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The authors report a case of atypical extraventricular neurocytoma (EVN) transformed from EVN which had been initially diagnosed as an oligodendroglioma 15 years ago. An 8-year-old boy underwent a surgical resection for a right frontal mass which was initially diagnosed as oligodendroglioma. When the tumor recurred 15 years later, a secondary operation was performed, followed by salvage gamma knife treatment. The recurrent tumor was diagnosed as an atypical EVN. The initial specimen was reviewed and immunohistochemistry revealed a strong positivity for synaptophysin. The diagnosis of the initial tumor was revised as an EVN. The patient maintained a stable disease state for 15 years after the first operation, and was followed up for one year without any complications or disease progression after the second operation. We diagnosed an atypical extraventricular neurocytoma transformed from EVN which had been initially diagnosed as an oligodendroglioma 15 years earlier. We emphasize that EVN should be included in the differential diagnosis of oligodendroglioma.
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Pathologic examination revealed mitosis in 2 of 10 high power fields and electron microscopy of the ultrathin sections showed round to oval neoplastic cells. Tumor cell nuclei were relatively uniform with margined chromatin, and cytoplasmic organelles were sparse and include a few strands of rough endoplasmic reticulum cisternae, dense bodies and glycogen particles. There were abundant cell processes containing neurosecretory-type dense granules, microtubules and glycogen particles with well developed synapses with accompanying synaptic vesicles (Fig. 2). Fluorescence in situ hybridization showed that chromosomes 1p and 19q were intact. Immunohistochemistry revealed a high Ki-67 labeling index of 16.8%. The pathologic result was consistent with atypical extra-ventricular neurocytoma. To confirm the previous pathologic diagnosis, we re-examined the specimen taken from the first operation. Immunohistochemistry revealed a strong immunoreactivity for synaptophysin which was consistent with EVN.

The patient recovered without any neurologic deficits after surgery. Follow-up MR images showed residual tumor in the right frontal lobe, which was treated with gamma knife. Follow-up brain MR images taken at 12 months after gamma knife radiosurgery showed no further growth of the residual tumor and the patient lived well without any adverse effect.

DISCUSSION

EVN is a tumor of the central nervous system that rarely occurs in young
people. This tumor is usually located in the periventricular parenchyma with benign nature. In 1997, Giangaspero et al. reported the first case of a tumor that mimicked central neurocytoma but was located in the extraventricular area. The 2007 WHO classification included EVN as a brain tumor entity to distinguish it from intraventricular neurocytoma. It is a diagnostic challenge to differentiate oligodendroglioma from EVN because of its similarities in clinical and histological findings. Sgouros et al. have shown that tumors previously diagnosed as oligodendroglioma can be reclassified as central neurocytomas, a new entity. Perry et al. have suggested a potential histogenetic link between oligodendroglioma and EVN. EVN and oligodendroglioma share common histological features such as round, regular nuclei with clear cytoplasm, although ganglion-like differentiation is more common in EVN. Immunohistochemistry is needed to differentiate EVN from oligodendroglioma. EVN shows a strong immunoreactivity for synaptophysin in the neoropil and perinuclear cytoplasm, whereas oligodendroglioma usually shows negative reactions. Perry et al. reported that chromosome 1p loss occurs in 40-92% of the tumor, and 19q loss in 50-80%. Loss of chromosome 1p/19q has not been detected in EVN, which provides a clue to differentiate it from oligodendrogliomas. Fujisawa et al. mentioned that allelic loss on 1p and 19q can be useful for making a differential diagnosis. In our case, the patient was first diagnosed with a oligodendroglioma by light microscopic examination and underwent subtotal resection without additional treatment. He survived for 15 years without neurological deficits and maintained a stable disease state without adjuvant therapy. Follow-up MR imaging showed a recurrent tumor just beside the pre-existing residual mass in the right frontal area. Because histological findings were consistent with atypical EVN, immunohistochemistry was performed, which revealed a strong immunoreactivity for synaptophysin, being consistent with EVN. It is thought that the differential diagnosis between EVN and oligodendroglioma was not established and the tumor resected in 1993 under a misdiagnosis of oligodendroglioma. We concluded that the tumor was actually EVN and the residual mass underwent malignant transformation to atypical EVN after 15 years. Sakurada et al. reported a case of EVN that was initially diagnosed as oligodendroglioma but was later diagnosed as EVN from the surgical specimen taken at the second operation for the tumor which recurred 25 years later. When the surgical specimen taken at the first operation was reexamined, the specimen was consistent with EVN. Although his patient survived for 25 years, she died 4 months after the second operation. In our case, the patient maintained a stable disease state for 15 years after the first operation, and was followed up for 1 year without any complications and disease progression after the second operation. The neurocytoma, which exhibited a MIb-1 labeling index of >2%, or atypical histological features, increased mitosis, focal necrosis and vascular proliferation, was classified as atypical. This is the first reported case of an EVN with malignant transformation into atypical EVN.

The initial diagnosis of oligodendroglioma was made without immunohistochemistry which may have been helpful in differentiating between oligodendroglioma and EVN. Therefore, immunohistochemistry should be performed in cases which require differential diagnosis of these two entities.

The treatment of atypical neurocytoma is not well established. Rades et al. have documented that complete resection would provide better local control and survival rates than incomplete resection and that local control and survival rates are improved with postoperative radiation therapy in cases of incomplete resection. Since there have been only a few reports on the outcomes of chemotherapy in patients with atypical neurocytoma, the therapeutic effect of chemotherapy remains uncertain. In our case, complete resection was not performed because of the possibility of injury to the basal ganglia and internal capsule. To obtain a better local control and a higher survival rate, additional gamma knife (GK) stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) was performed. Although central neurocytoma (CN) has benign biological behavior, conventional radiotherapy has been effective to control residual CN after surgery. However, Some authors criticized conventional radiotherapy could induce delayed complication. Rades and Schild reported the outcome of CN after incomplete resection followed by conventional radiotherapy or GK SRS. They concluded that the GK SRS could be the alternative treatment option for the residual CN. Kim et al. reported the long-term outcome of GK SRSs with CN. They treated CN with GK SRS as a primary or a secondary postoperative therapy. The GK SRS could be a primary or secondary postoperative treatment, and especially, it could be a initial treatment for the CN in a small size mass that the longitudinal diameter was below 3 cm. EVN has the same pathologic feature with CN, so GK SRS could be a attractive treatment option of EVN in a small size.

CONCLUSION

EVN is a benign tumor that is difficult to diagnose because it shares common clinical and histologic features with oligodendroglioma. There is the possibility that EVN was misdiagnosed in the past as oligodendroglioma because diagnostic tools were underdeveloped and the disease entity of EVN was not clearly established. Since these two tumors have different prognoses and treatment outcomes, their differential diagnosis is very important to establish an appropriate treatment plan. Immunohistochemistry plays an important role in the differential diagnosis between EVN and oligodendroglioma.
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