# Macro-Model of Magnetic Tunnel Junction for STT-MRAM including Dynamic Behavior

Kyungmin Kim and Changsik Yoo

*Abstract*—Macro-model of magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ) for spin transfer torque magnetic random access memory (STT-MRAM) has been developed. The macro-model can describe the dynamic behavior such as the state change of MTJ as a function of the pulse width of driving current and voltage. The statistical behavior has been included in the model to represent the variation of the MTJ characteristic due to process variation. The macro-model has been developed in Verilog-A.

*Index Terms*—Macro model, magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ), spin transfer torque magnetic random access memory (STT MTJ), verilog-A

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

The spin transfer torque magnetic random access memory (STT-MRAM) is considered as a promising technology that can replace the conventional memory because of its fast access time, infinite endurance, low power consumption, good scalability and non-volatility [1-3]. The magnetic tunnel junction (MTJ) for STT-MRAM consists of two ferromagnetic layers and a tunnel barrier between them. One ferromagnetic layer has fixed magnetization direction and is called pinned layer. The magnetization direction of the other ferromagnetic layer (called free layer) can be switched by an external force. The magnetization direction of the free layer is used to represent either '1' or '0' [2]. If the magnetization directions of the pinned and free layers are parallel with each other, the MTJ has small resistance  $(R_P)$ . On the contrary, the MTJ has high resistance  $(R_{AP})$  when the magnetization directions of the pinned and free layers are anti-parallel. The ratio of the two resistance values R<sub>P</sub> and RAP is represented as tunnel magneto-resistance (TMR) which is defined as  $(R_{AP}-R_P)/R_P$ . It is desired to have as large a TMR value as possible for better noise margin when MTJ is used as a memory cell. For both read and write operations, a certain amount of current has to flow through MTJ and therefore the stored information on the MTJ may be disturbed during read operation [4]. MTJ may be switched to and from the parallel  $(R_p)$  and anti-parallel  $(R_{AP})$  states depending on the amount of current flowing through it [2, 11]. The amount of current required to switch the MTJ state may change by the process variation, temperature, and bias level [5]. As shown in Fig. 1, the macro-model of MTJ has to be capable of modeling all the characteristics explained above in order to simulate the behavior of STT-MRAM.

The MTJ model presented in [1] can describe only the dynamic behavior but does not model the varying characteristic due to the process variation. Therefore it cannot predict the read and write failure due to the process variation. The MTJ model in [10] includes the effect of the process variation but does not consider the effect of the input stimulus waveform. Therefore it cannot fully predict the read disturbance for various environments.

In this paper, a macro-model of MTJ is described which can represent its dynamic behavior. The macromodel is developed in Verilog-A. Section II explains the basics of the switching of MTJ state and Section III describes how the dynamic behavior is modeled. The

Manuscript received May. 8, 2014; accepted Oct. 14, 2014 The authors are with the Integrated Circuits Laboratory, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea E-mail : aldebaran@hanyang.ac.kr



Fig. 1. Simulation of STT-MRAM with MTJ macro-model.



**Fig. 2.** Required current flow through MTJ for the switching (a) from the parallel state to the anti-parallel state, (b) from the anti-parallel state to the parallel state.

simulation results with the developed macro-model are shown in Section IV and finally the paper is concluded in Section V.

#### **II. SWITCHING TIME OF MTJ**

In order to switch the state of MTJ, a certain amount of current  $I_{MTJ}$  has to flow through it while the current direction differs for anti-parallelizing and parallelizing as shown in Fig. 2. Another factor that determines the switching of the MTJ state is how long the switching current  $I_{MTJ}$  flows through MTJ. Let's define the minimum required duration of the switching current flow for the switching of the MTJ state as the switching time  $\tau_{SW}$ . The switching time  $\tau_{SW}$  of MTJ is inversely proportional to the switching current  $I_{MTJ}$  [2, 6].

There are two operation regions for MTJ depending on the switching current magnitude  $I_{MTJ}$  [7-9]. The threshold current  $I_{C0}$  defining the operation region of MTJ is given as [4];

$$I_{C0} = (1/\eta) \cdot \alpha \cdot m \cdot (H + H_K + 2\pi M_S) \cdot (2e/\hbar) \quad (1)$$

where  $\eta$  is the spin polarization factor,  $\alpha$  is the magnetic damping constant, *m* is the magnetization of free layer, *H* is the applied magnetic field,  $H_K$  is the effective anisotropy field,  $M_S$  is the saturation magnetization level, e is the charge of an electron, and  $\hbar$  is the Planck constant.

The spin polarization factor  $\eta$  and the magnetization of free layer *m* are given as;

$$\eta = \sqrt{TMR(TMR+2)} / 2(TMR+1)$$
(2)

$$m = M_{S} \cdot V_{FREE} \tag{3}$$

where  $V_{FREE}$  is the volume of free layer.

When  $I_{MTJ} > I_{C0}$ , MTJ is in the precession region where the average switching time  $\langle \tau_{SW} \rangle$  is expressed as [8];

$$\frac{1}{\langle \tau_{SW} \rangle} = \frac{2}{C + \ln\left(\pi^2 \xi/4\right)} \cdot \frac{\mu_B P_{PIN}}{e \cdot m \cdot \left(1 + P_{PIN} P_{FREE}\right)} \cdot \left(I_{MTJ} - I_{C0}\right)$$
(4)

where the Euler's constant C is about 0.577,  $\zeta = \Delta E/k_B T$  is the thermal stability factor,  $\Delta E = \mu_0 M_S V_{FREE} H_K/2$  is the energy barrier height,  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature,  $\mu_B$  is the Bohr magneton,  $P_{PIN}$  and  $P_{FREE}$ are the tunneling spin polarization of the pinned layer and free layer, respectively.

When  $I_{MTJ} < I_{C0}$ , MTJ is in the thermal activation region and the thermal activation switching time is given as [9];

$$\langle \tau_{SW} \rangle = \tau_0 \exp\left[\frac{\Delta E}{k_B T} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{I_{MTJ}}{I_{C0}}\right)\right]$$
 (5)

where  $\tau_0$  is the attempt period of nano-magnet.

## **III. DYNAMIC BEHAVIOR MODELING OF MTJ**

In a conventional MTJ model, the switching time of MTJ state is modeled as a function of the bias current and voltage [10]. The pulse width of driving voltage and current, however, is not considered to model the switching time of MTJ state. Therefore, the read



 $\Delta\tau$  is the minimum time step of the simulator

**Fig. 3.** Proposed algorithm for the modeling of the dynamic behavior of MTJ state switching.

disturbance cannot be modeled, which may be a serious issue in a short pulse reading scheme.

In the proposed MTJ model, the dynamic behavior of the switching of MTJ state is described by the algorithm shown in Fig. 3 which can take the pulse with of driving voltage and current into account. The resistance of MTJ is determined by bias voltage and current and the current state of MTJ.

Initially, the threshold current  $I_{C0}$  is calculated with the parameters shown in Table 1 by the equation in (1). Then, the bias current  $I_{MTJ}$  of MTJ is judged whether it can switch the state of MTJ considering the current state of MTJ and the direction of the bias current  $I_{MTJ}$ . If  $I_{MTJ}$  is negative when the MTJ is currently in the anti-parallel state or if  $I_{MTJ}$  is positive when the MTJ is currently in the parallel state, the MTJ state cannot be changed. Therefore, the remaining steps are skipped in the algorithm for fast simulation if it is determined that the MTJ state cannot be changed. If the flow of  $I_{MTJ}$  is in the correct direction so it can change the MTJ state, the magnitude of  $I_{MTJ}$  is compared with  $I_{C0}$ . If  $I_{MTJ}$  is larger than  $I_{C0}$ , the switching time is  $\tau_{SW}$  is calculated by Eq. (4)

Table 1. Parameters

Parameter	Description	Default Value
$H_{K}$	Anisotropy field	1433 Oe
Ms	Saturation magnetization	15800 Oe
α	Magnetic damping constant	0.027
t <sub>ox</sub>	Oxide barrier height	0.85 nm
TMR(0)	TMR ratio with $0V_{MTJ}$	70%
$V_{\text{FREE}}$	Volume of Free layer	area×1.3 nm
area	MTJ surface	40 nm×40 nm×π/4
P <sub>PIN</sub> =P <sub>FREE</sub> =P	Tunneling spin polarization	0.52



Fig. 4. DC simulation for hysteresis characteristic.

and if  $I_{MTJ}$  is smaller than  $I_{C0}$ ,  $\tau_{SW}$  is calculated by Eq. (5). The pulse width  $\tau_{PW}$  of the driving current is increased at every step of simulation as  $\tau_{PW} [N] = \tau_{PW} [N-1] + \Delta \tau$ and compared with the switching time  $\tau_{SW}$ . When  $\tau_{PW}$ becomes larger than  $\tau_{SW}$ , the state of MTJ is changed and the variables are all initialized.

In order to model the stochastic behavior of MTJ, the random distribution function of Verilog-A has been utilized. The oxide barrier height  $t_{ox}$ , the volume of free layer  $V_{FREE}$ , and *TMR* can be randomly changed by the random distribution function of Verilog-A. As can be seen in the Eqs. (4) and (5), the switching time  $\tau_{SW}$  varies with those stochastic parameters, especially  $V_{FREE}$  [9].

# **IV. RESULTS**

In order to verify the above explained MTJ model, various simulations have been performed with the parameters in Table 1 [10].

The hysteresis characteristic of MTJ is confirmed with the DC simulation. Fig. 4 shows the resistance and current of MTJ as a function of bias voltage  $V_{MTJ}$ . The



**Fig. 5.** The dynamic behavior of MTJ model (a) the switching state depending on pulse width of current, (b) read disturbance depending on current magnitude.



Fig. 6. (a) Test circuit for transient simulation, (b) simulation results.

hysteresis characteristic can be seen in the figure.

The dynamic behavior of MTJ model has been verified by the transient simulation as shown in Fig. 5. Fig. 5(a)shows the change of MTJ state as a function of the pulse width of driving current. In Fig. 5(b), it can be seen that the read disturbance can happen when the read current is unacceptably large.

To see the read and write operation of MTJ considering the dynamic and stochastic behavior, the test circuit shown in Fig. 6(a) has been built. The nMOS transistor  $M_a$  is the access transistor of MTJ memory cell while the transistors  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ ,  $M_3$ ,  $M_4$  are read biasing and write driving transistors. If  $BWR_0$  and  $WR_1$  are LOW and  $BWR_1$  and  $WR_0$  are HIGH, the current  $I_{MTJ}$  can switch the MTJ state into the parallel state. When the driving voltage  $V_{MTJ}$  and therefore driving current is applied for a long time, the MTJ state is switched while the MTJ state does not change for a short driving pulse. The random variation of the switching time can be seen in the figure.

## **V. CONCLUSIONS**

A macro-model of MTJ has been developed in Verilog-A which can represent its dynamic and stochastic behavior. The variation of the switching time of MTJ state for different driving pulse width can be modeled in the proposed macro-model. The stochastic behavior is also included in the model to see the random variation of the MTJ characteristic.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Future Semiconductor Device Technology Development Program (10044608) funded By MOTIE (Ministry of Trade, Industry & Energy) and KSRC (Korea Semiconductor Research Consortium). The CAD tools were provided by IDEC.

#### REFERENCES

- Noboru Sakimura, et al, "High-Speed Simulator including Accurate MTJ Models for Spintronics Integrated Circuit Design," *Circuits and Systems*, 2012 IEEE International Symposium on, 2012 ISCAS, pp.1971-1974, 2012.
- [2] Ki Chul Chun, et al, "A Scaling Roadmap and

Performance Evaluation of In-Plane and Perpendicular MTJ Based STT-MRAMs for High-Density Cache Memory," *Solid-State-Circuits, IEEE Journal of*, Vol. 48, No. 2, pp.598-610, Feb, 2013.

- [3] Z. Diao, et al, "Spin-transfer torque switching in magnetic tunnel junctions and spin-transfer torque random access memory," Journal of Physics : Condens. Matter, Vol. 19, no. 16, 165209, Apr, 2007.
- [4] K. Ono, et al, "a disturbance-free read scheme and a compact stochastic-spin-dynamics-based MTJ circuit model for Gb-scale SPRAM," *IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting*, pp. 219-222, Dec. 2009.
- [5] Y. Asao, et al, "A Statistical Model for Assessing the Fault Tolerance of Variable Switching Currents for a 1Gb Spin Transfer Torque Magnetoresistive Random Access Memory," *IEEE International Symposium on Defect and fault Tolerance of VLSI Systems*, pp 507-515, 2008.
- [6] M. Hosomi, et al, "A Novel Nonvolatile Memory with Spin Torque Transfer Magnetization Switching Spin-RAM," *IEEE International Electron Devices Meeting, Technical Digest*, pp 459-462, Dec. 2005.
- [7] R. H. Koch, et al, "Time-Resolved Reversal of Spin-Transfer Switching in a Nanomagnet," *Physical review letters*, Vol. 92, No. 8, Feb, 2004.
- [8] D. C. Worledge, et al, "Spin torque switching of perpendicular Ta|CoFeB|MgO-based magnetic tunnel junctions," *Applied physics letters* 98, 022501, 2011.
- [9] J. Z. Sun, et al, "Spin angular monentum transfer in a current-perpendicular spin-valve nanomagnet," *Proceedings of SPIE*, Vol. 5359, 445, 2004.
- [10] Yue Zhang, et al, "Electrical Modeling of Stochastic Spin Transfer Torque Writing in Magnetic Tunnel Junctions for Memory and Logic Applications," *Magnetics, IEEE Transaction on*, Vol. 49, No. 7, Jul., 2013.
- [11] J. C. Slonczewski, "Current-driven exitation of magnetic multilayers," *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, Vol 159, pp. L1-L7, Jun, 1996.



**Kyungmin Kim** received the B.S, degrees in electronics and computer engineering from Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea in 2010. He is currently working toward the M.S degree at the same institute. His research interests include a STT-MRAM

circuit design and mixed mode CMOS circuits design.



**Changsik Yoo** (S'92-M'00) received the B.S. (with the highest honor), M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, in 1992, 1994, and 1998, respectively, all in electronics engineering. From 1998 to 1999, he was with Integrated

Systems Laboratory (IIS), Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH), Zurich, Switzerland, as a Member of Research Staff working on CMOS RF circuits. From 1999 to 2002, he was with Samsung Electronics, Hwasung, Korea. Since 2002, he has been a Professor of Electronic Engineering of Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea. He is a member of technical program committee of IEEE VLSI Circuits Symposium. His research interests include mixed mode CMOS circuit, powermanagement IC and high speed interface technique.