# OSCILLATORY AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SECOND ORDER NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITY WITH PERTURBATION 

QUANXIN ZHANG*, XIA SONG


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of a class of second order nonlinear differential inequality with perturbation and establish several theorems by using classification and analysis, which develop and generalize some known results.
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## 1. Introduction

The oscillation for the following second order nonlinear differential equation with damping

$$
\left(a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}(t)+q(t) f(x(t))=0, \quad{ }^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d t}
$$

has been studied in $[1,2]$, and several theorems about the oscillation have been established. In this paper, we discuss the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of the following second order nonlinear differential inequality with perturbation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)\left\{\left(a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+Q(t, x(t))+P\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)\right\} \leq 0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under some conditions, by using classification and analysis, we establish four oscillatory and asymptotic theorems, which generalize and develop the results of [1-3].

For Iq.(1), assume that:
$\left(A_{1}\right) \quad a:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is continuously differentiable;
$\left(A_{2}\right) \quad \psi: R \rightarrow R$ is continuously differentiable, and $\psi(u)>0$ for $u \neq 0$;

[^0]$\left(A_{3}\right) \quad Q:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \times R \rightarrow R$ is continuous function, and there exist continuous function $q$ and continuously differentiable function $f$ : where $q:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow$ $(0,+\infty), f: R \rightarrow R, u f(u)>0, f^{\prime}(u)>0, u \neq 0$, such that $Q(t, x) / f(x) \geq$ $q(t), x \neq 0$;
$\left(A_{4}\right) \quad P:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \times R^{2} \rightarrow R$ is continuous function, and there exists continuous function $p:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow R$ such that $x(t) P\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq x(t) p(t) x^{\prime}(t), x \neq$ 0.

In this paper, we assume that each solution $x$ of Iq.(1) can be extended to $\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)$. A solution is said to be regular if there exists $t$ on arbitrary interval $[T,+\infty)$, such that $x(t) \neq 0$. A regular solution is said to be oscillatory, if it has arbitrarily large zeros; otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory. A nonoscillatory solution $x$ of Iq.(1) is said to be weakly oscillatory if $x^{\prime}(t)$ changes sign for arbitrarily large values of $t$. Iq.(1) is called oscillatory if all its regular solutions are oscillatory.

With respect to their asymptotic behavior, all the regular solutions of Iq.(1) can be divided into the following classes:
$S^{+}=\left\{x=x(t):\right.$ regular solution of Iq.(1): there exists $t_{x} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t) x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $\left.t \geq t_{x}\right\}$;
$S^{-}=\left\{x=x(t):\right.$ regular solution of Iq.(1): there exists $t_{x} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t) x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $\left.t \geq t_{x}\right\}$;
$S^{O}=\left\{x=x(t)\right.$ : regular solution of Iq.(1): there exists $\left\{t_{n}\right\}, t_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$, such that $\left.x\left(t_{n}\right)=0\right\}$;
$S^{W O}=\{x=x(t):$ regular solution of Iq.(1): $x(t) \neq 0$ for $t$ sufficiently large, and for all $t_{\alpha}>t_{0}$ there exists $t_{\alpha_{1}}>t_{\alpha}, t_{\alpha_{2}}>t_{\alpha}$ such that $\left.x^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha_{1}}\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha_{2}}\right)<0\right\}$.

It is easy to prove that $S^{+}, S^{-}, S^{O}, S^{W O}$ are mutually disjoint. By the above definitions, it turns out that solutions in the class $S^{+}$are eventually either positive increasing or negative decreasing, solutions in the class $S^{-}$are eventually either positive nonincreasing or negative nondecreasing, solutions in the class $S^{O}$ are oscillatory, and finally, solutions in the class $S^{W O}$ are weakly oscillatory.

## 2. Main Results

Lemma 1. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>0$, $x \neq 0$. Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, and for sufficiently large $T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{T}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \geq 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Then $S^{W O}=\varnothing$ for Iq.(1).
Proof. Suppose that Iq.(1) has a solution $x \in S^{W O}$, without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, such that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ ( for $x(t)<0$, the proof is similar $)$. Then for all $t_{\alpha}>t_{1}$, there exists $t_{\alpha_{1}}>t_{\alpha}$, $t_{\alpha_{2}}>t_{\alpha}$, such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha_{1}}\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha_{2}}\right)<0$. Hence there exists a sequence $\left\{C_{n}\right\} \rightarrow$
$+\infty$, such that $x^{\prime}\left(C_{n}\right)<0$. Choosing sufficiently large $N$, such that $C_{N}$ satisfies condition (2), i.e.

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{C_{N}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \geq 0
$$

Consider the function

$$
W(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))}, t \geq t_{1}
$$

then it follows from Iq.(1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\prime}(t)= & \rho(t) \frac{\left(a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{f(x(t))}+\rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \\
& -\frac{\rho(t) a(t) \psi(x(t)) f^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime 2}(t)}{f^{2}(x(t))} \\
\leq & -\rho(t) \frac{P\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+Q(t, x(t))}{f(x(t))}+\rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \\
& -\frac{\rho(t) a(t) \psi(x(t)) f^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime 2}(t)}{f^{2}(x(t))} \\
\leq & -\rho(t)\left[\frac{p(t) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))}+q(t)\right]+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} W(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x(t))}{\rho(t) a(t) \psi(x(t))} W^{2}(t) \\
= & -\rho(t) q(t)+\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{a(t) \psi(x(t))}\right] W(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x(t))}{a(t) \rho(t) \psi(x(t))} W^{2}(t) \\
= & -\rho(t) q(t)+\frac{a(t) \rho(t) \psi(x(t))}{4 f^{\prime}(x(t))}\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{a(t) \psi(x(t))}\right]^{2} \\
& -\left[\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(x(t))}{a(t) \rho(t) \psi(x(t))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} W(t)-\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{a(t) \psi(x(t))}\right. \\
\leq & f^{2} \\
\leq & -\rho(t) q(t)+\frac{a(t) \rho(t)}{4 \alpha}\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t))}{c a(t)}\right]^{2} \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

For arbitrarily $b \geq t_{1}$, integrating the above inequality from $b$ to $t(t \geq b)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq & \rho(b) \frac{a(b) \psi(x(b)) x^{\prime}(b)}{f(x(b))} \\
& -\int_{b}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

For the above $C_{N}$, if $t \geq C_{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq & \rho\left(C_{N}\right) \frac{a\left(C_{N}\right) \psi\left(x\left(C_{N}\right)\right) x^{\prime}\left(C_{N}\right)}{f\left(x\left(C_{N}\right)\right)} \\
& -\int_{C_{N}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq \rho\left(C_{N}\right) \frac{a\left(C_{N}\right) \psi\left(x\left(C_{N}\right)\right) x^{\prime}\left(C_{N}\right)}{f\left(x\left(C_{N}\right)\right)} \\
+ & \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left\{-\int_{C_{N}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s\right\}<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we obtain $x^{\prime}(t)<0\left(t \geq C_{N}\right)$, which contradicts with $x^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha_{1}}\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{\alpha_{2}}\right)<0$. The proof is complete.
Lemma 2. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>0, x \neq$ 0 . Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{+\infty} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s<+\infty  \tag{4}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{s}^{+\infty} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s=+\infty \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $f(u) / \psi(u)$ is strongly superlinear, that is for arbitrarily $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\varepsilon}^{+\infty} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} d u<+\infty, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} d u>-\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Then $S^{+}=\emptyset$ for Iq.(1).
Proof. Suppose that Iq.(1) has a solution $x \in S^{+}$, without loss of generality, assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that $x(t)>0, x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ ( for $x(t)<0, x^{\prime}(t)<0$, the proof is similar $)$. As in the proof of Lemma1, we obtain (3). Noting that $x^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geq b$, from (4), we have

$$
0<\rho(b) \frac{a(b) \psi(x(b)) x^{\prime}(b)}{f(x(b))}-\int_{b}^{+\infty} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s
$$

for arbitrarily $b$. For all $t \geq b$, we have

$$
\int_{t}^{+\infty} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s<\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))}
$$

and we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{b}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{s}^{+\infty} \rho(\tau) & {\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s } \\
& <\int_{b}^{t} \frac{\psi(x(s)) x^{\prime}(s)}{f(x(s))} \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$, from (5) and (6), we obtain a contradiction. The proof is complete.
Theorem 1. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>$ $0, x \neq 0$. Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow$ $(0,+\infty)$, such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. If (2), (4)-(6) hold, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} d s=+\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

also holds, then Iq.(1) is oscillatory.
Proof. From Lemma 1 and Lemma $2, S^{+}=S^{W O}=\varnothing$ for Iq.(1). Therefore, it suffices to show that $S^{-}=\varnothing$ for Iq.(1). Suppose that Iq.(1) has a solution $x \in S^{-}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$, such that $x(t)>0, x^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_{1}$ (for $x(t)<0, x^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$, the proof is similar). By the assumption of Iq.(1), there exists $t \geq t_{1}$, such that $x^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$, then there exists $t_{2} \geq t_{1}$, such that $x^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)<0$. From Iq.(1), for $t \geq t_{2}$,

$$
\left(a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq-p(t) x^{\prime}(t)-q(t) f(x(t))<0 .
$$

Hence

$$
a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)<a\left(t_{2}\right) \psi\left(x\left(t_{2}\right)\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=k \quad(k<0) .
$$

Therefore, for $t \geq t_{2}$, we have

$$
\int_{x\left(t_{2}\right)}^{x(t)} \psi(u) d u \leq k \int_{t_{2}}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s)} d s
$$

noting condition (7), for $t \rightarrow+\infty$ (noting $0<x(t) \leq x\left(t_{2}\right)$ ), the left of the above inequality is lower bounded while the right is eventually minus infinity, which gives a contradiction. The proof is complete.
Theorem 2. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>$ $0, x \neq 0$. Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow$ $(0,+\infty)$, such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int^{+\infty} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then all the nonoscillatory solution of Iq.(1) can be divided into the following two types:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{c}: x(t) \rightarrow C(\text { constant }) \neq 0(t \rightarrow+\infty) \\
& A_{0}: x(t) \rightarrow 0(t \rightarrow+\infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of Iq.(1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t)>0$ for $t \geq t_{1} \geq t_{0}$. Considering the function

$$
W(t)=\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))}, \quad t \geq t_{1}
$$

It follows from Iq.(1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
W^{\prime}(t)= & \rho(t) \frac{\left(a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}}{f(x(t))}+\rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \\
& -\frac{\rho(t) a(t) \psi(x(t)) f^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime 2}(t)}{f^{2}(x(t))} \\
\leq & -\rho(t) \frac{P\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+Q(t, x(t))}{f(x(t))}+\rho^{\prime}(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \\
& -\frac{\rho(t) a(t) \psi(x(t)) f^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime 2}(t)}{f^{2}(x(t))} \\
\leq & -\rho(t)\left[\frac{p(t) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))}+q(t)\right]+\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)} W(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x(t))}{\rho(t) a(t) \psi(x(t))} W^{2}(t) \\
= & -\rho(t) q(t)+\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{a(t) \psi(x(t))}\right] W(t)-\frac{f^{\prime}(x(t))}{a(t) \rho(t) \psi(x(t))} W^{2}(t) \\
= & -\rho(t) q(t)+\frac{a(t) \rho(t) \psi(x(t))}{4 f^{\prime}(x(t))}\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{a(t) \psi(x(t))}\right]^{2} \\
& -\left[\left(\frac{f^{\prime}(x(t))}{a(t) \rho(t) \psi(x(t))}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} W(t)-\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{a(t) \psi(x(t))}\right. \\
\leq & -\rho(t) q(t)+\frac{a(t) \rho(t)}{4 \alpha}\left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}(t)}{\rho(t)}-\frac{p(t)}{c a(t)}\right]^{2} \cdot \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $t_{1}$ to $t$

$$
\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq L-\int_{t_{1}}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s
$$

where $L=\rho\left(t_{1}\right) \frac{a\left(t_{1}\right) \psi\left(x\left(t_{1}\right)\right) x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}{f\left(x\left(t_{1}\right)\right)}$. Noting condition (8) and the symbols of $a, \psi$ and $f$, then there exists $T_{0} \geq t_{1}$, such that $x^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geq T_{0}$, i.e., $x^{\prime}(t)$ is eventually minus. Hence $x$ is monotone decreasing. Noting $x(t)>0$, then $x$ is monotone decreasing and lower bounded for $t \geq T_{0}$. Therefore, $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)$ exists, and it is also limited. It's easily to obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=C \geq 0$.

For $x(t)<0\left(t \geq t_{1}\right)$, similarly, we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=C \leq 0$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>$ $0, x \neq 0$. Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow$ $(0,+\infty)$, such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. If condition (8) holds, then Iq.(1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x$ of type $A_{c}$ (i.e., $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=C \neq 0$ ) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)}\left(\int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s<+\infty \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$.
Proof. Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of type $A_{c}$ of Iq.(1). Without loss of generality, we assume that $C>0$, hence, $x$ is eventually plus. As in the proof of Theorem $1, x^{\prime}(t)$ is eventually minus. Noting (8), then there exists $T \geq t_{0}$, such that $x^{\prime}(t)<0$ and

$$
\int_{T}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \geq 0
$$

for $t \geq T$. Integrating (9) from $T$ to $t(t \geq T)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(t) \frac{a(t) \psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} & \leq M-\int_{T}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq-\int_{T}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M=\rho(T) a(T) \psi(x(T)) x^{\prime}(T) / f(x(T))$. Hence

$$
\frac{\psi(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq-\frac{1}{a(t) \rho(t)} \int_{T}^{t} \rho(s)\left[q(s)-\frac{a(s)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(s)}{\rho(s)}-\frac{p(s)}{c a(s)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s
$$

Integrating the above inequality from $T$ to $t$, then

$$
\int_{x(T)}^{x(t)} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u \leq-\int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{x(T)}^{C} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u \\
\leq-\int_{T}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s
\end{gathered}
$$

Noting that $x(T)>C>0, \psi(u) / f(u)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{T}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \\
\leq \int_{C}^{x(T)} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u<+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

then (10) holds. For $C<0$, the proof is similar. The proof is complete.
Theorem 4. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>$ $0, x \neq 0$. Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow$ $(0,+\infty)$, such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. If the condition (8) holds, and for arbitrarily $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u<+\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{-\varepsilon} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u<+\infty \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Iq.(1) has a nonoscillatory solution $x$ of type $A_{0}$ (i.e., $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=0$ ) if and only if (10) holds for sufficiently large $T \geq t_{0}$.

Proof. Let $x$ be a nonoscillatory solution of type $A_{0}$ of Iq.(1). Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x$ is eventually plus. As in the proof of Theorem 3, we have
$\int_{x(T)}^{x(t)} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u \leq-\int_{T}^{t} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{d} s$.
Letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$, from $x(t) \rightarrow 0, x(T)>0$ and condition (11), then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{T}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)} \int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} s \\
\leq \int_{0}^{x(T)} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} \mathrm{d} u<+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus (10) holds. For $x$ is eventually minus, the proof is similar. The proof is complete.

From the above three theorems, we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary. Assume that $p(t) \leq 0, t \geq t_{0} ; \psi(x) \geq c>0, f^{\prime}(x) / \psi(x) \geq \alpha>0, x \neq$ 0 . Suppose that there exists a differentiable function $\rho:\left[t_{0},+\infty\right) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$, such that $\rho^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$. If condition (8) and (11) hold, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a(s) \rho(s)}\left(\int_{T}^{s} \rho(\tau)\left[q(\tau)-\frac{a(\tau)}{4 \alpha}\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime}(\tau)}{\rho(\tau)}-\frac{p(\tau)}{c a(\tau)}\right)^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then Iq.(1) is oscillatory.
Remark. The corollary develops and generalizes the results of [1], especially for $P\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)=-p(t) x^{\prime}(t), Q(t, x(t))=q(t) f(x(t))$, and $\rho(t)=1$, the corollary will be the Theorem 1 in [1].
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