

PERTURBATION ANALYSIS FOR THE MATRIX EQUATION $X = I - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$

HOSOO LEE

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to study the perturbation analysis of the matrix equation $X = I - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$. Based on the matrix differentiation, we give a precise perturbation bound for the positive definite solution. A numerical example is presented to illustrate the sharpness of the perturbation bound.

1. Introduction

We consider the matrix equation

$$(1.1) \quad X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B,$$

where A, B are arbitrary $n \times n$ matrices. Some special cases of Equation (1.1) are problems of practical importance, such as the matrix equation $X + M^*X^{-1}M = Q$ that arises in the control theory, ladder networks, dynamic programming, stochastic filtering, statistics, and so on [5, 8, 10]. The matrix equation $X - M^*X^{-1}M = Q$ arises in the analysis of stationary Gaussian reciprocal processes over a finite interval [1, 7].

In [2], Berzig, Duan and Samet established the existence and uniqueness of a positive definite solution of (1.1) via the Bhaskar-Lakshkanthan coupled fixed point theorem([3]).

Received October 7, 2013. Revised March 20, 2014. Accepted March 20, 2014.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A24, 65F35.

Key words and phrases: Nonlinear matrix equation, positive definite solution, perturbation analysis.

© The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2014.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

THEOREM 1.1 ([2]). *If there exist $a, b > 0$ satisfying following conditions*

- (i) $a^{-1}A^*A + aI \leq Q \leq bI$,
- (ii) $bA^*A - aB^*B \leq ab(Q - aI)$,
- (iii) $bB^*B - aA^*A \leq ab(bI - Q)$,
- (iv) $A^*A < \frac{a^2}{2}I$, $B^*B < \frac{a^2}{2}I$

then (1.1) has a unique solution $X \in [aI, \infty)$ and

$$X \in [Q + b^{-1}B^*B - a^{-1}A^*A, Q + a^{-1}B^*B - b^{-1}A^*A].$$

The following result is immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 1.2. *If there exist $0 < a \leq \frac{2}{3}$ such that*

$$A^*A \leq \frac{a^2}{2}I, \quad B^*B \leq \frac{a^2}{2}I,$$

then the matrix equation

$$(1.2) \quad X = I - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B.$$

has a unique solution $X_U \in [aI, \infty)$ and

$$(1.3) \quad X_U \in \left[I + \frac{2}{2+a}B^*B - \frac{1}{a}A^*A, I + \frac{1}{a}B^*B - \frac{2}{2+a}A^*A \right].$$

In this paper, we study the perturbation analysis of the matrix equation (1.2). Based on the matrix differentiation, we firstly give a differential bound for the unique solution of (1.2) in certain set, and then use it to derive a precise perturbation bound. A numerical example is used to show that the perturbation bound is very sharp.

Throughout this paper, we write $B > 0$ ($B \geq 0$) if the matrix B is positive definite (semidefinite). If $B - C$ is positive definite (semidefinite), then we write $B > C$ ($B \geq C$). If a positive definite matrix X satisfies $B \leq X \leq C$, we denote that $X \in [B, C]$. The symbols $\lambda_1(B)$ and $\lambda_n(B)$ denote the maximal and minimal eigenvalues of an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix B , respectively. The symbol $\|B\|$ denotes the spectral norm of the matrix B .

2. Perturbation Analysis for the Matrix equation (1.2)

Based on the matrix differentiation, we firstly give a differential bound for the unique positive definite solution X_U of (1.2), and then use it to derive a precise perturbation bound for X_U in this section.

DEFINITION 2.1. ([6, 9]) Let $F = (f_{ij})_{mn}$, then the matrix differentiation of F is $dF = (df_{ij})_{mn}$. For example, let

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} s + t & s^2 - 2t \\ 2s + t^3 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$dF = \begin{pmatrix} ds + dt & 2sds - 2dt \\ 2ds + 3t^2dt & 2tdt \end{pmatrix}.$$

LEMMA 2.2 ([6, 9]). *The matrix differentiation has the following properties:*

- (1) $dA = 0$ for a constant matrix A ;
- (2) $d(\alpha X) = \alpha(dX)$, where α is a complex number;
- (3) $d(X + Y) = dX + dY$;
- (4) $d(XY) = (dX)Y + X(DY)$;
- (5) $d(X^*) = (dX)^*$;
- (6) $d(X^{-1}) = -X^{-1}(DX)X^{-1}$.

THEOREM 2.3. *If there exist $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \|A\|^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{2}, \quad \|B\|^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{2},$$

then then (1.2) has a unique solution $X_U \in [aI, \infty)$, and it satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \|dX_U\| \leq \frac{2a(\|A\| \|dA\| + \|B\| \|dB\|)}{a^2 - \|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(A^*A) &\leq \|A^*A\| \leq \|A\|^2, \\ \lambda_1(B^*B) &\leq \|B^*B\| \leq \|B\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} A^*A &\leq \lambda_1(A^*A)I \leq \|A^*A\|I \leq \|A\|^2I, \\ B^*B &\leq \lambda_1(B^*B)I \leq \|B^*B\|I \leq \|B\|^2I. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.4) and (2.6) we have

$$A^*A \leq \frac{a^2}{2}I, \quad B^*B \leq \frac{a^2}{2}I.$$

Then by Theorem 1.2 we have that (1.2) has a unique solution X_U in $[aI, \infty)$, which satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad X_U \in \left[I + \frac{2}{2+a}B^*B - \frac{1}{a}A^*A, I + \frac{1}{a}B^*B - \frac{2}{2+a}A^*A \right].$$

Since X_U is the unique solution of (1.2) in $[aI, \infty)$,

$$(2.8) \quad X_U + A^* X_U A - B^* X_U B = I.$$

It is known that the elements of X_U are differentiable functions of the elements of A and B . Differentiating (2.8), and by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dX_U + (dA^*)X_U^{-1}A - A^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}A + A^*X_U^{-1}(dA) \\ - (dB^*)X_U^{-1}B + B^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}B - B^*X_U^{-1}(dB) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_U - A^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}A + B^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}B \\ = -(dA^*)X_U^{-1}A - A^*X_U^{-1}(dA) + (dB^*)X_U^{-1}B + B^*X_U^{-1}(dB). \end{aligned}$$

By taking spectral norm for both sides of (2.9), we have that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| -(dA^*)X_U^{-1}A - A^*X_U^{-1}(dA) + (dB^*)X_U^{-1}B + B^*X_U^{-1}(dB) \| \\ & \leq \| (dA^*)X_U^{-1}A \| + \| A^*X_U^{-1}(dA) \| + \| (dB^*)X_U^{-1}B \| + \| B^*X_U^{-1}(dB) \| \\ & \leq \| dA^* \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| A \| + \| A^* \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| dA \| + \| dB^* \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| B \| \\ & \quad + \| B^* \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| dB \| \\ & = 2 \| X_U^{-1} \| (\| dA \| \| A \| + \| dB \| \| B \|) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{a} (\| dA \| \| A \| + \| dB \| \| B \|) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| dX_U - A^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}A + B^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}B \| \\ & \geq \| dX_U \| - \| A^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}A \| - \| B^*X_U^{-1}(dX_U)X_U^{-1}B \| \\ & \geq \| dX_U \| - \| A^* \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| dX_U \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| A \| \\ & \quad - \| B^* \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| dX_U \| \| X_U^{-1} \| \| B \| \\ & = \left(1 - \| A \|^2 \| X_U^{-1} \|^2 - \| B \|^2 \| X_U^{-1} \|^2 \right) \| dX_U \| \\ & \geq \left(1 - \frac{\| A \|^2}{a^2} - \frac{\| B \|^2}{a^2} \right) \| dX_U \|. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.4) we have

$$(2.12) \quad 1 - \frac{\| A \|^2}{a^2} - \frac{\| B \|^2}{a^2} > 0.$$

Combination (2.10), (2.11) and noting (2.12), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\| A \|^2}{a^2} - \frac{\| B \|^2}{a^2} \right) \| dX_U \| \leq \frac{2}{a} (\| dA \| \| A \| + \| dB \| \| B \|)$$

which implies to

$$\|dX_U\| \leq \frac{2a(\|A\| \|dA\| + \|B\| \|dB\|)}{a^2 - \|A\|^2 - \|B\|^2}.$$

□

THEOREM 2.4. *Let \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} be perturbed matrices of A, B in (1.2) and $\Delta A = \tilde{A} - A, \Delta B = \tilde{B} - B$. If there exist $0 < a \leq \frac{1}{2}$ such that*

$$(2.13) \quad \|A\|^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{2}, \quad \|B\|^2 \leq \frac{a^2}{2},$$

$$(2.14) \quad 2\|A\|\|\Delta A\| + \|\Delta A\|^2 < \frac{a^2}{2} - \|A\|^2,$$

$$(2.15) \quad 2\|B\|\|\Delta B\| + \|\Delta B\|^2 < \frac{a^2}{2} - \|B\|^2,$$

then then (1.2) and its perturbed equation

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{X} = I - \tilde{A}^* \tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{A} + \tilde{B}^* \tilde{X}^{-1} \tilde{B}$$

have a unique solutions X_U and \tilde{X}_U in $[aI, \infty)$, respectively, which satisfy

$$\left\| \tilde{X}_U - X_U \right\| \leq S_{err}$$

where

$$S_{err} = \frac{2a(\|A\|\|\Delta A\| + \|\Delta A\|^2 + \|B\|\|\Delta B\| + \|\Delta B\|^2)}{a^2 - (\|A\| + \|\Delta A\|)^2 - (\|B\| + \|\Delta B\|)^2}.$$

Proof. Set $A(t) = A + t\Delta A$ and $B(t) = B + t\Delta B, t \in [0, 1]$ then by (2.14)

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A(t)\|^2 &= \|A + t\Delta A\|^2 \leq (\|A\| + t\|\Delta A\|)^2 \\ &= \|A\|^2 + 2t\|A\|\|\Delta A\| + t^2\|\Delta A\|^2 \\ &\leq \|A\|^2 + 2\|A\|\|\Delta A\| + \|\Delta A\|^2 \\ &< \|A\|^2 + \frac{a^2}{2} - \|A\|^2 = \frac{a^2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

similarly, by (2.15) we have

$$(2.18) \quad \|B(t)\|^2 < \frac{a^2}{2}.$$

By (2.17), (2.18) and Theorem 2.3 we derive that for arbitrary $t \in [0, 1]$, the matrix equation

$$X = I - A(t)^* X^{-1} A(t) + B(t)^* X^{-1} B(t)$$

has a unique solution $X_U(t)$ in $[aI, \infty)$, especially,

$$X_U(0) = X_U, \quad X_U(1) = (\tilde{X})_U,$$

where X_U and \tilde{X}_U are the unique solutions of (1.2) and (2.16), respectively.

From Theorem 2.3 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{X}_U - X_U\| &= \|X_U(1) - X_U(0)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 dX_U(t) \right\| \leq \int_0^1 \|dX_U(t)\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2a(\|A(t)\| \|dA(t)\| + \|B(t)\| \|dB(t)\|)}{a^2 - \|A(t)\|^2 - \|B(t)\|^2} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2a(\|A(t)\| \|\Delta A\| dt + \|B(t)\| \|\Delta B\| dt)}{a^2 - \|A(t)\|^2 - \|B(t)\|^2} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2a(\|A(t)\| \|\Delta A\| + \|B(t)\| \|\Delta B\|)}{a^2 - \|A(t)\|^2 - \|B(t)\|^2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$\|A(t)\| = \|A + t\Delta A\| \leq \|A\| + t\|\Delta A\|,$$

$$\|B(t)\| = \|B + t\Delta B\| \leq \|B\| + t\|\Delta B\|,$$

and combining Mean Value Theorem of Integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde{X}_U - X_U\| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2a(\|A(t)\| \|\Delta A\| + \|B(t)\| \|\Delta B\|)}{a^2 - \|A(t)\|^2 - \|B(t)\|^2} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{2a((\|A\| + t\|\Delta A\|) \|\Delta A\| + (\|B\| + t\|\Delta B\|) \|\Delta B\|)}{a^2 - (\|A\| + t\|\Delta A\|)^2 - (\|B\| + t\|\Delta B\|)^2} dt \\ &\leq \frac{2a((\|A\| + \xi\|\Delta A\|) \|\Delta A\| + (\|B\| + \xi\|\Delta B\|) \|\Delta B\|)}{a^2 - (\|A\| + \xi\|\Delta A\|)^2 - (\|B\| + \xi\|\Delta B\|)^2} \\ &\quad \times (1 - 0) \quad (0 < \xi < 1) \\ &\leq \frac{2a((\|A\| + \|\Delta A\|) \|\Delta A\| + (\|B\| + \|\Delta B\|) \|\Delta B\|)}{a^2 - (\|A\| + \|\Delta A\|)^2 - (\|B\| + \|\Delta B\|)^2} = S_{err}. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we use a numerical example to confirm the correctness of Theorem 2.4 and the precision of the perturbation bound for the unique positive definite solution X_U of (1.2).

EXAMPLE 3.1. Consider the matrix equation

$$X = I - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B,$$

and its pertubed equation

$$(3.19) \quad \tilde{X} = I - \tilde{A}^*\tilde{X}^{-1}\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}^*\tilde{X}^{-1}\tilde{B},$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.05 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.05 & -0.02 \\ 0.05 & -0.05 & -0.05 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A} = A + \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.1 & -0.1 \\ -0.1 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ -0.2 & 0.1 & -0.1 \end{pmatrix} \times 10^{-j},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -0.05 & 0.1 & 0 \\ -0.05 & 0 & -0.05 \\ 0.05 & 0 & -0.1 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{B} = B + \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.02 & 0.05 \\ -0.2 & 0.12 & 0.14 \\ -0.25 & 0.2 & 0.26 \end{pmatrix} \times 10^{-j},$$

$j \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is easy to verify that the conditions (2.13)-(2.15) are satisfied with $a = 0.5$, then (1.2) and its perturbed equation (3.19) have unique positive definite solutions X_U and \tilde{X}_U , respectively. From Berzig, Duan and Samet [2] it follows that the sequence $\{X_k\}$ and $\{Y_k\}$ generated by the iterative method

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= 0.5I, & Y_0 &= 5I, \\ X_{k+1} &= I - A^*X_k^{-1}A + B^*Y_k^{-1}B \\ Y_{k+1} &= I - A^*Y_k^{-1}A + B^*X_k^{-1}B, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

both convege to X_U . Choose $\tau = 1.0 \times 10^{-15}$ as the termination scalar, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} R(X_k) &= \|X_k + A^*X_k^{-1}A - B^*X_k^{-1}B - I\| \\ R(Y_k) &= \|Y_k + A^*Y_k^{-1}A - B^*Y_k^{-1}B - I\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R(X) = \max\{R(X_k), R(Y_K)\} \leq \tau = 1.0 \times 10^{-15}.$$

By using the iterative method we can get the computed solution X of (1.2). Since $R(X) < 1.0 \times 10^{-15}$, then the computed solution X has a very high precision. For simplicity, we write the computed solution as

the unique positive definite solution X_U . Similarly, we can also get the unique positive definite solution \tilde{X}_U of the perturbed equation (3.19).

Some numerical results on the perturbation bounds for the unique positive definite solution X_U are listed in Table 1. From Table 1, we see that Theorem 2.4 gives a precise perturbation bound for the unique positive definite solution of (1.2).

TABLE 1. Numerical results for the different value of j

j	2	3	4	5	6
$\ \tilde{X}_U - X_U\ /\ X_U\ $	1.644×10^{-3}	1.604×10^{-4}	1.600×10^{-5}	1.599×10^{-6}	1.600×10^{-7}
$S_{err}/\ X_U\ $	7.867×10^{-3}	7.356×10^{-4}	7.305×10^{-5}	7.300×10^{-6}	7.299×10^{-7}

References

- [1] P. Benner and H. Fabbender, *On the solution of the rational matrix equation $X = Q + LX^{-1}L^*$* , EURASIP J. Adv. Signal Pro. **1** (2007), 1–10.
- [2] M. Berzig, X. Duan and B. Samet, *Positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X = Q - A^*X^{-1}A + B^*X^{-1}B$ via Bhaskar-Lakshmikantham fixed point theorem*, Math. Sci. (Springer) **6** (2012), Art. 27.
- [3] T.G. Bhaskar and V. Lakshmikantham, *Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **65** (2006), 13791393.
- [4] X. Duan and Q. Wang, *Perturbation analysis for the matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^* X A_i + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j^* X B_j = I$* , J. Appl. Math. **2012** (2012), Art. ID 784620.
- [5] J.C. Engwerda, A.C.M. Ran, and A.L. Rijkeboer, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^*X^{-1}A = Q$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **186** (1993), 255–275.
- [6] B.R. Fang, J.D. Zhou, and Y.M. Li, *Matrix Theory*, Tsinghua University Press, Beijing, China, 2006.
- [7] A. Ferrante and B.C. Levy, *Hermitian solutions of the equations $X = Q + NX^{-1}N^*$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **247** (1996), 359–373.
- [8] V.I. Hasanov, *Positive definite solutions of the matrix equations $X \pm A^*X^{-q}A = Q$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **404** (2005), 166–182.
- [9] T.P. Minka, *Old and new matrix algebra useful for statistics*, December 2000. Notes.
- [10] X. Zhan, *Computing the extreme positive definite solutions of a matrix equation*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. **17** (1996), 632–645.

Hosoo Lee
School of General Education
College of Basic Studies
Yeungnam University
Gyengsan 712-749, Korea
E-mail: hosoo@yu.ac.kr