# **Original Article**

J Prev Med Public Health 2013;46:346-352 • http://dx.doi.org/10.3961/jpmph.2013.46.6.346

pISSN 1975-8375 eISSN 2233-4521



# Association of Selected Medical Conditions With Breast Cancer Risk in Korea

Sun Jae Jung<sup>1,2</sup>, Minkyo Song<sup>1,3</sup>, Ji-Yeob Choi<sup>2,3</sup>, Nan Song<sup>2</sup>, Sue Kyung Park<sup>1-3</sup>, Keun-Young Yoo<sup>1</sup>, Daehee Kang<sup>1-3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Preventive Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul; <sup>2</sup>Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul; <sup>3</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, Seoul National University Graduate School, Seoul, Korea

Objectives: To estimate the effect of medical conditions in the population of Korea on breast cancer risk in a case-control study.

Methods: The cases were 3242 women with incident, histologically confirmed breast cancer in two major hospitals interviewed between 2001 and 2007. The controls were 1818 women each admitted to either of those two hospitals for a variety of non-neoplastic conditions. Information on each disease was obtained from a standardized questionnaire by trained personnel. Odds ratios (ORs) for each disease were derived from multiple logistic regression adjusted for age, age of menarche, pregnancy, age of first pregnancy, and family history of breast cancer.

Results: Among all of the incident breast cancer patients, pre-existing diabetes (OR, 1.33; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.99 to 1.78), hypertension (OR, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.18 to 1.83), thyroid diseases (OR, 1.26; 95% CI, 1.00 to 1.58), and ovarian diseases (OR, 1.70; 95% CI, 1.23 to 2.35) were associated with an increased risk of breast cancer when other factors were adjusted for. In a stratified analysis by menopausal status, pre-existing hypertension (pre-menopause OR, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.48 to 1.34 vs. post-menopause OR, 1.87; 95% CI, 1.44 to 2.43; *p*-heterogeneity < 0.01) and ovarian disease (pre-menopause OR, 4.20; 95% CI, 1.91 to 9.24 vs. post-menopause OR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.91; *p*-heterogeneity 0.01) showed significantly different risks of breast cancer.

Conclusions: Our results suggest the possibility that medical conditions such as hypertension affect breast cancer development, and that this can differ by menopausal status. Our study also indicates a possible correlation between ovarian diseases and breast cancer risk.

Key words: Breast neoplasms, Diabetes mellitus, Hypertension, Ovarian diseases, Menopause

# **INTRODUCTION**

Breast cancer is the second most common cancer among Korean women [1]. Multiple factors are associated with an in-

Received: August 1, 2013; Accepted: October 17, 2013 Corresponding author: Daehee Kang, MD, PhD 103 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 110-799, Korea

Tel: +82-2-740-8001, Fax: +82-2-747-4830

E-mail: dhkang@snu.ac.kr

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

creased risk of breast cancer, including age [2], gender [3], ethnicity [4], past history of breast cancer [5], reproductive and hormonal factors [6], family history and genetic factors [7], exposure to ionizing radiation [8], and environmental and lifestyle factors [9,10]. A high estrogen level is suspected to increase breast cancer risk [11], and other hormones such as progesterone, androgen derived from the ovaries and adrenal, thyroid hormones and insulin may play important roles in breast cancer development [11,12].

Certain medical conditions, such as hypothyroidism, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, obesity, hyperlipidemia, endometriosis, and ovarian cysts, have been reported to increase the risk of breast cancer associated with these altered hormone levels

[13-16]. In addition, some diseases with an inflammatory component by viral or bacterial infection or an auto-inflammatory sequence are known to frequently progress to cancer [17]. Menopause brings great changes to a woman's endocrine system, and the carcinogenesis process may differ in women with the same medical conditions depending on their menopausal status. However, evidence for the associations between previous diseases and breast cancer development according to menopausal status is lacking.

Although some of these studies illuminate the relationship between certain medical conditions and the risk of breast cancer, whether most pre-existing diseases increase or decrease the risk of breast cancer in the Korean population has not been fully or precisely addressed. In this study, pre-existing medical conditions showing a relatively high prevalence in the Korean population were selected according to their hormonal or inflammatory characteristics. The present analysis used data from a hospital-based case-control study to estimate the effect of medical conditions prevalent in a Korean population on breast cancer risk.

#### **METHODS**

The parent study was the Seoul Breast Cancer Study (SeBCS), which is a multicenter case-control study of incident breast cancer patients and controls recruited from hospitals or the community that has been conducted beginning in 1995. The design and the characteristics of the participants have been previously described in detail [18,19]. Because of the lack of availability of pre-existing information on some participants, only 3486 cases and 1894 controls were used in this study. After excluding participants with a previous cancer history from the interview in both the cases and controls, 3242 cases and 1818 controls were used for the final analysis.

The participants were interviewed with a standardized questionnaire by trained interviewers for items such as demographic characteristics, menstrual and reproductive history, family history of breast and other cancer, body size, physical activity, alcohol consumption, smoking habit, and information on pre-existing medical conditions. The status of menstruation was determined by asking the following question: "Do you have regular menstruation now or have you experienced menopause?" To determine pre-existing medical conditions, 8 items were asked: whether participants had had tuberculosis, diabetes mellitus, gastro-esophageal disease, liver disease, hyper-

tension, asthma, thyroid disease, and ovarian diseases before the interview was administered. Written informed consent was provided by all of the participants in the study, and the design of the study was approved by the Committee on Human Research of Seoul National University Hospital (IRB no., H-0503-144-004).

For continuous variables and categorical variables, t-tests and Pearson's chi-squared tests, respectively, were used to summarize the baseline characteristics of the study population. For associations between each pre-existing disease and the risk of breast cancer, odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated by unconditional logistic regression, adjusting for well-known risk factors of breast cancer. Considering frequent comorbid conditions such as diabetes and hypertension, additional analyses were performed by the combination of these two diseases. Further, additional adjustments were done for these two diseases virtually. Age at diagnosis or recruitment, age of menarche, and age of full-term pregnancy were applied in the model as continuous variables, whereas family history of breast cancer and experience of pregnancy were adjusted as categorical variables. Analysis was conducted by stratifying the menopausal status to evaluate the difference in the risk of breast cancer by each pre-existing disease. The test for heterogeneity was conducted for the strata difference. The Cochran's Q was calculated by summing the squared deviations of each estimate from the overall estimate. P for heterogeneity was calculated by comparing the statistic with a  $\chi^2$  distribution. All statistical analyses were conducted by SAS version 9.3 (SAS Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and STATA version 12 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

#### **RESULTS**

The mean age was 48.0 years for the cases and 48.3 years for the controls. As shown in Table 1, the mean age of menarche was younger in the cases than the controls. Among the cases, there were 91.2% of the participants who ever had pregnancy experience compared to 87.8% of the participants among the controls. Furthermore, 5.4% of the participants among the cases had a family history of breast cancer (3.7% among the controls) and 61.5% of the cases had ever had their breasts examined, including by mammography, ultra-sonography, or manual examination (67.6% among the controls). Additionally, people with breast cancer in this study tended to have smoked cigarettes more than the controls had (7.4% vs. 5.7%).

In logistic regression analyses, diabetes (OR, 1.33; 95% CI, 0.99 to 1.78), hypertension (OR, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.18 to 1.83), thyroid diseases (OR, 1.26, 95% CI, 1.00 to 1.58), and ovarian diseases (OR, 1.70; 95% CI, 1.23 to 2.35) were associated with an increased risk of breast cancer when other factors adjusted (Table 2). No other single pre-existing medical condition was associated with breast cancer risk. When people had either diabetes or hypertension or both, it increased the risk of breast cancer significantly (adjusted OR [aOR], 1.54; 95% CI, 1.25 to 1.89, data not shown). However, the risk did not increase significantly in those who both had diabetes and hypertension compared to those who had neither of the conditions (aOR, 1.18; 95% CI, 0.91 to 1.54). For hypertension, the risk was significantly increased after additional adjustment for diabetes (aOR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.14 to 1.82; data not shown). The risk for diabetes was also higher with additional adjustment for hypertension, but not significantly (aOR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.14 to 1.82; data not shown).

Table 3 shows the stratified analysis by menopausal status. Although a history of ovarian disease increased the risk of breast cancer with both pre- and post-menopausal status, ovarian disease showed a stronger association in the pre-menopausal population (OR, 4.20; 95% CI, 1.91 to 9.24) than in post-meno-

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of cases and controls

| Variable                                | Case<br>(n=3242) | Control<br>(n = 1818) | <i>p</i> -value <sup>1</sup> |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Age (y) <sup>2</sup>                    | 48.0 (10.0)      | 48.3 (11.8)           | 0.31                         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)²                | 23.1 (3.0)       | 48.3 (3.0)            | 0.38                         |
| Education ≥15 y³                        | 1078 (33.3)      | 598 (32.1)            | 0.86                         |
| Family history of breast cancer present | 176 (5.4)        | 67 (3.7)              | 0.01                         |
| Ever had breast examination             | 1984 (61.5)      | 1225 (67.6)           | < 0.01                       |
| Post-menopause                          | 1246 (38.8)      | 853 (47.1)            | < 0.01                       |
| Age of menarche (y) <sup>2</sup>        | 15.0 (1.7)       | 15.2 (1.8)            | < 0.01                       |
| Ever pregnant                           | 2957 (91.2)      | 1597 (87.8)           | < 0.01                       |
| Age of first pregnancy (y) <sup>4</sup> | 23.8 (8.1)       | 22.6 (9.0)            | < 0.01                       |
| Ever breastfed <sup>4</sup>             | 2312 (71.7)      | 1296 (71.4)           | 0.78                         |
| Number of children <sup>2</sup>         | 2.02 (1.1)       | 2.0 (1.2)             | 0.69                         |
| Ever drank alcohol                      | 1194 (36.8)      | 652 (35.9)            | 0.50                         |
| Ever smoked                             | 241 (7.4)        | 103 ( 5.7)            | 0.02                         |

Values are presented as number (%).

pausal participants (OR, 1.39; 95% CI, 1.02 to 1.91), and showed significant heterogeneity according to menopausal status (pheterogeneity 0.01). Pre-existing diabetes increased the risk of breast cancer significantly only in the post-menopause group (OR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.03 to 2.01), whereas it showed no significant association in the pre-menopause group. Likewise, among the patients who had pre-existing hypertension, only being post-menopausal was significantly associated with an increased risk of breast cancer (OR,1.87; 95% CI, 1.44 to 2.43). For hypertension, the p-heterogeneity was less than 0.01 between the pre- and post-menopausal group. In combination, having either diabetes or hypertension had a stronger association with breast cancer in the post-menopausal group (OR, 1.93; 95% CI, 1.51 to 2.47) than the pre-menopausal group (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.62). However, if the cases were limited to those who had both diabetes and hypertension prior to breast cancer, no significant risk was found in either pre- or post-menopausal groups. In stratified analysis, people who had hypertension or diabetes had a significantly increased risk only in the post-menopausal period (OR, 1.93; 95% CI, 1.51 to 2.47), not in pre-menopause (OR, 1.01; 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.62; p-heterogeneity 0.02). With additional adjustment for hypertension, diabetes was associated with an increased risk in both premenopausal (OR, 1.91; 95% CI, 0.64 to 5.71) and post-menopausal (OR, 1.91; 95% CI, 0.64 to 5.71) groups. With hypertension alone, the risk for breast cancer was significantly higher in the post-menopausal group with additional adjustments (OR, 1.84; 95% CI, 1.40 to 2.40), but not the pre-menopausal group (OR, 0.81; 95% CI, 0.48 to 1.34).

**Table 2.** Association of pre-existing medical conditions with breast cancer incidence<sup>1</sup>

| Diseases               | Case       | Control    | cOR (95% CI)      | aOR1 (95% CI)     |
|------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Tuberculosis           | 135 (4.16) | 67 (3.69)  | 1.14 (0.84, 1.53) | 1.10 (0.92, 1.33) |
| Diabetes               | 100 (3.08) | 34 (1.87)  | 1.67 (1.13, 2.47) | 1.33 (0.99, 1.78) |
| Gastroduodenal disease | 107 (3.30) | 79 (4.35)  | 0.75 (0.56, 1.01) | 0.97 (0.82, 1.14) |
| Liver disease          | 62 (1.91)  | 23 (1.27)  | 1.52 (0.94, 2.46) | 1.23 (0.94, 1.61) |
| Hypertension           | 287 (8.85) | 104 (5.72) | 1.60 (1.27, 2.02) | 1.46 (1.18, 1.83) |
| Asthma                 | 46 (1.42)  | 43 (2.37)  | 0.59 (0.39, 0.90) | 0.98 (0.82, 1.18) |
| Thyroid disease        | 163 (5.03) | 65 (3.58)  | 1.43 (1.07, 1.91) | 1.26 (1.00, 1.58) |
| Ovarian disease        | 149 (4.60) | 39 (2.15)  | 2.20 (1.54, 3.14) | 1.70 (1.23, 2.35) |

Values are presented as number (%).

CI, confidence interval; cOR, crude odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A *p*-value was calculated using the *t*-test for continuous variables or Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Mean (standard deviation).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Not less than 15 years of education.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Among parous women.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For age, age of menarche, pregnancy, age of first pregnancy, family history of breast cancer, and menopausal status.



Table 3. Association between comorbidity and breast cancer incidence in a population stratified by menopausal status

| Diseases               | Pre-menopause (n=2925) |          | Post-menopause (n=2099) |            |          |                   |                         |
|------------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|                        | Case                   | Control  | aOR1 (95% CI)           | Case       | Control  | aOR1 (95% CI)     | <i>p</i> -heterogeneity |
| Tuberculosis           | 80 (4.1)               | 43 (4.5) | 0.82 (0.56, 1.20)       | 54 (4.3)   | 24 (2.8) | 1.20 (0.94, 1.54) | 0.10                    |
| Diabetes               | 18 (0.9)               | 4 (0.4)  | 1.85 (0.62, 5.50)       | 82 (6.6)   | 30 (3.5) | 1.44 (1.03, 2.01) | 0.67                    |
| Gastroduodenal disease | 62 (3.2)               | 38 (4.0) | 0.75 (0.49, 1.14)       | 44 (3.5)   | 41 (4.8) | 1.04 (0.86, 1.25) | 0.17                    |
| Liver disease          | 30 (1.5)               | 9 (0.9)  | 1.67 (0.78, 3.58)       | 31 (2.5)   | 14 (1.6) | 1.18 (0.92, 1.51) | 0.40                    |
| Hypertension           | 50 (2.5)               | 23 (2.4) | 0.80 (0.48, 1.34)       | 236 (18.9) | 81 (9.5) | 1.87 (1.44, 2.43) | < 0.01                  |
| Asthma                 | 27 (1.4)               | 20 (2.1) | 0.71 (0.39, 1.30)       | 19 (1.5)   | 23 (2.7) | 1.04 (0.86, 1.27) | 0.24                    |
| Thyroid disease        | 82 (4.2)               | 27 (2.8) | 1.44 (0.92, 2.25)       | 80 (6.4)   | 38 (4.5) | 1.24 (0.97, 1.59) | 0.57                    |
| Ovarian disease        | 63 (3.2)               | 7 (0.7)  | 4.20 (1.91, 9.24)       | 86 (6.9)   | 32 (3.8) | 1.39 (1.02, 1.91) | 0.01                    |

Values are presented as number (%).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Our study presents the possibility that certain pre-existing medical conditions are associated with the development of breast cancer, and that these associations differ by a woman's menopausal status.

This study supports previous studies in which a history of hypertension was associated with a decreased breast cancer risk in pre-menopausal women, but the risk was increased in post-menopausal women [20]. Notably, the hypertension alone without comorbid diabetes has been shown to increase the risk of breast cancer significantly. It is suggested that genetic mutations in lymphocytes are more likely to occur in hypertensive women [21]. In an animal study, hypertensive rats were seen to have an abnormal cell cycle [22]. Common risk factors, such as hyperinsulinemia, were also mentioned for both diabetes and hypertension [23]. This could be explained by the effect of insulin, since hypertension is associated with insulin resistance [24]. Even if insulin resistance causes hyperinsulinemia, a different estrogen receptor status according to menopause will have different effects on breast cancer development. As seen in combination analysis with diabetes, the risk increased significantly for those who had ever had hypertension or diabetes. However, in the present study, there was no significantly increased risk of breast cancer in those who both had diabetes and hypertension. Furthermore, in additional adjustment for diabetes, the results were similar to the previous analysis in which diabetes had not been adjusted for. This seems to be the result of the small proportion of participants having these two diseases as comorbid conditions.

In this study, women with diabetes had a significantly in-

creased risk of breast cancer only in the post-menopausal group, but the risk was also increased in the pre-menopausal group, though there was no statistical significance. This might be the result of a lower prevalence of breast cancer in the premenopausal group. Although not significant when additionally adjusting for hypertension, there was an increased risk in both the pre-menopause and post-menopause groups. Diabetes seems to increase the risk of breast cancer in both periods. Since they share many risk factors for disease development, the association between diabetes and breast cancer risk has been mentioned in a few previous studies. The results of this study are consistent with many previous findings [25,26]. Many biological factors make the relationship of diabetes and breast cancer development plausible. For example, excess insulin has a mitogenic growth-promoting effect in both normal and malignant breast tissues [27]. In addition, with insulin-like growth factor 1, hyperinsulinemia plays a role in breast cancer development. Insulin decreases sex hormone binding globulin production, which increases free estrogens [28]. Many other mechanisms could possibly explain the relationship between diabetes and breast cancer development. Among them are adipocytokines [29], growth factors [30], and therapies and epigenetic changes from diabetes [31].

Thyroid diseases seem to increase the risk of breast cancer. Our results support previous studies that asserted a relationship between thyroid disorders and breast cancer risk [32,33]. Although this study did not collect more precise information on the specific type of thyroid disease, previous studies have mentioned that goiter and autoimmune thyroiditis are more prevalent in breast cancer patients than the general population in breast cancer patients [34]. There may be interactions

CI, confidence interval; aOR, adjusted odds ratio.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For age, age of menarche, pregnancy, age of first full-term pregnancy, and family history of breast cancer.

between thyroid and breast tissue. They share the trait of concentrating iodine, and both tissues have abundant thyroid-stimulating hormone receptors [35]. Since no information on specific medication of thyroid diseases was collected, it was not possible to evaluate the role of <sup>131</sup>I in breast carcinogenesis, which other studies have not determined conclusively.

Among ovarian diseases without malignancy, ovarian cysts are common. A few studies have reported that ovarian cyst formation is associated with high serum estradiol levels and that menstruation also affects cyst development [36]. It seems that a high estrogen level with continued menstruation is related to ovarian cyst formation, and this is also related to breast cancer development [37]. Another relatively common disease of the ovary is endometriosis, which is also estrogen-dependent [38]. Endometriosis is said to have multiple etiologies, including genetic, hormonal, and immunological factors [39]. Endometriosis causes infertility, which leads to nulliparity or delayed childbearing [38], which are also risk factors for breast cancer [6]. Furthermore, treatment of endometriosis with progestin, danazol, or oral contraceptives could trigger the development of breast cancer [40]. Both endometriosis and breast cancer have a hormone-dependent etiology.

As information was collected only by guestionnaire, misclassification of previous disease history could have occurred. In particular, as some of the items on previous medical history were asked in non-specific disease terms, such as 'gastroduodenal disease, 'thyroid disease,' or 'ovarian disease,' the participants might not have been certain of their disease status.. Additionally, by using broad terms for each pre-existing condition, it was not possible to analyze the disease categories in more detail. Confirming diseases by checking medical records would have strengthened the study. The relatively small number of cases of each pre-existing disease when comparing the pre-menopausal and post-menopausal groups was also a potential limitation. Since this may have caused a reduction in the statistical power, especially in the pre-menopausal group, results with non-statistical significance should also be taken into consideration. Nevertheless, data on the association of hypertension and ovarian diseases with breast cancer development should be considered significant, considering the magnitude of the OR.

In conclusion, our study has identified medical conditions that have a significant association with breast cancer development, which can differ by the menopausal status of women. Hypertension, ovarian disease, diabetes, and thyroid disease

significantly increased the risk of breast cancer. In a stratified analysis by menopausal status, hypertension showed statistical significance of increased development of breast cancer only in the post-menopausal population. Ovarian disease showed an increased risk of breast cancer, and the OR was elevated more in the pre-menopause group. The findings in this study implicate certain medical conditions in the development of breast cancer. Further study is needed to evaluate their biological mechanisms and relationships with other diseases.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This research was supported by the Basic Research Laboratory (BRL) program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012-0000347).

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have no conflicts of interest with the material presented in this paper.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Jung KW, Won YJ, Kong HJ, Oh CM, Seo HG, Lee JS. Prediction of cancer incidence and mortality in Korea, 2013. Cancer Res Treat 2013:45(1):15-21.
- 2. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2012;62(1):10-29.
- 3. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global cancer statistics. CA Cancer J Clin 2011;61(2):69-90.
- Kohler BA, Ward E, McCarthy BJ, Schymura MJ, Ries LA, Eheman C, et al. Annual report to the nation on the status of cancer, 1975-2007, featuring tumors of the brain and other nervous system. J Natl Cancer Inst 2011;103(9):714-736.
- Nichols HB, Berrington de González A, Lacey JV Jr, Rosenberg PS, Anderson WF. Declining incidence of contralateral breast cancer in the United States from 1975 to 2006. J Clin Oncol 2011;29(12):1564-1569.
- 6. Kelsey JL, Gammon MD, John EM. Reproductive factors and breast cancer. Epidemiol Rev 1993;15(1):36-47.
- 7. Collaborative Group on Hormonal Factors in Breast Cancer. Familial breast cancer: collaborative reanalysis of individual data from 52 epidemiological studies including 58,209 women with breast cancer and 101,986 women without the dis-

- ease. Lancet 2001;358(9291);1389-1399.
- 8. Henderson TO, Amsterdam A, Bhatia S, Hudson MM, Meadows AT, Neglia JP, et al. Systematic review: surveillance for breast cancer in women treated with chest radiation for childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancer. Ann Intern Med 2010; 152(7):444-455.
- Singletary KW, Gapstur SM. Alcohol and breast cancer: review of epidemiologic and experimental evidence and potential mechanisms. JAMA 2001;286(17):2143-2151.
- Reynolds P, Hurley S, Goldberg DE, Anton-Culver H, Bernstein L, Deapen D, et al. Active smoking, household passive smoking, and breast cancer: evidence from the California Teachers Study. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004;96(1):29-37.
- 11. Bernstein L, Ross RK. Endogenous hormones and breast cancer risk. Epidemiol Rev 1993;15(1):48-65.
- 12. Goldman MB. Thyroid diseases and breast cancer. Epidemiol Rev 1990;12:16-28.
- 13. Weiss HA, Brinton LA, Potischman NA, Brogan D, Coates RJ, Gammon MD, et al. Breast cancer risk in young women and history of selected medical conditions. Int J Epidemiol 1999; 28(5):816-823.
- 14. Larsson SC, Mantzoros CS, Wolk A. Diabetes mellitus and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis. Int J Cancer 2007;121(4): 856-862.
- 15. Peeters PH, van Noord PA, Hoes AW, Fracheboud J, Gimbrère CH, Grobbee DE. Hypertension and breast cancer risk in a 19-year follow-up study (the DOM cohort). Diagnostic investigation into mammarian cancer. J Hypertens 2000;18(3):249-254.
- Vojtechova P, Martin RM. The association of atopic diseases with breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers: a meta-analysis. Cancer Causes Control 2009;20(7):1091-1105.
- 17. Wiseman H, Halliwell B. Damage to DNA by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: role in inflammatory disease and progression to cancer. Biochem J 1996;313(Pt 1):17-29.
- 18. Chung S, Park SK, Sung H, Song N, Han W, Noh DY, et al. Association between chronological change of reproductive factors and breast cancer risk defined by hormone receptor status: results from the Seoul Breast Cancer Study. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2013;140(3):557-565.
- 19. Choi JY, Lee KM, Park SK, Noh DY, Ahn SH, Yoo KY, et al. Association of paternal age at birth and the risk of breast cancer in offspring: a case control study. BMC Cancer 2005;5:143.
- 20. Largent JA, McEligot AJ, Ziogas A, Reid C, Hess J, Leighton N, et al. Hypertension, diuretics and breast cancer risk. J Hum Hypertens 2006;20(10):727-732.

- 21. Nordén A, Scherstén B, Thulin T, Pero RW, Bryngelsson C, Mitelman F. Letter: Hypertension related to D.N.A. repair synthesis and carcinogen uptake. Lancet 1975;2(7944):1094.
- 22. Hamet P. Cancer and hypertension: a potential for crosstalk? J Hypertens 1997;15(12 Pt 2):1573-1577.
- 23. Kaaks R. Nutrition, hormones, and breast cancer: is insulin the missing link? Cancer Causes Control 1996;7(6):605-625.
- 24. Soler M, Chatenoud L, Negri E, Parazzini F, Franceschi S, la Vecchia C. Hypertension and hormone-related neoplasms in women. Hypertension 1999;34(2):320-325.
- 25. Jee SH, Ohrr H, Sull JW, Yun JE, Ji M, Samet JM. Fasting serum glucose level and cancer risk in Korean men and women. JAMA 2005;293(2):194-202.
- 26. Wideroff L, Gridley G, Mellemkjaer L, Chow WH, Linet M, Keehn S, et al. Cancer incidence in a population-based cohort of patients hospitalized with diabetes mellitus in Denmark. J Natl Cancer Inst 1997;89(18):1360-1365.
- 27. Cannata D, Fierz Y, Vijayakumar A, LeRoith D. Type 2 diabetes and cancer: what is the connection? Mt Sinai J Med 2010;77(2): 197-213.
- 28. Van der Burg B, Rutteman GR, Blankenstein MA, de Laat SW, van Zoelen EJ. Mitogenic stimulation of human breast cancer cells in a growth factor-defined medium: synergistic action of insulin and estrogen. J Cell Physiol 1988;134(1):101-108.
- 29. Rose DP, Komninou D, Stephenson GD. Obesity, adipocytokines, and insulin resistance in breast cancer. Obes Rev 2004; 5(3):153-165.
- 30. Peyrat JP, Bonneterre J, Hecquet B, Vennin P, Louchez MM, Fournier C, et al. Plasma insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) concentrations in human breast cancer. Eur J Cancer 1993; 29A(4):492-497.
- 31. Oliveras-Ferraros C, Cufí S, Vazquez-Martin A, Torres-Garcia VZ, Del Barco S, Martin-Castillo B, et al. Micro(mi)RNA expression profile of breast cancer epithelial cells treated with the anti-diabetic drug metformin: induction of the tumor suppressor miRNA let-7a and suppression of the TGFβ-induced oncomiR miRNA-181a. Cell Cycle 2011;10(7):1144-1151.
- 32. Ron E, Curtis R, Hoffman DA, Flannery JT. Multiple primary breast and thyroid cancer. Br J Cancer 1984;49(1):87-92.
- 33. Stewart AJ, Johnson MD, May FE, Westley BR. Role of insulin-like growth factors and the type I insulin-like growth factor receptor in the estrogen-stimulated proliferation of human breast cancer cells. J Biol Chem 1990;265(34):21172-21178.
- 34. Turken O, Narln Y, Demlrbas S, Onde ME, Sayan O, Kandemlr EG, et al. Breast cancer in association with thyroid disorders.



- Breast Cancer Res 2003;5(5):R110-R113.
- 35. Giani C, Fierabracci P, Bonacci R, Gigliotti A, Campani D, De Negri F, et al. Relationship between breast cancer and thyroid disease: relevance of autoimmune thyroid disorders in breast malignancy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1996;81(3):990-994.
- 36. Metindir J, Aslan S, Bilir G. Ovarian cyst formation in patients using tamoxifen for breast cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2005;35(10): 607-611.
- 37. Clemons M, Goss P. Estrogen and the risk of breast cancer. N

- Engl J Med 2001;344(4):276-285.
- 38. Olive DL, Schwartz LB. Endometriosis. N Engl J Med 1993; 328(24):1759-1769.
- 39. Giudice LC, Kao LC. Endometriosis. Lancet 2004;364(9447): 1789-1799.
- 40. Brinton LA, Gridley G, Persson I, Baron J, Bergqvist A. Cancer risk after a hospital discharge diagnosis of endometriosis. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1997;176(3):572-579.