Strategies of Spinal Fusion on Osteoporotic Spine
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The prevalence of osteoporosis has been increasing globally. Recently surgical indications for elderly patients with osteoporosis have been increasing. However, only few strategies are available for osteoporotic patients who need spinal fusion. Osteoporosis is a result of negative bone remodeling from enhanced function of the osteoclasts. Because bone formation is the result of coupling between osteoblasts and osteoclasts, anti-resorptive agents that induce osteoclast apoptosis may not be effective in spinal fusion surgery, necessitating new bone formation. Therefore, anabolic agents may be more suitable for osteoporotic patients who undergo spinal fusion surgery. The instrumentation and techniques with increased pull-out strength may increase fusion rate through rigid fixation. Studies on new osteoinductive materials, methods to increase osteogenic cells, strengthened and biocompatible osteoconductive scaffolds are necessary to enable osteoporotic patients to undergo spinal fusion. When osteoporotic patients undergo spinal fusion, surgeons should consider appropriate osteoporosis medication, instrumentation and technique.
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INTRODUCTION

Because the degenerative changes in the intervertebral discs and spinal facet joint capsules in people over 50 years of age are associated with spinal instability and there are the increased life expectancy, improved quality of life and the elderly desire to remain physically active, surgical indications for degenerative spinal conditions in elderly patients have been increased. The surgical outcome and perioperative complication of spinal fusion in elderly patients can be negatively affected by co-morbidities, such as cardiopulmonary disease, renal disease, diabetes mellitus, nutritional disorders and osteoporosis. Because osteoporosis is strongly associated with poor fusion rate and bone stability, it is crucial to understand the pathophysiology of osteoporosis and its treatment, in order to enhance spinal fusion and preserve bone stability. Spinal surgeons must be informed of the appropriate treatment plan for osteoporosis, and formulate appropriate strategies for osteoporotic patients who need to undergo spinal fusion surgery. The objective of this article was to review the prevalence and pathophysiology of osteoporosis, and strategies to facilitate spinal fusion.

PREVALENCE OF OSTEOPOROSIS AND SPINAL FUSION IN ELDERLY PATIENTS

Osteoporosis is a major global problem, because over 10 million people are currently diagnosed with osteoporosis. Although 80% of osteoporotic patients are women, a considerable number of men are also affected. The age matched prevalence of osteoporosis is 17-20% of women over 50 years old, 26% over 65 years old and 50% over 85 years old in the United States. In the Republic of Korea, the prevalences of osteoporosis in the lumbar spine in women between 50 and 59, 60 and 69 and 70 and 79 years old are 32.8%, 62.2%, 88.9%, respectively. In addition, the prevalence of osteoporosis in male and female patients over 50 years old who underwent spinal surgery were 14.5% and 51.3%, respectively. Due to increasing life expectancy, the number of elderly patients with osteoporosis will increase even further.

Due to an increasingly aged population, degenerative spinal stenosis and spondylolisthesis have become more frequently diagnosed. Up to 10% of women over 60 years may be affected by degenerative spondylolisthesis and one study presented the rates of male and female patients with spondylolisthesis (degenerative or spondylolytic types) at 14.8% and 66.1%, respectively. In elderly patients, istrogenic cause of instability following spinal surgery may occur because of pre-existing degenerative changes in the facet joints and intervertebral disc. If instability of the spine at the index level is confirmed by preop-
erative radiological evaluations or when iatrogenic instability occurs, fusion operation should be considered in elderly patients. Several reports claimed that decompression and additional fusions in elderly patients who experienced spinal stenosis and instability, such as spondyloolisthesis, produced favorable outcomes, because lumbar arthrodesis with spinal instrumentation produce satisfactory outcome in elderly patients. Many studies demonstrated fusion failure which negatively impact on clinical outcomes, and fusion rates ranged from 56% to 100%. There exist reports on the outcome of lumbar arthrodesis following instrumentation in patients over 60 years of age, which indicated the prevalence of delayed and collapsed fusion in elderly patients to be higher than that in younger patients. The fusion rates of elderly patients reported were over 90%. In elderly osteoporotic patients who underwent lumbar arthrodesis with instrumentation, the fusion rates were 89.7%, 95.8%, and 93.8%. In other words, old age and osteoporosis are not contraindication in spinal arthrodesis. The number of elderly patients who needs spinal surgery will increase and the prevalence of osteoporosis in elderly patients is high. The existence and severity of osteoporosis should be preoperatively assessed in elderly patients, and appropriate strategy to facilitate spinal fusion should be formulated.

PATHOGENESIS OF POSTMENOPAUSAL OSTEOPOROSIS

Although the skeletal system appears to be a static structure macroscopically, the bone is a collection of dynamic tissues microscopically. In other words, remodeling, including bone absorption and formation in the microcracks of bone occurs continuously. Bone remodeling is performed by basic multicellular unit (BMU) within the bone remodeling cavity, and the BMU is composed of osteoclasts, osteoblasts, bone lining cells and osteocytes (Fig. 1). Complete regeneration of adult skeleton through remodeling takes 10 years and remodeling serves to repair damage and prevent aging and fracture. Remodeling with positive balance occurs in the growing skeleton, and negative remodeling causes reduced bone mineral density (BMD) and osteoporosis. Among several etiologies of osteoporosis, menopause is the most common cause. Bone loss in both women and men begins in the 40s and rapid bone loss in women occurs during the first 5-10 years after menopause. In addition, women accumulate less bone mass than men during the developmental period. Therefore, the incidence of fracture is higher in women than in men.

After the loss of estrogen (menopause), several events occur. In terms of molecular changes, several cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, macrophage colony stimulating factor and tumor necrosis factor increase and these cytokines stimulate osteoclast development. Osteoprotegerin stimulated by estrogen has very potent inhibitory effects on osteoclastogenesis through blocking receptor activation of nuclear factor-kB (RANK) ligand/RANK interaction - the main stimulator involved in osteoclast differentiation and activation. In terms of cellular changes, although the formation of osteoblast and osteoclast in the bone marrow is up-regulated initially after menopause, the first event as the mesenchyme differentiates toward osteoblasts has decreased and the increased osteoclast formation persists. In addition, estrogen deficiency leads to shorter osteoblast and osteocytes lifespan, and prolongation of osteoclast lifespan. The longer lifespan of osteoclasts is responsible for deeper absorption cavities. In addition, increase in osteocyte apoptosis may impair the osteocytes-canaliclar mecanoreceptor as the skeletal signals of detection of microdamage and repair. Bone loss through negative bone remodeling may be the downstream consequence of these changes (Table 1).

BIOLOGY OF SPINAL FUSION

Although instrumentation and technique have been improving, non-union still occurs in 5 to 35% of patients who undergo spinal fusion. Non-union in spinal surgery frequently leads to unsatisfactory clinical outcomes. Therefore, understanding of the histological and biologic events in spinal fusion is crucial to spinal surgeons who treat patients with and without osteoporosis. Clinically relevant lumbar fusion animal model provide information on the methods that facilitate fusion in several articles. Non-decorticatation of the transverse process did not result in arthrodesis and primary vascular supply to the fu-
sion mass originated from decorticated bone, not from the adjacent muscle. Intra-membranous bone formation occurs in the area near the transverse processes, and endochondral bone formation which involves bone formation through a cartilage intermediate occurs centrally at the interface between the upper and lower halves of the bridging bone. Cartilage formed through endochondral ossification has poor vascular supply and low oxygen saturation. However, in the mid and late stages of bone formation, extension of bone formation towards the central zone occurs and disappearance of cartilage and bone formation occurs in the central area (Fig. 2). The transient cartilaginous area may explain why many non-unions are found to occur in the central zone of a fusion mass. Considering the previous description and three factors for bone formation as osteoconductive scaffold, osteogenic cell and osteoinductive materials, the characteristics of host bed such as vascularity and quality of bone marrow, the distance of fusion site and the quality of bone graft should be assessed by the surgeon. Although there is no publication that discusses the histological difference between osteoporosis and non-osteoporosis animal models with spinal fusion, reduced osteoblast ability, poor vascularity and lower bone marrow quality in the host bed may contribute to non-union in elderly osteoporotic patients. Therefore, surgeons must consider bone graft quality, proper osteoinductive materials (for example, bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) and other growth factors), increasing the ability of osteoblasts (for example, with intermittent administration of parathyroid hormone (PTH)) and preventing factors that may hinder fusion, including long-term use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents and smoking, before performing spinal fusion on elderly osteoporotic patients.

STRATEGIES FOR OSTEOPOROTIC PATIENTS WITH SPINAL FUSION

Osteoporosis reduces bone quality through negative bone remodeling. Low bone quality can reduce the pull-out strength of pedicle screw, and negative bone remodeling can cause delayed bone fusion. Therefore, before performing spinal fusion surgery on osteoporotic patients, we should pursue effective strategies to increase the pull-out strength and facilitate positive bone remodeling.

Pharmacotherapeutic strategies

Osteoporosis secondary to loss of estrogen is the cause of negative bone remodeling through reduced function and life span of osteoblasts, and the reverse for osteoclasts. In addition, bone remodeling depends on communication between the osteoblast lineage, including lining cell, preosteoblasts, osteocytes and the osteoclast lineage. Thus, in order to obtain good fusion rate in osteoporotic patients, we should be aware of the antiresorptive and anabolic agents.

Bisphophonates

Bisphophonates are typical anti-resorptive agents that include alendronate, ibandronate, etidronate and pamidronate. The mechanism of bisphosphonate is to promote apoptosis of mature osteoclasts and result in slow rate of bone remodeling. Many animal studies presented the effects of bisphosphonates on the skeletal system. In animal studies that investigated fracture healing and pull-out strength of implants, bisphosphonates did not adversely affect the skeletal system. However, according to recent studies, bisphosphonates inhibit or delay spinal fusion through reduced incorporation between grafted bone and host bone. In other words, the anti-fracture effect of bisphosphonates is not proportional to their efficacy on bone fusion. Therefore, when osteoporotic patients are scheduled to undergo spinal fusion, surgeons must consider the need of using other antiresorptive or anabolic agents postoperatively.

Other classes of anti-absorptive agents

There are many studies on new treatment targets of osteoclasts, except bisphosphonate that acts chiefly by inducing the osteoclast apoptosis. The targets of new resorption inhibitors are RANKL, cathepsin K, vacuolar type H+ ATPase (V-ATPases) and intergrin αvβ3. RANKL is a main stimulator of osteoclast differentiation. The anti-RANKL antibody, denosumab (AMG 162) was evaluated in Phase I, II and III trials and the effect of denosumab on BMD was superior to that of alendronate. Cathepsin K is a cysteine protease expressed by osteoclasts and degrades bone matrix protein. A human cathepsin K inhibitor, odanacatib (MK-0822) is well-tolerated and increases lumbar spine and total-hip BMD of postmenopausal women in Phase I and II studies. V-ATPases acts as a proton pump in the resorptive cavity under osteoclasts and intergrin αvβ3 is the main integrin on osteoclasts. Research on V-ATPases inhibitor and αvβ3 antagonist is ongoing.

PTH

Only one drug acts as anabolic agent to osteoporosis—recombinant human PTH, triparadate. Although high levels of PTH cause decreased BMD through increased bone resorption, low and intermittent PTH elevation increases bone formation sec-
ondary to its anti-apoptotic effect on osteoblasts. Prior studies concluded that PTH treatment did not increase the incidence of bone tumor, such as osteosarcoma. It must be emphasized that the experience of PTH use is so far limited in the United States and Europe to 2 years and 18 months, respectively. If PTH treatment is not followed by antiresorptive therapy, the increased BMD would be lost. Therefore, it needs to develop additional anabolic agents that can be continuously used in osteoporotic patients. The results of animal studies suggested that PTH enhanced the healing of bone fracture, BMD, mechanical strength and arthrodesis of the spine. As concurrent use of alendronate for increasing positive remodeling reduced the anabolic effect of PTH, the use of PTH on osteoporotic patients taking bisphosphonates may be refrained after spine arthrodesis.

Other anabolic agents

Other targets for anabolic agents are Wnt signaling pathway and activin. The Wnt signaling pathway has a critical role on bone formation, and sclerostin and Dickkopf (DKK)-1 are the inhibitors of the Wnt signaling pathway. The efficacy of sclerostin and DKK-1 antagonists have been examined in several animal studies and these results are related to clinical studies for osteoporosis patients. Activin is a member of the TGF-β superfamily and activin receptor is one of the BMP receptors. Activation of BMP receptors causes differentiation of osteoblasts and increased bone formation. Although there is no clinical report on the outcome of BMP therapy in osteoporotic patients who have undergone lumbar spinal fusion, BMPs increased fusion rate for non-osteoporotic patients with spine arthrodesis according to recent articles. In an animal study using osteoporotic rats, higher dose of BMP-7 increased spinal fusion rate. Therefore, agents that exert effects on the BMP pathway, such as BMPs and activin, are promising anabolic agents for osteoporotic patients with or without spine fusion.

Implant based strategies

Cancellous bone is more affected by osteoporosis than cortical bone, therefore lower BMD has been a major factor in poor screw fixation, screw loosening and fixation failure. Many techniques have been employed to enhance the pullout strength of the pedicle screw. The preparation for screw hole or minimization of tapping hole can affect the pullout strength in osteoporotic bone and, although the anatomical constraints vary with patients, bigger and longer screws may provide good solution for fragile bones. The angulation of two screws and screw positioning in areas of higher BMD in the vertebrae may increase pullout strength. Also, to improve the fixation and fatigue strength of instrumentation, screw augmentation with poly methyl methacrylate has yielded favorable outcomes. These techniques may enhance bone fusion through stabilization of fusion segments.

Other strategies

Mesenchymal cells differentiate to osteoblasts are critical for increasing fusion rate. Although the fusion rate achieved by using bone marrow aspiration (BMA) with collagen was inferior to that in autologous iliac crest bone for posterior lumbar interbody fusion, the fusion rate of posterolateral lumbar fusion was comparable to that of autologous bone. However, as there is low concentration of osteogenic cell in the BMA, it is ineffective as a bone graft substitute. Therefore, investigations for methods of stimulating osteoblast differentiation, expanding the number of osteoblast and new osteoconductive scaffold with structural strength are needed.

CONCLUSION

Osteoporosis results in fragile bone through negative bone remodeling. As such, prior to performing spinal fusion on osteoporosis patients, surgeons should consider multidisciplinary strategies, including the use of the antiresorptive and anabolic agents, proper instrumentation and BMA. Perioperative strategies in osteoporotic patients may affect the radiological and clinical outcomes.
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