韓國環境復元技術學會誌 14(4): 81~88(2011) J. Korean Env. Res. Tech. 14(4): 81~88(2011)

Effects of Extensive Green Roof System on Rainwater Circulation*

Lee, Eun-heui¹⁾ · Jang, Ha-kyung²⁾ and Ahn Geun-young²⁾

¹⁾ Division of Environmental Life Sciences, Seoul Women's University,
²⁾ Lab. Ecological Landscape Planning, Seoul Women's University.

관리조방형 옥상녹화시스템이 우수순환체계에 미치는 영향*

이은희¹⁾ · 장하경²⁾ · 안근영²⁾

¹⁾ 서울여자대학교 환경생명과학부·²⁾ 서울여자대학교 조경연구실

국문요약

본 연구는 관리조방형 옥상녹화 시스템의 우수유출 저감 및 유출지연 효과를 규명하기 위하여 2007 년~2010년의 4년간 연구를 진행하였다. 실험대상지는 서울여자대학교 행정관 옥상에 조성된 관리조 방형 옥상녹화지로 2007년에 조성하였으며, 세덤류 및 다년생 초화류를 포함하여 총 18종의 식물을 식재하였다. 우수유출 저감 및 지연효과를 지속적으로 모니터링하기 위해 옥상녹화지를 통과한 우수 를 저장할 수 있는 시스템을 설치하여 유출수의 유입량 및 시간을 측정였다. 조사기간 중 총 24번의 강우사례를 분석한 결과 단위면적당 평균 약 90.3%(78.8~99.3%)의 유출량이 저감되었으며, 지연시간 은 평균 약 1.6시간으로 나타났다. 본 연구결과를 종합분석해본 바, 빗물이 거의 전량 유출되는 도심의 건축물 옥상을 녹화함으로써 옥상에 유입되는 우수의 유출을 지연 및 저감시켜 도심의 수순환체계 개선에 기여할 수 있을 것으로 생각된다.

주요어 : 강우, 유출량, 저감, 지연효과, 도심 수 순환체계

^{*} This work was supported by a special research grant from Seoul Women's University(2011).

First author : Lee, Eun-heui, Division of Environmental Life Sciences, Seoul Women's University,

Tel : +82-2-970-7717, E-mail : ehlee@swu.ac.kr

Corresponding author : Lee, Eun-heui, Division of Environmental Life Sciences, Seoul Women's University, Tel : +82-2-970-7717, E-mail : ehlee@swu.ac.kr

Received : 26 July, 2011. Revised : 16 August, 2011. Accepted : 24 August, 2011.

I. INTRODUCTION

The rainwater circulation in urban areas is modified from the rainwater circulation in nature. The hydrological cycle in urban areas is influenced by the change of land uses; for example, from forest to agricultural land, the draining of wetlands and the increase of developed areas. The surface of built up areas is almost covered with paving. Therefore, the surface retention and interception of rainwater are reduced because the surface of the urban area is smooth. The characteristics of the hydrological cycle in urban areas are increased runoff, reduced evaporation and infiltration (König K. W, 1996; Lee, E. H, 1997). This causes many unseen problems. The increased runoff causes higher peaks of stream discharge and shortens lag time (Herrmann R, 1977; Dunnett N and Kingsbury N, 2005). In order to prevent disasters, it is necessary to build higher riverbanks and regulation dams and straighten rivers. However, the results of those efforts are often higher water levels, the disturbance of aquatic ecosystems and reduction of biodiversity. It is difficult in urban areas to increase green areas, but the roofs, where the runoff coefficient is almost one, have a great potential to be green space because the areas of roofs in urban areas are immense and the spreading effects are also enormous. For example, Seoul today is beset with perplexing difficulties where 48% of urban areas are over 70% paved zones (Seoul metropolitan government, 2001). The rainfall runoffs of parks, natural ground and green roofs are low, while those of slope roofs and paving areas with asphalt are very high.

Urbanization also increases surface runoff by creating more impervious surfaces such as pavement and building that does not allow percolation of the water down through the soil to the aquifer. It is instead forced directly into streams or storm water runoff drains where erosion can be a major problem, even when flooding is not. Increased runoff reduces groundwater recharge, thus lowering the water table and making droughts worse (Kim YR, 2008).

In the case of Seoul, heavy floods cause a great deal of damage to the area annually. In the flood occurrence period, surface runoff volume increased about 2,488,000m³ per day (www. ecoinfo.seoul.go.kr). Incidence of sudden severe rain storm has become more frequent over the last few years.

There is some studies on Reducing, attenuating and mitigating storm water runoff which lowers risks of urban floods and improving the urban water balance to approach the natural one (Bengtsson et al., 2005; Berndtsson J C, 2010; Krupka B, 1992; Mentens et al., 2006; Roth-Kleyer S, 2005; Schmidt M, 2005; VanWoert et al., 2005). But few studies have been conducted to analyze annual monitoring result for effect of green roof on runoff retention quantity and time-lag in Korea where has climate heavy rain are concentrated in summer.

Therefore the objective of this study was to analyze how extensive green roof systems function in the local weather conditions, as the result of observing an existing green roof in Seoul, Korea. The task was to assess the storm water retention potential as well as the runoff water quantity and lag time of a green roof. Twenty four different rain events were observed in the measuring period from September 2007 to July 2010.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

To investigate rain water retention capacity of existing green roofs accurately, a rainwater storage system was constructed with a green roof system. Materials and methods are as follows.

1. Green roof system

The Green Roof system was established in June 2007 and has been situated in Seoul Women's University Administration Building (Figure 1). The reference roof has no slope and the area is about 140m². The length of the green roof is 14m, and its width is 10m, its height from the ground is about 13m.

The green roof type is an extensive green roof

Table 1. Plant species planted in the site.



Figure 1. The green roof site at Seoul Women's University Administration Building.

system with 100mm substrate depth. Plant species used in this study included Korean native plants (*Caryopteris incana, Hemerocallis dumortieri* etc.) and some sedum species (*Sedum kamtschaticum, Sedum sarmentosum* etc.)(Table 1).

Family	Scientific name	Hight	anthesis (month)
	Sedum kamtschaticum	5-30	6-7
Crassulaceae	Sedum takesimense	50	7
	Sedum middendorffianum	20	6-8
	Sedum sarmentosum	-	5
Saxifragaceae	Astilbe chinensis var. davidii	30-70	7-8
	Heuchera micrantha	30	7-8
Rosaceae	Duchesnea chrysantha	-	4-5
	Potentilla fragarioides var. major	30	4-8
Polemoniaceae	Phlox subulata	10	4-9
Verbenaceae	Caryopteris incana	30-60	7-8
Lamiaceae	Thymus quinquecostatus var. japonica	-	6
	Dracocephalum argunense	15-60	6-8
Scrophulariaceae	Veronica linariaefolia	40-70	7-8
Asteraceae	Chrysanthemum zawadskii var. latilobum	50	9-10
	Aster koraiensis	40-60	6-10
	Aster spathulifolius	40	7-11
	Hemerocallis dumortieri	30-50	5-7
Liliaceae	Polygonatum odoratum var. pluriflorum	30-50	4-5

2. Rain water storage system

The rain water storage system was established with the green roof system to investigate rain water retention potential and runoff time after initial rainfall. Two tanks and an open-type gutter along the edge of the roof were installed to store rain water which passed through the substrate, and a flow meter was set up in the tanks (Figure 2). It was used to measure the volume of rain water drained from the system with time. A solar system was established to use the stored rainwater semi-permanently with water pumps installed in each tank.



Figure 2. Rain water tank and storage system.

3. Data collection and analysis

A Model CM6 automated weather station, made by Campbell Scientific, was installed on the research site to record precipitation. The weather station included ambient air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and direction (Figure 3). The float-operated Thailmedes shaft encoder with integral data logger, made by OTT MESSTECHNIK GmbH & Co. KG, was installed in the rain water tanks to quantify rainwater runoff.

Data from the shaft encoder and the weather station were collected at 10-min intervals 24 h a day from September 2007 through July 2010



Figure 3. The mean air temperature and precipitation of the green roof site in monitoring period.

using a data logger equipped with switch closure modules and a storage module. Retention data were analyzed with 24 rain event cases that occurred during the monitoring period.

III. RESULTS

Twenty-four rain events were collected during the monitoring period (Table 2). The precipitation amounts ranged from 2.0 to 30.9mm. The selected rain events show the effects that the roof greening had on quantity, and delay of the runoff. Eight cases from the 24 rain events were selected to analyze the characteristics of the heavy and light rain events.

Case I : one of the heavy rain events occurred on September 1, 2007. Precipitation was 15.89mm and the volume of runoff was 3.18mm/m². The percentage of retention was 80% and the lag time was 2.3h. Case II : this case of the heavy rain events occurred on September 6, 2007. Precipitation was 11.56mm and the volume of runoff was 2.38mm/m². The percentage of retention was 79.4% and the lag time was 2.5h. Case III : one of the heavier rain events occurred on July 24, 2009. Precipitation was 23.3mm and the volume of runoff was 4.94mm/m². The percentage of retention was 78.8% and the lag time was 1.1h.

Num	Precipitation Period	Time (h)	Amount of precipitation (mm)	Amount of retention per m ² (mm)	Percentage of retention (%)	Delay time (h)
1)	2007.9.1 07 : 00~18 : 30	10.5	15.9	12.7	80.0	2.3
2)	2007.9.6 04 : 10~17 : 20	13.2	11.6	9.2	79.4	2.5
3)	2007.9.27 05 : 00~07 : 10	2.2	4.6	4.0	87.5	0.8
4)	2007.10.19 09 : 20~12 : 20	1.7	4.8	4.2	87.8	0.7
5)	2007.10.25 19 : 10~10.26 01 : 50	6.7	5.8	4.9	85.8	2
6)	2007.11.21 09 : 10~13 : 50	4.7	5.3	4.8	90.0	2
7)	2008.6.28 22 : 30~6.29 06 : 20	7.8	11.6	10.2	87.8	4.7
8)	2008.7.2 08 : 30~16 : 20	7.8	6.3	6.0	95.3	2.5
9)	2008.7.5 15 : 20~17 : 50	2.5	2.0	2.0	99.3	2.5
10)	2008.7.12 05 : 00~08 : 00	3	3.8	3.7	97.1	0.5
11)	2008.7.16 01 : 30~17 : 40	7.2	10.1	8.2	81.4	2.8
12)	2008.8.1 22 : 50~8.2 07 : 30	8.7	8.9	7.3	82.1	1.8
13)	2008.9.20 13 : 20~18 : 20	5	12.2	11.6	95.1	1.1
14)	2008.9.22 20 : 50~23 : 10	2.3	4.0	3.9	97.2	0.2
15)	2008.9.25 4 : 50~7 : 50	3	6.8	6.5	95.8	1
16)	2008.10.22 15 : 10~19 : 10	4	4.3	4.1	96.6	2
17)	2008.11.27 6 : 00~14 : 50	8.7	15.4	14.2	92.5	1.1
18)	2009.6.29 5 : 30~9 : 30	4	12.1	11.2	92.2	2.7
19)	2009.7.24 23 : 20~7.25.7 : 10	7.7	23.3	18.3	78.8	1.1
20)	2009.8.20 8 : 20~12 : 40	4.2	17.7	16.6	93.6	0.8
21)	2009.9.21 6 : 50~15 : 20	12.5	30.9	25.6	82.9	2.1
22)	2009.10.13 19:00~22:30	3.5	11.7	11.1	95.6	0.5
23)	2010.7.7 19 : 30~20 : 40	1.1	17.5	16.5	94.4	0.2
24)	2010.7.25 12 : 40~13 : 40	1	4.6	4.5	98.1	0.7
Mean		5.5	10.5	9.2	90.3	1.6

Table 2. Measured rain events and delay time of runoff from September 2007 to July 2010.

*1), 2), 19), 21) : heavy rain events / 9), 10), 14), 15) : light rain events.

Case IV : this case, the heaviest rain event occurred on September 21, 2009. Precipitation was 30.9mm and the volume of runoff was 5.29mm/m². The percentage of retention was 82.9% and lag time was 2.1h (Figure 4).

Case V was a light rain event. This rain event occurred on July 5, 2008. Precipitation was 2.01mm and the volume of runoff was 0.02mm/m². The percentage of retention was 99.3% and the lag time was 2.5h. A case of a light rain event (case

VI) occurred on July 12, 2008. Precipitation was 3.79mm and the volume of runoff was 0.11mm/m². The percentage of retention was very high with 97% and the lag time was 0.5h. A case of a light rain event (case VII) occurred on September 22, 2008. Precipitation was 4.0mm and the volume of runoff was 0.11mm/m². The percentage of retention was very high with 97.2% and the lag time was 0.2h. The case of a light rain event (VIII) occurred on September 25, 2008. Precipitation was 6.8mm



Figure 4. Runoff hydrographs of heavy rain events.

and the volume of runoff was 0.29mm/m². The percentage of retention was very high with 95.8% and the lag time was 1h (Figure 5).

1. Synthetic Analysis

Mean percent rainfall retention at the investigated green roof was 89.7%, ranging from 78.8% to 99.3%. Twenty-four rain events selected from the







Figure 5. Runoff hydrographs of light rain events.

monitoring period are shown in Table 2. The results also showed that the investigated green roof could delay the rainwater runoff by about 1.6h. There were some differences in rainwater retention and lag time between heavy and light rain events. The mean percent rainfall retention of four heavy rain events was 80.2%, and the lag time was 2.0h. On the other hand, the light rain

events showed 97.3% retention and the runoff time delayed 1.1h.

IV. DISCUSSION

Vegetated green roofs retained greater quantities of rainfall and delayed runoff times. Some studies have shown that the rainfall runoff rate of paved areas including building roof areas is almost 90-100% compared to natural green areas of 10-20%, and its runoff time is faster than for green areas (Geiger W and Dreiseitl H, 1995; Jeroen M et al., 2005).

On the green roof monitoring project at Vancouver Library in Canada, it was reported that green roof of the library reduced the runoff rate 16% on average more than a general paved roof. There was a reduction of just 3% of runoff quantity in the case of storm water but the rainfall runoff rate was reduced 80% or more in the dry season (Johnston J and Newton J, 1996). The results of the study on the hydrologic behavior of vegetated roofs have shown that green roof stormwater retention ranged from 39 to 100 percent, with an average retention just under 78 percent (Carter T L and Rasmussen T C, 2006).

As a result, the findings of our experiment are in general consist with other results reported by Geiger W and Dreiseitl H (1995), Johnston J and Newton J (1996), Jeroen M et al (2005) and Carter T L and Rasmussen T C (2006). Other studies that have considered the effects of rooftop greening on water retention have shown that green roofs can absorb water and release it slowly over a period of time as opposed to a conventional roof where storm water is immediately discharged (Liesecke HJ, 1999; Schade C, 2000; Moran A et al., 2003; Roth-Kleyer S, 2005). Some research has indicated that an extensive green roof, depending on substrate depth, can retain 60 to 100% of incoming rainfall (Liesecke HJ, 1998; Schade C, 2000; Monterusso MA et al., 2004). The FLL Guidelines "Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Green Roofs" (2002) also suggested that the annual water retention on green roof sites is dependent on the course depth. On the FLL Guidelines, the annual average water retention was 55% at extensive green roofs with 100-150mm depth. However, our findings that the average retention percentages were 90.3% with 100mm depth contradicts the results of the FLL, because the results of this study are not annual but are limited to the major rainfall duration. The FLL data were annual average but the results of this study should some differences like time and climate etc. The annual average water retention was 90% or more at an intensive green roof with 500mm or more depth in the FLL Guidelines. There are many intensive green roofs with deep media depth in Korea, for which additional studies of the effects on water retention at the intensive green roof areas are needed. Our observations on the mean percent of rainfall retention and the lag time showed that green roofs can contribute to the improvement of urban water circulation with retention and delay the effects of runoff. Thus may be concluded that green roofs can help with prevention of urban flooding.

Green roofs as substitution for green areas are the best alternative plan in downtown areas in Seoul where land prices are very high. Incentives will be needed so that citizens can participate in creating green roofs spontaneously and active supports should be increased for construction of sustainable urban environment.

REFERENCE

- Bengtsson, L., Grahn, L., and Olsson, J. 2005. Hydrological function of a thin extensive green roof in southern Sweden. Nordic Hydrol. 36(3) : 259-268.
- Berndtsson, J. C. 2010. Green roof performance towards management of runoff water quantity and quality : A review. Ecological Engineering 36 : 351-360.
- Carter, T. L., and Rasmussen, T. C. 2006. Hydrologic behavior of vegetated roofs. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 42(5) : 1261-1274.
- Dunnett N., and Kingsbury, N. 2005. Planting Green Roofs and Living Walls, Timber Press Inc, Portland, Oregon.
- FLL. 2002. Richtlinie für die Planung, Ausführung und Pflege von Dachbegrünungen, Bonn.
- Gieger, W., and Dreiseitl, H. 1995. Neue Wege für das Regenwasser, Oldenbourg Verlag GmbH, München.
- Herrmann, R. 1977. Einführung in die Hydrologie, B.G.Teubner, Stuttgart.
- Jeroen, M., Raes, D., and Hermy, M. 2005. Green roofs as a tool for solving the rainwater runoff problem in the urbanized 21st century?, Landscape and Urban Planning.
- Johnston, J., and Newton, J. 1996. Building green; A guide to using plants on roofs, walls and pavement, London Ecology Unit.
- Kim, Y. R. 2008. Direction of water quality part management in environment impact assessment.
- König, K. W. 1996. Regenwasser in der Archiketur, Ökobuch Verlag, Staufen bei Freiburg.
- Krupka, B. 1992. Dachbegrünung, Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- Lee, E. H. 1997. The relationship between circulation of precipitation and urbanization, Korean Institute of Landscape Architect.

Vol. 24/4.

- Liesecke, H. J. 1998. Das Retentionsvermögen von Dachbegrünungen. Stadt Grün 47: 46-53.
- Liesecke, H. J. 1999. Extensive Begrünung bei 5 Dachneigung. Stadt Grün 48 : 337-346.
- Mentens, J., Raes, D., and Hermy, M. 2006. Green roofs as a tool for solving the rainwater runoff problem in the urbanised 21st century. Landscape Urban Plan. 77 : 217-226.
- Monterusso, M. A., Rowe, D. B., Rugh, C. L., and Russell, D. K. 2004. Runoff water quantity and quality from green roof systems. Acta Hortic. 639 : 369-376.
- Moran, A., Hunt, B., and Jennings, G. 2003. A North Carolina field study to evaluate green roof runoff quality, runoff quantity, and plan.
- Roth-Kleyer, S. 2005. "The water balance and runoff retention of green roofs" in Conference Transcript of World Green Roof Congress 15th-16th Sept. 2005, Basel, Switzerland 214-226.
- Schade, C. 2000. Wasserrückhaltung und Abflußbeiwerte bei dünn- schichtigen extensivbegrünungen. Stadt Grün 49 : 95-100.
- Schmidt, M. 2005. "The interaction between water and energy of greened roofs" in Conference Transcript of World Green Roof Congress 15th-16th Sept. 2005, Basel, Switzerland : 227-235.
- Seoul Metropolitan Government. 2001. Investigation of Biotop in Seoul and Design Guidelines for Ecocity.
- VanWoert, N. D., Rowe, D. B., Andresen, J. A., Rugh, C. L., Fernandez, R. T., and Xiao, L. 2005. Green roofs stormwater retention : effects of roof surface, slope, and media depth. J. Environ. Qual. 34 : 1036-1044.