Solvent-Free Michael Addition Between EMME and Secondary Amine under Focused Microwave Irradiation

Ki Won Kim,[†] Hee Jung Lee,[‡] Jeong Im Jo,[†] and Tae Woo Kwon^{†,‡,*}

[†]Department of Chemistry and [‡]Department of Chemical Education, Kyungsung University, Busan 608-736, Korea ^{*}E-mail: twkwon@ks.ac.kr Received November 6, 2009, Accepted February 24, 2010

Microwave-assisted Michael reaction between EMME and various amines such as diphenylamine, 4-methyl-*N*-phenylbenzenamine, *N*-phenylnaphthalen-1-amine, dihexylamine, diisopropylamine, and 4-nitrobenzenamine were described. Solvent-free conditions on alumina as solid support in the presence of K₂CO₃ catalysts gave moderate to good yields (55 - 93%) of diethylmalonate analogues having enamine moieties under focused microwave irradiation.

Key Words: Focused microwave-assisted irradiation, Solvent-free Michael addition, Diethyl ethoxymethylenemalonate, Secondary amines, Diethyl 2-((diaryl or dialkyl lamino) methylene) malonate

Introduction

Since the first antimicrobial quinolone was discovered about several decades ago, variety of quinolone analogues 1 have been used for the treatment of various infectious diseases.¹ Some of the enamine type compounds showed interesting central nervous system activity and muscle relaxing properties. For example, *N*-diphenyl compounds, 2 (R_1 = phenyl) have shown to be potentially useful intermediates for prevention and treatment of hyperlipemia and atherosclerosis.² Cyclization of various enamines 2 directly led to the important building block of quinolones, 1 which shows anti gramnegative antibacterial activity.³



The Michael addition reaction provides a convenient method for the preparation of a number of useful synthetic intermediates. Current growing research interest is the use of attractive acceptors for a variety of Michael addition donors and a number of quinolone syntheses have been reported.⁴ Since diethyl ethoxymethylenemalonate (EMME) contains an electron-deficient alkene, it can be used as a very attractive acceptor for a variety of Michael addition donors.⁵ In general, these reactions were carried out according to a procedure in which the reaction of amine N-nucleophiles with electron-deficient EMME compounds resulted in the displacement of the ethoxy group by the amino function to give important nitrogen-containing precursors in the field of medicinal chemistry.⁶ In the case of the Michael addition reactions between aminoalditol and EMME, the reaction times usually take more than 24 hr under basic conditions in methanol solvent during the synthesis of carbohydrate-based

molecules.⁷ As well as using toxic solvents, in some cases, 54 hour of reaction time is necessary for the Michael addition between primary amine such as tryptamine and EMME for the synthesis of 4-quinolone derivatives which are closely related to brain GABA_A receptors.⁸

In recent years a tremendous number of scientifically focused microwave enhanced organic reactions have attracted a considerable growing interest. The associated literature has been greatly extended due to facile control of the reaction temperature, pressure, power and reaction times with a high degree of reproducibility.9 Solid supports such as aluminas, silica gels and other inorganic materials appeared to be valuable to enhance the reaction rates and to carry facile workup procedure and purification. We have studied previously that a variety of re-actions such as Knoevenagel¹⁰ condensation and Friedlander type¹¹ quinoline synthesis can be performed by solvent-free microwave irradiation technique. More recently, we have been able to demonstrate the microwave enhanced Michael addition between various nucleophiles (-OH, -SH and -NH₂) and EMME.¹² A significant microwave effect and excellent yields were observed in the case of primary amines or PhSH under microwave irradiation. However, no general Michael addition between secondary amine and electron deficient EMME has been studied under microwave irradiation. Secondary amine associated diethyl methylenemalonate adducts 3-7 clearly play an important role for the synthesis of new biologically important *N*,*N*-disubstituted quinolone, **1**.

Our aim is to develop fast and efficient synthetic routes to achieve N,N-disubstituted enamine analogues using solvent free microwave irradiation technique. Herein, we focused on the microwave assisted Michael addition reaction between EMME and various secondary amines in the presence of K₂CO₃ catalysts on alumina as solid support.

Results and Discussion

First attempts were carried out to find the optimized reaction condition using EMME and diphenyl amine under monomode **Table 1.** Optimization of the reaction ^{*a*} time at 100 °C in the solvent-free Michael Addition between EMME and diphenylamine^{*b*} under MWI^{*c*}



Entry	support (Al ₂ O ₃)	catalyst (K ₂ CO ₃)	irradiation time (min)	yield(%) ^d
1	none	none	2	trace
2	0.12 g	none	2	17
3	0.12 g	0.19 g	2	22
4	0.12 g	0.19 g	4	19
5	0.12 g	0.19 g	10	25
6	0.12 g	0.19 g	20	62
7	0.12 g	0.19 g	40	70

^aReaction of 5.55 mmol scale, ^bMole ratio of EMME: diphenyl amine = 1:1.3 equiv. ^cCEM Focused Microwave TM Synthesis System equipped with a reflux condenser. ^dYields were not optimized and based on starting EMME.

Table 2. Optimization of the reaction temperature for the synthesis^{*a*} of diethyl 2-((diphenylamino)methylene)malonate in the presence of Al_2O_3 and K_2CO_3 catalyst^{*b*}

Entry	irradiation time ^c (min)	temperature (°C)	yield(%) ^d
1	4	100	19
2	5	150	20
3	5	175	68
4	5	200	93

^{*a*}Reaction of 5.55 mmol scale, ^{*b*}Equivalent ratio of support Al₂O₃: catalyst K_2CO_3 = 1.3:1.5, mole ratio of EMME: diphenyl amine = 1:1.3 equiv. ^{*c*}CEM Focused MicrowaveTM Synthesis System equipped with a reflux condenser. ^{*d*}Isolated yields after purification.

microwave irradiation in an solvent-free condition. Several efforts have been conducted using various reaction conditions at 100 °C. In the absence of support and catalyst, Michael addition did not undergo (Table 1, entry 1). When basic catalyst K_2CO_3 was used in the presence of solid support, the reaction rates were slightly increased but generally very slow within short period of 2 - 10 mins (Table 1, entries 2-5).

Clearly, longer irradiation time gave better yields. When the reaction mixtures were irradiated for 10, 20 and 40 min respectively, the yields were increased upto 70% at 100 °C (Table 2, entries 2, 3 and 4). More interestingly, noticeable enhancements in both reaction rate and yields were observed when the temperature was increased up to 200 °C as shown in Table 2. The maximum yield of 93% was obtained within 5 min (Table 2, entry 4).

Our optimized reaction conditions were extended to synthesize enamine esters using EMME and variety of amines such as diphenylamine, 4-methyl-*N*-phenylbenzenamine, *N*-phenylnaphthalen-1-amine, *di*hexylamine and *di*isopropylamine (Table 3, entries 1-5). In the case of aniline having electron-withdrawTable 3. Michael addition reaction between EMME and various amine under microwave irradiation (MWI) and conventional heating in the presence of Al_2O_3/K_2CO_3



^{*a*}Mole ratio of EMME : amine = (0.2 g, 0.925 mmol, 1 eq.): (1.20 mmol, 1.3 eq.). ^{*b*}Isolated yields after purification. All products were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR, IR, MS. ^{*c*}CEM Focused Microwave TMDiscover equipped with a reflux condenser. ^{*d*}A thermostated oil bath heating at the same temperature of MWI.

ing nitro group at the para-position, the reaction also proceeded smoothly even at 150 °C in good yield and no side product was detected by TLC (Table 3, entry 6). In all cases, moderate to excellent yields were obtained in the absence of solvent.

After the successful performance of Michael additions were established under MW irradiation, the microwave heating vs conventional heating, in which pre-heated oil-bath kept at 200 °C were compared in order to see the importance of microwave effect between EMME and various secondary nucleophilic functionality such as diphenyl amine and dihexyl amine. In both cases, yields obtained with MW irradiation were dramatically improved (93 vs 3, 91 vs 8%) when compared to oil-bath experiments conducted at the same temperature (Table 3, entries 1 and 4). This observation demonstrates that the effect of MW irradiation is not purely thermal.

All final compounds were characterized by ¹H, ¹³C NMR, IR and mass spectral data which were fully in accord with expected structures. It has been emphasized that microwave has a tendency to stabilize the more polar transition states (TS) to a greater extent than the less polar ground state (GS).¹³ As proposed in the mechanism, the transition state between EMME and amines by dipole-dipole electrostatic interactions is involved in the reaction mechanism. The enhanced reaction progress under microwave condition can be explained to the fact that the reaction intermediates (TS), **10** is more polar than the starting materials in the ground state (GS), **9**.



Summary

We have described the environmentally benign Michael addition of various secondary amine nucleophiles leading to **3-7** having enamine bond formation under MWI. Deactivated nitroaniline also gave high yield of **8** within 5 minutes of short irradiation time. A rapid and economical method using inexpensive and non-toxic Al_2O_3 in the absence of solvent has been demonstrated under MW irradiation. Further investigations regarding the Microwave enhanced synthesis of variety of quinolones are currently under progress.

Experimental Section

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Korea) and used as received. TLC was performed on pre-coated glass plate-silica gel 250-m (Baker Si 250F) with detection by UV light. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel (230 - 400 mesh). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz and 75 MHz on a FT-NMR Bruker 300. Chemical shifts are given in ppm and referenced to internal tetramethylsilane (TMS, $\delta = 0$ ppm) standard. GC/MS spectra were measured on a Shimazu QP 5000 spectrometer. Microwave irradiation was carried out by a CEM Discover focused microwave (2450 MHz, 300 W) under atmospheric pressure.

General microwave procedure for microwave enhanced Michael addition between EMME and amines; Synthesis of diethyl 2-((diphenylamino)methylene)malonate (Table 3, entry 1). EMME (0.20 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), diphenylamine (0.20 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), K₂CO₃ (0.19 g, 1.40 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and Al₂O₃ (0.12 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) were mixed together

in a microwave tube with a magnetic stirrer bar in the absence of any organic solvent. The mixture was then submitted for 5 min to microwave irradiation inside a CEM microwave oven (temperature : 200 °C, pressure : 80PSI, hold time : 3 min, Run time: 5 min, Power: 150 w) and monitored by thin-layer chromatography. The reaction mixture was dissolved in ethyl acetate and insoluble solids were filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated by rotary vaccum evaporator. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using silica gel (10% ethylacetate/90% hexane: v/v, $R_f = 0.6$) to give **3** as a colorless oil (0.29 g, 0.85 mmol, 92%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (1H, s, vinyl H), 7.33 (4H, m, ortho-Hs), 7.21 (2H, m, para-Hs), 7.10 (4H, m, *meta*-Hs), 4.20 (2H, q, OCH₂), 3.44 (2H, q, OCH₂), 1.27 (3H, t, CH₃). 1.04 (3H, t, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.65 (C=O), 165.28 (C=O), 146.39, 129.31, 126, 34, 124.51, 102.88, 60.47 (OCH₂), 60.38 (OCH₂), 14.31 (CH₃) and 13.77 (CH₃) ppm. IR (neat) 3010, 2919, 1720, 1695, 1575, 1490, 1361, 1189, 1066, 753, 695 cm⁻¹. GC/MS 339 (M⁺).

Diethyl 2-((phenyl(p-tolyl)amino)methylene)malonate (Table 3, entry 2). Prepared by the general procedure using EMME (0.20 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 3-methyldiphenyl-amine (0.22 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), Al₂O₃ (0.12 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and K₂CO₃ (0.19 g, 1.40 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) gives 4 as a colorless oil (76% yield, 0.25 g 0.71 mmol) after purification by column chromatography using silica gel (10% ethylacetate/ 90% hexane : v/v, $R_f = 0.6$). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.95 (1H, s, vinyl H), 7.32 (2H, m), 7.22 (2H, m), 7.19 (2H, m), 7.11 (1H, m), 7.08 (1H, m), 6.93 (1H, m), 4.22 (2H, q), 3.46 (2H, q), 2.32 (3H, s), 1.27 (3H, t, CH₃), and 1.05 (3H, t, CH₃). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.56 (C=O), 165.16 (C=O), 146.32, 144.38, 139.23, 129.14., 128.99, 126.94, 126.04, 124.99, 124.33, 121.15, 102.49, 60.29, 60.22, 21.13, 14.20 and 13.65 ppm. IR (neat) 3015, 2975, 2832, 1722, 1694, 1574, 1490, 1381, 1177, 1071 cm^{-1} . GC/MS 353 (M⁺).

Diethyl 2-((naphthalen-1-yl(phenyl)amino)methylene)malonate (Table 3, entry 3). Prepared by the general procedure from EMME (0.20 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), *n*-phenyl-1-naphtylamine (0.26 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), Al₂O₃ (0.12 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and K₂CO₃ (0.19 g, 1.40 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) gives 5 as a white solid. (0.198 g, 0.51 mmol, 55%, mp = $129 \sim 131$ °C) after purification by column chromatography using silica gel (10% ethylacetate/90% hexane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ 8.25 (1H, s, vinyl H), 7.89 (2H, m), 7.75 (1H, m), 7.51-7.47 (4H, m), 7.26 (2H, m), 7.12 (1H, m), 7.01 (2H, m), 4.20 (2H, q), 3.29 (1H, broad g), 2.65 (1H, broad g), 1.23 (3H, t), 0.62 (3H, t). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 166.46 (C=O), 165.14 (C=O), 146.56, 146.41, 134.55, 129.35, 129.84, 128.30, 127.15, 126.52, 125.50, 124.82, 123.46, 120.41, 102.90, 68.44, 68.14, 14.25 and 13.09 (CH₃) ppm. IR (neat) 3059, 2976-2869, 1717, 1686, 1566, 1486, 1381, 1295, 1169, 1071, 766 and 695 cm⁻¹. GC/MS 389 (M⁺).

Diethyl 2-((dihexylamino)methylene)malonate (Table 3, entry 4). Prepared by the general procedure from EMME (0.20 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), dihexylamine (0.22 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), Al₂O₃ (0.12 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and K₂CO₃ (0.19 g, 1.40 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) gives 6 as a colorless oil (0.3 g, 0.84 mmol, 91%) after purification by column chromatography using silica gel (10% ethylacetate/90% hexane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.27 (1H, s), 4.03 (4H, broad q), 3.05 (4H, broad t), 1.39 (4H, m), 1.12 (16H, m) and 0.72 (6H, two t). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.51 (C=O), 167.38 (C=O), 150.27, 92.31, 60.22, 59.34, 58.27, 49.13, 31.10, 28.94, 26.76, 25.81, 22.13, 13.82 and 13.55 ppm. IR (neat) 2957-2852, 1681, 1594, 1462, 1382, 1253, 1212, 1180, 1125, 1101, 1066, 1034, 857 and 756 cm⁻¹. GC/MS 383 (M⁺).

Diethyl 2-((diisopropylamino)methylene)malonate (Table 3, entry 5). Prepared by the general procedure using EMME (0.20 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), diisopropylamine (0.12 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), Al_2O_3 (0.12 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and K_2CO_3 (0.19 g, 1.40 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) gives 7 as a colorless oil (0.18 g, 0.65 mmol, 70%) after purification by column chromatography using silica gel (20% ethylacetate/80% hexane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.36 (1H, s), 4.11 (4H, dd), 2.95 (4H, dd), 1.78 (2H, two septet), 1.21 (3H, t), 1.14 (3H, t) and 0.77-0.76 (12H, dd). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.61 (C=O), 167.20 (C=O), 92.73, 60.27, 59.57, 50.70, 28.16, 25.16, 19.43, 14.15 and 14.03 ppm. IR (neat) 2955-2869, 1678, 1590, 1463, 1360, 1242, 1183, 1127, 1063, 960, 859 and 759 cm⁻¹. GC/MS 271 (M⁺).

Diethyl 2-((4-nitrophenylamino)methylene)malonate (Table 3, entry 6). Prepared by the general procedure from EMME (0.20 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), 4-nitroaniline (0.17 g, 1.21 mmol, 1.3 equiv.), Al₂O₃ (0.12 g, 0.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and K₂CO₃ (0.19 g, 1.40 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) gives **8** as a yellow solid. (0.25 g, 0.81 mmol, 87%, mp = $127 \sim 135$ °C) after purification by column chromatography using silica gel (20% ethylacetate/80% hexane). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.17 (1H, d, *J*= 9.75 Hz), 8.48 (1H, *J*= 9.99 Hz, vinyl H), 8.25 (2H, d, *J*= 6.7 Hz), 7.20 (2H, d, *J*= 6.7 Hz), 4.27 (4H, dd), 1.35 (6H, tt) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 169.49 (C=O), 165.03 (C=O), 149.64, 144.51, 143.83, 125.95, 116.31, 97.34, 60.91, 60.61, 14.31 and 14.14 ppm. IR (neat) 3070, 2977-2852, 1681, 1574, 1510, 1450, 1332, 1229, 1097, 1022, 855, 802 and 745 cm⁻¹. GC/MS 308 (M⁺).

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by the Kyungsung University Research Grants in 2010.

References

(a) Lesher, G. Y.; Froelich, E. J.; Gruett, M. D.; Bailey, J. H.; Brundage, R. P. J. Med. Pharm. Chem. 1962, 91, 1063. (b) Mitscher, Lester A. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 559. (c) Koga, H.; Ito, A.; Murayama, S.; Suzue, S.; Irikura, T. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23(12), 1358. (d) Hayakawa, I.; Hiramitsu, T.; Tanaka, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1984, 32, 4907. (e) Atarashi, S.; Yokahama, S.; Yamazaki, K.; Sakano, K.; Imamura, M.; Hayakawa, I. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1987, 35, 1896.

- (a) Santilli, A. A.; Bruce, W. F.; Osdene, T. S. J. Med. Chem. 1963, 7(1), 68. (b) Steck, E. A. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 306.
- (a) Banerji, B.; Conejo-Garcia, A.; McNeill, L. A.; McDonough, M. A.; Buck, M. R. G.; Hewitson, K. S.; Oldham, N. J.; Schofield, C. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 5438. (b) Stern, E.; Muccioli, G. G.; Millet, R.; Goossens, J. F.; Farce, A.; Chavatte, P. Poupaert, J. H.; Lambert, D. M.; Depreux, P.; Hénichart, J-P. *J. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *49*, 70.
- (a) Banerji, B.; Conejo-Garcia, A.; McNeill, L. A.; McDonough, M. A.; Buck, M. R. G.; Hewitson, K. S.; Oldham, N. J.; Schofield, C. J. *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 5438. (b) Desforges, E.; Grysan, A; Oget, N.; Sindt, M.; Mieloszynski, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 6273. (c) Yadav, J. S.; Reddy, B. V. S.; Baishya, G.; Narsaiah, A. *Chemistry Letters* 2005, 34, 102.
- (a) Chezal, J. M.; Moreau, E.; Delmas, G.; Gueiffier, A.; Blache, Y.; Grassy, G.; Lartigue, C.; Chavignon, O.; Teulade, J. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003, 44, 467. (b) Deinnin, F.; Buindeau, D.; Sliwa, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1989, 30(12), 1529.
- (a) Kawato, H. C.; Nakayama, K.; Inagaki, H.; and Ohta, T. Org. Lett. 2001, 3(22), 3451. (b) Blanco, M. M.; Avendaño, C.; and Menéndez, J. C. Tetrahedron 1997, 53(33), 11465. (c) Forbes, I. T.; Johnson, C. N.; Jones, G. E.; Loudon, J.; Nicholass, J. M.; Thompson, M.; Upton, N. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 2640. (d) Bare, T. M.; McLaren, C. D.; Campbel1, J. B.; Firor, J. W.; Resch, J. F.; Waiters, C. P.; Salama, A. I.; Meinem, B. A.; Patel, J. B. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 2561.
- Ávalos, M.; Babiano, R.; Cintas, P.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Jiménez, J. L.; Light, M. E.; Palacios, J. C.; Pérez, E. M. S. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2006, 657.
- Lager, E.; Andersson, P.; Nilsson, J.; Pettersson, I.; Oestergaard Nielsen, E.; Nielsen, M.; Sterner, O.; Liljefors, T. J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49(8), 2526.
- (a) Kappe, C. O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 6250 and references therein. (b) Perreux, L.; Loupy, A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 9199. (c) Kuhnert, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1863. (d) Strauss, C. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 3589. (e) Choi, J. Y.; Kim, J.; Jhung, S. H.; Kim, H. K.; Chang, J. S.; Chae, H. K. Bull. Korean. Chem. Soc. 2006, 27(10), 1523. (f) Park, H. J.; Lee, J. C. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2008, 29(4), 856. (g) Park, J. W.; Lee, K. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2009, 30(10), 2475. (h) Kim, K. W.; Lee, H. J.; Kim, C. G.; Park, M. J.; Kwon, T. W. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2009, 30(10), 2475. (h) Kim, K. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2009, 29(3), 604. (i) Jo, E. A.; Ahn, J. A.; Jun, C. H. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28(11), 2020.
- (a) Kim, J. K.; Kwon, P. S.; Kwon, T. W.; Chung, S. K.; Lee, J. W. Syn. Commun. 1996, 26, 535. (b) Kim, S. Y.; Kwon, P. S.; Kwon, T. W.; Chung, S. K.; Chang, Y. T. Syn. Commun. 1997, 27, 533. (c) Kwon, P. S.; Kim, Y. S.; Kang, C. J.; Kwon, T. W.; Chung, S. K.; Chang, Y. T. Syn. Commun. 1997, 27, 4091. (d) Loupy, A.; Song, S. J.; Sohn, S. M.; Lee, Y. M.; Kwon, T. W. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 1220.
- 11. Song, S. J.; Cho, S. J.; Park, D. K.; Kwon, T. W.; Jenekhe, S. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*(2), 255.
- Loupy, A.; Song, S. J.; Cho, S. J.; Park, D. K.; Kwon, T. W. Synth. Commun. 2005, 35, 79.
- Loupy, A. Microwaves in Organic Synthesis, 2nd ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim (Germany), 2006.