

## CO-CONTRACTIONS OF GRAPHS AND RIGHT-ANGLED COXETER GROUPS

JONGTAE KIM AND MYOUNGHO MOON

ABSTRACT. We prove that if  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  is a co-contraction of  $\Gamma$ , then the right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\widehat{\Gamma})$  embeds into  $C(\Gamma)$ . Further, we provide a graph  $\Gamma$  without an induced long cycle while  $C(\Gamma)$  does not contain a hyperbolic surface group.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite simple graph with the vertex set  $V(\Gamma) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and the edge set  $E(\Gamma)$ . Recall that a simple graph is a graph without loops and multiple edges. The *right-angled Coxeter group*  $C(\Gamma)$  on  $\Gamma$  is the group with the presentation, in which the generators are  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and relators are  $v_1^2, \dots, v_n^2$ , and  $[v_i, v_j]$  whenever  $e_{\{v_i, v_j\}} \in E(\Gamma)$ , where  $e_{\{u, v\}}$  denotes the only edge connecting the vertices  $u$  and  $v$ . Considering that the *right-angled Artin group* on  $\Gamma$  is the group with the presentation, in which the generators are  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and relators are  $[v_i, v_j]$  whenever  $e_{\{v_i, v_j\}} \in E(\Gamma)$ , the presentation of the right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma)$  has extra relators  $v^2$  for all  $v \in V(\Gamma)$  when it is compared with the presentation of the right-angled Artin group  $A(\Gamma)$  ([3]).  $\Gamma$  is called the *defining graph of*  $C(\Gamma)$ . By a *graph*, we mean a finite simple graph throughout the paper.

Motivated by 3-manifold theory, it has been an intriguing question when right-angled Coxeter groups and right-angled Artin groups contain a closed hyperbolic surface group. Many right-angled Artin groups and right-angled Coxeter groups are known to be 3-manifold groups. A right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma)$  contains a closed hyperbolic surface group if  $\Gamma$  contains an induced cycle  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) ([5]). Similar results for right-angled Artin groups were obtained in [13] and [8]. In fact, a right-angled Artin group  $A(\Gamma)$  contains a closed hyperbolic surface group if  $\Gamma$  contains an induced cycle  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) ([13]) or an

---

Received June 3, 2011.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20F36, 20F55, 20F65; Secondary 05C25.

*Key words and phrases*. right-angled Artin group, right-angled Coxeter group, hyperbolic surface subgroup.

Supported by Konkuk University in 2004.

induced anti-cycle  $\overline{C}_n$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) ([8]). Gordon, Long and Reid raised a question whether the converse also holds ([5]).

**Question 3.1** ([5]). *Does a right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma)$  contain a hyperbolic surface subgroup if and only if  $\Gamma$  contains an induced  $n$ -cycle for some  $n \geq 5$ ?*



FIGURE 1. The defining graph of the right-angled Coxeter group with the presentation  $\langle a, b, c \mid a^2, b^2, c^2, aba^{-1}b^{-1}, bcb^{-1}c^{-1} \rangle$ .

In order to answer the question for the right-angled Artin group case, Kim introduced an operation on a graph, called co-contraction. He proved that if  $\Gamma_2$  is a co-contraction of a graph  $\Gamma_1$ , then there is a monomorphism from  $A(\Gamma_2)$  to  $A(\Gamma_1)$ . Using this fact, he was able to show that  $A(\overline{C}_n)$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) contains a closed hyperbolic surface group but  $\overline{C}_n$  does not contain any cycle of length  $\geq 5$ , thereby gave a negative answer to the question. On the other hand, Bell gave a new proof on Kim's co-contraction lemma on right-angled Artin groups using classical methods from combinatorial group theory ([2]). Bell's method enables us to prove co-contraction theorem for right-angled Coxeter groups as well (see Theorem 2.5). It should be noted that Kim proved Theorem 2.5 for graph products of the cyclic groups of order  $m$  ( $0 < m \leq \infty$ ), which includes the right-angled Artin groups and the right-angled Coxeter groups in his dissertation ([7]). But the proof we give is different from than his.

In Section 2, we define co-contractions on graphs and go over Bell's method. Applying Bell's method to right-angled Coxeter group, we prove Theorem 2.5. In Section 3, as an application of Theorem 2.5, we give a negative answer to Question 3.1:

**Corollary 3.3.** *There is a right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma)$  containing a hyperbolic surface subgroup while its underlying graph  $\Gamma$  does not contain any induced  $n$ -cycle for  $n \geq 5$ .*

At the end of Section 3, using the results of Davis, Januszkiewicz [4] and Kim [7, 8], we provide examples of different types which justify Corollary 3.3, thereby answer negatively to Question 3.1 again.

## 2. Co-contractions of graphs and right-angled Coxeter groups

A *graph* is a 1-dimensional CW-complex. 0-cells are called *vertices* and closed 1-cells are called *edges*. We denote the vertex set of a graph  $\Gamma$  by  $V(\Gamma)$

and the edge set of a graph  $\Gamma$  by  $E(\Gamma)$ . A *loop* of a graph is an edge that is homeomorphic to the circle. A graph *with multiple edges* is a graph that contains two distinct edges sharing their two end points. A *simple graph* is a graph without loops and multiple edges. In this paper, by a graph we always mean a finite simple graph. Note that every edge is uniquely determined by its two end points in a finite simple graph. We denote the unique edge connecting two adjacent vertices  $a, b$  in a graph by  $e_{\{a,b\}}$ .

Recall that a subgraph  $\Gamma'$  of a graph  $\Gamma$  is called an *induced subgraph* of  $\Gamma$  if for each pair of vertices  $a$  and  $b$  of  $\Gamma'$ ,  $e_{\{a,b\}}$  is  $E(\Gamma')$  whenever  $e_{\{a,b\}}$  is in  $E(\Gamma)$ . We say that  $\Gamma_1$  *has*  $\Gamma_2$  *as an induced subgraph* (or  $\Gamma_1$  *has induced*  $\Gamma_2$ ) if  $\Gamma_1$  has an induced subgraph that is an isomorphic copy of  $\Gamma_2$ . Note that for a subset  $S$  of the vertex set of a graph  $\Gamma$ , there is a unique induced subgraph of  $\Gamma$  having  $S$  as the vertex set. This induced subgraph is called *the subgraph generated by*  $S$  and denoted by  $\Gamma_S$ . It is known that if  $\Gamma$  has  $\Gamma'$  as an induced subgraph, then  $C(\Gamma)$  ( $A(\Gamma)$ ) has a subgroup which is isomorphic to  $C(\Gamma')$  ( $A(\Gamma')$ ).

The *complement graph*  $\bar{\Gamma}$  of a graph  $\Gamma$  is the graph with  $V(\bar{\Gamma}) = V(\Gamma)$  and  $E(\bar{\Gamma}) = \{e_{\{a,b\}} \mid e_{\{a,b\}} \notin E(\Gamma)\}$ . The *n-cycle*, denoted by  $C_n$ , is the graph that is homeomorphic to the circle and has  $n$  edges ( $n = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ ). The complement graph  $\bar{C}_n$  of  $C_n$  is called the *anti n-cycle*.

Let  $v$  be a vertex in a graph  $\Gamma$ . The *link* of  $v$  is defined as the set of vertices adjacent to  $v$ . The link of  $v$  is denoted by  $link(v)$ . Note that  $v$  itself is not in the link of  $v$ . The *star* of  $v$ , denoted by  $star(v)$ , is defined as  $\{v\} \cup link(v)$ .

For basic facts on graphs, see [6].

**Definition** ([7, 8]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph. Let  $a, b$  be two different non-adjacent vertices of  $\Gamma$ . The *co-contraction*  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  *relative to*  $a, b$  is defined to be the graph with  $V(\hat{\Gamma}) = (V(\Gamma) - \{a, b\}) \cup \{v\}$  and  $E(\hat{\Gamma}) = E(\Gamma_{V(\Gamma) - \{a,b\}}) \cup \{e_{\{v,q\}} \mid q \in V(\Gamma) - \{a, b\} \text{ and } \{a, b\} \subset link(q)\}$ .

If  $a$  and  $b$  are not adjacent in a graph  $\Gamma$ , then the co-contraction  $\hat{\Gamma}$  is obtained by collapsing  $\{a, b\}$  onto one vertex  $v$ , removing all the edges connected to  $a$  or  $b$ , and adding new edges  $e_{\{v,q\}}$  if  $q \in V(\Gamma) - \{a, b\}$  and  $q$  is adjacent to both  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\Gamma$ . As a matter of fact, what Kim introduced was the co-contraction relative to an *anti-connected* subset  $S$  of  $V(\Gamma)$ . A subset  $S$  of  $V(\Gamma)$  is called *anti-connected* if  $\bar{\Gamma}_S$  is connected. He was able to prove the following proposition ([7, 8]).

**Proposition 2.1** ([7, 8]). *For any co-contraction  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$ , there is a monomorphism from  $A(\hat{\Gamma})$  to  $A(\Gamma)$ .*

Note that the set of two non-adjacent vertices is anti-connected. Kim observed that for a given graph  $\Gamma$  and its anti-connected subset  $B$ , the co-contraction  $\hat{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $B$  can be obtained from  $\Gamma$  by a finite successive sequence of co-contractions relative to two non-adjacent vertices. Therefore, it is enough to deal with the co-contractions relative two non-adjacent vertices

to prove the above proposition. Likewise, in order to set up a similar result for Coxeter group case, we only need to handle co-contractions relative two non-adjacent vertices.

Recently, Bell made use of Reidemeister-Schreier method to give another proof of Kim’s result on co-contractions ([2]). Reidemeister-Schreier method can be found in [1, 2, 9, 10]. Let  $G$  be a group given by the presentation  $\langle X|R \rangle$ , and suppose  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Then a useful presentation for  $H$  is given by Reidemeister-Schreier method.

**Proposition 2.2** (Reidemeister-Schreier method). *Let  $F$  be free with basis  $X$ , and let  $\pi : F \rightarrow G$  be the canonical map. Let  $P = \pi^{-1}(H)$ . And let  $T \subset F$  be a right Schreier transversal for  $P$  in  $F$ , i.e.,  $T$  is a complete set of right coset representatives such that for each element  $t$  in  $T$  any initial subword of  $t$  belongs to  $T$  again. Given  $w \in F$ , let  $\bar{w}$  be the unique element of  $T$  such that  $Pw = P\bar{w}$ . For each  $t \in T$  and  $x \in X$ , let  $s(t, x) = tx(\bar{tx})^{-1}$ . Define  $S = \{s(t, x) \mid t \in T, x \in X, \text{ and } s(t, x) \neq 1 \text{ in } F\}$ . Then  $S$  is a basis for the free group  $P$ . Define a rewriting process  $\tau : F \rightarrow P$  on freely reduced words over  $X$  by  $\tau(y_1y_2 \cdots y_n) = s(1, y_1)s(\bar{y_1}, y_2) \cdots s(\bar{y_1 \cdots y_{n-1}}, y_n)$ , where  $y_i \in X \cup X^{-1}$ . Then  $\tau(w) = w\bar{w}^{-1}$  for every freely reduced word  $w \in F$ , and  $H = \langle S \mid \tau(trt^{-1}) = 1 \text{ for all } t \in T \text{ and } r \in R \rangle$ .*

**Lemma 2.3** (Bell’s lemma [2]). *Suppose  $A(\Gamma)$  is a right-angled Artin group, and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Choose a vertex  $z \in V(\Gamma)$ , and define  $\phi : A(\Gamma) \rightarrow \langle x \mid x^n = 1 \rangle$  by  $\phi(z) = x$  and  $\phi(v) = 1$  if  $v \neq z$ . Then  $\ker \phi$  is the right-angled Artin group whose defining graph  $\Gamma'$  is obtained by gluing  $n$  copies of  $\Gamma - \text{star}(z)$  to  $\text{star}(z)$  along  $\text{link}(z)$ . Moreover, the vertices of  $\Gamma'$  naturally correspond to the following generating set.*

$$\begin{aligned} & \{z^n\} \cup \text{link}(z) \cup \{u \mid u \notin \text{star}(z)\} \cup \{zuz^{-1} \mid u \notin \text{star}(z)\} \cup \cdots \\ & \cup \{z^{n-1}uz^{1-n} \mid u \notin \text{star}(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Bell’s method can also be applied to right-angled Coxeter groups to obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Suppose  $C(\Gamma)$  is a right-angled Coxeter group. Choose a vertex  $z \in V(\Gamma)$ , and define  $\phi : C(\Gamma) \rightarrow \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  by  $\phi(z) = x$  and  $\phi(v) = 1$  if  $v \neq z$ . Then  $\ker \phi$  is the right-angled Coxeter group whose defining graph  $\Gamma''$  is the double of  $\Gamma - \{z\}$  along  $\text{link}(z)$ . Moreover, the vertices of  $\Gamma''$  naturally correspond to the following generating set.*

$$\text{link}(z) \cup \{u \mid u \notin \text{star}(z)\} \cup \{zuz^{-1} \mid u \notin \text{star}(z)\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = C(\Gamma)$  and  $F$  be free on  $X = V(\Gamma)$  and let  $R$  be the set of relators of  $C(\Gamma)$ . We apply Proposition 2.2 to get a proper presentation for  $\ker \phi$ . Let  $P$  be the inverse image of  $\ker \phi$  in  $F$  under the canonical map  $F \rightarrow G$ . It is easy to see that the set  $T = \{1, z\}$  is a right Schreier transversal for  $P$  in  $F$ . In order to find the generating set in Reidemeister-Schreier method, we have

to examine whether each of the following elements  $s(1, v), s(1, z), s(z, v), s(z, z)$  with  $v \neq z$  is trivial or not. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} s(1, v) &= v\bar{v}^{-1} = v \text{ if } v \neq z, \\ s(z, v) &= zv\bar{z}v^{-1} = zvz^{-1} \text{ if } v \neq z, \\ s(1, z) &= z\bar{z}^{-1} = 1, \\ s(z, z) &= z^2\bar{z}^2^{-1} = z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the generating set of  $\ker \phi$  we look for is the set  $\{s(z, z), s(1, v), s(z, v) \mid v \in V(\Gamma) - \{z\}\}$ . Next, to identify the relators, we need to compute  $\tau(trt^{-1})$  for each  $t \in T$  and  $r \in R$ . It is straightforward to compute the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(v^2) &= v^2\bar{v}^2^{-1} = v^2 = s(1, v)^2 \text{ if } v \neq z, \\ \tau(zv^2z^{-1}) &= zv^2z^{-1}\overline{zv^2z^{-1}}^{-1} = zv^2z^{-1} = s(z, v)^2 \text{ if } v \neq z, \\ \tau(z^2) &= z^2\bar{z}^2^{-1} = z^2 = s(z, z), \\ \tau(zz^2z^{-1}) &= z^2\bar{z}^2^{-1} = z^2 = s(z, z), \\ \tau([u, v]) &= [u, v]\overline{[u, v]}^{-1} = [u, v] = [s(1, u), s(1, v)] \\ &\text{if } u, v \neq z \text{ and } u, v \text{ are adjacent in } \Gamma, \\ \tau(z[u, v]z^{-1}) &= z[u, v]z^{-1}\overline{z[u, v]z^{-1}}^{-1} = z[u, v]z^{-1} = [s(z, u), s(z, v)] \\ &\text{if } u, v \neq z \text{ and } u, v \text{ are adjacent in } \Gamma, \\ \tau([z, w]) &= [z, w]\overline{[z, w]}^{-1} = [z, w] = s(z, w)s(1, w)^{-1} \\ &\text{if } w \in \text{link}(z) \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ \tau(z[z, w]z^{-1}) &= z[z, w]z^{-1}\overline{z[z, w]z^{-1}}^{-1} = z[z, w]z^{-1} = z^2wz^{-1}w^{-1}z^{-1} \\ &= z^2wz^{-2}zw^{-1}z^{-1} \\ &= s(z, z)s(1, w)s(z, z)^{-1}s(z, w)^{-1} \\ &\text{if } w \in \text{link}(z) \text{ in } \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s(z, z) = \tau(z^2)$ ,  $s(z, z) = 1$  in  $\ker \phi$ . Thus, the last equality implies that  $s(1, w) = s(z, w)$  in  $\ker \phi$  if  $w \in \text{link}(z)$ . Therefore,  $\ker \phi$  has the presentation in which generators are  $s(1, v), s(z, v)$  with  $v \in V(\Gamma) - \{z\}$ , and relators are  $s(1, v)^2, s(z, v)^2, [s(1, u_1), s(1, u_2)], [s(z, u_1), s(z, u_2)], s(z, w)s(1, w)^{-1}$  where  $v, u_1, u_2 \neq z$ , and  $u_1, u_2$  are adjacent, and  $w \in \text{link}(z)$ . The conclusion in the proposition follows.  $\square$

By using Lemma 2.3, Bell gave another proof of Proposition 2.1. Likewise, Proposition 2.4 gives rise to a similar result for right-angled Coxeter group.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For any co-contraction  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$ , there is a monomorphism from  $C(\widehat{\Gamma})$  to  $C(\Gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two non-adjacent vertices on  $\Gamma$ . And let  $\widehat{\Gamma}$  be the co-contraction of  $\Gamma$  relative to  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $v_{a,b}$  be the new vertex in  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ . Consider the homomorphism  $\phi : C(\Gamma) \rightarrow \langle x \mid x^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  by  $\phi(a) = x$  and  $\phi(v) = 1$  if  $v \neq a$ . By Proposition 2.4,  $\ker \phi \leq C(\Gamma)$  is the right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma'')$  whose defining graph  $\Gamma''$  is the double of  $\Gamma - \{a\}$  along  $\text{link}(a)$ . It is well-known that if  $\Gamma_1$  is an induced subgraph of  $\Gamma_2$ , then  $C(\Gamma_1)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $C(\Gamma_2)$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that  $\Gamma''$  has an induced subgraph which is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  be a copy of  $\Gamma$  and  $a'$  be the corresponding vertex to  $a$  in  $\Gamma'$ . Then  $\Gamma''$  can be regarded as the graph amalgamation of the induced subgraph generated by  $V(\Gamma) - \{a\}$  in  $\Gamma$  and the induced subgraph generated by  $V(\Gamma') - \{a'\}$  in  $\Gamma'$  along  $\text{link}(a) = \text{link}(a')$ . For each  $v \in V(\Gamma) - \text{star}(a) \subset V(\Gamma'')$ , we denote the corresponding vertex of  $v$  in the copy  $V(\Gamma') - \text{star}(a')$  by  $v'$ . Note that  $b \in V(\Gamma) - \text{star}(a)$  and so  $b' \in V(\Gamma') - \text{star}(a')$  in  $V(\Gamma'')$ . Consider the induced subgraph  $T$  of  $\Gamma''$  generated by  $V(T) := (V(\Gamma) - \{a, b\}) \cup \{b'\}$ . Let  $w \in V(T) - \{b'\}$ .  $w$  is adjacent to  $b'$  in  $T$  if and only if  $w \in \text{link}(a)$  and  $w$  is adjacent to  $b$  in  $\Gamma$ , that is,  $w$  is adjacent to both  $a$  and  $b$  in  $\Gamma$ . It is easy to see that  $T$  is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\Gamma}$ . In fact, sending  $b'$  to  $v_{a,b}$  and  $w$  to  $w$  for  $w \neq a, b$  defines an isomorphism  $T \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}$ .  $\square$

### 3. Negative examples for Gordon, Long, and Reid's question

By a hyperbolic surface group, we mean the fundamental group of a closed orientable surface of genus  $n$  for some  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $\text{Isom}(H^2)$  denote the group of isometries of  $H^2$ . Consider a regular  $n$ -gon in  $H^2$  with all its vertex angles equal to  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . It is known that the subgroup of  $\text{Isom}(H^2)$  generated by reflections in the sides of the regular  $n$ -gon is isomorphic to the right-angled Coxeter group  $C(C_n)$  ([5]). In [12], Scott showed that the subgroup of  $\text{Isom}(H^2)$  generated by reflections in the sides of the regular pentagon contains a subgroup of index four which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the non-orientable closed surface with Euler number  $-1$ . Therefore, we see that  $C(C_5)$  contains a subgroup of index eight which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the orientable closed surface of genus 2 ([11]). Using co-contraction, it can be seen that for each  $n \geq 5$  the right-angled Coxeter group  $C(C_n)$  contains  $C(C_5)$ , and so  $C(C_n)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup. Therefore for any graph  $\Gamma$  containing an induced  $n$ -cycle,  $C(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface group. In [5], Gordon, Long, and Reid asked if the converse holds while they proved that a word-hyperbolic (not necessarily right-angled) Coxeter group either is torsion-free or contains a hyperbolic surface group.

**Question 3.1** ([5]). *Does a right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma)$  contain a hyperbolic surface subgroup if and only if  $\Gamma$  contains an induced  $n$ -cycle for some  $n \geq 5$ ?*

In this section, we build up examples which provide a negative answer to this question using Theorem 2.5. First, note that for each  $n \geq 3$ , the anti  $n$ -cycle  $\overline{C_n}$  is the co-contraction of  $\overline{C_{n+1}}$  relative to a pair of non-adjacent vertices, which is adjacent in  $C_{n+1}$ , and so  $C(\overline{C_n})$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $C(\overline{C_{n+1}})$ . Since the 5-cycle is equal to its complement graph, that is,  $C_5 = \overline{C_5}$ ,  $C(\overline{C_n})$  contains  $C(C_5)$  as a subgroup for each  $n \geq 5$ . In fact, it is easy to see that  $C(C_5) = C(\overline{C_5}) \leq C(\overline{C_6}) \leq C(\overline{C_7}) \leq \dots$ . Therefore, if  $\Gamma$  contains an induced  $C_n$  or  $\overline{C_n}$  for some  $n \geq 5$ , then  $C(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup.

**Proposition 3.2.** *If  $\Gamma$  contains an induced  $C_n$  or  $\overline{C_n}$  for some  $n \geq 5$ , then  $C(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup.*

For  $n \geq 6$ ,  $\overline{C_n}$  does not contain induced  $C_m, m \geq 5$ . In fact,  $C_n$  does not contain an induced  $C_m$  as every vertex of  $\overline{C_m}$  has valence greater than 2 if  $m \geq 5$ . It can be seen easily that a graph  $\Gamma_1$  is an induced subgraph of a graph  $\Gamma_2$  if and only if  $\overline{\Gamma_1}$  is an induced subgraph of  $\overline{\Gamma_2}$ . Hence  $\overline{C_n}$  does not contain an induced  $C_m$ . It follows that  $C(\overline{C_n})$  ( $n \geq 6$ ) is a right-angled Coxeter group containing a hyperbolic surface group, while its underlying graph does not contain any induced  $n$ -cycle for  $n \geq 5$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *There is a right-angled Coxeter group  $C(\Gamma)$  containing a hyperbolic surface subgroup, while its underlying graph  $\Gamma$  does not contain any induced  $n$ -cycle for  $n \geq 5$ .*

This corollary gives a negative answer to Question 3.1. It is known that for each  $n \geq 5$  the right-angled Artin group  $A(C_n)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup ([13]). The above argument about anti  $n$ -cycles and their co-contractions was originally used by Kim [7, 8] to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4** ([7, 8]). *If  $\Gamma$  contains an induced  $C_n$  or  $\overline{C_n}$  for some  $n \geq 5$ , then  $A(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup.*

This proposition answered negatively to the right-angle Artin group version of Question 3.1, which was also raised by Gordon, Long, and Reid in [5]: Does a right-angled Artin group  $A(\Gamma)$  contain a hyperbolic surface subgroup if and only if  $\Gamma$  contains an induced  $n$ -cycle for some  $n \geq 5$ ?

It might be interesting to give different kinds of examples which justifies Corollary 3.3. We use the results of Kim [7, 8] and Davis, Januszkiewicz [4] to construct such examples. Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , construct a graph  $\Gamma''$  with the vertex set  $V(\Gamma'') = V(\Gamma) \times \{0, 1\}$  in the following way:

- Two vertices  $(a, 1), (b, 1)$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{0, 1\}$  are connected by an edge in  $\Gamma''$  if and only if  $(a, b) \in E(\Gamma)$ .
- $V(\Gamma) \times \{0\}$  forms a complete subgraph in  $\Gamma''$ .
- $(a, 0)$  and  $(b, 1)$  are connected by an edge if and only if  $a \neq b$ .

Davis and Januszkiewicz [4] showed that  $A(\Gamma)$  is a normal subgroup of index  $2^{|V(\Gamma)|}$  in  $C(\Gamma'')$ . So if  $A(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup, then  $C(\Gamma'')$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup.

Let  $n \geq 6$ . We claim that  $\Gamma''$  does not contain an induced  $m$ -cycle for all  $m \geq 5$ , when  $\Gamma = \overline{C_n}$ . Suppose not. Let  $C_m$  be an induced  $m$ -cycle for some  $m$  in  $\Gamma''$ . Since  $\overline{C_n}$  with  $n \geq 6$  does not contain an induced  $m$ -cycle for any  $m \geq 5$ , there must be at least one vertex of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{0\}$ . But since  $V(\Gamma) \times \{0\}$  forms a complete subgraph in  $\Gamma''$ , there can be at most two vertices of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{0\}$ .

First, suppose there are two vertices of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{0\}$ . Let  $(A, 0)$  and  $(B, 0)$  be such points. Since  $m \geq 5$ , there are at least three vertices of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{1\}$ . It follows that there is a vertex  $(P, 1)$  of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{1\}$  with  $P \neq A, B$ . The way we construct  $\Gamma''$  forces the induced cycle  $C_m$  to contain a 3-cycle whose vertices are  $(A, 0)$ ,  $(B, 0)$  and  $(P, 1)$ . For the case where there is only one vertex  $(A, 0)$  of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{0\}$ , note that there should be more than three vertices of  $C_m$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{1\}$ , since  $m \geq 5$ . Among these vertices, we can choose three vertices  $(P, 1)$ ,  $(Q, 1)$ ,  $(R, 1)$  with  $A \neq P, Q, R$ , which means the vertex  $(A, 0)$  has valence greater than 2 in  $C_m$ . This is a contradiction. This proves our claim.

Proposition 3.4 says that the right-angled Artin group  $A(\overline{C_n})$  ( $n \geq 5$ ) contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup, thereby  $C(\overline{C_n}'')$  has a hyperbolic surface subgroup although  $\overline{C_n}''$  does not contain any induced  $m$ -cycle for  $m \geq 5$ . This justifies Corollary 3.3 again.

Now we describe another example which ensures Corollary 3.3 is true. Given a graph  $\Gamma$ , we define a graph  $\Gamma'$  as follows: The vertex set of  $\Gamma'$  is  $V(\Gamma) \times \{-1, 1\}$ . The vertices  $(a, -1)$ ,  $(b, 1)$  in  $V(\Gamma) \times \{-1, 1\}$  are connected by an edge in  $\Gamma'$  if and only if  $a \neq b$  and  $(a, b) \in E(\Gamma)$ . Davis and Januszkiewicz [4] showed that  $C(\Gamma')$  is a normal subgroup of index  $2^{|V(\Gamma)|}$  in  $C(\Gamma'')$ .

**Proposition 3.5.**  *$A(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup if and only if  $C(\Gamma')$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup.*

*Proof.* It is known that any finite index subgroup of a hyperbolic surface group is isomorphic to a hyperbolic surface group. Suppose that  $A(\Gamma)$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup  $Q$ . Then  $C(\Gamma'')$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup  $Q$ . Since  $C(\Gamma')$  is a finite index subgroup of  $C(\Gamma'')$ ,  $C(\Gamma') \cap Q$  is a finite index subgroup of  $Q$ , so is isomorphic to a hyperbolic surface subgroup. Hence,  $C(\Gamma')$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup. The same argument holds for the converse, as  $A(\Gamma)$  is a finite index normal subgroup of  $C(\Gamma'')$   $\square$

An argument similar to the case of  $\overline{C_n}''$  shows that  $\overline{C_n}'$  with  $n \geq 6$  does not contain an induced  $m$ -cycle for all  $m \geq 5$ . But  $C(\overline{C_n}')$  contains a hyperbolic surface subgroup.

## References

- [1] G. Baumslag, *Topics in Combinatorial Group Theory*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1993.
- [2] R. W. Bell, *Combinatorial methods for detecting surface subgroups in right-angled Artin groups*, arxiv.org/1012.4208.
- [3] R. Charney, *An introduction to right-angled Artin groups*, *Geom. Dedicata* **125** (2007), 141–158.
- [4] M. W. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, *Right-angled Artin groups are commensurable with right-angled Coxeter groups*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **153** (2000), no. 3, 229–235.
- [5] C. McA. Gordon, D.D. Long, and A. W. Reid, *Surface subgroups of Coxeter and Artin groups*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **189** (2004), no. 1-3, 135–148.
- [6] J. Gross and T. W. Tucker, *Topological Graph Theory*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, 1987.
- [7] S. Kim, *Hyperbolic Surface Subgroups of Right-Angled Artin Groups and Graph Products of Groups*, PhD thesis, Yale University, 2007.
- [8] ———, *Co-contractions of graphs and right-angled Artin groups*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **8** (2008), no. 2, 849–868.
- [9] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977.
- [10] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory*, Dover Publications Inc., 1976.
- [11] W. Massey, *Algebraic Topology: An Introduction*, GTM **56**, Springer, 1977.
- [12] P. Scott, *Subgroups of surface groups are almost geometric*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **17** (1978), no. 3, 555–565.
- [13] H. Servatius, C. Droms, and B. Servatius, *Surface subgroups of graph group*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), no. 3, 573–578.

JONGTAE KIM  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 KONKUK UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 143-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `dkgurel@hammail.net`

MYOUNGHO MOON  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION  
 KONKUK UNIVERSITY  
 SEOUL 143-701, KOREA  
*E-mail address:* `mhmoon@konkuk.ac.kr`