Symptomatic Post-Discectomy Pseudocyst after Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy
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Objective: The objectives of this study were to determine the frequency of symptomatic postdiscectomy pseudocyst (PP) after endoscopic discectomy and to compare the results of surgical and conservative management of them.

Methods: Initial study participants were 1,503 cases (1,406 patients) receiving endoscopic lumbar discectomy by 23-member board of neurosurgeons from March 2003 to October 2008. All patients’ postoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans were evaluated. On the postoperative MRI, cystic lesion of T2W high and T1W low at discectomy site was regarded as PP. Reviews of medical records and radiological findings were done. The PP patients were divided into two groups, surgical and conservative management by treatment modality after PP detection. We compared the results of the two groups using the visual analogue scale (VAS) for low back pain (LBP), VAS for leg pain (LP) and the Oswestry disability index (ODI).

Results: Among 1,503 cases of all male soldiers, the MRIs showed that pseudocysts formed in 15 patients, about 1.0% of the initial cases. The mean postoperative interval from surgery to PP detection was 53.7 days. Interlaminar approach was correlated with PP formation compared with transforminal approach (p=0.001). The mean VAS for LBP and LP in the surgical group improved from 6.5 and 4.8 to 2.0 and 2.3, respectively. The mean VAS for LBP and LP in the conservative group improved from 4.4 and 4.4 to 3.9 and 2.3, respectively. There was no difference in treatment outcome between surgical and conservative management of symptomatic PP.

Conclusion: Although this study was done in limited environment, symptomatic PP was detected at two months’ postoperative period in about 1% of cases. Interlaminar approach seems to be more related with PP compared with transforminal approach.
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INTRODUCTION

Some patients may persistent pains or relapsing radiating pain after surgery for herniated lumbar disc. Among these patients, cystic lesions of discectomy site on postoperative magnetic resonance imaging scans (MRIs) may be evident.3 Symptomatic post-discectomy pseudocysts (PP) of the lumbar discs are often detected from postoperative MRI in outpatient department without any reference. However, there is limited information on their pathogenesis, natural course, and frequency. In this study, we determined the frequency of symptomatic PP after endoscopic lumbar discectomy and compared the outcomes after surgical and conservative management of PP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Initial study participants were 1,503 consecutive cases (1,406 patients) who received endoscopic discectomies in one military hospital from March 2003 to October 2008. All patients were young, male soldiers at the time of their operations. Mean patient age was 22.6±5.8 (18 to 55) years. A 23-member board of neurosurgeons, all of whom were military surgeons at the time, performed the 1,503 operations. There was no difference of surgical carrier as a neurosurgeon.

All lesions were confirmed by radiologic findings as protruded or extruded disc materials compressing the lumbar root(s). The endoscopic discectomies were performed using the Vertebrac system (Richard Wolf, GmbH, Knittlingen, Germany). The surgical approach was based on Rutten’s method.2,4,5 Usually, interlaminar endoscopic discectomy (ILED) was performed in L3/S1 level. Transforminal endoscopic discectomy (TFED) was performed in all other cases and some limited L5/S1 cases. Most patients received intraoperative epidural steroids at the end of their surgeries. We reviewed all the patients’ medical records and radiology findings. All patients received postopera-
tive magnetic resonance imaging scans (MRIs) within 7 days after surgery. When new symptoms occurred, follow-up MRIs were checked. Radiologically, cystic lesion of T2W high and T1W low signal intensity at discectomy site regarded as PP. The incidence of this cystic lesion was calculated and their medical records were reviewed.

PP patients were divided into two groups according to the treatment modality after PP detection, surgically treated (S) and conservatively treated (C). We compared the outcomes. Because of the limited period of military medical service, final follow up of clinical findings including the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) for low back pain (LBP), the VAS for leg pain (LP), and the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) were done by telephone. The paired t-test was used for the statistical analysis.

RESULTS

A total of 1,406 patients underwent endoscopic discectomies. Symptomatic PPs were found in 15 patients, about 1% of the initial cases. Mean age of the PP group was 22.5±2.1 years. The 15 PP patients were distributed among 11 surgeons and showed an even distribution among the surgeons involved. All disc protrusion was subligamentously constrained. There were no findings of posterior longitudinal ligament rupture on preoperative MRI. The VAS of LBP, LP and ODI before initial surgery were 3.9±1.2, 5.8±0.9 and 39.2±4.7%, respectively. The symptoms of all patients improved after surgery and aggravated. The VAS of LBP and LP at the time of PP detection with MRI were 4.8±1.9 and 4.4±1.9, respectively. After 24.8±16.5 months of mean follow up, the VAS of LBP and LP were 3.4±2.4 and 2.3±2.6, respectively. The ODI checked at last follow-up was 16.4±13.6%. The interval between discectomy and pseudocyst detection via MRI was 53.7±44.1 (11-118) days (Fig. 1, Table 1).

Among these 15 affected patients, 6 had discectomies at the L4-5 via transformaminal approach and 9 at the L5-S1 level via interlaminar approach. Operation levels had no significant differences with total group. However, approach trajectory of PP group, which was transformaminal or interlaminar, had significant difference with total group (p=0.001) (Table 2).

The 15 patients divided into 2 groups according to the treatment modality after PP had been detected, surgical treatment group (S) and conservative treatment group (C). Five patients had got surgical treatment and 10 patients had got conservative treatment. In the cases of surgical treatment group (S), 1 microscopic partial hemilaminectomy and 4 transformaminal endoscopic discectomy were done. Because of lack of intraoperative image, we could not obtain specific operation finding and pathology. In the cases of endoscopic reoperation, it is not possible to tell the difference between irrigation fluid and cystic fluid. Because of limitation of visual fields according to the transformaminal approach, there were no specific operation findings except bulging posterior anulus and longitudinal ligament.

The VAS for the LBP and LP at the time of pseudocyst detection in the surgical treatment group (S) were 6.5±2.1 and 4.3±2.5, respectively. The VAS for the LBP and LP in the conservative treatment group (C) were 4.4±1.7 and 4.4±1.8, respectively. The mean follow up was 26.6±27.2 months in group (S) and 24.1±13.3 months in group (C). The VAS for the LBP, the VAS for LP and the ODI for group (S) at the last follow up were 2.0±2.6, 2.3±3.2 and 16.7±19.4, respectively. For group (C), the VAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Prev.OP</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Days till MRI</th>
<th>VAS LP at detection</th>
<th>VAS LP at MR detection</th>
<th>Tx after</th>
<th>FU period (months)</th>
<th>VAS LP</th>
<th>VAS LP</th>
<th>ODI</th>
<th>Months till MRI</th>
<th>FU</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>PHL</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 Imp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 Imp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 Imp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>ILED</td>
<td>L5/S1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>n-a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16 Imp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>TFED</td>
<td>LA/5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16 Imp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for the LBP, the VAS for the LP and the ODI were 3.9±2.2, 2.3±2.7 and 16.3±12.5, respectively. There was no difference in the treatment outcome between groups (S) and (C). (Table 3)

There was no recurrent PP after surgical treatment. In cases of conservative group, the PPs decreased in 3 cases on the follow-up MRI (Fig. 1, Table 1). However, one case showed aggravated PP in the MRI checked 9 months after surgery.

**DISCUSSION**

There has been little information on post-discectomy pseudocysts (PP)\(^2\). However, with the development of diagnostic performance, it is speculated that PPs will be more frequently detected than the past. The PP differs from juxtafacet, perineural, and ganglion cysts in that it develops over a relatively short interval after a discectomy and the cyst is in communication with the intervertebral disc. PP resembles a cyst of the disc, as several previous studies have described\(^{20,29,33}\). However, PP is different from a cyst of the disc in that PP has an incomplete cystic wall, as suggested by its name\(^3\).

The cytological and pathologic findings with regard to one PP (not included in this study) showed many RBCs, with some macrophages, and soft fibrotic tissue with inflammatory changes. Surgery on this PP revealed that the cystic wall, located
around the posterior longitudinal ligament previously operated upon, was compressing the corresponding root; this was also visible in MRIs (Fig. 2).

An April 2010 PubMed search for "lumbar disc," "discectomy," or "complication" yielded no reports on PP. Most of the published literature is on lumbar discectomy complications, such as postoperative wound hematoma, neuronal injury (including postoperative dysesthesia), recurrent herniation, postoperative instability, wrong level of exposure, arachnoiditis, infection, incidental durotomy (including its long term sequelae), and pseudomeningoceles. In addition, there have been case reports of rare complications after lumbar discectomy, such as development of an arteriovenous fistula, major vessel injury, epidural fibrosis, ureteral injury, compartment syndrome with acute renal failure, iliac artery injury, intradural disc migration, bowel injury, septicaemia, symptomatic pneumorachis, instrument failure, postoperative radicular neuro, Ogilvie's syndrome, and reflex sympathetic dystrophy.

In reports on cases subsequent to full endoscopic discectomy, published complications have included recurrent disc herniation on the same side, incomplete removal of a ruptured disc, infection, neuronal injury (including sensory changes), dural tears, vascular injury, psoas hematoma, and sympathetically mediated pain.

In this study, symptomatic PP occurred in about 1% of patients after endoscopic discectomy and three cases treated by conservative management showed decreased cyst size. We believe this is reason why there was little literature about PPs.

Fortunately, we found one report of two cases of 'postoperative annular pseudocyst.' In this report, 60-year-old man and 38-year-old man presented postoperative annular pseudocyst at L4/5 and S1/S1 on the MRI checked 13 months and 14 months after hemilaminectomy and discectomy, respectively. Their recurrent symptoms were developed 1 month and 8 months after initial surgery. Sixty-year-old man received conservative management and 38-year-old man received needle aspiration and steroid injection. Comparing with this report, the period from surgery to PP detection in this study, 54 days, seemed to be too short. We thought the difference of insurance system between two countries made this different result.

Pathogenesis of PP is likely associated with inflammation of the connective tissue at the procedure site, resulting in the pseudocyst. In particular, postoperative inflammatory reaction of posterior longitudinal ligament (PLL) and annulus complex were supposed to be related with PP formation. In this study, the result of surgical trajectory that thought be related with PP support this supposition. Also, contrary to the transforaminal approach, the interlaminar approach expose more PLL and during endoscopic surgery, heat from radiofrequency (RF) cautery or laser coagulation can cause inflammation. Although irrigation is used throughout such surgery, previous reports on cancer treatment have revealed that the intraoperative RF thermal injury can be significant. If the pseudocyst's internal space connects with the epidural or extraforaminal space, there are no massive effects or clinical symptoms such as low back pain and/or leg pain. Without a connection between two spaces, the pseudocyst can be enlarged which may compress the neural structures until the inflammation subsides.

As mentioned above, there was one patient experienced spontaneous regression 70 days after surgery. Two patients showed decreased PP on MRI follow-up. Furthermore, the result of conservative treatment and surgical treatment were not different. However, Young recommended that percutaneous aspiration and steroid injection may represent a potential therapy for PP. Unfortunately, one of our patients showed aggravating PP on the MRI 9 months after surgery. In the cases of Young, the PP detected 13 and 14 months after surgery. Considering these data, we recommend non-surgical treatments initially rather than surgery, because there will be possibility of spontaneous regression of PP.

All patients in this study were young, adult males, which are thought to be the limitation. However, in some respects, this homogeneity strengthens the study. On the other hand, there is a possibility that PP may be prone to occur predominantly in young intervertebral discs or in males. Thus, further studies with a large mixed population are required to verify the pathogenesis and natural course of PP.

---

Fig. 2. Case illustration of symptomatic PP, detected 5 months after endoscopic discectomy via the interlaminar approach (used with the permission of Dr. Hoon Kim). A and B: A 17 mm cystic mass, between dura and posterior longitudinal ligament, at L5/S1-level compressing the left S1 root. C: Intraoperative finding shows cystic mass beneath left S1 root being compressed. D: Microscopic view of cystic wall shows fibrous tissue with some inflammatory cells, indicating an inflammatory reaction with some hemorrhages.
CONCLUSION

Although there are limitations in this study, the results of this study showed that in about 1% of endoscopic lumbar discoscopy patients, symptomatic post-discectomy pseudocysts (PP) were detectable via MRI within 2 months. The surgical trajectory of endoscope seems to be related with the formation of PP. Further reports and studies are required to reveal PP’s pathogenesis and natural course.
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