# RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Null Glutathione S-transferase T1 and M1 Genotypes and Oral Cancer Susceptibility in China and India - a Meta-analysis

Jie Peng<sup>1</sup>, Hong-Zhou Liu<sup>2</sup>, You-Jia Zhu<sup>1\*</sup>

## **Abstract**

Objective: Genetic variation is considered to strongly impact on detoxification of carcinogens and therefore is related to cancer risk. However, findings for the null genotypes of GSTT1 and GSTM1 have not always been consistent. Therefore the present meta-analysis was conducted. Methods: We accessed the reported study at different research areas and used various databases, including PubMed and Wanfang Med Onlion from 1990 to May 1st 2013. We calculated the odds ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and P value for oral cancer by using Review Manager 5.1 and STATE 12. Results: We found that there was no increased oral cancer risk among subjects carrying GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotype (OR=1.35,95% CI=0.68-2.68, P=0.39) and (OR=1.41, 95% CI=0.72-2.77, P=0.31) in the Chinese population. In contrast, in studies in India a significant correlation between GSTM1 null genotype and oral cancer was observed (OR=1.59, 95% CI=1.20-2.11, P=0.001), but not in GSTT1 (OR=1.21,95% CI=0.84-1.74, P=0.31). Conclusion: We discovered that GSTM1 deletion polymorphism had a significant effect on the susceptibility of oral cancer in the Indian population.

Keywords: Glutathione S-transferase - GSTT1 - GSTM1 - oral cancer - variation

Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 15 (1), 287-290

## Introduction

Oral cancer is one of the most common cancers in the world (Petersen, 2009). The incidence of oral cancer has increased obviously in the last few years in different Asian populations (Sato et al., 1999; Masood et al., 2013). Despite the advances in diagnosis and treatment, the 5-year survival rate for patients is still low. A better understanding of etiology may improve the secondary prevention strategies.

Some studies had demonstrated that smoking and alcohol consumption were two risk factors of oral cancer (Katoh et al., 1999; Xie et al., 2004; Sugimura et al., 2006; Cha et al., 2007; Halawany et al., 2013; Menezes et al., 2013). However, other studies were focus on genetic polymorphisms. These genes included glutathione S-transferase (GST). Glutathione S-transferases are a family of phase II xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes and involve in detoxification of carcinogens. GST includes GSTM1 and GSTT1. Three alleles have been identified at GSTM1 locus: GSTM1\*0, GSTM1\*A, and GSTM1\*B. Two alleles have been identified at GSTT1 locus: GSTT1\*1 and GSTT1\*0. Previous studies demonstrated that null genotype of GSTM1 and GSTT1 was correlated to the susceptibility to oral cancer (Seidegard et al., 1988; Hayes and Pulford, 1995; Gronau et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2011). However, the evidence was not convincing.

To derive a more precise estimation of the association

in different countries, we enlarged the number of cases and controls and performed this meta-analysis in China and India.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Literature inclusion and exclusion criteria

(1) The studies must be about Chinese and Indian; (2) Only case-control or cohort studies are considered; (3) The papers should include the risk of oral cancer and GSTT1 or GSTM1 null genotype; (4) The papers must provide the sample size, the OR and 95% confidence interval or provide the related information such as genotype frequency that can calculate OR and 95% CI; (5) When more than one paper used the same study population, only most complete study is included. Exclusion criteria (1) The studies are no controls; (2) The controls are with other malignancies; (3) The studies are reviews; (4) The studies' data is overlapped.

Search strategy

PubMed and Wanfang Med Online were searched (last accessed on May 1st, 2013) by using key words: "oral cancer"; "GSTM1"; "GSTT1"; "glutathione S-transferase M1".

Study selection and data extraction

According to the criteria of inclusion and exclusion, a

<sup>1</sup>Clinical Department of Stomatology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, <sup>2</sup>Center for Gene Diagnosis, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China \*For correspondence: zhuyoujia1954@sina.com

**Table 1. Information of Eligible Studies** 

| First author   | Year | -     |         | No. of controls<br>(GTSM1/GSTT1) |
|----------------|------|-------|---------|----------------------------------|
| Hung HC        | 1997 | China | 41/41   | 123/123                          |
| Liu CJ         | 2005 | China | 114/114 | 100/100                          |
| Chen MK        | 2010 | China | 164/164 | 274/274                          |
| Guo LK         | 2012 | China | 0/300   | 0/300                            |
| Zhang CX       | 2012 | China | 600/0   | 600/0                            |
| Sreelekha TT   | 2001 | India | 98/98   | 60/60                            |
| Buch SC        | 2002 | India | 297/297 | 450/450                          |
| Majumder M     | 2005 | India | 310/310 | 348/348                          |
| Sharma A       | 2006 | India | 40/40   | 87/87                            |
| Anantharaman D | 2007 | India | 451/456 | 727/726                          |
| Bathi RJ       | 2009 | India | 30/30   | 60/60                            |
| Sharma R       | 2010 | India | 0/73    | 0/201                            |
| Yadav DS       | 2010 | India | 136/136 | 270/270                          |
| Ruwali M       | 2011 | India | 170/170 | 500/500                          |
| Mondal R       | 2013 | India | 25/25   | 25/25                            |




Figure 1. The Flow Chart of the Included Studies

double-check procedure was carried out to make sure the data accuracy. The following information was extracted from the studies: first author, published year, country, and the number of cases and controls. The information of studies was summarized in Table 1.

#### Statistical analysis methods

Statistical analysis was did by Review Manager5.1 and STATA 12. OR and 95% CI were calculated to measure the strength of association between GSTT1 and GSTM1 polymorphism and oral cancer. A 95% CI without 1 indicated a significant correlation. Q test was performed to check heterogeneity, and the heterogeneity was considered significant when *P*<0.10 or I<sup>2</sup>>50%. The

Odds Ratio Odds Ratio 152 274 26.2% 71 123 21.8% Hung HC 1997 24 1.03 [0.50, 2.12] Liu C.I 2005 66 114 415 600 55 100 24 3% 1 13 [0 65 1 93] Total (95% CI) 1.35 [0.68, 2.68] Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.43; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 31.23, df = 3 (P < 0.00001); I2 = 90% 0.01 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.85 (P = 0.39)

Figure 2. Forest Plot for the Association Between GSTM1 Null Genotype and Oral Cancer in Chinese Population

|                                   | Case                   | s       | Contr       | ols     |                         | Odds Ratio         |      | 0         | dds Ratio | )        |     |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------|------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events                 | Total   | Events      | Total   | Weight                  | M-H, Random, 95% 0 | CI   | M-H, R    | andom, 9  | 95% CI   |     |
| Chen MK 2010                      | 79                     | 164     | 149         | 274     | 26.5%                   | 0.78 [0.53, 1.15   | ]    |           | -         |          |     |
| Guo LK 2012                       | 208                    | 300     | 133         | 300     | 27.2%                   | 2.84 [2.03, 3.97   | 1    |           | 1         | -        |     |
| Hung HC 1997                      | 24                     | 41      | 65          | 123     | 21.9%                   | 1.26 [0.62, 2.58   |      |           | -         |          |     |
| Liu CJ 2005                       | 51                     | 114     | 37          | 100     | 24.4%                   | 1.38 [0.80, 2.39   | 1    |           | 1         |          |     |
| Total (95% CI)                    |                        | 619     |             | 797     | 100.0%                  | 1.41 [0.72, 2.77]  | ]    |           | •         |          |     |
| Total events                      | 362                    |         | 384         |         |                         |                    |      |           |           |          |     |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = | 0.41; Chi <sup>2</sup> | = 25.1  | 1, df = 3 ( | P < 0.0 | 1001); I <sup>2</sup> = | 88%                | 0.01 | 0.1       | -         | 10       | 100 |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 1.01 (             | P = 0.3 | 1)          |         |                         | F                  |      | experimen | ital Favo | ours con |     |

Figure 3. Forest Plot for the Association Between GSTT1 Null Genotype and Oral Cancer in Chinese Population

fixed effects model was used when P>0.10 and  $I^2<50\%$ , while a random effects model was selected when P<0.10 and  $I^2>50\%$ . Egger's test and Begg's test were done to check the publication bias. All the tests were two-sided, a P value of 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

# **Results**

#### Characteristics of studies

According to the search strategy, 15 papers were selected in this meta-analysis in Figure 1. 10 studies included 1630 cases and 2728 controls were about GSTM1 and oral cancer in India population. 10 studies included 1562 cases and 2526 controls were about GSTM1 and oral cancer in India population. 5 studies included 919 cases and 1097 controls were about GSTM1 and oral cancer in Chinese population. 5 studies included 619 cases and 797 controls were about GSTT1 and oral cancer in Chinese population.

# Meta-analysis Results

We observed a significant correlation between GSTM1 null genotype and oral caner in Indian population (OR=1.59, 95%CI=1.20-2.11, P=0.001) in Figure 4. However, there was no association between oral cancer and GSTT1 in Indian population (OR=1.21, 95% CI = 0.84-1.74, P=0.31) in Figure 5, and we found that there was not an increased oral cancer risk among subjects carrying GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotype (OR=1.35, 95%CI=0.68-2.68, P=0.39) and (OR=1.41, 95%CI=0.72-

Table 2. Results of Meta-analysis

| Characteristics    | No. | Cases/controls | Heteroger | neity test | $P_{ m Egger's\ test}$ | P Begg's test |
|--------------------|-----|----------------|-----------|------------|------------------------|---------------|
|                    |     |                | P         | $I^2$      |                        |               |
| Chinese population |     |                |           |            |                        |               |
| GSTM1              | 4   | 919/1097       | 0.00001   | 90%        | 0.22                   | 1.00          |
| GSTT1              | 4   | 619/797        | 0.0001    | 88%        | 0.47                   | 0.73          |
| India population   |     |                |           |            |                        |               |
| GSTM1              | 10  | 1630/2728      | 0.0001    | 74%        | 0.44                   | 1.00          |
| GSTT1              | 9   | 1562/2526      | 0.0001    | 74%        | 0.45                   | 0.60          |

|                                       | Cases                   | s      | Contro    | ols     |                          | Odds Ratio         | Odds Ratio   |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Study or Subgroup                     | Events                  | Total  | Events    | Total   | Weight                   | M-H, Random, 95% C | I M-H, Random, 95% CI                                  |
| Anantharaman D 2007                   | 198                     | 451    | 269       | 727     | 13.9%                    | 1.33 [1.05, 1.69]  | i 🔭  |
| Bathi RJ 2009                         | 16                      | 30     | 36        | 60      | 6.2%                     | 0.76 [0.31, 1.84]  | · <del></del>  |
| Buch SC 2002                          | 146                     | 297    | 111       | 450     | 13.0%                    | 2.95 [2.16, 4.04]  | ı <del>-</del>   |
| Majumder M 2005                       | 104                     | 310    | 117       | 348     | 12.9%                    | 1.00 [0.72, 1.38]  | . 🕇  |
| Mondal R 2013                         | 15                      | 25     | 13        | 25      | 4.6%                     | 1.38 [0.45, 4.25]  | · <del></del>  |
| Ruwali M 2011                         | 84                      | 170    | 160       | 500     | 12.5%                    | 2.08 [1.46, 2.96]  | ı — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —                |
| Sharma A 2006                         | 17                      | 40     | 29        | 87      | 7.3%                     | 1.48 [0.68, 3.19]  | · <del>  • </del>                                      |
| Sharma R 2010                         | 35                      | 73     | 53        | 201     | 9.7%                     | 2.57 [1.47, 4.49]  | ı   <del></del>  |
| Sreelekha TT 2001                     | 48                      | 98     | 20        | 60      | 8.4%                     | 1.92 [0.99, 3.74]  | ı <del>  • -</del>                                     |
| Yadav DS 2010                         | 66                      | 136    | 120       | 270     | 11.7%                    | 1.18 [0.78, 1.78]  | · †  |
| Total (95% CI)                        |                         | 1630   |           | 2728    | 100.0%                   | 1.59 [1.20, 2.11]  | <b>*</b>   |
| Total events                          | 729                     |        | 928       |         |                          |                    |  |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.1 | 4; Chi <sup>2</sup> = : | 35.26, | df = 9 (P | < 0.000 | 1); I <sup>2</sup> = 749 | %                  |  |
| Test for overall effect: Z =          | 3.19 (P =               | 0.001  | )         |         |                          | F                  | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Favours experimental Favours control |

Figure 4. Forest Plot for the Association Between GSTM1 Null Genotype and Oral Cancer in Indian Population

|                                       | Case                   | s       | Contro    | ols     |                          | Odds Ratio          | Odds Ratio  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|---|
| Study or Subgroup                     | Events                 | Total   | Events    | Total   | Weight                   | M-H, Random, 95% CI | M-H, Random, 95% CI                                   |
| Anantharaman D 2007                   | 45                     | 456     | 114       | 726     | 14.4%                    | 0.59 [0.41, 0.85]   | -   |
| Bathi RJ 2009                         | 20                     | 30      | 45        | 60      | 7.8%                     | 0.67 [0.26, 1.74]   | <del></del>   |
| Buch SC 2002                          | 54                     | 297     | 55        | 450     | 14.0%                    | 1.60 [1.06, 2.40]   | <del>-</del>  |
| Majumder M 2005                       | 54                     | 310     | 54        | 348     | 13.9%                    | 1.15 [0.76, 1.74]   | +   |
| Mondal R 2013                         | 10                     | 25      | 13        | 25      | 6.5%                     | 0.62 [0.20, 1.89]   |   |
| Ruwali M 2011                         | 48                     | 170     | 103       | 500     | 14.1%                    | 1.52 [1.02, 2.26]   | <del>-</del>  |
| Sharma A 2006                         | 17                     | 40      | 13        | 87      | 8.7%                     | 4.21 [1.78, 9.95]   |   |
| Sreelekha TT 2001                     | 18                     | 98      | 5         | 60      | 7.1%                     | 2.48 [0.87, 7.06]   | <del>  • </del>                                       |
| Yadav DS 2010                         | 42                     | 136     | 85        | 270     | 13.5%                    | 0.97 [0.62, 1.52]   | †   |
| Total (95% CI)                        |                        | 1562    |           | 2526    | 100.0%                   | 1.21 [0.84, 1.74]   | •   |
| Total events                          | 308                    |         | 487       |         |                          |                     |   |
| Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.3 | 20; Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 30.90,  | df = 8 (P | = 0.000 | )1); I <sup>2</sup> = 74 | 1%                  |   |
| Test for overall effect: Z            | = 1.01 (P              | = 0.31) |           |         |                          | Fa                  | 0.01 0.1 1 10 100 avours experimental Favours control |

Figure 5. Forest Plot for the Association between GSTT1 Null Genotype and Oral Cancer in Indian Population

2.77, *P*=0.31) in Chinese population in Figure 2 and Figure 3. We caught a conclusion that GSTM1 null genotype and oral cancer had a significant correlation in Indian population.

# Evaluation of Heterogeneity

The heterogeneity was showed in Table 2.  $P_{\rm Heterogeneity}$  of all groups indicated that heterogeneity could not be ignored ( $P_{\rm Heterogeneity}$ <0.01). The detail information was not obtained, such as smoking status, so we could not investigate the source of heterogeneity.

#### Publication Bias

Egger's test and Begg's test were selected to assess the publication bias of the studies in Table 2. All the results of  $P_{\rm Egger's}$  and  $P_{\rm Begg's}$  were more than 0.05. It indicated that there was no publication bias in this meta-analysis.

# **Discussion**

Oral cancer is a serious cancer in the world. To find more effective preventions and treatments, it is important to understanding the effect of genes. Genetic polymorphisms could contribute to the etiology of oral cancer (Zheng et al., 2007; Jiang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013), such as GSTM1 and GSTT1. Several studies had suggested that null genotype of GSTM1 and GSTT1 was related to the susceptibility to oral cancer in China and India. However, the results were inconsistent. Considering the different incidence of oral cancer in China and India, it might be not reasonable to perform a meta-analysis by group of Asian continent. Therefore, it was convincing to perform this meta-analysis by group of China and India.

15 papers were selected in this meta-analysis, and 10 studies included 1630 cases and 2728 controls were about GSTM1 and oral cancer in India population. 5 studies

included 919 cases and 1097 controls were about GSTM1 and oral cancer in Chinese population. We found that there was not an increased oral cancer risk among subjects carrying GSTM1 and GSTT1 null genotype (OR=1.35, 95%CI=0.68-2.68, P=0.39) and (OR=1.41, 95%CI=0.72-2.77, P=0.31) in Chinese population. In India population, a significant association between GSTM1 null genotype and oral cancer was observed (OR=1.59, 95%CI=1.20-2.11, P=0.001), but it was not significant in GSTT1 (OR=1.21, 95% CI = 0.84 - 1.74, P=0.31). The association between GSTM1 null genotype and oral cancer was diverse in China and India.

We made a hypothesis. India and China are in the same stage of social development, and have similar environmental problems, such as industrial pollution. More important, they are yellow. Therefore, we made the hypothesis that GSTM1 deletion polymorphism might be correlated with oral cancer in Chinese population. The number of research literatures limited the efficiency of inspection, and more literatures were need to confirms this hypothesis.

There are some limitations in this meta-analysis. Firstly, the role of environmental interactions is crucial, so detailed information such as smoking status is needed. Secondly, the heterogeneity is difficult to exclude. It may be decided by confounding factors, such as gender and genetic diversities. However, the information is difficult to collect completely. Thirdly, only 4 studies are included in group of Chinese population, so large number of cases and controls is needed.

In a word, GSTM1 null genotype and oral caner has a significant correlation in Indian population. However, further studies should be carried out with larger sample size to confirm the result and hypothesis.

# Acknowledgements

All authors declare that we have no conflict of interest.

# References

Anantharaman D, Chaubal PM, Kannan S, et al (2007). Susceptibility to oral cancer by genetic polymorphisms at CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 loci among Indians: tobacco exposure as a risk modulator. *Carcinogenesis*, **28**, 1455-62.

Buch SC, Notani PN, Bhisey RA (2002). Polymorphism at GSTM1, GSTM3 and GSTT1 gene loci and susceptibility to oral cancer in an Indian population. *Carcinogenesis*, 23, 803-7.

Bathi RJ, Rao R, Mutalik S (2009). GST null genotype and antiox-idants: risk indicators for oral pre-cancer and cancer. *Indian J Dent Res*, **20**, 298-303.

Cha IH, Park JY, Chung WY, et al (2007). Polymorphisms of CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes and susceptibility to oral cancer. *Yonsei Med J*, **48**, 233-9.

Chen MK, Tsai HT, Chung TT, et al (2010). Glutathione S-transferase P1 and alpha gene variants; role in susceptibility and tumor size development of oral cancer. *Head Neck*, **32**, 1079-87.

Gronau S, Koenig-Greger D, Jerg M, et al (2003). GSTM1 enzyme concentration and enzyme activity in correlation to the genotype of detoxification enzymes in squamous cell

- carcinoma of the oral cavity. Oral Dis, 9, 62-7.
- Guo L, Zhang C, Shi S, Guo X (2012). Correlation between smoking and the polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 1A1-Msp I and glutathi-one S-transferase T1 genes and oral cancer. Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi, 30, 187-91.
- Hayes JD, Pulford DJ (1995). The glutathioneS-transferase supergene family: regulation of GST and the contribution of the isoenzymes to cancer chemoprotection and drug resistance. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol*, **30**, 445-600.
- Hung HC, Chuang J, Chien YC, et al (1997). Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2E1, GSTM1, and GSTT1; environmental factors and risk of oral cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*, **6**, 901-5.
- Halawany HS, Jacob V, Abraham NB, Al-Maflehi N (2013). Oral cancer awareness and perception of tobacco use cessation counseling among dental students in four Asian countries. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 3619-23.
- Jiang N, Pan J, Wang L, Duan YZ (2013). No significant association between p53 codon 72 Arg/Pro polymorphism and risk of oral cancer. *Tumour Biol*, **34**, 587-96.
- Katoh T, Kaneko S, Kohshi K, et al (1999). Genetic polymorphisms of tobacco-and alcohol-related metabolizing enzymes and oral cavity cancer. *Int J Cancer*, **83**, 606-9.
- Liu CJ, Chang CS, Lui MT, et al (2005). Association of GST genotypes with age of onset and lymph node metastasis in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med, 34, 473-7.
- Majumder M, Sikdar N, Paul RR, et al (2005). Increased risk of oral leukoplakia and cancer among mixed tobacco users carrying XRCC1 variant haplotypes and cancer among smokers carrying two risk genotypes: one on each of two loci, GSTM3 and XRCC1 (codon 280). Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev., 14, 2106-12.
- Ma D, Du G, Tan B, et al (2011). Genetic polymorphism of GSTT1 to lung cancer patients in north Sichuan. *Chin J Cancer Prev Treat*, **18**, 989-91.
- Masood N, Yasmin A, Kayani MA (2013). Genetic deletions of GSTM1 and GSTT1 in head and neck cancer:review of the literature from 2000 to 2012. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 3535-9.
- Mondal R, Ghosh SK, Talukdar FR, Laskar RS (2013). Association of mitochondrial D-loop mutations with GSTM1and GSTT1 polymorphisms in oral carcinoma: A case control study from Northeast India. *Oral Oncol*, 49, 345-53.
- Menezes RF, Bergmann A, Thuler LC (2013). Alcohol consumption and risk of cancer: a systematic literature review. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*, **14**, 4965-72.
- Petersen PE (2009). Oral cancer prevention and control—the approach of the World Health Organization. *Oral Oncol*, **45**, 454-60.
- Ruwali M, Singh M, Pant MC, Parmar D (2011). Polymorphism in glutathione S-transferases: susceptibility and treatment outcome for head and neck cancer. *Xenobiotica*, 41, 1122-30.
- Seidegard J, Vorachek WR, Pero RW, et al (1988). Hereditary differences in the expression of the human glutathione transferase active on transstilbene oxide are due to a gene deletion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **85**, 7293-7.
- Sato M, Sato T, Izumo T, et al (1999). Genetic polymorphism of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to oral cancer. *Carcinogenesis*, 20, 1927-31.
- Sreelekha TT, Ramadas K, Pandey M, et al (2001). Genetic polymorphism of CYP1A1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genes in Indian oral cancer. *Oral Oncol*, 37, 593-8.
- Sharma A, Mishra A, Das BC, et al (2006). Genetic polymorphism at GSTM1 and GSTT1 gene loci and susceptibility to oral cancer. *Neoplasma*, **53**, 309-15.
- Sugimura T, Kumimoto H, Tohnai I, et al (2006). Gene-

- environment interaction involved in oral carcinogenesis: molecular epidemiological study for metabolic and DNA repair gene polymorphisms. *J Oral Pathol Med*, **35**, 11-8.
- Sharma R, Ahuja M, Panda NK, et al (2010). Combined effect of smoking and polymorphisms in tobacco carcinogenmetabolizing enzymes CYP1A1 and GSTM1 on the head and neck cancer risk in north Indians. *DNA Cell Biol*, **29**, 441-8.
- Wang W, Zhao Y, Yang J, et al (2013). Cyclin D1 polymorphism and oral cancer: a meta-analysis. *Mol Biol Rep*, **40**, 87 -95.
- Xie H, Hou L, Shields PG, et al (2004). Metabolic polymorphisms, smoking, and oral cancer in Puerto Rico. *Oncol Res*, **14**, 315-20.
- Yadav DS, Devi TR, Ihsan R, et al (2010). Polymorphisms of glutathione-S-transferase genes and the risk of aerodigestive tract cancers in the northeast Indian population. *Genet Test Mol Biomark*, **14**, 715-23.
- Zheng Jiawei, Li Jinzhoug, Zhong Laiping, et al (2007). Clinical epidemiology and risk factors of oral squamous cell carcinoma: An overview. *Chin J Oral Maxillofae Surg*, **5**, 83-90.
- Zhang CX, Guo L, Guo XF (2012). The research of correlation of EC-SOD and GSTM1 and smoking with oral cancer. *J Hygiene Res*, **41**, 192-5.