#### Original Article

## T1-weighted FLAIR MR Imaging for the Evaluation of Enhancing Brain Tumors: Comparison with Spin Echo Imaging

# Boseul Jeong<sup>1</sup>, Dae Seob Choi<sup>1, 2</sup>, Hwa Seon Shin<sup>1</sup>, Hye Young Choi<sup>1</sup>, Mi Jung Park<sup>1</sup>, Kyung Nyeo Jeon<sup>1</sup>, Jae Beom Na<sup>1</sup>, Sung Hoon Chung<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departments of Radiology and <sup>2</sup>Gyeongsang Institute of Health Science, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, Jinju, Korea

**Purpose :** Spin-echo (SE) technique is most commonly used pulse sequence for T1-weighted MR imaging. T1-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T1FLAIR) is a relatively new pulse sequence and it provides higher tissue contrast between the gray matter (GM) and white matter (WM) of the brain than T1-weighted SE (T1SE) sequence. However, there has been controversy for the evaluation of enhancing brain tumors with T1FLAIR compared to T1SE. The purpose of this study was to compare T1FLAIR and T1SE sequences for the evaluation of enhancing intracranial tumors.

**Materials and Methods:** Fifty-two patients with enhancing brain tumors were evaluated with contrast-enhanced (CE) T1SE and T1FLAIR imaging. Eight quantitative criteria were calculated: lesion-to-WM contrast ratio (CR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), lesion-to-GM CR and CNR, lesion-to-CSF CR and CNR, and WM-to-GM CR and CNR. For qualitative evaluation, two radiologists assessed lesion conspicuity on CE T1SE and T1FLAIR sequences with three-scale: 1, T1SE superior; 2, sequence equal; T1FLAIR superior.

**Results:** Seventy-nine tumors (31 primaries, 48 metastases) were assessed. For quantitative measurement, the T1FLAIR lesion-to-GM, lesion-to-CSF, WM-to-GM CR and CNR values were comparable and statistically superior to those of the T1SE images (p < 0.001 in all). However, lesion-to-WM CR and CNR were similar on both two sequences without statistically significant difference (p = 0.661, 0.662, respectively). For qualitative evaluation, both radiologists assessed that T1FLAIR images were superior to T1SE images for the evaluation of lesion conspicuity.

**Conclusion:** For the evaluation of enhancing intracranial tumors, T1FLAIR sequence was superior or comparable to T1SE sequence.

Index words : Brain tumor · Magnetic resonance imaging · Pulse sequences · Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery

• Received; February 1, 2014 • Revised; May 17, 2014

Corresponding author : Dae Seob Choi, M.D.

Department of Radiology, Gyeongsang National University Hospital, Gyeongsang National University School of Medicine, 90 Chilam-dong, Jinju 660-702, Korea.

Tel. 82-55-750-8211, Fax. 82-55-758-1568, E-mail : choids@gnu.ac.kr This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## INTRODUCTION

MR T1-weighted images (T1WI) are mainly used to the anatomical details and pathological abnormalities of the intracranial lesions. Spin-echo (SE) technique has been the most commonly used pulse sequence for T1WI (1). T1-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (T1FLAIR) is a relatively new pulse sequence and it provides higher tissue contrast between the gray and white matter (WM) of the brain than T1-weighted

<sup>•</sup> Accepted; May 19, 2014

SE (T1SE) sequence (2–5). However, there has been controversy for the evaluation of enhancing brain tumors with T1FLAIR compared to T1SE. Al-Saeed et al. (3) and Rydberg et al. (4) reported that T1FLAIR demonstrated greater sensitivity for contrast enhancement and provided superior contrast between lesions and background compared with T1SE. However, other investigators (1, 6, 7) showed converse results.

The purpose of the present study was to compare T1FLAIR and T1SE sequences for the evaluation of enhancing intracranial tumors.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Patients

From March 2012 to July 2012, fifty-two patients with seventy-nine tumors (31 primaries, 48 metastases) prospectively underwent T1SE and T1FLAIR MR imaging during the same imaging session. There were 19 males and 33 females, aged from 32 to 77 years (mean, 57.2 years). 28 patients had primary tumors and 24 patients had metastatic tumors from the remote sites. The primary tumors consisted of meningiomas (n=14), schwannomas (n=6), glioblastomas (n=5), oligodendroglioma (n=1), hemangioblastoma (n=1), and pineal tumor (n=1), respectively. The primary neoplasms of the metastatic tumors were lung cancer (n=18), breast cancer (n=3),

Table 1. Values of CRs for T1SE and T1FLAIR sequence
--

rectal cancer (n=2), and ovarian cancer (n=1), respectively. Diagnoses were made on the basis of biopsy results (n=12) or clinical and radiologic findings (n=40). Two of 28 patients with primary tumors had multiple tumors. Among 24 patients with metastatic tumors, 14 had multiple tumors. In cases of multiple tumors in a patient, only the largest three of them were selected and evaluated.

#### **Imaging parameters**

1.5 Tesla MR scanners were used in all patients (Magnetom Avanto/Sonata; Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany). Imaging studies included contrast-enhanced (CE) axial T1SE images (TR/TE, 414 ms/11 ms; acquisition time, 1 minute 33 seconds; slice thickness/gap, 5 mm/1–1.5 mm) and CE axial T1FLAIR images (TR/TE<sub>eff</sub>, 2000 ms/8.8 ms; inversion time, 860 ms; acquisition time, 1 minute 54 seconds; slice thickness/gap, 5 mm/1–1.5 mm).

Scans were started 5 minutes after intravenous administration of gadobutrol (Gadovist; Bayer HealthCare, Berlin, Germany), adapted to the body weight of the patient (0.1 mmol/kg) as a bolus injection. T1SE and T1FLAIR were used alternately as the first CE sequence to avoid delayed contrast enhancement effects of the lesions. The first CE sequence was T1SE in 19 patients (30 tumors) and T1FLAIR in 33 patients (49 tumors), respectively.

	Lesion-WM	Lesion-GM	Lesion-CSF	WM-GM
T1SE	$0.81 \pm 0.37$	$1.23 \pm 0.48$	$3.43 \pm 0.94$	$0.23 \pm 0.11$
T1FLAIR	$0.84 \pm 0.42$	$1.97 {\pm} 0.75$	42.63±17.83	$0.57 {\pm} 0.15$
P value	p = 0.061	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001

Note.— Values represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. CR, contrast ratio; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; GM, grey matter; SE, spin-echo; WM, white matter.

Table 2. Values	of CNRs for T1	ISE and T1FLAIR	sequences
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------

	Lesion-WM	Lesion-GM	Lesion-CSF	WM-GM
T1SE	34.4±18.8	42.3±19.3	59.5±21.7	7.8±3.3
T1FLAIR	35.2±18.9	$50.4 \pm 20.8$	74.7±24.6	14.5±3.9
P value	p = 0.062	p < 0.001	p < 0.001	p < 0.001

Note.— Values represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. CNR, contrast-to-noise ratio; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; GM, grey matter; SE, spin-echo; WM, white matter.

#### Quantitative evaluation

Region-of-interest (ROI) analysis was performed for CE T1SE and CE T1FLAIR images by a single investigator. For quantitative assessment, we measured signal intensities (SIs) by a ROI analysis of the tumor, WM, cortical GM, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), respectively. SI was also measured in the air space for the measurement of image noise. SI of the tumor was measured within a homogeneously enhancing solid portion. The gray and WM SIs were measured in normal appearing areas adjacent to the tumor, which showed no edema or atrophy. The CSF SI was measured in a homogeneous region within the lateral ventricles. The ROI areas [mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD)] of the tumor, WM, cortical GM, CSF, and air space for the measurement of image noise were 3.2  $\pm$ 1.5, 27.2  $\pm$  8.1, 5.4  $\pm$  1.2, 38.4  $\pm$  6.3, and 76.2  $\pm$ 10.5 mm<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

Eight quantitative criteria were calculated: lesion-to-WM contrast ratio (CR) and contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR), lesion-to-GM CR and CNR, lesion-to-CSF CR and CNR, and WM-to-GM CR and CNR. The lesion-to-WM CR was defined as the difference between the lesion and WM SIs divided by the WM SI [CR<sub>lesion-to-WM</sub> = (SI<sub>lesion</sub> – SI<sub>WM</sub>) / SI<sub>WM</sub>] and the lesion-to-WM CNR was defined as the difference between the signals from the lesion and WM divided by the standard deviation (SD) of measured image noise [CNR<sub>lesion-to-WM</sub> = (SI<sub>lesion</sub> – SI<sub>WM</sub>) / SD<sub>background noise</sub>]. Similar calcula-

tions were performed for lesion-to-GM CR and CNR, lesion-to-CSF CR and CNR, and WM-to-GM CR and CNR. The paired sample t-test was used for comparison of quantitative data between T1SE sequence and T1 FLAIR sequence. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Qualitative evaluation

Two independent radiologists (a neuroradiologist with 20 years experience and a third-year resident) performed the qualitative analysis of lesion conspicuity on CE T1SE and CE T1FLAIR sequences. A threescale was used to grade the lesion conspicuity: 1, CE T1SE superior; 2, sequences equal; 3, CE T1FLAIR superior.

#### RESULTS

A total of 79 enhancing tumors (31 primaries, 48 metastases) in 52 patients were evaluated. The size of the tumors was 0.3 - 6.3 cm (mean, 1.8) in the longest diameter. In all patients, both CE T1SE and CE T1FLAIR were able to demonstrate the same lesions.

#### Quantitative results

The quantitative results of lesion-to-WM, lesion-to-GM, lesion-to-CSF, and WM-to-GM CRs and CNRs are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The T1FLAIR

**Fig. 1.** A 44-year-old man with a meningioma in the anterior cranial fossa.

Contrast-enhanced T1FLAIR (**a**) and T1SE (**b**) images show an enhancing tumor in the anterior cranial fossa. The gray-white matter contrast and lesion conspicuity are better on FLAIR image than on SE image.

a



lesion-to-GM CR and CNR, lesion-to-CSF CR and CNR, WM-to-GM CR and CNR values were higher than those of the T1SE images and they showed statistically significant differences (p < 0.001 in all). However, lesion-to-WM CR and CNR were similar on both two sequences without statistically significant difference (p = 0.061, 0.662, respectively). There was no significant difference in CR and CNR values between T1FLAIR performed before and T1FLAIR performed after T1SE imaging. This suggests that delays in contrast medium administration did not affect findings.

## **Qualitative results**

For the qualitative comparison of lesion conspicuity





between T1FLAIR and T1SE imaging, the grading scales (mean  $\pm$  SD) of both radiologists were 2.69  $\pm$ 0.55 and 2.69  $\pm$  0.59, respectively, which means T1FLAIR images were superior to T1SE images for the evaluation of lesion conspicuity (Figs. 1-3).

## DISCUSSION

T1FLAIR image provides superior contrast between the gray and WM and between the WM and CSF compared with T1SE image (2-5). However, T1FLAIR sequence has not been widely used in clinical practice because of the much longer acquisition time (1). With recent advances in MR technology, the acquisition

> Fig. 2. A 47-year-old woman with a metastatic tumor from small cell lung cancer.

> Contrast-enhanced T1FLAIR (a) and T1SE (b) images show a metastatic enhancing lesion in the left frontal white matter. The gray-white matter contrast and lesion conspicuity are better on FLAIR image than on SE image. The superior lesion conspicuity on FLAIR image might be caused by the suppression of water signal intensity in the surrounding brain edema.

> Fig. 3. A 52-year-old man with multiple metastatic tumors from small cell lung cancer.

> Contrast-enhanced T1FLAIR (a) and T1SE (b) images show multiple enhancing lesions in both frontal and right temporal lobes and left basal ganglia and thalamus. The conspicuity of the left frontal cortical lesions is superior on T1FLAIR compared to that on T1SE. However, the right frontal and temporal lobes lesions (arrows) and the left basal ganglia and thalamic lesions are more clearly demonstrated on T1SE image than on T1FLAIR image.

a





time of T1FLAIR sequence has been decreased. In the present study, we could acquire T1FLAIR images of the whole brain within 2 minutes, which could be acceptable for routine practice.

Inversion recovery sequence is characterized by an additional 180 degrees RF pulse (inversion pulse) with a time interval (inversion time, TI) prior to the SE pulse sequence. Magnetization in equilibrium state (Mo) becomes – Mo by a 180 degrees RF pulse, and T1 relaxation is done with time. This means increased T1 contrast by twofold as compared with Mo. Thus, FLAIR pulse sequence provides image with increased T1 contrast than that from SE pulse sequence (3).

Previous investigators have insisted that T1FLAIR provided superior contrast between the CSF and WM, between the WM and GM, and between the lesions and background (3-5). According to the report of Rydberg et al. (4), who evaluated enhancing brain lesions with T1FLAIR and T1SE, T1FLAIR images were quantitatively comparable or superior for lesionto-background (WM) contrast and CNR compared with T1SE images. Gray-to-WM and CSF-to-WM contrast and CNRs were statistically superior in T1FLAIR images. Qualitatively, T1FLAIR technique provided improved lesion conspicuity and superior image contrast compared with T1SE images. Al-Saeed et al. (3) also proved that T1FLAIR image provided improved gray-to-WM contrast and lesion-tobackground contrast.

The results of our study were similar to those of the previous reports. On both of quantitative and qualitative analyses, T1FLAIR sequence showed superior lesion-to-GM, lesion-to-CSF, and WM-to-GM contrast and lesion conspicuity compared to T1SE. However, the lesion-to-WM contrast on T1FLAIR sequence was similar to that on T1SE. The main reason that T1FLAIR shows superior gray-WM, lesion-to-GM and lesion-to-CSF contrast to T1SE might be caused by suppression of water signal intensity on T1FLAIR.

There have been some reports which showed different results to those of our study. Melhem et al. (6) and Qian et al. (1) reported that T1SE imaging revealed more lesions and higher CRs or CNRs than T1FLAIR imaging although T1FLAIR showed superior gray-to-WM contrast to T1SE imaging. Fischbach et al. (7) reported that T1SE improved contrast-enhanced lesions conspicuously to those of T1FLAIR at 3T. This discrepancy might be caused by differences in types of diseases included in their investigations and our study as well as by differences in scanning parameters. Most of the previous investigations which showed different results to those of our study evaluated only the parenchymal lesions of the brain. However, in our study, 23 lesions (29.1%) were extra-axial tumors (17 meningiomas, 6 schwannomas).

There are some limitations in our study. First, various kinds of tumors were included in our study. Enhancement of brain tumors depend on various factors. They include histologic type, tumor vascularity, and preservation or breakdown of the blood-brain barrier. The lesion-to-background contrast of the brain tumors on T1-weighted image are also influenced by various factors, which are enhancement degree, size, and locations of the tumors and presence and absence of surrounding brain edema. Further studies confined to specific type of the tumors are needed.

Second, in cases of multiple metastatic tumors, only the largest three tumors were evaluated and it could work as a selection bias.

Third, scanning delays after contrast medium administration may affect the enhancement degree of the brain tumors. In our study, T1FLAIR and T1SE sequences were not equally used as the first CE sequence, which could also work as a bias.

In conclusion, for the evaluation of enhancing intracranial tumors, T1FLAIR sequence was superior or comparable to T1SE sequence.

## References

- 1. Qian YF, Yu CL, Zhang C, Yu YQ. MR T1-weighted inversion recovery imaging in detecting brain metastases: could it replace T1-weighted spin-echo imaging? AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:701-704
- 2. Melhem ER, Israel DA, Eustace S, Jara H. MR of the spine with a fast T1-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequence. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1997;18:447-454
- 3. Al-Saeed O, Ismail M, Athyal RP, Rudwan M, Khafajee S. T1weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery and T1-weighted fast spin-echo contrast-enhanced imaging: a comparison in 20 patients with brain lesions. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol 2009;53:366-372
- 4. Rydberg JN, Hammond CA, Huston J 3rd, Jack CR Jr., Grimm RC, Riederer SJ. T1-weighted MR imaging of the brain using a fast inversion recovery pulse sequence. J Magn Reson Imaging 1996;6:356-362
- 5. Lee JK, Choi HY, Lee SW, Baek SY, Kim HY. Usefulness of T1weighted image with fast inversion recovery technique in

intracranial lesions: comparison with T1-weighted spin echo image. Clin Imaging 2000;24:263-269

6. Melhem ER, Bert RJ, Walker RE. Usefulness of optimized gadolinium-enhanced fast fluid-attenuated inversion recovery MR imaging in revealing lesions of the brain. AJR Am J

Roentgenol 1998;171:803-807

7. Fischbach F, Bruhn H, Pech M, et al. Efficacy of contrast medium use for neuroimaging at 3.0 T: utility of IR-FSE compared to other T1-weighted pulse sequences. J Comput Assist Tomogr 2005;29:499-505

대한자기공명의과학회지 18:151-156(2014)

## 조영증강을 보이는 뇌종양의 평가에 있어 T1강조 FLAIR 영상과 스핀에코 MR 영상의 비교

<sup>1</sup>경상대학교 의학전문대학원 영상의학교실 <sup>2</sup>건강과학연구원

## 정보슬1·최대섭1·2·신화선1·최혜영1·박미정1·전경녀1·나재범1·정성훈1

**목적**: T1강조 MR영상은 뇌의 해부학적 구조와 병리학적 이상을 보여 주는 기본적인 영상기법의 하나로, 전통적으로 스핀에코(SE) 기법을 이용하여 획득하고 있다. 최근 FLAIR 기법을 이용하여 T1강조영상을 얻을 수 있게 되었으며, SE보다 높은 대조도의 영상을 제공한다고 알려져 있다. 그러나 조영증강을 보이는 뇌종양의 평가에 있어 T1 FLAIR 영상의 유용성에 대해서는 논란이 있다. 본 연구의 목적은 조영증강을 보이는 두개 내 종양의 평가에 있어 SE T1강 조영상과 비교하여 T1 FLAIR영상의 유용성을 평가하고자 하였다.

대상과 방법: 총 52명 환자의 79개 병변을 대상으로 하였다. 각 환자에서 조영증장 후 SE T1강조영상과 T1 FLAIR 영상을 획득하였다. 정량적 분석으로 각각의 영상에서 병변, 뇌회색질(GM), 뇌백질(WM), 뇌척수액(CSF), 배경 (background)의 신호강도를 측정하였고, 이를 바탕으로 병변과 WM, 병변과 GM, 병변과 CSF, WM와 GM의 contrast ratio(CR), contrast-to-noise(CNR)를 계산하였다. 정성적 분석으로 두 명의 영상의학과 의사가 각 영 상에서 병변의 명확도(lesion conspicuity)를 비교 하였다.

**결과**: 정량적 분석 결과에서 T1 FLAIR영상의 병변과 GM, 병변과 CSF, WM와 GM의 CR, CNR 모두 SE T1강조 영상보다 우월하였으며 이는 통계적으로 유의하였다. 그러나 병변과 WM의 CR, CNR은 비슷하였으며 통계적으로 유 의한 차이를 보이지 않았다. 정성적 분석에서 두 영상의학과 의사 모두 병변의 명확도에 있어 T1 FLAIR영상이 SE 영상보다 우월하다고 평가하였다.

**결론**: 조영증강을 보이는 뇌종양의 평가에 있어 T1 FLAIR영상은 SE T1강조영상보다 우수하거나 필적한 결과를 보 였다.

통신저자 : 최대섭, (660-702) 경남 진주시 칠암동 92, 경상대학교병원 영상의학과 Tel. (055) 750-8211 Fax. (055) 758-1568 E-mail: choids@gnu.ac.kr