

**EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR GENERALIZED  
NONLINEAR VARIATIONAL-LIKE INEQUALITY PROBLEMS  
IN BANACH SPACES<sup>†</sup>**

JAE UG JEONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study a new class of generalized nonlinear variational-like inequalities in reflexive Banach spaces. By using the KKM technique and the concept of the Hausdorff metric, we obtain some existence results for generalized nonlinear variational-like inequalities with generalized monotone multi-valued mappings in Banach spaces. These results improve and generalize many known results in recent literature.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 49A29, 49J40, 49D37, 90C30.

*Key words and phrases:* Variational-like inequality, Generalized monotone multi-valued mapping, KKM mapping, Hausdorff metric, Reflexive Banach space.

### 1. Introduction

Variational inequality theory provides techniques to solve a variety of applied problems in fluid flow through porous media, elasticity, optimization, nonlinear programming, economics, transportation and engineering (see [4,7,8]).

It is well known that the KKM technique has played a very important role in the study of many fields such as optimization, mathematical programming problems, equilibrium problems, game theory, variational inequality theory and so on (see [6,9,10]).

In 1997, by using the KKM technique, Konnov and Yao proved in Ref.[10] some results about the existence of solutions for vector variational inequalities with  $C_x$ -pseudomonotone multi-valued mappings. In 1999, Chen [1] obtained the existence of solutions for a class of variational inequalities with semi-monotone single-valued mappings in nonreflexive Banach spaces. In 2003, Fang and Huang [6] considered two classes of variational-like inequalities with generalized monotone and semi-monotone mappings. Utilizing the KKM technique they proved

---

Received March 31, 2011. Revised June 27, 2011. Accepted June 28, 2011.

<sup>†</sup>This paper was supported by Dong Eui University Grant (2011AA087).

© 2011 Korean SIGCAM and KSCAM.

the existence of solutions for these variational-like inequalities with relaxed  $\eta$ - $\alpha$ -monotone mappings in reflexive Banach spaces.

In this paper, we introduce and study a new class of generalized variational-like inequality problem with generalized monotone multi-valued mappings. By applying the KKM technique and the concept of the Hausdorff metric, we establish some existence results for generalized variational-like inequalities with generalized monotone multi-valued mappings in reflexive Banach spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ , let  $E$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , let  $E^*$  be the topological dual space of  $E$  and let  $(u, v)$  be the pairing between  $u \in E^*$  and  $v \in E$ . Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ . Let the functional  $b : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the following conditions:

- (2a) for each  $u \in K$ ,  $b(u, \cdot)$  is a convex functional,  
 (2b)  $b(u, v)$  is bounded, that is, there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$b(u, v) \leq \gamma \|u\| \|v\|, \quad \forall u, v \in K,$$

- (2c) for all  $u, v, w \in D$

$$b(u, v) - b(u, w) \leq b(u, v - w).$$

**Remark 2.1.** In view of (2b) and (2c), we know that

$$\begin{aligned} b(u, v) - b(u, w) &\leq b(u, v - w) \\ &\leq \gamma \|u\| \|v - w\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b(u, w) - b(u, v) &\leq b(u, w - v) \\ &\leq \gamma \|u\| \|w - v\| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u, v, w \in K$ . That is,

$$|b(u, v) - b(u, w)| \leq \gamma \|u\| \|v - w\|, \quad \forall u, v, w \in K. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\Psi : K \times K \times E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be functionals and let  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ ,  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  be mappings. Now we consider the following generalized nonlinear variational-like inequality problems with generalized monotone multi-valued mappings: Find  $x \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$  there exists  $s \in Tx$  satisfying

$$\Psi(y, x; As) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $b$  satisfies (2a)-(2c).

### Special cases

(I) If  $\Psi(x, y, z^*) = \langle z^*, \eta(x, y) \rangle$ ,  $T$  is single-valued and  $Ax = N(Sx, Bx) - w^*$  for a given  $w^* \in E^*$ , where  $N : E^* \times E^* \rightarrow E^*$ ,  $S, B : K \rightarrow E^*$ ,  $\eta : K \times K \rightarrow E$

are four mappings, then problem (2.2) reduces the following nonlinear mixed variational-like inequality problem: find  $x \in K$  such that

$$\langle N(Sx, Bx) - w^*, \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K. \quad (2.3)$$

The problem (2.3) is introduced and studied by Ding [3].

(II) If  $N(Sx, Bx) = Sx - Bx$  for all  $x \in K$ , then problem (2.3) reduces to the following variational-like inequality problem: find  $x \in K$  such that

$$\langle Sx - Bx - w^*, \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in K. \quad (2.4)$$

The problem (2.4) with  $w^* = 0$  is introduced and studied by Ding [2] in reflexive Banach spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of a Banach space  $E$  with the dual space  $E^*$ . Let  $\Psi : K \times K \times E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be functionals and let  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$ ,  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  be mappings. Then

(1)  $T$  is called generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$  if for any  $x, y \in K$  we have

$$\Psi(y, x; At) - \Psi(y, x; As) \geq \alpha(x, y)$$

for each  $s \in Tx$  and  $t \in Ty$ , where  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\alpha(x, x+t(y-x))}{t} = 0$ .

(2)  $\Psi$  is  $b$ -coercive with respect to  $T$  and  $A$  if there exists  $y_0 \in K$  such that

$$\lim_{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{s \in Tx} \frac{\Psi(x, y_0; As) - \Psi(x, y_0; At_0) + b(x, x) - b(x, y_0)}{|\Psi(x, y_0; At_0)|} = +\infty$$

for some  $t_0 \in Ty_0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If  $\Psi(x, y; z^*) = \langle z^*, \eta(x, y) \rangle$  for each  $(x, y, z^*) \in K \times K \times E^*$ ,  $A = I$  is the identity mapping of  $E^*$ ,  $T$  is single-valued and  $\alpha(x, y) = \beta(y - x)$ , where  $\beta : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\beta(\lambda z) = \lambda^p \beta(z)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $p > 1$ , then the generalized  $\alpha$ -monotonicity of mapping  $T$  reduces to relaxed  $\eta$ - $\alpha$  monotonicity of mapping  $T$  (see [6]).

**Example 2.1.** Let  $K = (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $Tx = \{-x, x\}$ ,  $Ax = x$ ,  $\Psi(y, x; At) = \langle -At, \eta(x, y) \rangle$  and

$$\eta(x, y) = \begin{cases} -c(x - y) & \text{if } x \geq y, \\ c(x - y) & \text{if } x < y \end{cases}$$

for every  $x, y, t \in K$ , where  $c > 0$  is a constant. It is easy to check that  $T$  is generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$  with  $\alpha(x, y) = -c\|x - y\|^2$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

**Lemma 2.1([11]).** Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed vector space and  $H$  be a Hausdorff metric on  $CB(E)$ , the family of all closed and bounded subsets of  $E$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are two members in  $CB(E)$ , then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $x \in A$ , there exists

$y \in B$  such that

$$\|x - y\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)H(A, B).$$

In particular, if  $A$  and  $B$  are any two compact subsets in  $E$ , then for each  $x \in A$ , there exists  $y \in B$  such that

$$\|x - y\| \leq H(A, B).$$

**Lemma 2.2**([5]). *Let  $K$  be a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$  and let  $F : K \rightarrow 2^E$  be a KKM mapping. If  $F(x)$  is closed in  $E$  for every  $x$  in  $K$  and is compact for some  $x \in K$ , then  $\bigcap_{x \in K} F(x) \neq \emptyset$ .*

### 3. Main results

In this section, we suppose always that  $E$  is a real reflexive Banach space with the dual space  $E^*$  and  $K$  is a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  be a nonempty compact-valued mapping such that for any  $x, y \in K$*

$$H(T(x + \lambda(y - x)), Tx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where  $H$  is the Hausdorff metric defined on  $CB(E^*)$ . Assume that:

- (i)  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is a continuous mapping;
- (ii)  $b : K \times K \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfies conditions (2a), (2b) and (2c);
- (iii)  $\Psi(x, \cdot, \cdot) : K \times E^* \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in K$ ;
- (iv)  $\Psi(x, y; z^*) + \Psi(y, x; z^*) = 0$  for each  $(x, y, z^*) \in K \times K \times E^*$ ;
- (v)  $\Psi(\cdot, y; At)$  is a convex functional on  $K$  for each  $y \in K$  and  $t \in Ty$ ;
- (vi)  $T$  is generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$ .

Then the following problems (3.1) and (3.2) are equivalent:

- (1) Find  $x \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$ , there exists  $s \in Tx$  satisfying

$$\Psi(y, x; As) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

- (2) Find  $x \in K$  such that

$$\Psi(y, x; At) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq \alpha(x, y), \quad \forall y \in K, \quad t \in Tx. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in K$  be a solution of problem (3.1), i.e., for any  $y \in K$  there is  $s_0 \in Tx_0$  satisfying

$$\Psi(y, x_0; As_0) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \geq 0.$$

Since  $T$  is generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(y, x_0; At) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \\ & \leq \Psi(y, x_0; As_0) + \alpha(x_0, y) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \\ & \leq \alpha(x_0, y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x_0 \in K$  is a solution of problem (3.2).

Conversely, let  $x_0 \in K$  be a solution of problem (3.2), i.e.,

$$\Psi(y, x_0; At) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \geq \alpha(x_0, y), \quad \forall y \in K, t \in Ty.$$

Let  $y_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda y, t \in (0, 1)$ . Then  $y_\lambda \in K$ . Since  $x_0 \in K$  is a solution of problem (3.2), it follows that for all  $t_\lambda \in Ty_\lambda$

$$\Psi(y_\lambda, x_0; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, y_\lambda) - b(x_0, x_0) \geq \alpha(x_0, y_\lambda). \tag{3.3}$$

Conditions (ii) and (v) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Psi(y_\lambda, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, y_\lambda) - b(x_0, y_\lambda) \\ &= \Psi((1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda y, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, (1 - \lambda)x_0 + \lambda y) - b(x_0, y_\lambda) \\ &\leq (1 - \lambda)\Psi(x_0, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + \lambda\Psi(y, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + (1 - \lambda)b(x_0, x_0) \\ &\quad + \lambda b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, y_\lambda) \\ &= \lambda\Psi(y, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + \lambda b(x_0, y) - \lambda b(x_0, y_\lambda) + (1 - \lambda)\Psi(x_0, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda)b(x_0, x_0) - (1 - \lambda)b(x_0, y_\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from condition (iv) and (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi(y, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, y_\lambda) \\ &\leq \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} [-\Psi(x_0, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, y_\lambda) - b(x_0, x_0)] \\ &= \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} [\Psi(y_\lambda, x_0; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, y_\lambda) - b(x_0, x_0)] \\ &\geq \frac{1 - \lambda}{\lambda} \alpha(x_0, y_\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

By Lemma 2.1, for each  $t_\lambda \in Ty_\lambda$  we can find an  $s_\lambda \in Tx_0$  such that

$$\|t_\lambda - s_\lambda\| \leq H(Ty_\lambda, Tx_0).$$

Since  $Tx_0$  is compact, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$s_\lambda \rightarrow s_0 \in Tx_0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Since  $H(Ty_\lambda, Tx_0) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_\lambda - s_0\| &\leq \|t_\lambda - s_\lambda\| + \|s_\lambda - s_0\| \\ &\leq H(Ty_\lambda, Tx_0) + \|s_\lambda - s_0\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $t_\lambda \rightarrow s_0$ . Since  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is continuous and  $b : K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous in the second argument,  $At_\lambda \rightarrow As_0$  and  $b(x_0, y_\lambda) \rightarrow b(x_0, x_0)$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0^+$ . It

follows from Definition 2.1 and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(y, x_0; As_0) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, x_0) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} [\Psi(y, y_\lambda; At_\lambda) + b(x_0, y) - b(x_0, y_\lambda)] \\ &\geq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\alpha(x_0, y_\lambda)}{\lambda} (1 - \lambda) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x_0 \in K$  is also a solution of problem (3.1).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  be a nonempty compact-valued mapping such that for any  $x, y \in K$*

$$H(T(x + \lambda(y - x)), Tx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where  $H$  is the Hausdorff metric defined on  $CB(E^*)$ . Assume that:

- (i)  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is a continuous mapping;
- (ii)  $b : K \times K \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  satisfies conditions (2a), (2b) and (2c);
- (iii)  $\eta(x, \cdot) : K \rightarrow E$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in K$ ;
- (iv)  $\eta(x, y) + \eta(y, x) = 0$  for each  $(x, y) \in K \times K$ ;
- (v)  $\langle At, \eta(\cdot, y) \rangle : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex functional on  $K$  for each  $y \in K$  and  $t \in Ty$ ;
- (vi)  $T$  is generalized  $\eta$ - $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $A$ .

Then the following problems (3.5) and (3.6) are equivalent:

- (1) Find  $x \in K$  such that for each  $y \in K$  there exists  $s \in Tx$  satisfying

$$\langle As, \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

- (2) Find  $x \in K$  such that

$$\langle At, \eta(y, x) \rangle + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq \alpha(x, y), \quad \forall y \in K, \quad t \in Tx. \quad (3.6)$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space  $E$  and let  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  be a nonempty compact-valued mapping such that for any  $x, y \in K$*

$$H(T(x + \lambda(y - x)), Tx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where  $H$  is the Hausdorff metric defined on  $CB(E^*)$ . Assume that

- (i)  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is a continuous mapping;
- (ii)  $b : K \times K \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfies conditions (2a), (2b) and (2c);
- (iii)  $\Psi(x, \cdot, \cdot) : K \times E^* \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in K$ ;
- (iv)  $\Psi(x, y, z^*) + \Psi(y, x; z^*) = 0$  for each  $(x, y, z^*) \in K \times K \times E^*$ ;
- (v)  $\Psi(\cdot, y; At)$  is a convex and lower semicontinuous functional on  $K$  for each fixed  $y \in K$  and  $t \in Ty$ ;
- (vi)  $T$  is generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$ ;
- (vii)  $\alpha(\cdot, y)$  is weakly lower semicontinuous for each fixed  $y \in K$ .

Then problem (3.1) has a solution.

*Proof.* Define two set-valued mappings  $F, G : K \rightarrow 2^K$  as follows:

$$F(y) = \{x \in K : \text{there exists } s \in Tx \text{ such that } \Psi(y, x; As) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0\}, \quad \forall y \in K,$$

$$G(y) = \{x \in K : \Psi(y, x; At) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq \alpha(x, y), \forall t \in Ty\}, \quad \forall y \in K.$$

We claim first that  $F$  is a KKM mapping.

If  $F$  is not a KKM mapping, then there exist  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} \subset K$  and  $\lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$  such that  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i \notin \cup_{i=1}^n F(y_i)$ . By the definition of  $F$ , we have

$$\Psi(y_i, y; As) + b(y, y_i) - b(y, y) < 0, \quad \forall s \in Ty \tag{3.7}$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows from (ii), (v) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Psi(y, y; As) + b(y, y) - b(y, y) \\ &= \Psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i, y; As\right) + b\left(y, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i\right) - b(y, y) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \Psi(y_i, y; As) + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b(y, y_i) - b(y, y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i [\Psi(y_i, y; As) + b(y, y_i) - b(y, y)] \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is contradiction. This implies that  $F$  is a KKM mapping.

Now we prove that  $F(y) \subset G(y)$  for all  $y$  in  $K$ .

For any given  $y$  in  $K$ , letting  $x \in F(y)$ , then there exists  $s \in Tx$  such that

$$\Psi(y, x; As) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0.$$

Since  $T$  is generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi(y, x; At) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \\ &\geq \Psi(y, x; As) + \alpha(x, y) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \\ &\geq \alpha(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $x \in G(y)$  and so  $F(y) \subset G(y)$  for all  $y \in K$ . This implies that  $G$  is also a KKM mapping. From the assumptions we know that  $G(y)$  is weakly closed for all  $y$  in  $K$ .

In fact, since  $x \mapsto \Psi(x, y, At)$  is lower semicontinuous for each fixed  $y \in K$  and  $t \in Ty$  and  $b$  is continuous in the second argument, we known that they are both weakly lower semicontinuous. From the definition of  $G$  and the weakly

lower semicontinuity of  $\alpha$  we obtain that for all  $y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} G(y) &= \{x \in K : \Psi(y, x; At) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq \alpha(x, y), \forall t \in Ty\} \\ &= \{x \in K : \Psi(x, y; At) + b(x, x) - b(x, y) + \alpha(x, y) \leq 0, \forall t \in Ty\} \end{aligned}$$

is weakly closed. Since  $K$  is a bounded closed and convex subset of  $E$ , we know from the reflexivity of  $E$  that  $K$  is weakly compact in  $K$  and so  $G(y)$  is weakly compact in  $K$  for each  $y \in K$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1 that

$$\bigcap_{y \in K} F(y) = \bigcap_{y \in K} G(y) \neq \phi.$$

Hence there exists  $x \in K$  such that for any  $y \in K$  there is  $s \in Tx$  satisfying

$$\Psi(y, x; As) + b(x, y) - b(x, x) \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space  $E$  and let  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  be a nonempty compact-valued mapping such that for any  $x, y \in K$*

$$H(T(x + \lambda(y - x)), Tx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where  $H$  is a Hausdorff metric defined on  $CB(E^*)$ . Assume that

- (i)  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is a continuous mapping;
- (ii)  $b : K \times K \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfies conditions (2a), (2b) and (2c);
- (iii)  $\eta(x, \cdot) : K \rightarrow E$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in K$ ;
- (iv)  $\eta(x, y) + \eta(y, x) = 0$  for each  $(x, y) \in K \times K$ ;
- (v)  $\langle At, \eta(\cdot, y) \rangle : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex and lower semicontinuous functional on  $K$  for each fixed  $y \in K$  and  $t \in Ty$ ;
- (vi)  $T$  is generalized  $\eta$ - $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $A$ ;
- (vii)  $\alpha(\cdot, y)$  is weakly semicontinuous for each fixed  $y \in K$ .

Then problem (3.3) has a solution.

Now we consider the case of unbounded closed convex domains.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty unbounded closed convex subset of a real reflexive Banach space  $E$  and let  $T : K \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  be a nonempty compact-valued mapping such that for any  $x, y \in K$*

$$H(T(x + \lambda(y - x)), Tx) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where  $H$  is a Hausdorff metric defined on  $CB(E^*)$ . Assume that:

- (i)  $A : E^* \rightarrow E^*$  is a continuous mapping;
- (ii)  $b : K \times K \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  satisfies conditions (2a), (2b) and (2c);
- (iii)  $\Psi(x, \cdot, \cdot) : K \times E^* \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  is continuous for each fixed  $x \in K$ ;
- (iv)  $\Psi(x, y, z^*) + \Psi(y, x, z^*) = 0$  for each  $(x, y, z^*) \in K \times K \times E^*$ ;
- (v)  $\Psi(\cdot, y, At)$  is a convex and lower semicontinuous functional on  $K$  for each fixed  $y \in K$  and  $t \in Ty$ ;
- (vi)  $\Psi$  is  $b$ -coercive with respect to  $T$  and  $A$ ;
- (vii)  $T$  is generalized  $\alpha$ -monotone with respect to  $\Psi$  and  $A$ ;



(viii)  $\alpha(\cdot, y)$  is weakly lower semicontinuous for each fixed  $y \in K$ .  
 Then problem (3.1) has a solution.

*Proof.* Let  $B_r = \{y \in E : \|y\| \geq r\}$ . Consider the following problem: Find  $x_r \in K \cap B_r$  such that for any  $y \in K \cap B_r$  there is  $s_r \in Tx_r$  satisfying

$$\Psi(y, x_r; As_r) + b(x_r, y) - b(x_r, x_r) \geq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we know that problem (3.6) has a solution  $x_r \in K \cap B_r$ . Choose  $r > \|y_0\|$ , where  $y_0$  is given by the  $b$ -coercivity condition of  $\Psi$  with respect to  $T$  and  $A$ . Then we have from (3.6) that

$$\Psi(y_0, x_r; As_r) + b(x_r, y_0) - b(x_r, x_r) \geq 0 \tag{3.7}$$

for some  $s_r \in Tx_r$ . Moreover, by condition (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi(y_0, x_r; As_r) + b(x_r, y_0) - b(x_r, x_r) \\ &= -\Psi(x_r, y_0; As_r) + b(x_r, y_0) - b(x_r, x_r) \\ &= -[\Psi(x_r, y_0; As_r) - \Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0) + b(x_r, x_r) - b(x_r, y_0)] \\ & \quad + \Psi(y_0, x_r; At_0) \\ &\leq -[\Psi(x_r, y_0; As_r) - \Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0) + b(x_r, x_r) - b(x_r, y_0)] \\ & \quad + |\Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0)| \\ &= -|\Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0)| \left[ \frac{\Psi(x_r, y_0; As_r) - \Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0) + b(x_r, x_r) - b(x_r, y_0)}{|\Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0)|} - 1 \right] \\ &\leq -|\Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0)| \left[ \inf_{s \in Tx_r} \frac{\Psi(x_r, y_0; As) - \Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0) + b(x_r, x_r) - b(x_r, y_0)}{|\Psi(x_r, y_0; At_0)|} - 1 \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for some  $t_0 \in Ty_0$ . Now, if  $\|x_r\| = r$  for all  $r$ , we may choose  $r$  large enough so that (3.8) and the  $b$ -coercivity of  $\Psi$  with respect to  $T$  and  $A$  imply that

$$\Psi(y_0, x_r; As_r) + b(x_r, y_0) - b(x_r, x_r) < 0,$$

which contradicts (3.7). Hence there exists  $r$  such that  $\|x_r\| < r$ . For any  $y \in K$  we can choose  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  small enough such that

$$x_r + \varepsilon(y - x_r) \in K \cap B_r.$$

It follows from (3.8), (ii) and (v) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \Psi(x_r + \varepsilon(y - x_r), x_r; As_r) + b(x_r, x_r + \varepsilon(y - x_r)) - b(x_r, x_r) \\ &\leq \varepsilon\Psi(y, x_r, As_r) + (1 - \varepsilon)\Psi(x_r, x_r; As_r) + \varepsilon b(x_r, y) \\ & \quad + (1 - \varepsilon)b(x_r, x_r) - b(x_r, x_r) \\ &= \varepsilon[\Psi(y, x_r, As_r) + b(x_r, y) - b(x_r, x_r)]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\Psi(y, x_r; As_r) + b(x_r, y) - b(x_r, x_r) \geq 0$$

for all  $y \in K$ . So,  $x_r \in K$  is a solution of problem (3.1). □

**Remark 3.1.** (i) It is not necessary that  $b$  is linear in the first argument in Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

(ii) Theorem 3.2 improves and generalizes Theorem 3.1 of Ding [2] and the corresponding results of [3,12-14].

#### REFERENCES

1. Y. Q. Chen, *On the semimonotone operator theory and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **231**(1999), 177-192.
2. X. P. Ding, *General algorithm for nonlinear variational-like inequalities in reflexive Banach spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **29**(2)(1998), 109-120.
3. X. P. Ding, *Existence and algorithm of solutions for nonlinear mixed variational-like inequalities in Banach spaces*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **157**(2003), 419-434.
4. G. Duvant and J. Lions, *Inequalities in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1976.
5. K. Fan, *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Math. Ann. **142**(1961), 305-310.
6. Y. P. Fang and N. J. Huang, *Variational-like inequalities with generalized monotone mappings in Banach spaces*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **118**(3)(2003), 327-337.
7. R. Glowinski, J. Lions and R. Tremolieres, *Numerical Analysis of Variational Inequalities*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
8. D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
9. I. V. Konnov and S. Schaible, *Duality for equilibrium problems under generalized monotonicity*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **104**(2)(2000), 395-408.
10. I. V. Konnov and J. C. Yao, *On the generalized vector variational inequality problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **206**(1997), 42-58.
11. S. B. Nadler Jr., *Multivalued contraction mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **30**(1969), 475-488.
12. M. A. Noor, *General algorithm and sensitivity analysis for variational inequalities*, J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal. **5**(1)(1992), 29-42.
13. J. Parida, M. Sahoo and A. Kumar, *A variational-like inequality problem*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **39**(1989), 225-231.
14. J. C. Yao, *Existence of generalized variational inequalities*, Oper. Res. Lett. **15**(1994), 35-40.

**Jae Ug Jeong** received his Ph.D from Gyeongsang National University in 1991 and became Professor at Donggeui University in 1991. He taught analysis, differential equations, nonlinear analysis and measure theory. His main research interests include nonlinear analysis, fixed point and variational inequality.

Department of Mathematics, Donggeui University, Busan 614-714, South Korea.

e-mail: jujeong@deu.ac.kr