67                         | 52.<br>8                                 | 868 ,<br>86860  | 0<br>5<br>8                          | 253  |
|                            | oc<br>ta<br>ve<br>=3 | 90,0<br>81                          | 28,9<br>14                         | 55.<br>1                                 | 1<br>97<br>1<br>5   | 0<br>8<br>0                          | 254  |
|                            | oc<br>ta<br>ve<br>=4 | 116,<br>437                         | 37,8<br>85                         | <u>5</u> 6.                              | 154722 ,  | 1<br>0<br>3                          | 261  |

Table 3. Algorithm performance on a 4,096 PE system running at 150MHz.

### Table 4. The distribution of vector

instructions for the algorithm

| In      | In | nage                  | 1  | In            | nage | 2       | In            | nage    | 3                                     |
|---------|----|-----------------------|----|---------------|------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------------------------------|
| str     | oc | oc                    | oc | oc            | oc   | oc      | oc            | oc      | oc                                    |
| uc      | ta | ta                    | ta | ta            | ta   | ta      | ta            | ta      | ta                                    |
| tio     | ve | ve                    | ve | ve            | ve   | ve      | ve            | ve      | ve                                    |
| n       | =  | =                     | =  | =             | =    | =       | =             | =       | =                                     |
| Di      | 2  | 3                     | 4  | 2             | 3    | 4       | 2             | 3       | 4                                     |
| str     |    |                       |    |               |      |         |               |         |                                       |
| 1b      |    |                       |    |               |      |         |               |         |                                       |
| utı     |    |                       |    |               |      |         |               |         |                                       |
| on      | _  | ~                     | _  | ~             | _    | ~       | ~             | ~       | -                                     |
| A       | 2  | 5                     | 5  | 2             | 5    | 5       | 5             | 5       | 3                                     |
|         | 2. | Э.<br>Э               | 5. | /.            | Э.   | 6.<br>1 | /.            | Э.<br>о | 6.<br>2                               |
| U       |    | 2                     | 9  | 1             | 0    | 1       | 4             | 0       | 2                                     |
|         | 9  | 2                     | 2  | 0             | 0    | 5       | 5             | 5       | 6                                     |
|         | 4  | 1                     | 8  | 3             | 7    | 5       | 9<br>7        | 8       | 4                                     |
|         | 9  | 1                     | 3  | 4             | 5    | 9       | 4             | 8       | 4                                     |
| М       | 2  | 1                     | 1  | 2             | 1    | 1       | 2             | 1       | 0                                     |
| E       | 2  | 8.                    | 7. | 0.            | 8.   | 7.      | 0.            | 8.      | 7.                                    |
| M       | 1  | 9                     | 5  | 1             | 8    | 4       | 0             | 8       | 3                                     |
|         | 3  | 3                     | 3  | 3             | 9    | 3       | 1             | 3       | 9                                     |
|         | 7  | 6                     | 9  | 0             | 9    | 9       | 0             | 1       | 9                                     |
|         | 5  | 7                     | 8  | 4             | 5    | 2       | 8             | 2       | 1                                     |
|         | 7  | 8                     | 0  | 9             | 6    | 5       | 8             | 9       | 1                                     |
| С       | 7. | 7.                    | 7. | 6.            | 7.   | 7.      | 6.            | 7.      | 7.                                    |
| 0       | 2  | 4                     | 6  | 5             | 3    | 6       | 4             | 2       | 5                                     |
| М       | 2  | 2                     | 4  | 3             | 2    | 0       | 9             | 9       | 8                                     |
| М       | 0  | 2                     | 6  | 3             | 1    | 2       | 4             | 5       | 5                                     |
|         | 0  | 7                     | 5  | 6             | 5    | 7       | 7             | 0       | 2                                     |
|         | 5  | 6                     | 5  | 1             | 2    | 2       | 9             | 7       | 2                                     |
| М       | 1  | 1                     | 1  | 1             | 1    | 1       | 1             | 1       | 1                                     |
| A       | 7. | 8.                    | 8. | 6.            | 7.   | 8.      | 5.            | 7.      | 8.                                    |
| S       | 7  | 2                     | 7  | 0             | 0    | 6       | 9             | 9       | 6                                     |
| K       | 4  | 4                     | 9  | 6             | 0    | 9       | 6             | 4       | 4                                     |
|         | 9  | 1                     | 7  | l             | 9    | 0       | 6             | 4       | 6                                     |
|         | 2  | 6                     | /  | /             | /    | 0       | 5             | /       | 9                                     |
| DI      | 9  | 2                     | 8  | - 9           | 9    | 1       | 3             | 4       | 9                                     |
| PI<br>V | 0. | 0.                    | 0. | 0.            | 0.   | 0.      | 0.            | 0.      | 0.<br>1                               |
|         |    | 0                     | 1  | U             | 0    | 1       | U             | 0       |                                       |
| E       | 9  | 9                     | 2  | ð             | 9    | 0       | ð             | 9       | 0                                     |
| L       | 0  | ץ<br>7                | 0  | 0             | 0    | 2<br>1  | 2             | 0       | $\frac{2}{2}$                         |
|         |    | $\overset{\prime}{4}$ | 4  | $\frac{7}{7}$ | 7    | 4       | $\frac{2}{4}$ | 2       | $\begin{bmatrix} 2\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ |

| Table 5: Compare the performance with |
|---------------------------------------|
| the implementation of original SIFT   |

|   | Ŧ     |          | Ti     | ion     |       |  |
|---|-------|----------|--------|---------|-------|--|
|   | Image |          | SIFT   | OpenC   | Our   |  |
|   |       |          | binari | V       | metho |  |
|   |       |          | es     | Imple   | d     |  |
|   |       |          | [ms]   | mentati | [ms]  |  |
|   |       |          |        | on      |       |  |
|   |       | -        |        | [ms]    |       |  |
|   | Ima   | Oct      | 343    | 217     | 0.53  |  |
|   | ge 1  | ave      |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | =        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 2        | 2(7    | 227     |       |  |
|   |       | Oct      | 367    | 227     | 0.78  |  |
|   |       | ave      |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 2        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 3<br>Oat | 202    | 224     | 1.02  |  |
|   |       |          | 393    | 234     | 1.02  |  |
|   |       |          |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 4        |        |         |       |  |
| ł | Ima   | Oct      | 350    | 2.20    | 0.58  |  |
|   | ge 2  | ave      | 550    |         | 0.50  |  |
|   | 8     | =        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 2        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | Oct      | 378    | 231     | 0 79  |  |
|   |       | ave      |        |         | 0.75  |  |
|   |       | =        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 3        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | Oct      | 401    | 245     | 1.03  |  |
|   |       | ave      |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | =        |        |         |       |  |
|   | -     | 4        |        |         |       |  |
|   | Ima   | Oct      | 351    | 225     | 0.58  |  |
|   | ge 3  | ave      |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | =        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 2        | 270    | 220     |       |  |
|   |       | Oct      | 3/9    | 239     | 0.80  |  |
|   |       | ave      |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 3        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | Oct      | 30/    | 251     | 1.03  |  |
|   |       | ave      | 577    | 231     | 1.05  |  |
|   |       | =        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 4        |        |         |       |  |
|   |       | 4        |        |         |       |  |

#### VII. Conclusion

In this paper. parallel а implementation of Fast SIFT the algorithm based on Integer Gaussian on a SIMD pixel processor has been presented. By using the SIMD pixel processor system, we exposed fully the available parallelism of the Fast SIFT algorithm especially in the DoG space construction step. Preliminary simulation results suggest that the SIMD processor system can deliver real time performance for the Fast SIFT algorithm.

#### Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the ADD (Agency for Defense Development) project developing an autonomous vehicle using multiple sensors.

#### Reference

- D. Lowe, "Distinctive Image Features from Scale Invariant Keypoints," IJCV 60(2):91 110, 2004
- [2] Le Tran Su, Phil Jung Ghang and Jong soo Lee, "Integer Gaussian

Convolution with cache memory for real - time processing of the Scale Invariant Feature Transform Algorithm", ITC CSCC 2007, Busan, Korea, 2007

- [3] Lowe, D. G., "Object recognition from local scale invariant features", International Conference on Computer Vision, Corfu, Greece, September 1999
- [4] D. Lowe, "Distinctive Image Features from Scale Invariant Keypoints," IJCV 60(2):91 110, 2004
- [5] The Pica Group, "the SIMD Pixel Processor: Micro architecture. Instruction Set and Programmer's Model". Fine Grain Parallel System Laboratory, School of ECE. Georgia Institute of Technology, 1995.
- [6] Ke, Y., Sukthankar, R.: PCA SIFT: A more distinctive representation for local image descriptors. In: Proc. CVPR. Volume 2. (2004) 506 - 513
- [7] Mikolajczyk, K., Schmid, C.: A performance evaluation of local descriptors. IEEE Trans. PAMI 27 (2005) 1615 - 1630
- Ning Xu, Wei dong Chen , A High Real time and Robust Object Recognition and Localization Algorithm, China Journal of Image and Graphics, October 2007

[8] M. Grabner, H. Grabner, and H. Bischof. Fast approximated sift. In P.J. Narayanan, editor, Proc. 7th Asian Conference on Computer Vision, volume LNCS 3851, pages 918-927. Springer, 2006

#### 저자약력



Born: 1982 Student of High Quality Engineer Training Progr am

Full name: Le Tran Su

(Program with co-opera tion of French Embass

y) in Hanoi University of Technology. Gra duated with "Excellent Engineer" degree. Major in Information and Communication S ystem

Got Master degree in University of Ulsan Now is Phd Candidate at Multimedia Appl ication Laboratory, University of Ulsan



Jong Soo Lee received his Bachelors degree in Electrical Engineering in 1973 from Seoul National University and his M.Eng. in 1981 and Ph.D degree in 1985 from Virginia

Polytechnic Institute and State University in the USA. He is currently working in the area of multimedia at the University of Ulsan in Korea. His research interests include development of personal English cultural experience programs using multimedia and 3D user interface techniques to facilitate the acquisition of English language skills by Koreans.