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Abstract. The aim of this paper is to define a new commutativity condition for a pair of self mappings i.e., (DS)-weak commutativity condition, which is weaker that compatibility of mappings in the settings of intuitionistic Menger spaces. We show that a common fixed point theorem can be proved for nonlinear contractive condition in intuitionistic Menger spaces without assuming continuity of any mapping. To prove the result we use (DS)-weak commutativity condition for mappings. We also give examples to validate our results.

1. Introduction

Probabilistic metric spaces are generalizations of metric spaces which have been introduced by Menger [26]. The space proposed by Menger-Menger space- may have very important applications in quantum particle physics, particularly in connection with both string and E-infinity theories which were given and studied by El Naschie [8, 9]. It is believed that E-infinity may be the first general theory which can calculate $\alpha_0$ (electromagnetic fine structure constant) from first principles rather than just accept it is an experimental quantity determined in the laboratory [10]. Schweizer and Sklar [36] studied the properties of spaces introduced by Menger and gave some basic results on these spaces. They studied topology, convergence of sequence, continuity of mappings, defined the completeness of these spaces, etc. Following Serstnev [38] Sherwood gave a notion of probabilistic metric spaces in [45]. Also, in the same paper Sherwood proved a theorem of a characterization of nested, closed sequence of non-empty sets in complete probabilistic metric space.
On the other hand, fixed point theory is one of the most famous mathematical theories with application in several branches of science, especially in chaos theory, game theory, theory of differential equations etc.

Kutukcu, Tuna, Yakut [24] introduced notation of intuitionistic Menger spaces with the help of t-norms and t-conorms as a generalization of Menger spaces due to Menger [26]. They defined Hausdorff topology on this intuitionistic Menger space and showed that every metric induces an intuitionistic probabilistic metric. They also studied convergence of sequence and completeness of these spaces.

Recently, fixed point theorems in Menger spaces and probabilistic metric spaces have been proved by many authors ([5], [7], [21], [27], [41]-[43], [49]) including Bylka [3], Pathak, Kang and Baek [32], Stojakovic [46]-[48], Hadzic [16]-[19], Dadic and Sarapa [6], Rashwan and Hedar [35], Mishra [27], Radu ([33], [34]), Sehgal and Bharucha-Reid [37], Cho, Murthy and Stojakovic [4], Sharma and Bagwan [39], Sharma and Deshpande [40], Sharma, Deshpande and Tiwari [44], Kubiaczyv and Deshpande [23].

There are many generalizations of commutativity for function defined on spaces with non-deterministic distance (probabilistic metric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces etc.) which have an important role in the statements guaranteeing the existence of common fixed points.

Pant [29] initiated the study of noncompatible mappings and introduced R-weak commutativity for a pair of mappings in metric spaces. Jungck and Rhoades [22] defined weak commutativity for a pair of mappings and showed that weak commutativity of a pair of mappings is weaker than compatibility for a pair of mappings. Pathak, Cho and Kang [31] introduced the concept of R-weakly commuting mapping of type (A) in metric spaces and showed that this type of mapping is non compatible. They also showed that R-weakly commuting mapping is not necessarily R-weakly commuting mapping of type (A). Sharma and Deshpande [41] introduced the concept of R-weak commuting mappings of type (A) in the settings of fuzzy metric spaces and defined (DS)-weak commutativity in fuzzy metric spaces.

Recently Deshpande [7] introduced the notion of (DS)-weak commutativity in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

Most of the properties which provide the existence of fixed points and common fixed points are of linear contractive type condition.
The result in fixed point theory with non-linear type contractive condition were
given by Boyd and Wong [2], Jesic and Babacev [21], O’regan and Sadaati [28] and
recently by Deshpande [7]

The purpose of this paper is to define (DS)-weak commutativity in the settings
of intuitionistic Menger spaces and prove a common fixed point theorem with non
linear contractive condition for a pair of mappings under the assumption of (DS)-
weak commuting mappings without assuming continuity of any mapping. Our result
improves the previous results. We also give examples to validate our results.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A binary operation $T: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a $t$-norm if $T$ satisfies
the following conditions : (a) $T$ is commutative and associative (b) $T(a,1) = a$
for all $a \in [0,1]$ (c) $T(a,b) \leq T(c,d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ and $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$.

Definition 2.2. A binary operation $S: [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is a $t$-conorm if $S$ satisfies
the following conditions : (a) $S$ is commutative and associative (b) $S(a,0) = a$
for all $a \in [0,1]$ (c) $S(a,b) \leq S(c,d)$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ and $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$.

Remark 2.1. The concepts of $t$-norms $T$ and $t$-conorms $S$ are known as the ax-
iomatic skeletons that we use for characterizing fuzzy intersections and union, re-
spectively. These concepts were originally introduced by Menger [26] in his study
of statistical metric spaces. Several examples for these concepts were proposed by
many authors [1, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 25]. In [30] we also have (a) for any $a,b \in (0,1)$
with $a > b$, there exists $c,d \in (0,1)$ such that $T(a,c) \geq b$, $S(b,d) \leq a$ ; (b) for any $a$
$\in (0,1)$, these exists $b,c \in (0,1)$ such that $T(b,b) \geq a$, $S(c,c) \leq a$ through out this
paper, we will denote $R = (−\infty, \infty)$ and $R^+ = [0,\infty)$.

Definition 2.3 ([20]). A distance distribution function is a function $F: R \rightarrow R^+$
which is left continuous on $R$, non decreasing and $\inf_{t \in R} F(t) = 0$, $\sup_{t \in R} F(t) =1$. We
will denote by $D$ the family of all distance distribution functions and by $H$ a special
element of $D$ defined by

$$H(t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\
1 & \text{if } t > 0
\end{cases}.$$  

If $X$ is a non empty set, $F:X \times X \rightarrow D$ is called a probabilistic distance on $X$ and
$F(x,y)$ is usually denoted by $F_{x,y}$. 
Definition 2.4. A non distance distribution function is a function \( L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \) which is right continuous on \( \mathbb{R} \), non increasing and \( \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} L(t) = 1 \), \( \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} L(t) = 0 \). We will denote by \( E \) the family of all non-distance distribution functions and by \( G \) a special element of \( E \) defined by
\[
G(t) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\
0 & \text{if } t > 0
\end{cases}
\]

If \( X \) is a non empty set, \( L : X \times X \rightarrow E \) is called a probabilistic non-distance on \( X \) and \( L(x,y) \) is usually denoted by \( L_{x,y} \).

Definition 2.5 ([24]). A triple \( (X, F, L) \) is said to be an intuitionistic probabilistic metric space if \( X \) is a non empty set, \( F \) is a probabilistic distance and \( L \) is a probabilistic non-distance on \( X \) satisfying the following conditions for all \( x, y, z \in X \), \( t, s \geq 0 \)
\[
\begin{align*}
(a) \quad & L_{x,y}(t) + F_{x,y}(t) \leq 1 \\
(b) \quad & F_{x,y}(0) = 0 \\
(c) \quad & F_{x,y}(t) = H(t) \text{ if and only if } x = y \\
(d) \quad & F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t) \\
(e) \quad & \text{If } F_{x,z}(t) = 1 \text{ and } F_{z,y}(s) = 1, \text{ then } F_{x,y}(t+s) = 1 \\
(f) \quad & L_{x,y}(0) = 1 \\
(g) \quad & L_{x,y}(t) = G(t), \text{ if and only if } x = y \\
(h) \quad & L_{x,y}(t) = L_{y,x}(t) \\
i) \quad & \text{If } L_{x,z}(t) = 0 \text{ and } L_{z,y}(s) = 0, \text{ then } L_{x,y}(t+s) = 0
\end{align*}
\]
If, in addition the triangle inequalities
\[
\begin{align*}
(j) \quad & F_{x,y}(t+s) \geq T( F_{x,z}(t), F_{z,y}(s)) \\
k) \quad & L_{x,y}(t+s) \leq S( L_{x,z}(t), L_{z,y}(s))
\end{align*}
\]
where \( T \) is a t-norm and \( S \) is a t-conorm are satisfied, then \( (X, F, L, T, S) \) is said to be an intuitionistic Menger space. The functions \( F_{x,y}(t) \) and \( L_{x,y}(t) \) denote the degree of nearness and the degree of non-nearness between \( x \) and \( y \) with respect to \( t \), respectively.

Remark 2.2 ([24]). Every Menger space \( (X, F, T) \) is an intuitionistic Menger space of the form \( (X, F, 1-F, T, S) \) such that t-norm \( T \) and t-conorm \( S \) are associated [24], i.e., \( S(x,y) = 1-T(1-x,1-y) \) for any \( x, y \in X \).

Example 2.1 ([24]). (Induced intuitionistic probabilistic metric) Let \( (X, d) \) be a metric space. Then the metric \( d \) induces a distance distribution function \( F \) defined by
$F_{x,y}(t) = H(t-d(x,y))$ and a non-distance distribution function $L$ defined by $L_{x,y}(t) = G(t-d(x,y))$ for all $x,y \in X$ and $t \geq 0$ then $(X,F,L)$ is an intuitionistic probabilistic metric space. We call this intuitionistic probabilistic metric space induced by a metric $d$ the induced intuitionistic probabilistic metric space. It t-norm $T$ is $T(a,b) = \min\{a, b\}$ and t-conorm $S$ is $S(a,b) = \min\{1,a+b\}$ for all $a,b \in [0,1]$ then $(X,F,L,T_M,S_M)$ is an intuitionistic Menger space.

**Remark 2.3** ([24]). Note that the above example holds even with the t-norm $T(a,b) = \min\{a, b\}$ and t-conorm $S(a,b)=\max\{a, b\}$ and hence $(X,F,L,T,S)$ is an intuitionistic Menger space with respect to any t-norm and t-conorm. Also note that, in the above example t-norm $T$ and t-conorm $S$ are not associated.

**Theorem 2.1** ([24]). Let $(X,F,L)$ be an intuitionistic probabilistic space, $T,S$ be binary operations on $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ into $[0,1]$ satisfying $T(a,b) \leq T(c,d)$, $S(a,b) \leq S(c,d)$ for $a,b,c,d \in [0,1]$ such that $a \leq c$, $b \leq d$ and $\sup_{t<1} T(t,t) = 1$, $\inf_{t<1} S(1-t,1-t) = 0$, respectively. Then the family $u = \{U_{e,}\}_{e>0,\lambda \in (0,1)}$ where $U_{e,\lambda} = \{(x,y) \in X \times X : F_{x,y}(e) > 1-\lambda, L_{x,y}(e) > \lambda\}$ is a base for a Hausdorff uniformity on $X \times X$.

**Theorem 2.2** ([24]). Let $(X,F,L)$ be an intuitionistic probabilistic metric space satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 Then for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X$, $x_n \to x$ if and only if $F_{x,x_n}(t) \to 1$ and $L_{x,x_n}(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

**Definition 2.6** ([24]). Let $(X,F,L,T,S)$ be an intuitionistic Menger space with $\sup_{t<1} T(t,t) = 1$, $\inf_{t<1} S(1-t,1-t) = 0$.

a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ there exists a positive integer $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon,\lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n,x_m}(\epsilon) > 1-\lambda$ and $L_{x_n,x_m}(\epsilon) < \lambda$ for all $n,m \geq n_0$.

b) An intuitionistic Menger space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

**Lemma 2.1** ([24]). Let $(X,F,L,T,S)$ be an intuitionistic Menger space with $\sup_{t<1} T(t,t) = 1$, $\inf_{t<1} S(1-t,1-t) = 0$.

Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ be two sequences in $X$ with $x_n \to x$, $y_n \to y$ respectively

a) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf F_{x_n,y_n}(t) \geq F_{x,y}(t)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup L_{x_n,y_n}(t) \leq L_{x,y}(t)$ for $t \geq 0$

b) if $t \geq 0$ is a continuous point of $F_{x,y}$ and $L_{x,y}$, then $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{x_n,y_n}(t) = F_{x,y}(t)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} L_{x_n,y_n}(t) = L_{x,y}(t)$.
**Definition 2.7.** Let \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be an intuitionistic Menger space \(A \subseteq X\). Closure of the set \(A\) is the smallest closed set containing \(A\), denoted by \(\overline{A}\).

Obviously, having in mind the Hausdorff topology and the definition of converging sequence we have the next remark holds.

**Remark 2.4.** \(x \in \overline{A}\) if and only if there exists a sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \(A\) such that \(x_n \to x\).

The concept of probabilistic boundedness was introduced by Sherwood [45]. Park [30] defined intuitionistic fuzzy diameter zero and IM bounded set in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

**Definition 2.8.** Let \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be an intuitionistic Menger space \(A\) collection \(\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) is said to have intuitionistic Menger diameter zero if for each \(r \in (0, 1)\) any each \(t > 0\) there exists \(n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(F_{x,y}(t) > 1-r\) and \(L_{x,y}(t) < r\) for all \(x,y \in F_{n_0}\).

**Theorem 2.3.** An intuitionistic Menger space \((X, F, L, T, S)\) is complete if and only if every nested sequence \(\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) of non-empty closed sets with intuitionistic Menger diameter zero have non-empty intersection.

**Proof.** First suppose that the given condition is satisfied. We claim that \((X, F, L, T, S)\) is complete. Let \(\{x_n\}\) be a Cauchy sequence in \(X\). Set \(B_n = \{x_k : k \geq n\}\) and \(F_n = \overline{B}_n\), then we claim that \(\{F_n\}\) has intuitionistic Menger diameter zero. For given \(S \in (0, 1)\) and \(t > 0\), we choose \(r \in (0, 1)\) such that 
\[
T((1-r), (1-r), (1-r)) > (1-s) \quad \text{and} \quad S(r, r, r) < s.
\]

Since \(\{x_n\}\) is Cauchy sequence, there exists \(n_0 \in \mathbb{N}\) such that \(F_{x_n,x_k}(\frac{t}{3}) > 1-r\) and \(L_{x_n,x_k}(\frac{r}{3}) < r\) for all \(n,m \geq n_0\). Therefore \(F_{x,y}(\frac{t}{3}) > 1-r\) and \(L_{x,y}(\frac{r}{3}) < r\) for all \(x,y \in B_{n_0}\).

Let \(x,y \in F_{n_0}\), then there exist sequence \(\{x_n\}\) and \(\{y_n\}\) in \(B_{n_0}\) such that \(x_n' \to x\) and \(y_n' \to y\). Hence \(x_n' \in B(x,r, \frac{1}{3})\) and \(y_n' \to B(y,r, \frac{1}{3})\) for sufficiently large \(n\). Now we have
\[
F_{x,y}(t) \geq T(F_{x,x_n'}(\frac{t}{3}), F_{x_n',y_n'}(\frac{1}{3}), F_{y_n',y}(\frac{1}{3})) \\
> T((1-r), (1-r), (1-r)) \\
> 1-s \quad \text{and} \\
L_{x,y}(t) \geq S(L_{x,x_n'}(\frac{1}{3}), L_{x_n',y_n'}(\frac{1}{3}), L_{y_n',y}(\frac{1}{3})) \\
< S(r, r, r) < s.
\]
Therefore $F_{x,y}(t) > 1-s$ and $L_{x,y}(t) < s$ for all $x,y \in F_{n_0}$. Thus $\{F_n\}$ has intuitionistic Menger diameter zero and hence by hypothesis $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ is non empty. 

Take $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ we show that $x_n \to x$. Then, for $r \in (0,1)$ and $t > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F_{x_n,x}(t) > 1-r$ and $L_{x_n,x}(t) < r$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, for each $t > 0$, $F_{x_n,x}(t) \to 1$ and $L_{x_n,x}(t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and hence $x_n \to x$. Therefore $(X,F,L,T,S)$ is complete.

Conversely, suppose that $(X,F,L,T,S)$ is complete and $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nested sequence of nonempty closed sets with intuitionistic Menger diameter zero. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, choose a point $x_n \in F_n$. We claim that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\{F_n\}$ has intuitionistic Menger diameter zero, for $t > 0$ and $r \in (0,1)$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F_{x,x}(t) > 1-r$ and $L_{x,y}(t) < r$ for all $x,y \in F_{n_0}$. Since $\{F_n\}$ is nested sequence $F_{x_n,x}(t) > 1-r$ and $L_{x_n,x}(t) < r$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $(X,F,L,T,S)$ is complete, $x_n \to x$ for some $x \in X$. Therefore $x \in F_n$ for every $n$, and hence $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$. This completes our proof. \hfill \Box

**Remark 2.5.** The element $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$ is unique.

For if there are two elements $x,y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$. Since $\{F_n\}$ has intuitionistic Menger diameter zero, for each fixed $t > 0$, $F_{x,y}(t) > 1-\frac{1}{n}$ and $L_{x,y}(t) < \frac{1}{n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ and $L_{x,y}(t) = 0$ and hence $x = y$.

**Definition 2.9.** Let $(X,F,L,T,S)$ be an intuitionistic Menger space. A subset $A$ of $X$ is said to be IM-bounded if there exists $t > 0$ and $r \in (0,1)$ such that $F_{x,y}(t) > 1-r$ and $L_{x,y}(t) < r$ for all $x,y \in A$.

**Definition 2.10.** If $\delta_A(t) = \sup_{x,y \in A} \inf_{\epsilon < t} F_{x,y}(\epsilon)$ and $\rho_A(t) = \inf_{x,y \in A} \sup_{\epsilon < t} L_{x,y}(\epsilon)$,

The constants $\delta_A = \sup_{t > 0} \delta_A(t)$ and $\rho_A = \inf_{t > 0} \rho_A(t)$ are known as intuitionistic Menger diameter of nearness and intuitionistic Menger diameter of non-nearness of set $A$.

**Remark 2.6.** If $A$ is an IM-bounded set then the next inequalities $\delta_A \geq 1-r$ and $\rho_A \leq r$ hold.

**Definition 2.11.** if $\delta_A = 1$ and $\rho_A = 0$ the set $A$ will call IM-strongly bounded set.
Lemma 2.2. Let \( \phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) be a continuous, nondecreasing function which satisfies \( \phi(t) < t \) for all \( t > 0 \). Then for all \( t > 0 \) it holds that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^n(t) = 0 \), where \( \phi^n(t) \) denotes the \( n \)th iteration of \( \phi \).

Proof. For arbitrary \( t > 0 \), because \( \phi(t) < t \) and \( \phi \) is a non decreasing function. by induction it follows that \( \phi^n(t) > \phi^{n-1}(t) \) and \( \phi^n(t) < t \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). This means that the sequence \( \{\phi^n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) is monotonically non-increasing. Since it is bounded, it follows that there exists \( c \geq 0 \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^n(t) = c \).

We claim that \( c = 0 \). Let us suppose that \( c > 0 \) from continuity of \( \phi \) we get \( c = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^{n+1}(t) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(\phi^n(t)) < \phi(c) < c \), which is a contradiction. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.3. Let \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be an intuitionistic Menger space. Let \( \phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) be a continuous, nondecreasing function which satisfies \( \phi(t) < t \) for all \( t > 0 \). Then the following statement hold:

(a) If for all \( x, y \in X \) it holds that \( F_{\phi x, y} \phi(t) \geq F_{\phi x, y}(t) \) for all \( t > 0 \) then \( x = y \) and

(b) If for all \( x, y \in X \) it holds that \( L_{\phi x, y} \phi(t) \leq L_{\phi x, y}(t) \) for all \( t > 0 \) then \( x = y \).

Proof. (a) Let us suppose that \( F_{\phi x, y} \phi(t) \geq F_{\phi x, y}(t) \) and \( x \neq y \). From this condition by induction we have that \( F_{\phi x, y} \phi^n(t) \geq F_{\phi x, y}(t) \), Taking limit as \( n \to \infty \), we get that \( F_{\phi x, y}(t) = 0 \) for all \( t > 0 \), which is a contradiction so \( x = y \).

(b) Similarly as the proof of (a). \( \square \)

Definition 2.12. Let \( f \) and \( g \) be maps from an intuitionistic Menger space \((X, F, L, T, S)\) into itself. The maps \( f \) and \( g \) are said to be compatible if for all \( t > 0 \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} F_{fgx_n, gfx_n}(t) = 1 \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} L_{fgx_n, gfx_n}(t) = 0 \), whenever \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( X \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} f_{x_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{x_n} = z \) for some \( z \in X \).

Following Pant [29] we define R-weak commutativity for a pair of mappings in the intuitionistic Menger spaces

Definition 2.13. Let \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be an intuitionistic Menger space. Let \( f \) and \( g \) be mappings on \( X \). The mappings \( f \) and \( g \) are said to be the point wise R-weakly commuting on \( X \) if given \( x \) in \( X \) there exists positive real number \( R \) such that

\[
F_{fgx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{fgx, gfx}(t) \leq L_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}), \quad t > 0.
\]

Also two self maps \( f \) and \( g \) on an intuitionistic Menger space \((X, F, L, T, S)\) are said to be R-weakly commuting if there exists some positive real number \( R \) such that

\[
F_{fgx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{fgx, gfx}(t) \leq L_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}), \quad t > 0.
\]
It should be noted that point wise R-weak commutativity is necessary, hence minimal condition for the existence of common fixed points of contractive type maps.

**Definition 2.14 ([22]).** Two self maps f and g on a intuitionistic Menger space $(X, F, L, T, S)$ are weakly commuting if they commute at their coincidence points.

Jesic and Babacev [21] proved the following theorem in intuitionistic fuzzy metric space.

**Theorem A.** Let $(X, M, N, *, ♦)$ be complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let f and g be R-weakly commuting self mappings on X, g is a continuous function, g(x) is IF-strongly bounded set and g(x) ⊆ f(x), satisfying the conditions:

\[
M(g(x), g(u), \phi(t)) \geq M(f(x), f(u), t) \quad \text{and} \quad N(g(x), g(u), \phi(t)) \leq N(f(x), f(u), t),
\]

for some continuous, nondecreasing function $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$, which satisfies $\phi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

Deshpande [7] improved Theorem A by omitting the continuity of mapping g and replacing R-weak commutativity at every point of X by (DS)-weak commutativity only at the coincidence points of f and g and proved the following:

**Theorem B ([7]).** Let $(X, M, N, *, ♦)$ be a complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. Let f and g be self-mappings on X. Let g(x) is IF-strongly bounded set and g(x) ⊆ f(x), satisfying the conditions:

\[
M(g(x), g(y), \phi(t)) \geq M(f(x), f(y), t) \quad \text{and} \quad N(g(x), g(y), \phi(t)) \leq N(f(x), f(y), t),
\]

for some continuous, nondecreasing function $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$, which satisfies $\phi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Then f and g have a coincidence point. Further if f and g are (DS)-weakly commuting at coincidence points then f and g have a unique common fixed point.

3. Main Results

**Definition 3.1.** Let $(X, F, L, T, S)$ be an intuitionistic Menger space and let f and g be self-mappings on X. The mappings f and g are said to be $(DS_f)$-weakly commuting at $x \in X$ if there exists a positive real number R such that

\[
F_{fgx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{fgx, gfx}(t) \leq L_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}).
\]
Here \(f\) and \(g\) are \((D_S^f)\)-weakly commuting on \(X\) if the above inequality holds for all \(x \in X\).

**Definition 3.2.** Let \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be an intuitionistic Menger space and let \(f\) and \(g\) be self-mappings on \(X\). The mappings \(f\) and \(g\) are said to be \((D_S^g)\)-weakly commuting at \(x \in X\) if there exists a positive real number \(R\) such that
\[
F_{fgx, ffx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{fgx, ffx}(t) \leq L_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}).
\]

Here \(f\) and \(g\) are \((D_S^g)\)-weakly commuting on \(X\) if the above inequality holds for all \(x \in X\).

If the self-mappings \(f\) and \(g\) of \(X\) are both \((D_S^f)\)-weakly commuting as well as \((D_S^g)\)-weakly commuting then we say that \(f\) and \(g\) are \((D_S)\)-weakly commuting mappings.

**Example 3.1.** Let \(X = [1,5]\) with the metric \(d\) defined by \(d(x, y) = |x-y|\) for each \(t \in (0, \infty)\) define
\[
F_{x,y}(t) = H(t - d(x, y)), \quad \forall \ t > 0
\]
\[
L_{x,y}(t) = G(t - d(x,y)), \quad \forall \ t > 0
\]
Clearly \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be an intuitionistic Menger space where \(T\) is defined by \(T(a,b) = \min\{a, b\}\) and \(S\) is defined by \(S(a,b) = \max\{a, b\}\) \(\forall a,b \in [0,1]\) define \(f,g : X \rightarrow X\) by
\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & \text{if } x \in [0,1] \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } x \in [1,5]. \end{cases}
\]
g(x) = \(\frac{1}{1+x}\) for all \(x \in [0,5]\). Consider the sequence \(\{x_n = 2 + \frac{1}{n} : n \geq 1\}\) in \(X\).

Then \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \frac{1}{3} = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_n)\). But
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{fgx_n, gfx_n}(t) = H(t - |\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}|) \neq 1, \quad t > 0.
\]
and
\[
L_{fgx_n, gfx_n}(t) = G(t - |\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}|) \neq 0, \quad t > 0.
\]
Thus \(f\) and \(g\) are non compatible but we can observe that \(\forall \ t \in (0, \infty)\) and for \(R \geq 6t\), \(f\) and \(g\) are \((D_S)\)-weakly commuting at \(x = 1\).

Further we observe that \(2 \in [1, 5]\) is coincidence point of \(f\) and \(g\) as \(f(2) = g(2) = \frac{1}{3}\) but \(fg(2) = \frac{2}{3} \neq gf(2) = \frac{3}{4}\). Thus \(f\) and \(g\) are not weakly compatible maps.

Also \(f\) and \(g\) are pointwise \(R\)-weakly commuting mappings but there does not exist a positive real number \(R\) such that
\[
F_{fgx, gfx}(t) \geq F_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{fgx, gfx}(t) \leq L_{fx, gx}(\frac{t}{R}) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \text{ and each } x \in X.
\]
Example 3.2. Let $X = [1,10]$ with the metric $d$ defined by $d(x,y) = |x-y|$, for each $t \in (0, \infty)$ define
\[
F_{x,y}(t) = H(t-d(x,y)), \quad \forall \ t > 0,
\]
\[
L_{x,y}(t) = G(t- d(x,y)), \quad \forall \ t > 0.
\]
Clearly $(X,F,L,T,S)$ be an intuitionistic Menger space where $T$ is defined by $T(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}$ and $S$ is defined by $S(a,b) = \max\{a,b\}$ for $a,b \in [0,1]$ define $f,g : X \to X$ by
\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
  x & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 5 \\
  x - 2 & \text{if } 5 < x \leq 10,
\end{cases}
\]
\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
  3 & \text{if } 1 \leq x \leq 5 \\
  \frac{x+1}{2} & \text{if } 5 < x \leq 10.
\end{cases}
\]
Consider the sequence $\{x_n = 5 + \frac{1}{n} : n \geq 1\}$ in $X$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = 3 = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_n)$. Also $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{f^{n}x_n, g^{n}x_n}(t) = H(t - |3 - 3|) = H(t) = 1$, $\forall \ t > 0$ and $L_{f^{n}x_n, g^{n}x_n}(t) = G(t - |3 - 3|) = G(t) = 0$, $\forall \ t > 0$.

Thus $f$ and $g$ are compatible. Therefore they are weakly compatible. Also for all positive real $R \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $f$ and $g$ are (DS)-weakly commuting at $x=9$.

Also $f$ and $g$ are pointwise $R$-weakly commutative mappings but there does not exist a positive real number $R$ such that
\[
F_{f^{n}x_n, g^{n}x_n}(t) \geq F_{f^{n}x_n, g^{n}x_n}(\frac{1}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{f^{n}x_n, g^{n}x_n}(t) \leq L_{f^{n}x_n, g^{n}x_n}(\frac{1}{R}) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \quad \text{and each } x \in X.
\]

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X,F,L,T,S)$ be a complete intuitionistic Menger space. Let $f$ and $g$ be self-mappings on $X$. Let $g(x)$ is IM-strongly bounded set and $g(x) \subseteq f(X)$ satisfying the condition
\[
F_{g^{n}x_n, g^{n}y_n}(t) \geq F_{f^{n}x_n, f^{n}y_n}(\frac{1}{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g^{n}x_n, g^{n}y_n}(t) \leq L_{f^{n}x_n, f^{n}y_n}(\frac{1}{R}) \quad \text{for all } t > 0 \quad \text{and each } x,y \in X.
\]

for some continuous nondecreasing function $\phi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ which satisfies $\phi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. Then $f$ and $g$ have a coincidence point. Further if $f$ and $g$ are (DS)-weakly commuting at coincidence points then $f$ and $g$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. Since $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$, there exists a $x_1 \in X$ such that $g(x_0) = f(x_1)$. By induction a sequence $\{x_n\}$ can be chosen such that $g(x_n) = f(x_{n+1})$. Let us consider nested sequence of non-empty closed sets defined by $F_n = \{g^{n}x_n, g^{n+1}x_{n+1}, \ldots\}$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall prove that the family $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has intuitionistic Menger diameter zero.
In this sense, let \( r \in (0,1) \) and \( t > 0 \) be arbitrary. From \( F_k \in \overline{g(x)} \) it follows that \( F_k \) is an IM-Strongly bounded set for arbitrary \( k \in \mathbb{N} \). It means that there exists \( t_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
F(x,y)(t_0) > 1-r \quad \text{and} \quad L(x,y)(t_0) < r \quad \text{for all} \quad x,y \in F_K.
\]

From \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi^n(t_0) = 0 \), we conclude that there exists \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \phi^m(t_0) < t \). Let \( n = m+k \) and \( x,y \in F_n \) be arbitrary. There exists sequence \( \{g_{n(i)}\}, \{g_{n(j)}\} \)

in \( F_n(n(i),n(j) \geq n \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N}) \) such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{n(i)}(x) = x \) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} g_{n(j)}(y) = y \).

From (1) we have

\[
F_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) \geq F_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) \leq L_{x,y}(t).
\]

Thus by induction we get

\[
F_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) \geq F_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) \leq L_{x,y}(t).
\]

Since \( \phi^m(t_0) < t \) and because the distance distribution function and the nondis-

tance distribution function are nondecreasing and nonincreasing respectively, from

the last inequalities it follows that

\[
F_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) \geq F_{x,y}(t_0) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) \leq L_{x,y}(t_0).
\]

As \( \{g_{n(i)}\} \) and \( \{g_{n(j)}\} \) are sequences in \( F_k \) from (1) it follows that

\[
F_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) > 1-r \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) < r\]

for all \( i, j \in \mathbb{N} \). Finally from (i) to (iii) we conclude that

\[
F_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) > 1-r \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g_{n(i)}}, g_{n(j)}(t) < r \quad \text{for all} \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Taking the limits as \( i, j \to \infty \) and applying Lemma(2.1), we get the \( F_{x,y}(t) > 1-r \)

and \( L_{x,y}(t) < r \) for all \( x,y \in F_n \) that is family has intuitionistic Menger diameter

zero.

Applying Theorem (2.3) we conclude that this family has non-empty intersection,

which consists of exactly one point \( z \). Since the family \( \{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) has intuitionistic

Menger diameter zero and \( z \in F_n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) then for each \( r \in (0,1) \) and each \( t > 0 \) there exists \( n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( n \geq n_0 \) hold.

\[
F_{g_{n(i)}}, z(t) > 1-r \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g_{n(i)}}, z(t) < r.
\]

From the last it follows that for each \( r \in (0,1) \) hold
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{gx_n, z}(t) > 1-r \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} L_{gx_n, z}(t) < r.
\]
Taking that \( r \to 0 \) we get
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{gx_n, z}(t) = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} L_{gx_n, z}(t) = 0.
\]
That is \( \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = z \) From the definition of sequence \( \{fx_n\} \) it follows that
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} fx_n = z
\]
Since \( g(x) \subseteq f(x) \), there exists a point \( u \in X \) such that \( z = f(u) \). Then using (1), we have
\[
F_{g(u), z}(t) \phi(t) \geq F_{f(u), f(z)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g(u), z}(t) \phi(t) \leq L_{f(u), f(z)}(t).
\]
Letting \( n \to \infty \) we get
\[
F_{g(u), z}(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g(u), z}(t) = 0.
\]
Since \( F_{g(u), z}(t) \geq F_{g(u), x}(t) \) and \( L_{g(u), z}(t) \leq L_{g(u), x}(t) \) we get \( F_{g(u), z}(t) = 1 \) and \( L_{g(u), z}(t) = 0 \) for all \( t > 0 \).
Thus \( g(u) = z \), therefore \( f(u) = g(u) = z \) that is \( u \) is coincidence point of \( f \) and \( g \).
Since \( f \) and \( g \) are (DS)-weakly commuting at coincidence point so \( f \) and \( g \) are (DS_f)-weakly commuting at coincidence points. Therefore there exists a positive real number \( R \) such that
\[
F_{fgu, ggu}(t) \geq F_{fu, gu}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{fgu, ggu}(t) \leq L_{fu, gu}(t).
\]
Thus \( F_{fgu, ggu}(t) = 1 \) and \( L_{fgu, ggu}(t) = 0 \). So \( fgu = ggu \) that is \( fz = gz \).
Again using (1), we have
\[
F_{g(x_n), z}(t) \geq F_{f(x_n), f(z)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g(x_n), z}(t) \phi(t) \leq L_{f(x_n), f(z)}(t).
\]
Letting \( n \to \infty \) we have
\[
F_{z, g(z)}(t) \geq F_{f(z), f(z)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{z, g(z)}(t) \phi(t) \leq L_{f(z), f(z)}(t) \quad \text{for all} \ t > 0. \]
Applying Lemma (2.3), we get \( g(z) = z \) thus \( f(z) = g(z) = z \).
Let us prove that \( z \) is a unique common fixed point of \( f \) and \( g \). For this purpose let us suppose that there exists another common fixed point \( w \) of \( f \) and \( g \) then by (1) we have
\[
F_{g(z), g(w)}(t) \geq F_{f(z), f(w)}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{g(z), g(w)}(t) \phi(t) \leq L_{f(z), f(w)}(t) \quad \text{for all} \ t > 0. \]
Thus we have
\[
F_{z, u}(t) \geq F_{z, u}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{z, u}(t) \phi(t) \leq L_{z, u}(t) \quad \text{for all} \ t > 0. \]
Applying Lemma (2.3) it follows that \( z = w \).

Example 3.3. Let \( X = [0,10) \) with the metric \( d \) defined by \( d(x, y) = |x - y| \) and for each \( t \in (0,1) \) define
Clearly \((X, F, L, T, S)\) be a complete intuitionistic Menger space where \(T\) is defined by \(T(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}\) and \(S\) is defined by \(S(a, b) = \max\{a, b\}\). Define \(f, g : X \to X\)

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\
x & \text{if } x \neq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

and

\[
g(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x = 1 \\
\frac{1}{2x+1} & \text{if } x \neq 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\(\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}, t > 0\). Then \(g(x) \subseteq f(x)\).

We can see that there exists no increasing or decreasing sequence \(\{x_n\}\) in \(X\) for which \(\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g(x_n)\).

Thus the mappings \(f\) and \(g\) are not compatible. But we can observe that the mappings are (DS)-weakly commuting at coincidence point \(x = 1\) for all positive real values of \(R\). Further we can observe that condition (1) is satisfied for \(\phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\). Thus all the conditions of theorem 3.1 are satisfied. So \(f\) and \(g\) have a unique common fixed point. It is easy to see that this point is \(x = 1\). It should be noted that both the mappings involved in this example are discontinuous even at the common fixed point \(x = 1\).

**Remark 2.7.**

(i) We improve Theorem A in sense that we prove our Theorem 3.1 without assuming continuity of any mapping.

(ii) Theorem 3.1 is intuitionistic Menger version of Theorem B.

(iii) In Theorem 3.1, (DS)-weak commutativity for mappings \(f\) and \(g\) at coincidence points can be replaced by the condition \(R\)-weak commutativity for mappings \(f\) and \(g\) at coincidence points.

(iv) We improve Theorem B in the settings of intuitionistic Menger spaces.
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