Korean J. Math. **21** (2013), No. 3, pp. 325–330 http://dx.doi.org/10.11568/kjm.2013.21.3.325

TROTTER-KATO THEOREM IN THE WEAK TOPOLOGY

Young Seop Lee

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove Trotter-Kato theorem in the weak topology if X^* is a uniformly convex Banach space.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space. A family $\{T(t) : t \ge 0\}$ of bounded linear operators from X into itself is called a contraction C_0 semigroup on X if T(0) = I, T(t+s) = T(t)T(s) for $t, s \ge 0$, for each $x \in X$ T(t)x is continuous in $t \ge 0$ and $||T(t)x|| \le ||x||$ for $t \ge 0$ and $x \in X$.

The linear operator A, defined by

$$Ax = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} (T(h)x - x)$$

for $x \in D(A) = \{x \in X : \lim_{h \to 0} (T(h)x - x)/h \text{ exists}\}$, is called the generator of a contraction C_0 semigroup $\{T(t) : t \ge 0\}$ and D(A) is the domain of A.

The resolvent set of A is denoted by $\rho(A)$ and for $\lambda \in \rho(A) \ R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ is the resolvent operator of A, and we define the Yosida approximation of A by

$$A_{\lambda} = \lambda AR(\lambda, A) = \lambda^2 R(\lambda, A) - \lambda I.$$

Received July 31, 2013. Revised September 3, 2013. Accepted September 3, 2013. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47D06, 34K07.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2013.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by -nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Key words and phrases: Trotter-Kato theorem, weak topology, uniformly convex Banach spaces.

This work was supported by a research grant from Seoul Women's University (2012).

Young S. Lee

For more information about C_0 semigroups and their generators, we refer [2, 5].

The object of this paper is to discuss when the Trotter-Kato approximation theorem holds for the weak operator topology. This type of result plays a tool for the numerical study of partial and stochastic (partial) differential equations and is one of methods to use study a complicated operator. For the strong operator topology, the convergence of a sequence of C_0 semigroups $\{T_n(t) : t \ge 0\}$ is related to the convergence of their generators A_n and their resolvents $R(\lambda, A_n)$. Replacing the strong convergence of the resolvents by the weak convergence does not imply the weak convergence of corresponding C_0 semigroups, while the inverse is true by the Laplace transform representation of the resolvent of the generator and Lebesgue's theorem. In [1], the weak convergence of generators or resolvents of their generators does not imply the weak convergence of C_0 semigroups even if the generators are bounded.

In [3], G. Marinoschi has proved that the weak version of Trotter-Kato approximation theorem with some restrictions on generators is valid for a Hilbert space. In this paper we extend this result to a Banach space Xwhose dual space X^* is uniformly convex for contraction C_0 semigroups.

With the uniform convexity of X^* we have the uniform continuity of the dual mapping. The inner product of a Hilbert space is replaced by the dual mapping and the uniform continuity of the dual mapping is essential for the proof of our main result.

2. Weak Convergence

Let X be a Banach space and let X^* be its dual space. We denote the value $x^*(x)$ of $x^* \in X^*$ at $x \in X$ by the duality pairing $\langle x, x^* \rangle$ or $\langle x^*, x \rangle$.

We recall that for each $x \in X$ the dual mapping $J : X \to X^*$ is defined by

$$J(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2\}.$$

Note that J(x) is a subset of X^* and $J(x) \neq \emptyset$ for all $x \in X$, by Hahn-Banach Theorem. Hence J can be viewed as a multi-valued function. Under some restrictions on X^* , J can be a single-valued. If X is a Hilbert space, then J is the identity mapping on X. With the uniform convexity of X^* , we have the following properties of the dual mapping

326

(see [4]). For example, Hilbert spaces are uniformly convex and L^p spaces (1 are also uniformly convex.

THEOREM 1. If X^* is a uniformly convex Banach space, then the dual mapping J is single-valued and uniformly continuous on every bounded subsets of X.

THEOREM 2. If $u: (a, b) \to X$ has a weak derivative u'(t) and ||u(t)|| is differentiable, then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 = 2 < u'(t), \ f > \ \text{for } f \in J(u(t)).$$

We set $w - \lim_{\lambda \to 0} by A_{n,\lambda}$ for any $\lambda > 0$.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a Banach space whose dual space X^* is uniformly convex. Let $\{T_n(t) : t \ge 0\}$ be a sequence of contraction C_0 semigroups with generators A_n and let $\{T(t) : t \ge 0\}$ be a contraction C_0 semigroup with generator A. Suppose that

$$w - \lim_{n \to \infty} R(\lambda, A_n)^k x = R(\lambda, A)^k x, \quad k = 1, 2, \cdots$$

for $x \in X$ and

$$D = \{ x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} D(A_n) : \sup_{n \ge 1} ||A_n x|| < \infty \}$$

is dense in X. Then

$$w - \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n(t)x = T(t)x$$

for all $x \in X$ and the convergence is uniform on bounded t- intervals.

Proof. Let $0 \le t \le T$ and $x \in D$. Then $| < T_n(t)x - T(t)x, \phi > |$ $\le | < T_n(t)x - e^{tA_{n,\lambda}}x, \phi > | + | < e^{tA_{n,\lambda}}x - e^{tA_{\lambda}}x, \phi > |$ $+ | < e^{tA_{\lambda}}x - T(t)x, \phi > |$

for each $\phi \in X^*$.

By Hille-Yosida's Theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|e^{tA_{\lambda}}x - T(t)x\| = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|e^{tA_{n,\lambda}}x - T_n(t)x\| = 0,$$

uniformly on bounded t-intervals. Hence we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \langle T_n(t)x - e^{tA_{n,\lambda}}x, \phi \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \langle T(t)x - e^{tA_{\lambda}}x, \phi \rangle = 0,$$

Young S. Lee

uniformly on [0,T] for $x \in D$ and $\phi \in X^*$.

We will show that the convergence $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \langle T_n(t)x - e^{tA_{n,\lambda}}x, \phi \rangle = 0$ is uniform with respect to n.

Let $u_{n,\lambda}(t) = e^{tA_{n,\lambda}}x$ and $u_n(t) = T_n(t)x$. Then we have the following properties which are given in the proof of Hille-Yosida's theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}u_{n,\lambda}(t) &= A_{n,\lambda}u_{n,\lambda}(t), \quad u_{n,\lambda}(0) = x\\ \frac{d}{dt}u_n(t) &= A_nu_n(t), \quad u_n(0) = x\\ \|u_{n,\lambda}(t)\| &\leq \|x\|\\ \|\frac{d}{dt}u_{n,\lambda}(t)\| &= \|A_{n,\lambda}u_{n,\lambda}(t)\| \leq \|A_{n,\lambda}x\|\\ \|R(\lambda, A_n)x\| &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda}\|x\|\\ &< A_{n,\lambda}x, J(x) > \leq 0 \text{ for } x \in X\\ \|A_{n,\lambda}x\| &= \|\lambda A_n R(\lambda, A_n)x\| \leq \|A_nx\| \text{ for } x \in D(A_n) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)\|^2 = \langle A_{n,\lambda}u_{n,\lambda}(t) - A_{n,\mu}u_{n,\mu}(t), J(u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)) \rangle$$

for $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

Consider the following estimates.

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_{n,\lambda}(t) - \lambda R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) - (u_{n,\mu}(t) - \mu R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t))\| \\ &= \| - \frac{1}{\lambda} (\lambda^2 R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) - \lambda u_{n,\lambda}(t)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\mu} (\mu^2 R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t) - \mu u_{n,\mu}(t))\| \\ &= \| - \frac{1}{\lambda} A_{n,\lambda} u_{n,\lambda}(t) + \frac{1}{\mu} A_{n,\mu} u_{n,\mu}(t)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|A_{n,\lambda} u_{n,\lambda}(t)\| + \frac{1}{\mu} \|A_{n,\mu} u_{n,\mu}(t)\| \\ &\leq (\frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu}) \|A_n x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $x \in D$ and J is uniform continuous,

$$\|J(u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)) - J(\lambda R(\lambda, A_n)u_{n,\lambda}(t) - \mu R(\mu, A_n)u_{n,\mu}(t))\| < \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large λ and μ .

328

By the uniform continuity of J, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &< A_{n,\lambda} u_{n,\lambda}(t) - A_{n,\mu} u_{n,\mu}(t), J(u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)) > \\ &= < A_{n,\lambda} u_{n,\lambda}(t) - A_{n,\mu} u_{n,\mu}(t), J(u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)) \\ &- J(\lambda R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) - \mu R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t)) > \\ &+ < A_{n,\lambda} u_{n,\lambda}(t) - A_{n,\mu} u_{n,\mu}(t), J(\lambda R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) \\ &- \mu R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t)) > \\ &\leq & (||A_{n,\lambda} u_{n,\lambda}(t)|| + ||A_{n,\mu} u_{n,\mu}(t)||) ||J(u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)) \\ &- J(\lambda R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) - \mu R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t))|| \\ &+ < A_n(\lambda R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) - \mu R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t)), J(\lambda R(\lambda, A_n) u_{n,\lambda}(t) \\ &- \mu R(\mu, A_n) u_{n,\mu}(t)) > \\ &\leq & 2 ||A_n x|| \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large λ and μ . Let $M = \sup_{n \ge 1} ||A_n x||$. Then we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)\|^2 \le 4M\varepsilon.$$

Integrate this inequality from 0 to t, then we have $||u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_{n,\mu}(t)||^2 \le 4MT\varepsilon$. Letting $\mu \to \infty$, then $||u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_n(t)||^2 \le 4MT\varepsilon$. Since ε is arbitrary, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \|u_{n,\lambda}(t) - u_n(t)\| = 0,$$

uniformly with respect to n.

It remains to show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} | \langle e^{tA_{n,\lambda_0}} x - e^{tA_{\lambda_0}}, \phi \rangle | = 0$$

for sufficiently large λ_0 .

Since $A_{n,\lambda_0}x = \lambda_0^2 R(\lambda_0, A_n)x - \lambda_0 x$ and $w - \lim_{n \to \infty} R(\lambda_0, A_n)^k x = R(\lambda_0, A)^k x$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, < A_{n,\lambda_0}^k x, \phi > = < (\lambda_0^2 R(\lambda_0, A_n) - \lambda_0)^k x, \phi >$ converges to $< (\lambda_0^2 R(\lambda_0, A) - \lambda_0)^k x, \phi > = < A_{\lambda_0}^k x, \phi >$ as $n \to \infty$. Also since $e^{tA_{n,\lambda_0}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} A_{n,\lambda_0}^k$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle e^{tA_{n,\lambda_0}} x - e^{tA_{\lambda_0}}, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, we have $w - \lim_{n \to \infty} T_n(t)x = T(t)x$ for $x \in D$.

Let $x \in X$. Since D is dense in X, there exist x_l in D such that $\lim_{l\to\infty} x_l = x$, Since $\{T_n(t) : t \ge 0\}$ and $\{T(t) : t \ge 0\}$ are contraction Young S. Lee

 C_0 semigroups,

$$| < T_n(t) - T(t)x, \phi > |$$

$$\leq | < T_n(t)x - T_n(t)x_l, \phi > | + | < T_n(t)x_l - T(t)x_l, \phi > |$$

$$+| < T(t)x_l - T(t)x, \phi > |$$

$$\leq 2||x_l - x|| ||\phi|| + | < T_n(t)x_l - T(t)x_l, \phi >$$

Choose x_{l_0} such that $2||x_{l_0} - x|| ||\phi|| < \varepsilon/2$. Then $| < T_n(t)x_{l_0} - T(t)x_{l_0}, \phi > | < \varepsilon/2$ for sufficiently large n. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle T_n(t)x - T(t)x, \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Acknowledgment

The author is very thankful to the referee for very helpful comments.

References

- T. Eisner, A. Sereny, On the weak analogue of the Trotter-Kato theorem, Taiwanese J. Math., 14 (2010), 1411-1416
- [2] K. Engel, R. Nagel, One-Parameter Semigroups for linear evolution equations, Springer, Berlin, 2000
- [3] G. Marinoschi, A Trotter-Kato Theorem in the weak topology and an application to a singular perturbed problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 386 (2012), 50-60
- [4] I. Miyadera, Nonlinear Semigroups, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1992
- [5] A. Pazy, Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations, Springer-Verlag, 1983

Department of Mathematics Seoul Women's University Seoul 139-774, Korea *E-mail*: younglee@swu.ac.kr

330