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#### Abstract

Novel 5'-norcarbocyclic adenine and guanine phosphonic acid analogues with 6'-electronegative moiety such as unsaturated $C$ - $C$ bond were designed and synthesized from commercially available 2-methylene-propane-1,3-diol (4). Regioselective Mitsunobu reaction successfully proceeded from an allylic functional group ( $\pm$ )12b at low reaction temperature in polar cosolvent (DMF/1,4-dioxane) to give purine phosphonate analogues $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 3}$ and $( \pm)-\mathbf{2 0}$. The purine nucleoside phosphonate and phosphonic acid analogues were subjected to antiviral screening against HIV-1. Guanine analogue ( $\pm$ )-23 shows significant anti-HIV activity in PBM cell lines $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=8.1 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.
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## Introduction

Several phosphonate and phosphonic acid derivatives of purines and pyrimidines have emerged as potent antiviral agents. ${ }^{1}$ The spacial location of the oxygen atom, namely the $\beta$-position from the phosphorus atom in the nucleoside analogue, plays a critical role in the antiviral activity. This increased antiviral activity with this oxygen atom may be attributed to the increased binding capacity of the phosphonate analogues to target enzymes. ${ }^{2}$ Moreover, a phosphonate nucleoside analogue can skip the requisite first phosphorylation, which is a crucial step for the activation of nucleosides. ${ }^{3}$
As mimics of nucleoside monophosphates, these nucleotide analogues exert their antiviral effect following sequential activation by cellular kinases to their diphosphate derivatives (nucleoside triphosphate analogues) which act as potent inhibitors of viral DNA polymerases. ${ }^{4}$ A selective inhibition for these enzymes as opposed to host cell DNA polymerases is critical for the potential use of such compounds as drugs. Various attempts to improve the selectivity index have led to rigid nucleoside analogues modified in their cyclopentane ring system by introduction of unsaturations, sometimes with an exomethylene moiety in 6 '-position.

Among these compounds, entecavir ${ }^{5} \mathbf{1}$ is being clinically used as anti-HBV drugs (Figure 1). Pyrimidine isonucleoside 2 with a methylene ${ }^{6}$ moiety in place of carbon atom of the furanose ring was reported to show antiviral activity, especially anti-HIV activity. The phosphonate analogue 3 was successfully prepared and evaluated for its inhibitory effect on the replication of retroviruses, including Rauchermurine Leukemia virus (R-MuLV) and HIV-1. Furthermore, compound $\mathbf{3}$ was superior to d 4 T in inhibiting R-MuLV at three orders magnitude low concentration, indicating that the murine model might be useful to evaluate $\mathbf{3}$ for its in vivo efficacy against the retrovirus. ${ }^{7}$ Molecular modeling studies demonstrated the presence of an electronegative moiety at
the 6 '-position that could accommodate these substitutions and contribute to the observed enhancement in potency in anti-HIV activity. ${ }^{8}$
Stimulated by these findings that 6 '-electronegative nucleoside analogues and 5 '-norcarbocyclic nucleoside phosphonates have excellent biological activities, we sought to synthesize a novel class of nucleosides comprising 6'-methylene-5'-norcarbocyclic phosphonic acid analogues in order to search for more effective therapeutics against HIV and to provide analogues for probing the conformational preferences of enzymes associated with the nucleoside kinases of nucleosides and nucleotides.

As depicted in Scheme 1, the target compounds were prepared from 2-methylene-propane-1,3-diol (4). Monosilylation of diol $\mathbf{4}$ and subsequent oxidation of corresponding allylic alcohol 5 gave $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde 6. The aldehyde functional group of 6 was subjected to carbonyl addition reaction by vinylmagensium bromide ${ }^{9}$ to furnish the secondary alcohol $( \pm)-7$, which was successfully protected using $p$-methoxybenzyl chloride $(\mathrm{PMBCl})^{10}$ to provide compound $( \pm)$-8. Removal of the silyl protecting group of ( $\pm$ )-8 using tetra $n$-butylammonium fluoride (TBAF) gave




Figure 1. Structures of nucleoside analogues as potent antiviral agents.


Reagents: i) TBDMSCI, imidazole, DMF; ii) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$; iii) vinylMgBr, THF; iv) PMBCI, NaH, DMF; v) TBAF, THF; vi) Grubbs (II), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of 6'-methylene cyclopentene intermediate ( $\pm$ )-12b.
the primary alcohol $( \pm)-9$, which was oxidized to the aldehyde $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 0}$ using same oxidation conditions as described for $\mathbf{6}$. The aldehyde $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 0}$ was subjected to nucleophilic Grignard conditions with vinylmagnesium bromide to give divinyl ( $\pm$ )-11, which was subjected to ring-closing metathesis (RCM) conditions using $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation Grubbs catalyst $\left(\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{65} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{PRu}\right)^{11}$ to provide 6'-cyclopentenol ( $\pm$ )-12a (38\%) and ( $\pm$ )-12b (39\%), which were readily separated by silica gel column chromatography. The Nuclear Overhauser Enhancement (NOE) experiments with cyclopentenols ( $\pm$ )12a and ( $\pm$ )-12b confirmed these assignments. As expected, NOE enhancements were found between the cis-oriented hydrogens. Upon irradiation of $C_{1}-H$, weak NOE patterns were observed at the proximal hydrogens of compound ( $\pm$ )12b $\left[\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}-(1.9 \%)\right]$ versus those of compound $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 2 a}$ [ $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ - CH - (3.0\%)] (Figure 2).

To synthesize the desired 5'-norcarbocyclic adenosine nucleoside analogues, the protected cyclopentenol $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 2 b}$ was treated with 6 -chloropurine under Mitsunobu conditions ${ }^{12}\left(\mathrm{DEAD}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$. The appropriate choice of solvent system, temperature and procedure are essential for the regioselectivity as well as for the yield. In purine synthesis, a mixture of dioxane and DMF were used as the solvent for the coupling of the cyclopentenol $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 2 b}$ with 6-chloropurine instead of THF. The heterocyclic bases had a better solubility in the dioxane-DMF mixture resulting in better yields. Slow addition of diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD) to a mixture of cyclopentenol $( \pm)$-12b, triphenylphosphine and the 6 -chloropurine in anhydrous cosolvent (dioxane-DMF)

( $\pm$ )-12a

$( \pm)$-12b

Figure 2. NOE differences between the proximal hydrogens of ( $\pm$ )12a and ( $\pm$ )-12b.


Reagents: i) 6-chloropurine, $\mathrm{DEAD}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, 1,4-dioxane/DMF; ii) DDQ , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1); iii) (EtO) $)_{2} \mathrm{POCH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$, LiO-t-Bu, THF; iv) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; v) TMSBr, 2,6-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
Scheme 2. Synthesis of 6'-methylene cyclopentenyl adenine phosphonic acid $( \pm)-17$.
gave a yellow solution, which was stirred for 2.0 h at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further stirred overnight at rt to give the protected 6chloropurine analogue ( $\pm$ )-13 as an only $N^{9}$-regioisomer [UV (MeOH) $\left.\lambda_{\text {max }} 264.5 \mathrm{~nm}\right] .{ }^{13}$ The PMB protection group was removed with 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano- $p$-benzoquinone (DDQ) ${ }^{14}$ to produce the $5^{\prime}$-nornucleoside analogue ( $\pm$ )14, which was treated with diethylphosphonomethyl triflate ${ }^{15}$ using lithium $t$-butoxide to yield the nucleoside phosphonate analogue $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 5}$ (Scheme 2). The chlorine group of $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 5}$ was then converted to amine with methanolic ammonia at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the corresponding adenine phosphonate derivative $( \pm)-16$. Hydrolysis of $( \pm)-16$ by treatment with bromotrimethylsilane in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ in the presence of 2,6lutidine gave an adenine phosphonic acid derivative $( \pm)$-17 (Scheme 2). ${ }^{16}$

The cyclopentenol intermediate ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{1 9}$ was also used for the synthesis of 2,6-disubstituted purine analogues such as guanine derivative ( $\pm$ )-23. Regioselective coupling of the enol ( $\pm$ )-19 with 2-fluoro-6-chloropurine ${ }^{17}$ under the similar conditions for 6 -chloropurine gives analogue ( $\pm$ )-20. Bubbling ammonia into the compound ( $\pm$ )-20 gave separable 2-fluoro-6-aminopurine ${ }^{18}$ analogue ( $\pm$ )-21 (11\%) and 2-amino6 -chloropurine analogue ( $\pm$ )-22 (57\%), respectively. 2-Amino-6-chloropurine derivative ( $\pm$ )-22 was treated with TMSBr to provide phosphonic acid and sequentially treated sodium methoxide and 2-mercaptoethanol in methanol to give desired guanine phosphonic acid ( $\pm$ )-23 (Scheme 3 ). ${ }^{19}$

The synthesized nucleoside phosphonate and phosphonic acid analogues $( \pm)-16,( \pm)-17,( \pm)-21,( \pm)-22$ and $( \pm)-23$ were then evaluated for antiviral activity against human immunodeficiency virus. The procedures for measuring the antiviral activity toward wild-type HIV and cytotoxicity have been reported previously. ${ }^{20}$ As shown in Table 1,

$( \pm)-22: X=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}(57 \%)$
Reagents: i) $(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{POCH}_{2} \mathrm{OTf}, \mathrm{LiO}-t$-Bu, THF; ii) $\mathrm{DDQ}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1); iii) 2-fluoro-6-chloropurine, DEAD, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, 1,4-dioxane/DMF; iv) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{DME}$, rt; v) (a) TMSBr, 2,6-lutidine, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (b) NaOMe , $\mathrm{HSCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of 6'-methylene cyclopentenyl guanine phosphonic acid $( \pm)$-23.

Table 1. Median effective $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}\right)$ and inhibitory $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ concentration of synthesized nucleoside analogues in PBM and Vero cells

| Compound <br> No. | Anti-HIV-1 in <br> PBM cells EC <br> 50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{c}$ |  | | Cytotoxicity in |
| :---: |
| PMB cells IC ${ }_{50}$ |
| $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{d}$ | | Cytotoxicity in |
| :---: |
| Vero cells IC $_{50}$ |
| $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{d}$ |

${ }^{a}$ AZT: azidothymidine. ${ }^{b}$ PMEA: 9-[2-(phosphonomethoxy)ethyl]adenine. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mathrm{M}): \mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are for $50 \%$ inhibition of virus production as indicated by supernatant RT levels. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mathrm{M})$ : $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values indicates $50 \%$ inhibition of cell growth.
guanine nucleoside phosphonic acid ( $\pm$ )-23 exhibits significant anti-HIV activity. However, nucleoside analogues ( $\pm$ )16, $( \pm)-17,( \pm)-21$, and $( \pm)-22$ showed weak anti-HIV activity or cytotoxicity at concentrations up to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$.
In summary, based on the potent anti-HIV activity of 6 'electronegative nucleosides and 5 '-norcarbocyclic nucleoside analogues, we have designed and successfully synthesized novel 6'-methylene-5'-norcarbocyclic nucleoside analogues starting from 2-methylene-propane-1,3-diol (4). The synthesized nucleotide guanine ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{2 3}$ exhibited improvement in cell-based activity compared with adenine phosphonic acid $( \pm)-17$. Although the nucleotide analogue 3 inhibits in vitro anti-HIV activity, the carbocyclic versions shows weak anti-HIV activity except guanine analogue ( $\pm$ )23. Since rigid cyclopentene carbocycles are not perfect


Figure 3. Superimposed conformations of nucleoside analogue 3, as anti-HIV agent and an adenosine phosphonic acid derivative 17. The lowest energy conformation for each molecules was calculated with the modeling package Spartan 02 and energy minimization with semi-empirical force field (PM3).
mimics for ribofuranose moiety, the mechanisms of virus inhibition, that is, either phosphorylation or inhibition of RNA synthesis, might be impaired in these compounds. Homologation of 6 '-position is another possible reason for the apparent lack of activity. Figure 3 shows the superposition of the calculated low energy conformers of $\mathbf{3}$ and 17, highlighting the two difference parts such as purine bases and phosphonic acid functional moieties.

## Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Mel-temp II laboratory device and are uncorrected. NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL 300 Fourier transform spectrometer (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan); chemical shifts are reported in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) and signals are reported as $s$ (singlet), $d$ (doublet), $t$ (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet) and dd (doublet of doublets). UV spectra were obtained on a Beckman DU-7 spectrophotometer (Beckman, South Pasadena, CA, USA). MS spectra were collected in electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. The elemental analyses were performed using a Perkin-Elmer 2400 analyzer (Perkin-Elmer, Norwalk, CT, USA). TLC was performed on Uniplates (silica gel) purchased from Analtech Co. (7558, Newark, DE, USA). All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen unless otherwise specified. Dry dichloromethane, benzene and pyridine were obtained by distillation from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Dry THF was obtained by distillation from Na and benzophenone immediately prior to use.

2-( $t$-Butyldimethylsilanyloxymethyl)prop-2-en-1-ol (5). To a stirred solution of compound $4(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 39.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $4.05 \mathrm{~g}, 59.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL}), t-$ butyldimethylsilyl chloride ( $6.28 \mathrm{~g}, 41.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 4 h , and quenched by adding a $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was extracted using EtOAc ( 200 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and then concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:7) to give 5 ( $6.27 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as a colorless syrup: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 147.43,111.04,65.05,64.59,25.83,18.26,-5.46$.

2-(t-Butyldimethylsilanyloxymethyl)propenal (6). To a mixture of allylic alcohol $5(838 \mathrm{mg}, 4.14 \mathrm{mmol})$, manganese (IV) dioxide ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 12.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and refluxed overnight. Additional manganese (IV) dioxide ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 2.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and refluxed for an additional 12 h . The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. The resulting mixture was filtered through a pad of celite, washed with ethyl acetate. The filtrate and washings were condensed in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, $1: 15$ ) to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde 6 ( $622 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.42-6.26 (m, 2H), $4.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 192.3,154.9,128.7,65.5$, 25.4, 18.6, -5.6.
( $\pm$ )-2-( $\boldsymbol{t}$-Butyldimethylsilanyloxymethyl)penta-1,4-dien-3-ol (7). To a solution of $6(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 7.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, vinylmagnesium bromide $(9.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) was slowly added at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred 5 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 mL ) was added to the mixture, which was slowly warmed to rt. The mixture was diluted with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 100$ mL ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:15) to give $7(1.34 \mathrm{~g}$, $76 \%)$ as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 5.91$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81$ (s, 9H), $0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 152.2$, 137.5, 115.8, 108.4, 76.6, 68.1, 25.5, -5.5; MS m/z 229 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
( $\pm$ )- $t$-Butyl-[3-(4-methoxybenzyloxy)-2-methylenepent-4-enyloxy]dimethylsilane (8). NaH ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil, $131 \mathrm{mg}, 3.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added portion-wise to a cooled ( 0 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of secondary alcohol $7(632 \mathrm{mg}, 2.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ and p-methoxybenzyl chloride ( $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at rt. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by extraction with diethyl ether $(2 \times 70 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexane, 1:15) to give $8(675 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.29-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), ~ 6.93-6.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.5,151.2,143.4,133.7$, $128.7,115.5,108.5,79.6,74.1,68.0,56.3,25.7,18.4,-5.5$; MS $m / z 349(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
( $\pm$ )-3-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-2-methylenepent-4-en-1-ol (9). To a solution of $\mathbf{8}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 3.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(16 \mathrm{~mL})$, TBAF ( $5.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ solution in THF) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred overnight at rt and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (Hexane/EtOAc, 5:1) to give 9 ( 807 mg , $89 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.30-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
6.92-6.86 (m, 2H), $5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.7,152.0,142.7,132.5,127.8,116.3$, 107.6, 78.7, 73.6, 67.6, 57.5; MS m/z $235(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
( $\pm$ )-3-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-2-methylenepent-4-enal (10). Aldehyde derivative 10 was synthesized from 9 by the similar procedure as described for 6: yield $78 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-$ $6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 191.5$, $159.5,154.7,142.8,132.0,129.5,116.1,76.1,73.5,56.2$; MS $m / z 233(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(3R and 3S,5S)-5-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-4-methyl-enehepta-1,6-dien-3-ol (11). Divinyl analogue 11 was synthesized as a diastereomeric mixture from aldehyde $\mathbf{1 0}$ by a procedure similar to that described for 9 as diastereomeric mixture: yield $77 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.31-$ $7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-$ $5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
(rel)-(1R,4S)-4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylenecyclo-pent-2-enol (12a) and (rel)-(1S,4S)-4-(4-Methoxybenzyl-oxy)-5-methylenecyclopent-2-enol (12b). To a solution of $11(140.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methylene chloride ( 5 mL ) was added $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation Grubbs catalyst ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0235$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was refluxed overnight and cooled to rt. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1:10) to give cyclopentenol 12a ( 47 mg , $38 \%$ ) and 12b (49 mg, 39\%). Data for 12a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-$ $5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.7,151.6$, 138.4, 137.1, 132.2, 129.3, 126.9, 108.5, 80.5, 77.0, 74.2, 56.4; MS m/z $233(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Data for 12b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.31-7.23$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 159.5,152.0,138.7,137.3,133.7,128.8,127.5$, 107.9, 81.1, 76.7, 73.5, 57.2; MS m/z 233 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$.
(rel)-(1R,4S)-9-[4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylene-cyclopent-2-en-1-yl] 6-chloropurine (13). To a solution containing compound 12b ( $183 \mathrm{mg}, 0.744 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triphenylphosphine ( $528 \mathrm{mg}, 2.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6-chloropurine ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 1.488 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous cosolvent (1,4-dioxane, 8.0 mL and $\mathrm{DMF}, 6.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), diethyl azodicarboxylate (DEAD) ( $0.271 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.488 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at the same temperature under nitrogen and further stirred overnight at rt . The solvent was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 3:1) to give compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ): mp $162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 264.5$ $\mathrm{nm}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.19 (m, 2H), 6.91-6.84 (m, 2H), $5.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 159.5$, 149.9, 147.4, 141.3, 136.6, 131.2, 124.7, 108.5, 81.8, 74.6, 62.3, 57.0; MS m/z $369(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1R,4S)-9-(4-Hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclopent-2-en-1-yl)-6-chloropurine (14). To a solution of compound 13 $(156 \mathrm{mg}, 0.423 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL}, 10: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ was added DDQ ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.623 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to quench the reaction, which was then stirred for 2 h at rt . The mixture was diluted with water ( 100 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane/MeOH, 4:1:0.05) to give compound 14 (72 $\mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ): mp $166-168{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 264.5 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.74 (dd, $J=5.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68$ (dd, $J=5.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.15 (dd, $J=4.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~d}, 3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94$ (d, $J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 152.4,151.3,147.1,143.8,138.6,135.2$, 125.7, 108.1, 78.2, 61.5; MS m/z $249(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1R,4S)-Diethyl [9-(4-hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclo-pent-2-en-1-yl)-6-chloropurine] phosphonate (15). Both $\mathrm{LiO} t-\mathrm{Bu}(2.98 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 0.5 M solution in THF, 1.488 mmol ) and a solution of diethyl phosphonomethyltriflate $(417 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.392 mmol ) in 11.0 mL of THF were slowly added to a solution of the 6 -chloropurine nucleoside analogue 14 (173 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.696 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10.0 mL of THF at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight at rt under nitrogen. The mixture was quenched by adding saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 7 mL ) and further diluted with additional $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Hexane} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 0.05: 4: 1$ ) to give 15 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ) as a foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 300$ $\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ (d, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m} 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 151.8,151.3,150.4,147.5,145.2$, 132.2, 130.2, 125.2, 108.2, 84.2, 65.5, 64.8, 62.3, 60.6, 14.5; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}: \mathrm{C}, 48.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.06 ; \mathrm{N}, 14.05$; Found: C, 48.21; H, 5.09; N, 14.03; MS m/z 399 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$.
(rel)-(1R,4S)-Diethyl [9-(4-hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclo-pent-2-en-1-yl)adenine] phosphonate (16). A solution of $15(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.426 \mathrm{mmol})$ in saturated methanolic ammonia $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred overnight at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a steel bomb, and the volatiles were evaporated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 8$ ) to give 16 ( $93 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) as a white solid: $\mathrm{mp} 148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; UV $(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max } 261.5 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable), 5.75 (dd, $J=5.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7$,
$3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-$ $4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 1.38-1.36 (m 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 154.7, 152.3, 150.3, 147.3, 145.3, 132.3, 130.6, 126.0, 107.6, 83.7, 64.2, 62.6, 61.2, 14.6; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}$ (+1.0 MeOH): C, 49.63; H, 6.37; N, 17.02; Found: C, 49.60; H, 6.39; N, 17.05; MS m/z $380(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1'R,4'S)-[9-(4-hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclopent-2-en-1-yl) adenine]-4-phosphonic Acid (17). To a solution of the phosphonate $16(159 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 2,6-lutidine $(0.978 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added trimethylsilyl bromide ( $0.642 \mathrm{mg}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated overnight at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen gas and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(110 \mathrm{~mL})$ and distilled water $(110 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then freeze-dried to give phosphonic acid $17(108 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a yellowish foam: UV $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \lambda_{\max } 261.5 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=5.7,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 154.4,152.3,150.5,147.2,141.5$, 130.3, 124.3, 119.5, 108.1, 84.1, 67.3, 61.6; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}\left(+3.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, 38.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.34 ; \mathrm{N}, 18.56$; Found: C, 38.19; H, 5.36; N, 18.52; MS m/z $324(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1'S,4'S)-[4-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-5-methylene-cyclopent-2-enyloxymethyl]phosphonic Acid Diethyl Ester (18). Diethylphosphonate analogue 18 was synthesized from 12b by the similar procedure used for 15 : yield $57 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.27-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.82(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 5.75 (dd, $J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $75 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 159.2 .152 .0,130.6,129.3,128.7,116.5,107.2$, 83.0, 81.2, 72.8, 66.2, 64.4, 56.3, 16.7; MS m/z $383(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1'S,4'S)-[4-(4-Hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclopent-2enyloxymethyl)]phosphonic Acid Diethyl Ester (19). Deprotection of $\mathbf{1 8}$ was performed under the similar procedure as described for 14: yield $67 \%$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ $\delta 5.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.22-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.18$ (m, 5H), 4.05 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 151.7,132.2,129.3,108.1,83.2,77.3$, 65.2, 64.8, 17.1; MS m/z $263(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1'S,4'R)-Diethyl [9-(4-hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclo-pent-2-en-1-yl)-2-fluoro-6-chloropurine] phosphonate (20). Mitsunobu coupling of 19 with 2-fluoro-6-chloropurine under the similar reaction condition as described for 13: yield $41 \%$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 269.0 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56$ (dd, $J=5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 154.3$, 152.3, 151.8, 148.1, 146.3, 144.6, 130.6, 128.5, 125.1, 108.1, 83.9, 64.5, 62.1, 60.7, 17.4; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClFN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}$ : C, 46.11; H, 4.60; N, 13.44; Found: C, 46.08; H, 4.63; N, 13.41; MS m/z $417(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(rel)-(1'S,4'R)-Diethyl [9-(4-hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclo-pent-2-en-1-yl)-2-fluoro-6-aminopurine]phosphonate (21) and (rel)-(1'S,4'R)-diethyl [9-(4-hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclopent-2-en-1-yl)-2-amino-6-chloropurine]phosphonate (22). Dry ammonia gas was bubbled into a stirred solution of $20(850 \mathrm{mg}, 2.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DME ( 45 mL ) at room temperature overnight. The salts were removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1: 10\right)$ to give $21(104 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%)$ and 22 ( $566 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ), respectively: Data for 21; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ $268.0 \mathrm{~nm} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.88 (br s, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchangeable), 5.73 (dd, $J=5.6$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.58 (dd, $J=5.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.15-5.13$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ (d, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.17$ (m, 5H), 4.01 (d, $J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.37(\mathrm{~m} 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $155.0,152.3,151.8,147.2,146.6,144.5,130.2,128.3$, 125.2, 107.9, 84.4, 65.7, 64.8, 62.7, 17.8; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}(+1.0 \mathrm{MeOH}): \mathrm{C}, 47.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.87$; N, 16.31; Found: C, 47.59; H, 5.85; N, 16.28; MS m/z 398 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$. Data for 22; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 309.0 \mathrm{~nm}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO$\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable), 5.72 (dd, $J=5.7,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.54 (dd, $J=$ $5.6,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16$ (dd, $J=4.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.38-1.36 (m 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 154.7$, 151.9, 151.2, 147.6, 145.8, 143.1, 129.7, 124.6, 108.4, 83.5, 64.5, 63.9, 62.2, 16.9; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}(+1.0$ MeOH): C, 45.79; H, 5.65; N, 15.70; Found: C, 45.84; H, 5.63; N, 15.69; MS m/z 414 (M+H) ${ }^{+}$.
(rel)-(1'S,4'R)-9-(4-Hydroxy-5-methylene-cyclopent-2-en-1-yl)guanine]phosphonic Acid (23). To a solution of 22 $(13.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ dry DMF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trimethylsilyl bromide ( $75.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.575 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. After this mixture was stirred for 2 days, the solvent was removed, coevaporating three times with methanol. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 2-mercaptoethanol ( $9 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.132 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaOMe}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.132$ mmol ) was added to the mixture. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, cooled, neutralized with glacial AcOH , and evaporated to dryness under vacuum. The residue was purified by chromatography on a column of reversed-phase C 18 silica gel eluting water to give $23(6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%)$ as a white solid. UV ( $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \lambda_{\text {max }} 253.0 \mathrm{~nm}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 10.5\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable), 8.03 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98\left(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ exchangeable), 5.77 (dd, $J=$ $5.6,3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 75$
$\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 157.5,154.2,152.7,147.2,136.3,125.0,117.6$, 108.9, 84.3, 64.7, 64.1; Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{P}(+2.0$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): C, 38.40; H, 4.83; N, 18.66; Found: C, 38.36; H, 4.80; N, 18.61; MS m/z $340(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
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