# Synthesis of 10-Arylanthracenes from 2-Fluorobenzophenones and Arylacetonitriles via a One-Pot $S_{N} A r$ and Anionic Cyclization Cascade ${ }^{\dagger}$ 
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During our recent studies on the indium-mediated Barbier reaction for the synthesis of isoquinoline derivatives, ${ }^{\text {1a }}$ we tried the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 a}$ via an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reaction from 2-fluorobenzophenone (1a) and phenylacetonitrile (2a) in order to make 3-allyl-1,4-phenylisoquinoline (see Scheme 1). However, we did not observe the formation of our desired compound $\mathbf{3}$ a under the conditions of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMSO. Instead, 9-cyano-10-phenylanthracene (4a) ${ }^{2}$ was isolated as the major product (vide infra, entry 2 in Table $1,110 \sim 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \%$ ). The plausible reaction mechanism for the formation of $\mathbf{4 a}$ is depicted in Scheme 1. The reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 a}$ must produce carbanion (I) which is stabilized by the $\alpha$-cyano group (vide infra). ${ }^{3}$ The attack of ortho-carbon of arene moiety onto the benzoyl group resulted in effective bond-formation to form (II) and eventually $\mathbf{4 a}$ after dehydration.

Anthracenes has been incorporated into a variety of applications for sensing metal ions, simple inorganic anions, and small organic molecules, as well as for cell-surface labeling and medical diagnosis. ${ }^{2,4,5}$ Especially, 9-cyano-10-arylanthracenes and related compounds have been synthesized and studied extensively due to their $\pi$-conjugated donor-acceptor properties. ${ }^{2}$ In these respects, various synthetic procedures of anthracene scaffold have been developed including the use of Diels-Alder
reaction, ${ }^{4 \mathrm{f}}$ Bergman cycloaromatization, ${ }^{4 \mathrm{e}}$ and AuCl -catalyzed [4+2] benzannulation. ${ }^{4 c, d}$ We thought that our serendipitous finding could provide an easy and efficient protocol for the synthesis of various 10 -arylanthracenes in a one-pot reaction.

Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions for the synthesis of $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}^{a}$

| entry | base $^{b}$ | solvent | temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | time <br> (h) | $\mathbf{1 a ( \% ) ^ { c }} \mathbf{4 a ( \% ) ^ { d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $70 \sim 80$ | 5 | 95 | 0 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $110 \sim 120$ | 4 | 0 | 50 |
| $3^{e}$ | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $130 \sim 140$ | 3 | 0 | 62 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | $130 \sim 140$ | 4 | 0 | 45 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | NMP | $130 \sim 140$ | 4 | 0 | 41 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMSO | $130 \sim 140$ | 5 | 0 | 44 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CsF}^{2}$ | DMF | $140 \sim 150$ | 5 | 72 | 4 |
| $8^{f}$ | $t$-BuOK | $\mathrm{DMF}^{g}$ | $100 \sim 110$ | 3 | 0 | 66 |

$\overline{{ }^{a} \text { Compounds } \mathbf{1 a} \text { ( } 1.0 \text { equiv) and } \mathbf{2 a} \text { ( } 2.0 \text { equiv) were used. }{ }^{b} \text { Base ( } 2.0 \text { equiv) }}$ was used. ${ }^{c}$ Recovered 1 a and isolated yield. ${ }^{d}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{e}$ Conditions A. ${ }^{f}$ Conditions B. ${ }^{g}$ Dry DMF was required, otherwise the yield of $4 \mathbf{a}$ was decreased to $45 \%$.


Scheme 1

[^0]As described above, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 a}$ under the influence of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMSO at $110 \sim 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded 4 a in $50 \%$ yield (entry 2 in Table 1). At lower temperature ( $70 \sim 80$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{a}$ was not formed at all (entry 1 ) while the yield of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was

Table 2. Synthesis of 10-arylanthracenes

${ }^{a}$ Compound 2 was used in 2.0 equiv. ${ }^{b}$ Condition A: DMSO, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.0$ equiv), $130 \sim 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Condition B: DMF, $t$-BuOK (2.0 equiv), $100 \sim 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
increased to $62 \%$ at $130 \sim 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (entry 3). The use of DMF or NMP was less effective (entries 4 and 5). The use of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and CsF was found to be also less effective (entries 6 and 7). However, compound $\mathbf{4 a}$ was isolated in an increased yield ( $66 \%$ ) when we used $t$-BuOK in DMF (entry 8). Thus, we selected two conditions, namely conditions A (entry 3 ) and conditions B (entry 8 ), and examined the synthesis of 10 -arylanthracenes with various substrates, as shown in Figure 1. The results for the syntheses of 10 -arylanthracene derivatives $\mathbf{4 a}$-j are summarized in Table 2.

The yields of $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}, \mathbf{4 h}$, and $\mathbf{4 i}$ were good to moderate (entries 1, 2, 8, and 9). When we used arylacetonitriles having an electron withdrawing substituent at the para-position (entries 3 and 4), the yields of products were low ( $44 \sim 50 \%$ ). The reaction of 4-nitrophenylacetonitrile (2e) did not produce any trace amounts of $4 e$ presumably due to low nucleophilicity of the ortho-carbon atom of arene moiety by the delocalization of electrons to the nitro group (entry 5). However, the yield of $\mathbf{4 f}$ was also low unfortunately, although the starting material $\mathbf{2 f}$ has an electron-donating -OMe group (entry 6). Actually, in this case severe decomposition of $p$-methoxybenzylcyanide ( $\mathbf{2 f}$ ) was observed on TLC and this might be the major reason for the low yield of $\mathbf{4 f}$. The situation was similar for 2-thiopheneacetonitrile ( $\mathbf{2 g}$ ) as in entry 7. When we used 2-chlorobenzophenone ( $\mathbf{1 d}$ ) instead of $\mathbf{1 a}$, the yield of $\mathbf{4 a}$ was decreased to $25 \%$, and the starting material $\mathbf{1 d}$ was recovered in $47 \%$. As a next experiment, we examined the synthesis of bi-anthracene 6 as shown in Scheme 2. The reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ afforded very low yield of $\mathbf{6}(7 \sim 9 \%)$, unfortunately, along with anthracene 5 ( $10 \sim 14 \%$ ).

In order to clarify the reaction mechanism, we tried the synthesis of intermediate (I) but failed (vide supra, Scheme 1) under various conditions. Thus we checked the presence of (I) in the reaction mixture, as a next choice. During the column separation process of $4 \mathbf{a}$, we collected the remaining spots all together, and the mixture was subjected under the same conditions ( $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ DMSO, $130 \sim 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). However, we could not observe the formation of any trace amounts of $\mathbf{4 a}$. The results stated that intermediate (I), once formed, readily converted to 4a under the reaction conditions.

The anionic cyclization pathway has not been reported much, although the reaction can provide an easy route to many cyclic compounds. Wrobel and co-workers reported an interesting anionic cyclization in their synthesis of acridine and related compounds. ${ }^{6}$ The ortho-carbon of arene moiety attack the nitroso group in an intramolecular fashion in the intermediate stage, as shown in Scheme 3. ${ }^{7}$ Based on the reported papers and our results, the mechanism for the formation of anthracene can be regarded as a one-pot domino process involving the nucleophilic aromatic substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ ) and an anionic cyclization.


Figure 1


Scheme 2


In summary, we found an efficient one-pot approach for the synthesis of 9-cyano-10-arylanthracenes involving a tandem $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ and interesting anionic cyclization of arene moiety. Although the yields of anthracenes were low to moderate, synthesis of pentacene derivatives and the study on optimization of yield are currently underway.

## Experimental Section

Typical procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4 a (method A). To a stirred solution of 2-fluorobenzophenone (1a, 200 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl cyanide ( $\mathbf{2 a}, 234 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 2 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(651 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and heated to $130 \sim 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was poured into dilute aqueous HCl , extracted with EtOAc , dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and removed the solvent. Column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/diethyl ether/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 84: 1: 15$ ) afforded compound $\mathbf{4 a}(173 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%)$ as a yellow solid.

Typical procedure for the synthesis of compounds 4 a (method B). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 a}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{2 a}(234$ $\mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 2 mL ) was added $t$-BuOK (224 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated to $100 \sim 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was poured into dilute aqueous HCl , extracted with EtOAc, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and removed the solvent. Column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/diethyl ether/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 84: 1: 15$ ) afforded compound $\mathbf{4 a}(184 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%)$ as a yellow solid.

Other compounds were prepared similarly by using method A and/or method B (see Table 2). Known compounds $4 \mathbf{a}^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathbf{4 i}^{2{ }^{2 a}}$ were identified by their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data. The spectroscopic data of unknown compounds are as follows.

Compound 4b: Yellow solid, mp $176 \sim 177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2213, 1632, 1601, $1446 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7$ and 1.8 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40-8.44$ (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 21.98,105.26,117.54$, 125.18, 125.31, 125.81, 126.01, 127.62, 128.06, 128.09, 128.47, $129.68,129.74,130.56,131.35,131.69,132.43,136.09,137.38$, 142.55; ESIMS $m / z 294\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}$, 90.07; H, 5.15; N, 4.77. Found: C, 90.33 ; H, 5.21; N, 4.56.

Compound 4c: Yellow solid, mp $261 \sim 262^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2228, 2216, 1637, 1612, $1449 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.36-$ $7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.4,6.6$, and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{q}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=8.4$ and $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$ and $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 106.41,109.87,116.42,118.53,125.51$, 126.96, 127.40, 127.59, 128.09, 128.24, 128.87, 129.04, 130.32, 130.40 (2C), 132.92, 134.32, 135.06, 135.58, 145.38; ESIMS $m / z 305\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 86.82; H, 3.97; N, 9.20. Found: C, 86.97; H, 4.22; N, 9.03.

Compound 4d: Yellow solid, mp $203 \sim 204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2216, 1621, 1612, $1442 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $7.31-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (ddd, $J=8.1,6.9$, and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-$ $7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $105.94,116.96,125.43,126.01,126.80,127.12,127.73,128.53$, $128.70,128.87,129.68,129.87,130.12,130.47,131.06,132.34$, 132.89, 136.46, 142.84; ESIMS m/z $314\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClN}$ : C, 80.38; H, 3.85; N, 4.46. Found: C, 80.26; H, 3.89; N, 4.35.

Compound 4f: Yellow solid, mp 172~173 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2215, $1632,1615,1463 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.69(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$ and $0.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41-8.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 55.11,103.67,105.56,117.55$, $123.41,125.44,126.30,127.04,127.25,127.54,128.18,128.69$, $129.67,130.00,130.45,130.86,131.50,137.62,141.32,157.45$; ESIMS $m / z 310\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 85.41$; H, 4.89; N, 4.53. Found: C, 85.69; H, 5.12; N, 4.67.

Compound 4g: Yellow solid, mp 207~208 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2217, $1645,1634,1487 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.14$ (d, $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.4,6.9$, and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.7$ and $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{dq}, J=8.4$ and $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 101.86,116.89$, 124.12, 124.39, 126.07, 127.44, 128.03, 128.20, 128.42, 128.60, 128.96, 130.18, 131.65, 137.30, 137.73, 139.98, 144.24; ESIMS $m / z 286\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NS}: \mathrm{C}, 79.97$; H, 3.89; N, 4.91. Found: C, 79.66; H, 4.11; N, 4.65.

Compound 4h: Yellow solid, mp 240~241 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2207, 1626, 1497, $1409 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.61$
$(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.7$ and $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.1$ and $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 103.36, 120.37, 125.19, 125.76, 126.47, 126.64, 127.11, 127.58, $127.98,128.16,128.57$ (2C), 128.63 (2C), 128.93, 128.98, 130.19, 130.60, 131.79, 133.08, 133.51, 137.87, 143.54; ESIMS $m / z 330\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{C}, 91.16$; H, 4.59; N, 4.25. Found: C, 91.04; H, 4.79; N, 4.01.

Compound 4j: Yellow solid, mp 170~171 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 2217$, $1633,1484,1453 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.30$ (ddd, $J=10.8,2.4$, and $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35-7.41 (m, 2H), 7.45-7.55 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.46-8.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 106.07\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 110.10$ $\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=22.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 117.18,120.30\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=27.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 125.52,126.80$, $127.48,128.32\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 128.50,128.51,128.53,128.74$, $130.15\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.30\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 130.44,132.51$ $\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 136.83,142.92\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 160.23\left(J_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $248.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$; ESIMS $m / z 298\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{FN}$ : C, 84.83; H, 4.07; N, 4.71. Found: C, 84.65; H, 4.41; N, 4.32.

Compound 5: Yellow solid, mp $190 \sim 191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2214, $1637,1625,1451 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 3.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8$ and $0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$ and 1.8 Hz , 1 H ), $8.48-8.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$ and $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta 23.33,105.55,117.42,117.60,125.25$, $125.51,126.31,126.46,127.85,128.04,128.35,128.45,128.57$, $128.66,128.75,129.51,129.77,130.06,130.61,132.37,133.13$, 137.05, 137.70, 140.10, 144.06; ESIMS m/z 395 ( ${ }^{+}+1$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 88.30; H, 4.60; N, 7.10. Found: C, 87.93; H, 4.95; N, 6.86

Compound 6: Yellow solid, mp $371 \sim 372{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2215, $1638,1624,1444 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.36-7.41$ (m, 4H), 7.47 (ddd, $J=8.4,6.9$, and $1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.58-7.65 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$ and $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47-8.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0$ and 0.6 Hz , $2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 105.57, 117.34, 125.54, $125.80,126.46,126.52,127.88,128.17,128.41,128.69,128.83$, $129.75,130.09,130.54,132.39,133.17,136.93,137.58,144.15$; ESIMS $m / z 557\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C, 90.62; H, 4.35; N, 5.03. Found: C, 90.31; H, 4.56; N, 4.89.
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