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#### Abstract

We consider the nonlinear biharmonic equation with coefficient exponential growth term and Dirichlet boundary condition. We show that the nonlinear equation has at least one bounded solution under the suitable conditions. We obtain this result by the variational method, generalized mountain pass theorem and the critical point theory of the associated functional.


## 1. Introduction and statement of main results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $R^{n}$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $a: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow R$ be a continuous function which changes sign in $\Omega$ and $\Delta^{2}$ be the biharmonic operator. Let $c \in R$. In this paper we study the following nonlinear biharmonic equation with variable coefficient exponential growth nonlinear term and Dirichlet boundary condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=a(x) g(u) \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.1}\\
u=0, \quad \Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

We assume that $g$ satisfies the following conditions:
$(g 1) g \in C(R, R)$,

[^0]$(g 2)$ there is a constant $A_{0}>0$ such that
$$
|g(\xi)| \leq A_{0}^{\phi(\xi)} \quad \text { for } \xi \in R,
$$
where $\phi: R \rightarrow R$ is a function satisfying $\phi(\xi) \xi^{-2} \rightarrow 0$ as $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, $(g 3)$ there are constants $\mu>2$ and $r_{0} \geq 0$ such that
$$
0<\mu G(\xi)=\mu \int_{0}^{\xi} g(t) d t \leq \xi g(\xi) \quad \text { for }|\xi| \geq r_{0}
$$
(g4) there exist $0<\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2}<2, A_{1}, A_{2}>0$, and $B_{1}, B_{2} \geq 0$ such that
$$
A_{1} \exp ^{|\xi|^{\alpha_{1}}}-B_{1} \leq G(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\xi} g(t) d t \leq A_{2} \exp ^{|\xi|^{\alpha_{2}}}+B_{2} \text { for } \xi \in R
$$
where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are further restricted by
$$
\frac{2}{\alpha_{2}}-2>\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} .
$$

We note that the conditions $0<\alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2}<2$ and $\frac{2}{\alpha_{2}}-2>\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}$ imply $\alpha_{2}<\frac{1}{2}$. Khanfir and Lassoued [4] showed the existence of at least one solution for the nonlinear elliptic boundary problem when $f=0$ and $g$ is locally Hölder continuous on $R_{+}$. Choi and Jung [2] show that the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=b u^{+}+s \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.2}\\
u=0, \quad \Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

has at least two nontrivial solutions when $\left(c<\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)<b<\right.$ $\lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-c\right)$ and $\left.s<0\right)$ or ( $\lambda_{1}<c<\lambda_{2}, b<\lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$ and $s>0$ ), where $\lambda_{i}$ is the eigenvalue of $\Delta u+u=\lambda u$ with Dirichlet boundary condition. They obtained these results by using the variational reduction method. They [3] also proved that when $c<\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)<b<\lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-c\right)$ and $s<0$, (1.2) has at least three nontrivial solutions by use of the degree theory. Tarantello [7] also studied

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=b\left((u+1)^{+}-1\right),  \tag{1.3}\\
& u=0, \quad \Delta u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{align*}
$$

She show that if $c<\lambda_{1}$ and $b \geq \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$, then (1.3) has a negative solution. She obtained this result by the degree theory. Micheletti and Pistoia [5] also proved that if $c<\lambda_{1}$ and $b \geq \lambda_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}-c\right)$, then (1.3) has at least four solutions by the vatiational linking theorem and

Leray-Schauder degree theory. In this paper we are looking for the weak solutions of (1.1), that is,

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u-a(x) g(u)\right) v d x=0 \quad \text { for } v \in H
$$

where the space $H$ is introduced in section 2 . We note that the weak solutions of (1.1) coincide with the critical points of the associated functional

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(u) \in C^{1}(H, R) \\
I(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2}|\Delta u|^{2}-\frac{c}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} a(x) G(u)\right] d x \\
=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|P_{+} u\right\|^{2}-\left\|P_{-} u\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{\Omega} a(x) G(u) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Our main results is as follows:
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\lambda_{k}<c<\lambda_{k+1}$ and $g$ satisfies ( $g 1$ )-( $g 4$ ). Then we have:
(i) If $g(u) u-\mu G(u)$ is bounded, then (1.1) has at least one bounded solution.
(ii) If $g(u) u-\mu G(u)$ is not bounded and there exists a small $\epsilon>0$ such that $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x)<\epsilon$, then (1.1) has at least two solutions, (i) one of which is bounded and (ii) the other solution of which is large norm such that $\max _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)|>M$ for some $M$, where

$$
a^{+}=a \cdot \chi_{\Omega^{+}}, \quad a^{-}=-a \cdot \chi_{\Omega^{-}}
$$

with

$$
\Omega^{+}=\{x \in \Omega \mid a(x)>0\}, \quad \Omega^{-}=\{x \in \Omega \mid a(x)<0\} .
$$

In section 2 , we obtain some results on the operator $\Delta(\Delta-c)$, introduce a Hilbert space $H$ and investigate that $I(u)$ is continuous, Fréchet differentiable and satisfies the (P.S.) condition. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1(i) and in section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1(ii) by using the variational method, the generalized mountain pass theorem and the critical point theory.

## 2. Some results on $\Delta(\Delta-c)$ and $I(u)$

Let $c \in R$. Throughout this paper we assume that $\lambda_{k}<c<\lambda_{k+1}$, $k \geq 1$. Let $L^{2}(\Omega)$ be a square integrable function space defined on $\Omega$. Any element $u$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$
u=\sum h_{k} \phi_{k} \quad \text { with } \sum h_{k}^{2}<\infty .
$$

We define a subspace $H$ of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ as follows

$$
H=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)\left|\sum\right| \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right) \mid<\infty\right\} .
$$

Then this is a complete normed space with a norm

$$
\|u\|=\left[\sum\left|\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)\right| h_{k}^{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Since $\lambda_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $c$ is fixed, we have
(i) $\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u \in H$ implies $u \in H$.
(ii) $\|u\| \geq C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$, for some $C>0$.
(iii) $\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0$ if and only if $\|u\|=0$,
which is proved in [1].
Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{+}=\left\{u \in H \mid h_{k}=0 \text { if } \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)<0\right\}, \\
& H_{-}=\left\{u \in H \mid h_{k}=0 \text { if } \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)>0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $H=H_{-} \oplus H_{+}$, for $u \in H, u=u^{-}+u^{+} \in H_{-} \oplus H_{+}$. Let $P_{+}$be the orthogonal projection on $H_{+}$and $P_{-}$be the orthogonal projection on $H_{-}$. We can wtite $P_{+} u=u^{+}, P_{-} u=u^{-}$, for $u \in H$. By ( $g 1$ ) and ( $g 2$ ), $I$ is well defined. We note that ( $g 3$ ) implies the existence of positive constants $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\xi g(\xi)+a_{1}\right) \geq G(\xi)+a_{2} \geq a_{3}|\xi|^{\mu} \quad \text { for } \xi \in R \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the following Lemma 2.1, $I \in C^{1}(H, R)$ and $I$ is Fréchet differentiable in $H$, which is proved in Appendix $B$ in [9].:

Lemma 2.1. Assume that $\lambda_{k}<c<\lambda_{k+1}, k \geq 1$, and $g$ satisfies $(g 1)-(g 4)$. Then $I(u)$ is continuous and Fréchet differentiable in $H$ with Fréchet derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla I(u) h=\int_{\Omega}[\Delta u \cdot \Delta h-c \nabla u \cdot \nabla h-a(x) g(u) h] d x \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set

$$
K(u)=\int_{\Omega} a(x) G(u) d x
$$

then $K^{\prime}(u)$ is continuous with respect to weak convergence, $K^{\prime}(u)$ is compact, and

$$
K^{\prime}(u) h=\int_{\Omega} a(x) g(u) h d x \quad \text { for all } h \in H,
$$

this implies that $I \in C^{1}(H, R)$ and $K(u)$ is weakly continuous.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\lambda_{k}<c<\lambda_{k+1}, k \geq 1, g$ satisfies ( $g 1$ ) (g4). If $g(u) u-\mu G(u)$ is bounded or there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x<\epsilon$, then $I(u)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Proof. We assume that $g(u) u-\mu G(u)$ is bounded or there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x<\epsilon$. Suppose that $\left(u_{m}\right)$ is a sequence with $I\left(u_{m}\right) \leq M$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then by ( $g 2$ ), ( $g 3$ ), Hölder inequality and Sobolev Embedding Theorem, for large $m$ and $\mu>2$ with $u=u_{m}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M+\frac{1}{2}\|u\| \geq & I(u)-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}(u) u=\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{2} a(x) g(u) u-a(x) G(u)\right] d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)\left[\frac{1}{2} g(u) u-G(u)\right]-\int_{\Omega} a^{-}(x)\left[\frac{1}{2} g(u) u-G(u)\right] \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \mu \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x) \cdot G(u) \\
& -\max _{\Omega}\left|\frac{1}{2} g(u) u-G(u)\right| \int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \mu \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x) \cdot\left(A_{1} \mathrm{e}^{|u|^{\alpha_{1}}}-B_{1}\right) \\
& -\max _{\Omega}\left|\frac{1}{2} g(u) u-G(u)\right| \int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if $\frac{1}{2} g(u) u-G(u)$ is bounded or there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x)<\epsilon$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\|u\| \geq M_{1} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{e}^{|u|^{\alpha_{1}}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right) \varphi\right| \leq\|\varphi\| \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $m$ and all $\varphi \in H$, choosing $\varphi=u_{m}^{+} \in H_{+}$gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{m}^{+}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} u_{m}+c \Delta u_{m}\right) \cdot u_{m}^{+}=\int_{\Omega} a(x) g\left(u_{m}\right) u_{m}^{+} \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|a(x)\left\|g\left(u_{m}\right)\right\| u_{m}\right| \leq\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} A_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\phi\left(u_{m}\right)}\left|u_{m}\right| \\
& \leq C_{1} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{e}^{\phi\left(u_{m}\right)}\left|u_{m}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $\varphi=-u_{m}^{-}$in (2.4) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{m}^{-}\right\|^{2} & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} u_{m}+c \Delta u_{m}\right) \cdot\left(-u_{m}^{-}\right) \\
& =\int_{\Omega} a(x) g\left(u_{m}\right) \cdot\left(-u_{m}^{-}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|a(x)\left\|g\left(u_{m}\right)\right\| u_{m}\right| \\
& \leq\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{e}^{\phi\left(u_{m}\right)}\left|u_{m}\right| \\
& \leq C_{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{e}^{\phi\left(u_{m}\right)}\left|u_{m}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{m}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|u_{m}^{+}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{m}^{-}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq M_{2} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{e}^{\phi\left(u_{m}\right)}\left|u_{m}\right| \\
& \leq M_{3} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|u_{m}\right|+\left|u_{m}\right|\left(u_{m}^{2} \phi\left(u_{m}\right) u_{m}^{-2}\right)+\frac{u_{m}^{4}}{2} \phi_{u_{m}}^{2} u_{m}^{-4}+\ldots\right) \\
& \leq M_{4} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{e}^{\left|u_{m}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}} \\
& \leq M_{5}\left(1+\left\|u_{m}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left.u_{m}^{2} \phi\left(u_{m}\right) u_{m}^{-2}\right)+\frac{u_{m}^{4}}{2} \phi_{u_{m}}^{2} u_{m}^{-4}+\ldots \rightarrow 0$ as $\left|u_{m}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, from which the boundedness of $\left(u_{m}\right)$ follows. Thus $\left(u_{m}\right)$ converges weakly in $H$. Since $P_{ \pm} I^{\prime}\left(u_{m}\right)= \pm P_{ \pm} u_{m}+P_{ \pm} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}\left(u_{m}\right)$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ compact and the weak convergence of $P_{ \pm} u_{m}$ imply the strong convergence of $P_{ \pm} u_{m}$ and hence $(P S)$ condition holds.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i)

Let $H$ be a Hilbert space and let

$$
H_{k}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{k}\right\}
$$

Then $H_{k}$ is a subspace of $H$ such that

$$
H=\oplus_{k \in N} H_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad H=H_{k} \oplus H_{k}^{\perp}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{r}=\{u \in H \mid\|u\| \leq r\}, \\
Q=\left(\overline{B_{R}} \cap H_{k}\right) \oplus\{r e \mid 0<r<R\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we recall the generalized mountain pass Theorem in [9] which is a crucial role for the proof of main results:

Theorem 3.1. (Generalized Mountain Pass Theorem) Let $H=V \oplus$ $X$, where $H$ is a real Banach space and $V \neq\{0\}$ and is finite dimensional. Suppose that $I \in C^{1}(H, R)$, satisfies (P.S.) condiion, and
(i) there are constants $\rho, \alpha>0$ and a bounded neighborhood $B_{\rho}$ of 0 such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap X} \geq \alpha$, and
(ii) there is an $e \in \partial B_{1} \cap X$ and $R>\rho$ such that if $Q=\left(\overline{B_{R}} \cap V\right) \oplus\{r e \mid 0<$ $r<R\}$, then $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$.
Then I possesses a critical value $b \geq \alpha$. Moreover $b$ can be characterized as

$$
b=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in Q} I(\gamma(u)),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C(\bar{Q}, H) \mid \gamma=\text { id on } \partial Q\} .
$$

The following lemma show that $I(u)$ satisfies the generalized mountain pass geometrical assumptions:

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $\lambda_{k}<c<\lambda_{k+1}$ and $g$ satisfies $(g 1)-(g 4)$. Then
(i) there are constants $\rho>0, \alpha>0$ and a bounded neighborhood $B_{\rho}$ of 0 such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap H_{k}^{\perp}} \geq \alpha$, and
(ii) there is an $e \in \partial B_{1} \cap H_{k}^{\perp}$ and $R>\rho$ such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial Q} \leq 0$, and
(iii) there exists $u_{0} \in H$ such that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|>\rho$ and $I\left(u_{0}\right) \leq 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $u \in H_{k}^{\perp}$. Then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u\right) u d x \geq \lambda_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{k+1}-c\right)\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}>0
$$

Thus by ( $g 4$ ), (2.1) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{+} u\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{-} u\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} a(x) G(u) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{+} u\right\|^{2}-\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} C_{1}|u|^{\mu} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{+} u\right\|^{2}-\|a\|_{\infty} C_{1}^{\prime}\|u\|^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}>0$. Since $\mu>2$, there exist $\rho>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that if $u \in \partial B_{\rho}$, then $I(u) \geq \alpha$.
(ii) Let $u \in\left(\bar{B}_{r} \cap H_{k}\right) \oplus\{r e \mid 0<r\}$. Then $u=v+w, v \in B_{r} \cap H_{k}$, $w=r e$. We note that

$$
\text { if } v \in H_{k}, \quad \int_{\Omega}\left(\Delta^{2} v+c \Delta v\right) v d x \leq \lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}<0
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u) & =\frac{1}{2} r^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{-} v\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} a(x) G(v+r e) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} r^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)\right)\|v\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}-\int_{\Omega^{+}} a(x)\left(A_{1} e^{|v+r e|^{\alpha_{1}}}-B_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu>2$, there exists $R>0$ such that if $u=v+r e \in Q=\left(\overline{B_{R}} \cap\right.$ $\left.H_{k}\right) \oplus\{r e \mid 0<r<R\}$, then $I(u)<0$.
(iii) follows from (ii).

## Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i)

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, $I(u) \in C^{1}(H, \mathrm{R})$ and satisfies the PalaisSmale condition. By Lemma 3.1, there are constants $\rho>0, \alpha>0$ and a bounded neighborhood $B_{\rho}$ of 0 such that $\left.I\right|_{\partial B_{\rho} \cap H_{k}} \geq \alpha$, and there is an $e \in \partial B_{1} \cap H_{k}^{\perp}$ and $R>\rho$ such that if $u \in Q=\left(B_{R} \cap H_{k}\right) \oplus\{r e \mid 0<$ $r<R\}$, then $\left.I\right|_{u \in \partial Q}(u) \leq 0$, and there exists $u_{0} \in H$ such that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|>\rho$ and $I\left(u_{0}\right) \leq 0$. By the generalized mountain pass theorem, $I(u)$ has a critical value $b \geq \alpha$. Moreover $b$ can be characterized as

$$
b=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in Q} I(\gamma(u)),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\{\gamma \in C(\bar{Q}, H) \mid \gamma=i d \text { on } \partial Q\} .
$$

We denote by $\tilde{u}$ a critical point of $I$ such that $I(\tilde{u})=b$. We claim that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|a^{+}(x)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \tilde{u}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(1+L \int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}
$$

where $L=\max _{\Omega}\left|\frac{1}{2} g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-G(\tilde{u})\right|$.
In fact, we have

$$
b \leq \max I\left(t u_{0}\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I\left(t u_{0}\right) & =t^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{+} u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{-} u_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)-\int_{\Omega} a(x) G\left(t u_{0}\right) d x \\
& \leq t^{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x) G\left(t u_{0}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega} a^{-}(x) G\left(t u_{0}\right) d x \\
& \leq t^{2}\left\|u_{0}\right\|^{2}-a_{3} t^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x) u_{0}^{\mu}+a_{4} \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)+a_{5} t^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} a^{-}(x) u_{0}^{\mu} \\
& =C t^{2}-C t^{\mu}+C+C^{\prime} t^{\mu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \leq t \leq 1, b$ is bounded: $b<\tilde{C}$.
By (2.1), we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =I(\tilde{u})-\frac{1}{2} I^{\prime}(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} a(x)\left(\frac{1}{2} g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-G(\tilde{u})\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2} g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-G(\tilde{u})\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} a^{-}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2} g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-G(\tilde{u})\right) d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x) g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-\max _{\Omega}\left|\frac{1}{2} g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-G(\tilde{u})\right| \int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{\mu}\right) \mu \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)\left(a_{3}|\tilde{u}|^{\mu}-a_{4}\right)-L \int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L=\max _{\Omega}\left|\frac{1}{2} g(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}-G(\tilde{u})\right|$. Thus we have

$$
C\left(1+L \int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)|\tilde{u}|^{\mu}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(a^{+}(x)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}|\tilde{u}|\right)^{2}\right]^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we can conclude that $\tilde{u}$ is bounded. In fact, we suppose that $\tilde{u}$ is not bounded. Then for any $R>0,|\tilde{u}| \geq R$. Thus we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)|\tilde{u}|^{\mu} \geq R^{\mu} \int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x) d x
$$

for any $R$, which contradicts to the fact (3.1) and the proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) is completed.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1 (ii)

Assume that $\frac{1}{2} g(u) u-G(u)$ is not bounded and there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x, t)<\epsilon$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, $I \in C^{1}(H, \mathrm{R})$ and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. By Lemma 3.1 and generalized mountain pass theorem, $I(u)$ has a critical value $b$ with critical point $\tilde{u}$ such that $I(\tilde{u})=b$. If $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x$ is sufficiently small, by (3.1), we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} a^{+}(x)|\tilde{u}|^{\mu} \leq C
$$

for $C>0$, from which we can conclude that $\tilde{u}$ is bounded and the proof of Theorem 1.2(i) is completed. Next we shall prove Theorem 1.2 (ii). We may assume that $R_{n}<R_{n+1}$ for all $n \in N$. Let us set $D_{n}=B_{R_{n}} \cap H_{n}$, $\partial D_{n}=\partial B_{R_{n}} \cap H_{n}$.

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $g$ satisfies ( $g 1)-(g 4)$. Then there exists an $R_{n}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \leq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad u \in H_{n} \backslash B_{R_{n}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{R_{n}}=\left\{u \in H \mid\|u\| \leq R_{n}\right\}$.
Proof. Let us choose $\psi \in H$ such that $\|\psi\|=1, \psi \geq 0$ in $\Omega$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\psi) \subset \Omega^{+}$. Then, by ( $g 3$ ), (2.1) and the Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t \psi) & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{+} t \psi\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{-} t \psi\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega} a(x) G(t \psi) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2}-\|a\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} C_{1} t^{\mu} \psi^{\mu}+\|a\|_{\infty} C_{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2}-t^{\mu}\|a\|_{\infty} C_{1}^{\prime} \psi^{\mu}+\|a\|_{\infty} C_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $C_{1}, C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}>0$. Since $\mu>2$, there exist $t_{n}$ great enough for each $n$ and an $R_{n}>0$ such that $u_{n}=t_{n} \psi$ and $I\left(u_{n}\right)<0$ if $u_{n} \in H_{n} \backslash B_{R_{n}}$ and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|>R_{n}$, so the lemma is proved

Let us set

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], H) \mid \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } \gamma(1)=u_{n}\right\}
$$

and

$$
b_{n}=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n}} \max _{[0,1]} I(\gamma(u)) \quad n \in N .
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii)

We assume that $g(u) u-\mu G(u)$ is not bounded and there exists an $\epsilon>$ 0 such that $\int_{\Omega^{-}} a^{-}(x) d x<\epsilon$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma $2.2, I \in$ $C^{1}(H, R)$ and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. By Lemma 4.1, there exists an $R_{n}>0$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \leq 0$ for $u_{n} \in H_{n} \backslash B_{R_{n}}$. We note that $I(0)=0$. By Lemma 4.1 and the generalized mountain pass theorem, for $n$ large enough, $b_{n}>0$ is a critical value of $I$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=+\infty$. Let $\tilde{u_{n}}$ be a critical point of $I$ such that $I\left(\tilde{u_{n}}\right)=b_{n}$. Then for each real number $M, \max _{\Omega}\left|\tilde{u_{n}}(x)\right| \geq M$. In fact, by contradiction, $\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=$ $a(x) g(u)$ and $\max _{\Omega}\left|\tilde{u}_{n}(x)\right| \leq K$ imply that

$$
\left.I\left(\tilde{u_{n}}\right) \leq \max _{\left|\tilde{u_{n}}\right| \leq K}\left(\frac{1}{2} g\left(\tilde{u_{n}}\right) \tilde{u_{n}}-G\left(\tilde{u_{n}}\right)\right) \int_{\Omega}| | a(x) \right\rvert\,,
$$

which means that $b_{n}$ is bounded. This is absurd to the fact that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=$ $+\infty$. Thus we complete the proof.
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