

## INTEGRAL DOMAINS WITH FINITELY MANY STAR OPERATIONS OF FINITE TYPE

GYU WHAN CHANG

ABSTRACT. Let  $D$  be an integral domain and  $SF(D)$  be the set of star operations of finite type on  $D$ . We show that if  $|SF(D)| < \infty$ , then every maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal. We give an example of integrally closed quasi-local domains  $D$  in which the maximal ideal is divisorial (so a  $t$ -ideal) but  $|SF(D)| = \infty$ . We also study the integrally closed domains  $D$  with  $|SF(D)| \leq 2$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  be the set of nonzero fractional ideals of  $D$ . A mapping  $I \mapsto I^*$  of  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  into  $\mathbf{F}(D)$  is called a *star-operation* on  $D$  if for all  $0 \neq a \in K$  and  $I, J \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ , the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $(aD)^* = aD$  and  $(aI)^* = aI^*$ ,
- (2)  $I \subseteq I^*$ ;  $I \subseteq J$  implies  $I^* \subseteq J^*$ , and
- (3)  $(I^*)^* = I^*$ .

Given any star operation  $*$  on  $D$ , one can construct a new star operation  $*_f$  by setting  $I^{*f} = \cup\{J^* | J \text{ is a nonzero finitely generated subideal of } I\}$  for all  $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ . A star operation  $*$  on  $D$  is said to be of *finite type* if  $*_f = *$ . Obviously,  $(*_f)_f = *_f$ , and hence  $*_f$  is of finite type. Clearly,  $I^* = I^{*f}$  for all nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals  $I$  of  $D$ ; so if  $D$  is a Noetherian domain, then each star operation on  $D$  is of finite type. An  $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  is called a *\*-ideal* if  $I^* = I$ , while a *\*-ideal* is called

---

Received April 7, 2012. Revised June 7, 2012. Accepted June 10, 2012.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15, 13G05.

Key words and phrases: star operation of finite type, Prüfer domain, pseudo-valuation domain.

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0007069).

a *maximal  $*$ -ideal* if it is maximal among proper integral  $*$ -ideals. Let  $*\text{-Max}(D)$  denote the set of maximal  $*$ -ideals of  $D$ . It is well known that a maximal  $*$ -ideal is a prime ideal; each prime ideal minimal over a  $*_f$ -ideal is a  $*_f$ -ideal; and  $*_f\text{-Max}(D) \neq \emptyset$  if  $D$  is not a field. A star operation  $*$  on  $D$  is said to be *stable* if  $(I \cap J)^* = I^* \cap J^*$  for all  $I, J \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ . Recall that  $*$  is *endlich arithmetisch brauchbar (e.a.b.)* if  $(AB)^* \subseteq (AC)^*$  for all nonzero finitely generated fractional ideals  $A, B, C$  of  $D$  implies  $B^* \subseteq C^*$ .

The most well-known examples of star operations are the  $d$ -,  $v$ -, and  $t$ -operations. The  $d$ -operation is just the identity function on  $\mathbf{F}(D)$ ; so  $d = d_f$ . The  $v$ -operation is defined by  $I_v = (I^{-1})^{-1}$ , where  $I^{-1} = \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq D\}$ , and the  $t$ -operation is given by  $t = v_f$ . We say that a  $v$ -ideal is a *divisorial ideal*. For two star operations  $*_1$  and  $*_2$  on  $D$ , we mean by  $*_1 \leq *_2$  that  $I^{*1} \subseteq I^{*2}$  for all  $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ . Clearly, if  $*_1 \leq *_2$ , then  $(*_1)_f \leq (*_2)_f$ . We know that if  $*$  is any star operation on  $D$ , then  $d \leq * \leq v$ , and hence  $d \leq *_f \leq t$ . For basic properties of star operations, see [7, Sections 32 and 34].

Let  $S(D)$  (resp.,  $SF(D)$ ) be the set of star operations (resp., star operations of finite type) on  $D$ ; so  $SF(D) \subseteq S(D)$ . In [11, Proposition 2.1], it was shown that if  $|S(D)| < \infty$ , then each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal. It is clear that if  $|S(D)| < \infty$ , then  $|SF(D)| < \infty$ , but not vice versa (for example, if  $D$  is an h-local Prüfer domain that has infinitely many nondivisorial maximal ideals, then  $|SF(D)| = 1$  and  $|S(D)| = \infty$  [11, Corollary 3.2]). So it is reasonable to ask what happens if  $|SF(D)| < \infty$ . Specifically, is it true that  $|SF(D)| < \infty$  if and only if each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal? The purpose of this paper is to give an answer to this question. Precisely, we show that if  $|SF(D)| < \infty$ , then each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal. We give an example of integrally closed domains  $D$  in which each maximal ideal is a  $t$ -ideal but  $|SF(D)| = \infty$ . We also study the integrally closed domains  $D$  with  $|SF(D)| \leq 2$ .

## 2. Main Results

Let  $D$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . Let  $S(D)$  (resp.,  $SF(D)$ ) be the set of star operations (resp., star operations of finite type) on  $D$ .

We begin this section with a necessary condition for  $|SF(D)| < \infty$ , which is a simple modification of [11, Proposition 2.1(2)] that if  $|S(D)| < \infty$ , then each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $I$  be a nonzero finitely generated ideal of  $D$  with  $I_v = D$ . For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , let  $E^{*n} = (I^n : (I^n : E))$  for all  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ . Then  $*_n$  is a star operation on  $D$  such that  $(*_n)_f \neq (*_m)_f$  for all positive integers  $n \neq m$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $(I^n : I^n) = D$ ; so  $*_n$  is a star operation on  $D$  [10, Proposition 3.2]. Also, by the proof of [11, Proposition 2.1], for  $0 < m < n$ ,  $(I^n)^{*n} = I^n$  and  $(I^n)^{*m} = I^m$ . Note that  $I^n \neq I^m$  for  $n \neq m$  [13, Theorem 76] and  $I^n$  is finitely generated for all  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $(I^n)^{(*n)_f} = (I^n)^{*n} = I^n \neq I^m = (I^n)^{*m} = (I^n)^{(*m)_f}$ . Thus  $(*_n)_f \neq (*_m)_f$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 2. *If  $|SF(D)| < \infty$ , then each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal.*

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that there is a maximal ideal  $M$  of  $D$  with  $M_t = D$ . Then there is a nonzero finitely generated subideal  $I$  of  $M$  such that  $I_v = I_t = D$ . Hence if we set  $E^{*n} = (I^n : (I^n : E))$  for each  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$ , then  $*_n$  is a star operation on  $D$  such that  $(*_n)_f \neq (*_m)_f$  for all positive integers  $m \neq n$  by Lemma 1. Thus  $|SF(D)| = \infty$ , a contradiction. Thus each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal.  $\square$

Let  $SF_s(D)$  be the set of stable star operations of finite type on  $D$ ; so  $SF_s(D) \subseteq SF(D)$ . In [3, Theorem 4], it was shown that if  $\Omega$  is the set of nonzero prime ideals  $P$  of  $D$  with  $P_t = D$ , then  $|\Omega|+1 \leq |SF_s(D)| \leq 2^{|\Omega|}$ . Hence each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal if and only if  $|SF_s(D)| = 1$ .

COROLLARY 3. *If  $|SF_s(D)| \geq 2$ , then  $|SF(D)| = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* If  $|SF_s(D)| \geq 2$ , then  $D$  has at least one maximal ideal that is not a  $t$ -ideal [3, Theorem 4]. Thus  $|SF(D)| = \infty$  by Theorem 2.  $\square$

As in [8], we say that a prime ideal  $P$  of  $D$  is *strongly prime* if  $xy \in P$  and  $x, y \in K$  imply  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ , while  $D$  is a *pseudo-valuation domain* (PVD) if every prime ideal of  $D$  is strongly prime. It is known that  $D$  is a PVD if and only if  $D$  is quasi-local whose maximal ideal is strongly prime if and only if there exists a valuation overring  $V$  of  $D$  such that  $\text{Spec}(V) = \text{Spec}(D)$  [8, Theorem 2.7].

We next give an example of integral domains whose maximal ideals are  $t$ -ideals but  $|SF(D)| = \infty$ , which shows that the converse of Theorem 2 does not hold.

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the field of real numbers,  $y, z$  be indeterminates over  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $K = \mathbb{R}(y, z)$  be the quotient field of the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{R}[y, z]$ ,  $X$  be an indeterminate over  $K$ ,  $V = K[[X]]$  be the power series ring over  $K$  (so  $V$  is a rank-one DVR), and  $D = \mathbb{R} + XK[[X]]$ . It is clear that  $D$  is an integrally closed PVD,  $V/XK[[X]] = K$ , and  $D/XK[[X]] = \mathbb{R}$  (so  $\text{trdeg}(K, \mathbb{R}) = 2$ ). Hence  $D$  has infinitely many  $e.a.b.$  star operations of finite type [4, Theorem 4.10]. Thus  $|SF(D)| = \infty$ .

Given an  $e.a.b.$  star operation on an integrally closed domain  $D$ , the Kronecker function ring of  $D$  with respect to  $*$  is defined by

$$Kr(D, *) = \{0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid 0 \neq f, g \in D[X] \text{ and } c(f)^* \subseteq c(g)^* \right\},$$

where  $c(h)$  denotes the ideal of  $D$  generated by the coefficients of an  $h \in D[X]$ . It is well known that  $Kr(D, *)$  is a Bezout domain and  $Kr(D, *) \cap K = D$  [7, Theorem 32.7].

Let  $SF_e(D)$  be the set of  $e.a.b.$  star operations of finite type on  $D$ . It is known that  $SF_e(D) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $D$  is integrally closed [7, Corollary 32.8]. Also, there is a bijection between  $SF_e(D)$  and the set of Kronecker function rings of  $D$  (cf. [7, Remark 32.9]). We next give a lower bound of  $|SF_e(D)|$ . (Note that Example 4 shows that the equality of Proposition 5 need not hold, but the equality attains when  $D$  is a Prüfer domain.)

PROPOSITION 5. *If  $D$  is integrally closed, then  $|SF_s(D)| \leq |SF_e(D)|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $*$   $\in$   $SF_s(D)$ . Then we can construct an  $e.a.b.$  star operation  $*_c$  of finite type on  $D$  such that  $*\text{-Max}(D) = *_c\text{-Max}(D)$  [2, Lemma 3.1]. Recall that if  $*' \in SF_s(D)$ , then  $I^{*'} = \bigcap_{P \in *'\text{-Max}(D)} ID_P$  for all  $I \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  [1, Corollary 4.2]; so if  $*_1 \in SF_s(D)$  with  $*_1 \neq *$ , then  $*_1\text{-Max}(D) \neq *\text{-Max}(D)$ . Hence  $*_c\text{-Max}(D) \neq (*_1)_c\text{-Max}(D)$ , and thus  $*_c \neq (*_1)_c$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We next study the integrally closed domains  $D$  with  $|SF(D)| \leq 2$ . To do this, we first need the notion of a  $b$ -operation that is an  $e.a.b.$  star operation of finite type on an integrally closed domain  $D$  defined by  $E^b = \bigcap \{EV \mid V \text{ is a valuation overring of } D\}$  for all  $E \in \mathbf{F}(D)$  [7, pp. 397-398]. Clearly, the  $b$ -operation is defined on  $D$  if and only if  $D$  is

integrally closed [7, Corollary 32.8]. Also, it is easy to see that  $d = b$  if and only if  $D$  is a Prüfer domain [7, Theorem 24.7]. This result implies the following theorem.

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $D$  is integrally closed, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $D$  is a Prüfer domain.
- (2)  $|SF(D)| = 1$ .
- (3)  $|SF_s(D)| = |SF(D)| < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If  $D$  is a Prüfer domain, then  $d = t$ , and thus  $SF_s(D) = SF(D) = \{d\}$ . (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) This follows directly from Corollary 3. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Note that  $\{d, b\} \subseteq SF(D)$ ; so  $d = b$ . Thus  $D$  is a Prüfer domain.  $\square$

Recall that  $D$  is a  $v$ -domain if the  $v$ -operation on  $D$  is an  $e.a.b$  star operation; so  $Kr(D, v)$  is defined on a  $v$ -domain  $D$  and  $Kr(D, b) \subseteq Kr(D, *) \subseteq Kr(D, v)$  for any  $e.a.b$  star operation  $*$  on  $D$ . It is known that  $D$  is a  $v$ -domain if and only if each nonzero finitely generated ideal of  $D$  is  $v$ -invertible [7, Theorem 34.6]. Also,  $b = t$  if and only if  $D$  is a  $v$ -domain [5, Proposition 35]. As in [4], we say that  $D$  is a *vacant domain* if  $D$  has a unique Kronecker function ring. It is clear that  $D$  is a vacant domain if and only if the  $b$ -operation is a unique  $e.a.b$  star operation of finite type on  $D$ .

It is clear that PvMDs are  $v$ -domains, but  $v$ -domains need not be PvMDs (for example, a one-dimensional completely integrally closed domain that is not a valuation domain is a  $v$ -domain but not a PvMD (cf. [6, pp. 157-161])). However, if each maximal  $t$ -ideal of  $D$  is divisorial, then  $v$ -domains are PvMDs. (For if  $I$  is a nonzero finitely generated fractional ideal of  $D$ , then  $(II^{-1})_v = D$ , and hence  $II^{-1} \not\subseteq P$ , because  $P_v = P$ , for all  $P \in t\text{-Max}(D)$ . Thus  $(II^{-1})_t = D$ .)

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $D$  is an integrally closed domain with  $|SF(D)| = 2$ , then*

- (1)  $D$  is not a Prüfer domain,
- (2)  $D$  is a vacant  $v$ -domain whose maximal ideals are  $t$ -ideals, and
- (3)  $D$  has a nondivisorial maximal  $t$ -ideal.

*Proof.* (1) This follows directly from Theorem 6.

(2) Recall that  $d \leq b \leq t$ . If  $d = b$ , then  $D$  is a Prüfer domain, a contradiction. Hence  $b = t$  by hypothesis, and thus  $D$  is a vacant

$v$ -domain [5, Proposition 35]. Also, by Theorem 2, each maximal ideal of  $D$  is a  $t$ -ideal.

(3) By the remark before Theorem 7, if each maximal ideal of  $D$  is divisorial, then a  $v$ -domain is a Prüfer domain. Thus  $D$  has at least one maximal  $t$ -ideal that is not a divisorial ideal.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 8.** *Let  $D$  be an integrally closed PVD with maximal ideal  $M$ .*

- (1) *If  $D$  is not a valuation domain, then  $|SF(D)| \geq 3$ .*
- (2) *If  $|S(D)| = 2$ , then  $D$  is a valuation domain and  $M_v = D$ .*
- (3)  *$|SF(D)| \neq 2$ .*

*Proof.* (1) If  $|SF(D)| = 1$ , then  $D$  is a Prüfer domain by Theorem 6, and since  $D$  is quasi-local,  $D$  is a valuation domain. Next, if  $|SF(D)| = 2$ , then  $D$  is a  $v$ -domain by Theorem 7, and hence  $D$  is a Prüfer domain because  $M_v = M$ . Thus  $D$  is a valuation domain.

(2) Note that  $d \leq b \leq t \leq v$ ; so  $d \neq v$  and either  $d = b$  or  $b = v$ . If  $d = b$ , then  $D$  is a valuation domain, and since  $d \neq v$ , we have  $M_v = D$  [9, Lemma 5.2]. Next, if  $b = v$  (so  $t = v$ ), then  $S(D) = SF(D) = \{d, v\}$ , and hence  $D$  is a valuation domain by (1). But, in this case,  $d = t = v$ , a contradiction. Moreover, since  $d \neq v$ , we have  $M_v = D$  [9, Lemma 5.2].

(3) If  $D$  is a valuation domain, then  $|SF(D)| = 1$ . Thus  $|SF(D)| \neq 2$  by (1).  $\square$

*Added to the proof.* Recently, Houston, Mimouni and Park showed that if  $D$  is an integrally closed domain, then  $|SF(D)| < \infty$  if and only if  $D$  is a Prüfer domain [12, Theorem 5.3]. Thus, there does not exist an integrally closed domain  $D$  with  $|SF(D)| = 2$  (cf. Theorem 7) and if  $D$  is an integrally closed PVD that is not a valuation domain, then  $|SF(D)| = \infty$  (cf. Corollary 8(1) and (3)).

## References

- [1] D.D. Anderson, *Star-operations induced by overrings*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), 2535–2553.
- [2] G.W. Chang, *Prüfer  $*$ -multiplication domains, Nagata rings, and Kronecker function rings*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 309–319.
- [3] G.W. Chang, *On the cardinality of stable star operations of finite type on an integral domain*, C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I(2012), in press.

- [4] A. Fabbri, *Integral domains having a unique Kronecker function ring*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), 1069–1084.
- [5] M. Fontana and G. Picozza, *On some classes of integral domains defined by Krull's a.b. operations*, J. Algebra **341** (2011), 179–197.
- [6] M. Fontana and M. Zafrullah, *On  $v$ -domains: a survey*, in: M. Fontana, S. Kabbaj, B. Olberding, I. Swansm (Eds.), *Commutative Algebra, Noetherian and Non-Noetherian Perspectives*, Springer, New York, 2011, 145–180.
- [7] R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative Ideal Theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure Appl. Math. **90**, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1992.
- [8] J.R. Hedstrom and E.G. Housotn, *Pseudo-valuation domains*, Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), 137–147.
- [9] W.J. Heinzer, *Integral domains in which each non-zero ideal is divisorial*, Matematika **15** (1968), 164170.
- [10] W. Heinzer, J.A. Huckaba, and I. Papick,  *$m$ -canonical ideals in integral domains*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), 3021–3043.
- [11] E. Houston, A. Mimouni, and M.H. Park, *Integral domains which admit at most two star operations*, Comm. Algebra **39** (2011), 1907–1921.
- [12] E. Houston, A. Mimouni, and M.H. Park, *Integrally closed domains with only finitely many star operations*, preprint.
- [13] I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Polygonal Publishing House, Washington, New Jersey, 1994.

Department of Mathematics  
University of Incheon  
Incheon 406-772, Korea  
*E-mail*: whan@incheon.ac.kr