

Reflexive Index of a Family of Sets

ZHAO DONGSHENG

Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore
e-mail: dongsheng.zhao@nie.edu.sg

ABSTRACT. As a further study on reflexive families of subsets, we introduce the reflexive index for a family of subsets of a given set and show that the index of a finite family of subsets of a finite or countably infinite set is always finite. The reflexive indices of some special families are also considered.

Given a set X , let $\text{Sub}(X)$ denote the set of all subsets of X and $\text{End}(X)$ denote the set of all endomappings $f : X \rightarrow X$. For any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Sub}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$ define

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{f \in \text{End}(X) : f(A) \subseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\}, \\ \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) &= \{A \in \text{Sub}(X) : f(A) \subseteq A \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}\}.\end{aligned}$$

A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Sub}(X)$ is called *reflexive* if $\mathcal{A} = \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{A}))$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{A} = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$ for some $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$.

As was shown in [9], $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Sub}(X)$ is reflexive iff it is closed under arbitrary unions and intersections and contains the empty set and X . The reflexive families $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$ were also introduced and characterized as those subsemigroups \mathcal{L} of $(\text{End}(X), \circ)$ such that \mathcal{L} is a lower set and contains all existing suprema of subsets of \mathcal{L} with respect to a naturally defined partial order on $\text{End}(X)$. The similar work in functional analysis is on the reflexive invariant subspace lattices and reflexive operator algebras [1-6].

For any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Sub}(X)$, let $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{A}))$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the smallest family of subsets containing \mathcal{A} which is closed under arbitrary unions and intersections containing empty set \emptyset and X , and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is finite if \mathcal{A} is finite. Furthermore, $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{F} = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{A})$.

It is, however, still not known whether for any finite family \mathcal{A} there is a finite $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$.

In this short paper, we shall answer the above problem. It will be shown that the answer is positive if and only if X is a finite or countably infinite set. For

Received July 19, 2012; accepted April 24, 2013.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C05, 54C60, 54B20, 06B99.

Key words and phrases: reflexive families, reflexive index, endomapping.

any family \mathcal{A} of subsets of a set, we define a cardinal $\kappa(\mathcal{A})$, which, in a certain sense, reflects how the sets in \mathcal{A} are interrelated. This cardinal will be called the reflexive index of \mathcal{A} . The reflexive indices of some special families are computed. For instance, we show that if \mathcal{A} is a finite chain of subsets of \mathbb{N} (the set of all natural numbers) with more than one member, then $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) = 2$.

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of a set X . The reflexive index of \mathcal{A} is defined as

$$\kappa_X(\mathcal{A}) = \inf\{|\mathcal{F}| : \mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X), \hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})\},$$

where $|\mathcal{F}|$ is the cardinal of \mathcal{F} .

We shall write $\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ for $\kappa_X(\mathcal{A})$ if the set X is clearly assumed.

If $C \subseteq X$ and $f \in \text{End}(X)$ such that $f(C) \subseteq C$, then we say that C is invariant under f . Thus $\text{Lat}(\{f\})$ is the set of all subsets which are invariant under f . Also note that $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcap\{\text{Lat}(\{f\}) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ for any $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$.

In the following we shall use \mathbb{N} to denote the set of all natural numbers.

Remark 2.

- (1) For any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Sub}(X)$, $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} = \hat{\mathcal{A}}$, thus $\kappa_X(\hat{\mathcal{A}}) = \kappa_X(\mathcal{A})$.
- (2) For any $g \in \text{End}(X)$, where X is an infinite set, $\text{Lat}(\{g\})$ is an infinite family. To see this, consider any $a \in X$. If $\{g^k(a) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite set, then $g^k(a) \neq g^i(a)$ whenever $k \neq i$. In this case, $\{g^i(a) : i \geq k\} : k \in \mathbb{N}$ is an infinite subfamily of $\text{Lat}(\{g\})$ (g^k is the composition of k copies of g). Now assume that for each $a \in X$, $\{g^k(a) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a finite set, then there are infinitely many sets of the form $\{g^k(a) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ($a \in X$), each of them is a member of $\text{Lat}(\{g\})$. Therefore $\text{Lat}(\{g\})$ is infinite.

Lemma 3. Let X be a nonempty set.

- (1) If X is a countably infinite set, then there are two mappings

$$\mu_X^0, \mu_X^1 : X \longrightarrow X$$

such that for any nonempty $B \subseteq X$, if $\mu_X^0(B) \subseteq B$ and $\mu_X^1(B) \subseteq B$ then $B = X$.

- (2) If X is a finite set, there is one mapping $\mu_X^0 : X \longrightarrow X$ such that for any nonempty $B \subseteq X$, $\mu_X^0(B) \subseteq B$ implies $B = X$.

Proof. (1) If $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ is a countably infinite set, define $\mu_X^0(a_i) = a_{i+1}$ and $\mu_X^1(a_i) = a_1$ for each i . Then μ_X^0 and μ_X^1 satisfy the requirement.

- (2) If $X = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ is a finite set, define $\mu_X^0(a_i) = a_{i+1}$ ($1 \leq i < n$) and $\mu_X^0(a_n) = a_1$. Then $B \subseteq X$ and $\mu_X^0(B) \subseteq B$ will imply $B = \emptyset$ or $B = X$. □

Proposition 4. Let X be a nonempty set.

- (1) If X is countably infinite, $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) = 2$.
- (2) If X is a finite set, $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) = 1$.

Proof. First, note that the family $\{\emptyset, X\}$ is closed under arbitrary intersections and unions, so it is reflexive, i.e. $\text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\{\emptyset, X\})) = \{\emptyset, X\}$ (Theorem 1 of [9]).

The statement (2) clearly follows from Lemma 3(2).

To prove (1), by Lemma 3(1), we have $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) \leq 2$. Also, by Remark 2 (2), for any $f \in \text{End}(X)$ the set $\text{Lat}(\{f\})$ is infinite, implying $\{\emptyset, X\} \neq \text{Lat}(\{f\})$. Hence $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) = 2$. \square

Proposition 5. *If X is a noncountable infinite set, then $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) = |X|$, where $|X|$ is the cardinal of X .*

Proof. Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$ and $|\mathcal{F}| < |X|$. Take \mathcal{F}^* to be the subsemigroup of $(\text{End}(X), \circ)$ generated by \mathcal{F} , where \circ is the composition operation. If \mathcal{F} is finite, then \mathcal{F}^* is finite or countably infinite. Since X is uncountable it follows that $|\mathcal{F}^*| < |X|$. If \mathcal{F} is infinite, then $|\mathcal{F}^*| = |\mathcal{F}| < |X|$. Chose one element $a \in X$ and let $\mathcal{F}^*a = \{f(a) : f \in \mathcal{F}^*\}$, called the orbit of a under \mathcal{F} . Clearly \mathcal{F}^*a is a member of $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$. However, $|\mathcal{F}^*a| \leq |\mathcal{F}^*| < |X|$, implying $\mathcal{F}^*a \neq X$. Also as $\mathcal{F}^*a \neq \emptyset$, so $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \{\emptyset, X\}$. It thus follows that $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) \geq |X|$. Now consider $\mathcal{K} = \{f_a : a \in X\} \subseteq \text{End}(X)$, where $f_a : X \rightarrow X$ is the constant mapping that sends every $x \in X$ to a . Then for any nonempty set $B \subseteq X$, if $f_a(B) \subseteq B$ for all $a \in X$, then $X = B$. Therefore $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{K}) = \{\emptyset, X\}$ and so $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) \leq |\mathcal{K}| = |X|$. All these show that $\kappa_X(\{\emptyset, X\}) = |X|$. \square

Now we prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a finite or countably infinite set. Then for any finite family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Sub}(X)$, $\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ is finite.*

Proof. Since the conclusion is clearly true if X is a finite set, we only give the proof for countably infinite sets X . To simplify the argument we take $X = \mathbb{N}$ (the set of all natural numbers) and denote $\kappa_{\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{F})$ simply by $\kappa(\mathcal{F})$. Without lose of generality, we assume that $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{A}$. By rearranging, if necessary, we can let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ such that $A_1 = \mathbb{N}$ and $j > i$ if $A_j \subset A_i$ (however, $j > i$ need not imply $A_j \subset A_i$). Let $\Theta = \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) : 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq m, 1 \leq k \leq m\}$. For each $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \Theta$, define $X_\sigma = \bigcap_{t=1}^k A_{i_t} - \bigcup \{A_s : s \neq i_t, t = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. If $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \Theta$, we call each $i_t, 1 \leq t \leq k$ a component of σ .

For each $\sigma \in \Theta$, we assume that $X_\sigma = \{a_1^\sigma, a_2^\sigma, \dots\}$ such that $a_1^\sigma < a_2^\sigma < \dots$ when $X_\sigma \neq \emptyset$.

For $\sigma_1 = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k), \sigma_2 = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l) \in \Theta$, define $\sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ if $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l\} \subset \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$.

It's easy to see that the following statements are true:

- (a) X_σ and X_β are disjoint if $\sigma \neq \beta$;
- (b) for each $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $A_i = \bigcup \{X_\sigma : i \text{ is a component of } \sigma\}$;
- (c) for each $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \Theta$,

$$\bigcap \{A_{i_t} : t = 1, 2, \dots, k\} = \bigcup \{X_\beta : \beta \in \Theta, \beta \leq \sigma\}.$$

Now let $f^0 : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a mapping such that $f^0|_{X_\sigma} = \mu_{X_\sigma}^0$ as constructed in the proof of Lemma 3 for each set X_σ (note that X'_σ s are disjoint sets and their

union is \mathcal{N}). Let $f^1 : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the mapping such that $f^1(x) = a_1^\sigma$ for each $x \in X_\sigma$.

For any $\sigma = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) > \beta = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ define $f_{\sigma,\beta} : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, if X_σ and X_β are nonempty, as follows:

$$f_{\sigma,\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \notin X_\sigma, \\ a_1^\sigma, & \text{if } x \in X_\sigma - \{a_1^\sigma\}, \\ a_1^\beta, & \text{if } x = a_1^\sigma. \end{cases}$$

Now consider the finite family $\mathcal{F} = \{f^0, f^1\} \cup \{f_{\sigma,\beta} : \sigma, \beta \in \Theta, \sigma > \beta, X_\sigma \neq \emptyset, X_\beta \neq \emptyset\}$.

(1) Let $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$. By above (a) and (b), A_i is a disjoint union of some X'_σ s. Since $f^0(X_\sigma) \subseteq X_\sigma, f^1(X_\sigma) \subseteq X_\sigma$, thus $f^0(A_i) \subseteq A_i$ and $f^1(A_i) \subseteq A_i$.

Now let $\sigma, \beta \in \Theta$ such that $\sigma > \beta$. If $x \in A_i$ and $x \notin X_\sigma$ then $f_{\sigma,\beta}(x) = x \in A_i$. If $x \in X_\sigma$, then i is a component of σ , so i is also a component of β . Now $f_{\sigma,\beta}(x) \in X_\sigma$ or $f_{\sigma,\beta}(x) \in X_\beta$. But $X_\sigma, X_\beta \subseteq A_i$, so $f_{\sigma,\beta}(x) \in A_i$, therefore $f_{\sigma,\beta}(A_i) \subseteq A_i$. It follows that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$. Then $\text{Alg}(\mathcal{A}) \supseteq \text{Alg}(\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}))$ and so $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}))) = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$, the last equation holds for any \mathcal{F} (see Lemma 1(3) of [9]).

(2) Given any $C \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $C \in \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$, we show that $C \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\text{Alg}(\mathcal{A}))$. First, if $C \cap X_\sigma \neq \emptyset$, then there is a point $x \in C \cap X_\sigma$, so as $f^1 \in \mathcal{F}$, $f^1(x) = a_1^\sigma \in C$. Hence $a_2^\sigma = f^0(a_1^\sigma) \in C, a_3 = f^0(a_1^\sigma) \in C$, etc. It then follows that $X_\sigma \subseteq C$. Now if $\beta < \sigma$, then $a_1^\beta = f_{\sigma,\beta}(a_1^\sigma) \in C$, so $X_\beta \cap C \neq \emptyset$, therefore we also can deduce that $X_\beta \subseteq C$. For any element $x \in C$, there exists $\gamma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$ such that $x \in A_{i_t}$ for each $t = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $x \notin A_j$ for all $j \notin \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$. Then $x \in X_\gamma$. In addition, $X_\gamma \subseteq C$ because $x \in X_\gamma \cap C$ which implies $X_\gamma \cap C \neq \emptyset$. By property (c), $\bigcap\{A_{i_t} : t = 1, 2, \dots, k\} = \bigcup\{X_\beta : \beta \leq \gamma\} \subseteq C$. In addition, $x \in \bigcap\{A_{i_t} : t = 1, 2, \dots, k\} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ ($\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed under arbitrary intersections and each $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$). All these show that C is a union of members of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, thus $C \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ because $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed under arbitrary unions. Hence $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

The combination of (1) and (2) implies that $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) = \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Since $|\mathcal{F}|$ is finite, the proof is completed. \square

Now we consider $\kappa(\mathcal{A})$ for some special families \mathcal{A} of subsets of \mathcal{N} .

Example 7. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{2\mathcal{N}, 3\mathcal{N}, 5\mathcal{N}\}$. We show that $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \leq 4$.

Let $\mathcal{N} - (2\mathcal{N} \cup 3\mathcal{N} \cup 5\mathcal{N}) = \{a_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 2\mathcal{N} - (3\mathcal{N} \cup 5\mathcal{N}) = \{b_k^1 : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 3\mathcal{N} - (2\mathcal{N} \cup 5\mathcal{N}) = \{b_k^2 : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 5\mathcal{N} - (3\mathcal{N} \cup 2\mathcal{N}) = \{b_k^3 : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 10\mathcal{N} - 3\mathcal{N} = \{c_k^1 : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 6\mathcal{N} - 5\mathcal{N} = \{c_k^2 : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 15\mathcal{N} - 2\mathcal{N} = \{c_k^3 : k = 1, 2, \dots\}, 30\mathcal{N} = \{d_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

Define the mappings f, g_1, g_2, g_3 in $\text{End}(\mathcal{N})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \begin{cases} a_{k+1}, & \text{if } x = a_k \ (k \geq 1), \\ b_{k+1}^i, & \text{if } x = b_k^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } k \geq 1), \\ c_{k+1}^i, & \text{if } x = c_k^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } k \geq 1), \\ d_{k+1}, & \text{if } x = d_k \ (k \geq 1). \end{cases} \\
 g_1(x) &= \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } x = a_{k+1} \ (k \geq 1), \\ b_1^1, & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ c_1^1, & \text{if } x = b_1^i \ (i = 1, 3), \\ c_1^3, & \text{if } x = b_1^2, \\ b_1^i, & \text{if } x = b_{k+1}^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } k \geq 1), \\ c_1^i, & \text{if } x = c_{k+1}^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } k \geq 1), \\ d_1, & \text{if } x = d_k \ (k \geq 1) \text{ or } c_1^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3). \end{cases} \\
 g_2(x) &= \begin{cases} a_1, & \text{if } x = a_{k+1} \ (k \geq 1), \\ b_1^2, & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ c_1^2, & \text{if } x = b_1^i \ (i = 1, 2), \\ c_1^3, & \text{if } x = b_1^3 \\ b_1^i, & \text{if } x = b_{k+1}^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } k \geq 1), \\ c_1^i, & \text{if } x = c_{k+1}^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ and } k \geq 1), \\ d_1, & \text{if } x = d_k \ (k \geq 1) \text{ or } x = c_1^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3). \end{cases} \\
 g_3(x) &= \begin{cases} b_1^3, & \text{if } x = a_1, \\ x, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f, g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ and $A \in \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$.

(i) Each of $2N, 3N$ and $5N$ is invariant under every mapping in \mathcal{F} . Thus $\hat{A} \subseteq \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$.

(ii) If $A \cap (N - (2N \cup 3N \cup 5N)) \neq \emptyset$, then, as $g_1(A) \subseteq A$, it follows that $a_1 \in A$. Then, each $a_{k+1}, k \geq 1$ is in A because $f(A) \subseteq A$. Since $g_i(A) \subseteq A$ it follows that $b_1^i \in A \ (i = 1, 2, 3)$. Again, as $f(A) \subseteq A$, we deduce that A contains each of $2N - (3N \cup 5N), 3N - (2N \cup 5N)$ and $5N - (2N \cup 3N)$. Now A contains each of $c_1^i \ (i = 1, 2, 3)$. With a similar argument we deduce that A contains each of $6N - 5N, 10N - 3N, 15N - 2N$ and $30N$. Hence $A = N \in \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$.

In a similar way we can show the following statements are true:

(iii) If $A \cap (2N - (3N \cup 5N)) \neq \emptyset$ then A contains $2N$. If $A \cap (3N - (2N \cup 5N)) \neq \emptyset$, then A contains $3N$. If $A \cap (5N - (2N \cup 3N)) \neq \emptyset$, then A contains $5N$.

(iv) If $A \cap (6N - 5N) \neq \emptyset$, respectively, $A \cap (10N - 3N) \neq \emptyset, A \cap (15N - 2N) \neq \emptyset$, then $A \supseteq 6N$, respectively, $A \supseteq 10N, A \supseteq 15N$.

(v) If $A \cap 30N \neq \emptyset$, then $A \supseteq 30N$.

From (i)-(v), it follows that A either equals N or is a union of intersections of $2N, 3N, 5N$, that is $A \in \hat{A}$ and so $\hat{A} = \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$.

Thus $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) = \hat{A}$, so $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \leq 4$.

Remark 8. From the proof in the above example, we can see that a more general conclusion is true: if p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are distinct primes, then $\kappa(\{p_i \mathbb{N} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}) \leq m + 1$.

Proposition 9. *If $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ is a finite chain of distinct subsets of \mathbb{N} with $m \geq 2$, then $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) = 2$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_m$ and $A_1 \neq \emptyset$ and $A_m = \mathbb{N}$. Let $A_1 = \{a_1^1, a_2^1, \dots\}$, $A_2 - A_1 = \{a_1^2, a_2^2, \dots\}$, ..., $A_m - A_{m-1} = \{a_1^m, a_2^m, \dots\}$. Define $f, g \in \text{End}(\mathbb{N})$ as follows: for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_k^i) &= \begin{cases} a_{k+1}^i, & \text{if } a_k^i \text{ is not the last element in } A_i - A_{i-1}, \\ a_k^i, & \text{if } a_k^i \text{ is the last element in } A_i - A_{i-1}. \end{cases} \\ g(x) &= \begin{cases} a_1^i, & \text{if } x = a_{k+1}^i, \\ a_1^i, & \text{if } x = a_1^{i+1}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the smallest family containing \mathcal{A} which is closed under arbitrary unions and intersections, $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \cup \{\emptyset\}$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{A} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \text{Lat}(\{f, g\})$. Thus $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$. By Remark 2(2), for any $h \in \text{End}(\mathbb{N})$, $\text{Lat}(\{h\})$ is an infinite family, so $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) \neq 1$, therefore $\kappa(\mathcal{A}) = 2$. □

Remark 10.

(1) The reader may wonder whether there is a set family whose reflex index is 1. Consider $\mathcal{A} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{C_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$, where $C_n = \{n, n + 1, \dots\}$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \hat{\mathcal{A}} = \text{Lat}(\{f\})$, where f is defined by

$$f(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = 1, \\ m - 1, & \text{if } m > 1. \end{cases}$$

(2) The following is a chain of subsets of \mathbb{N} whose reflexive index is not finite. Put $\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{N}, \{k : k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2\}\} \cup \{D_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 1\}$, where for each $n > 1$, $D_n = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. Clearly $\hat{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(\mathbb{N})$ be any finite family of endomappings on \mathbb{N} satisfying $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F})$. If $f(1) = 1$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\{1\} \in \text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) - \hat{\mathcal{B}}$. If there is $f \in \mathcal{F}$ with $f(1) \neq 1$, let $l = \max\{f(1) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, then $l \geq 2$ and the subset $\{1\} \cup D_l$ is in $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{B}$. Thus for any finite $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \text{End}(\mathbb{N})$, $\text{Lat}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \mathcal{B} = \hat{\mathcal{B}}$, therefore $\kappa(\mathcal{B})$ is not finite.

Remark 11.

(1) It is possible and necessary to identify the *exact* values of the reflexive indices of more concrete families (such as $\mathcal{A} = \{p_i \mathbb{N} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for any distinct prime numbers p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n). We leave this to interested readers to try.

(2) In [7][8], the reflexive families of closed subsets of a topological space are studied. We can also define the reflexive index for a family of closed sets. One of the natural problems would be: for which spaces, does every finite family of closed

sets have a finite reflexive index? Furthermore, one can introduce and consider the reflexive index of a family of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Professor Carsten Thomassen for a very helpful conversation with him while he was visiting our department in 2009, which led me to prove the main result in this paper. I also must thank the referees for identifying some errors in the earlier draft and giving me valuable comments and suggestions for improvement.

References

- [1] P. R. Halmos, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **76**(1970), 887-933.
- [2] P. R. Halmos, *Reflexive lattices of subspaces*, J. London Math. Soc., **4**(1971), 257-263.
- [3] K. J. Harrison and W.E. Longstaff, *Automorphic images of commutative subspace lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **296**(1986), 217-228.
- [4] W. E. Longstaff, *Strongly reflexive subspace lattices*, J. London Math. Soc., **11**(1975), 2:491-498.
- [5] W. E. Longstaff, *On lattices whose every realization on Hilbert space is reflexive*, J. London Math. Soc., **37**(1988), 2:499-508.
- [6] W. E. Longstaff and O. Panaia, *On the ranks of single elements of reflexive operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **125**(1997), 10:2875-2882.
- [7] Z. Yang and D. Zhao, *Reflexive families of closed sets*, Fund. Math., **192**(2006), 111-120.
- [8] Z. Yang and D. Zhao, *On reflexive closed set lattices*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **51**(2010), 1:23-32.
- [9] D. Zhao, *On reflexive subobject lattices and reflexive endomorphism algebras*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **44**(2003), 23-32.