A Case of Cauda Equina Syndrome in Early-Onset Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polineuropathy Clinically Similar to Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease Type 1
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To present a case of cauda equina syndrome (CES) caused by chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) which seemed clinically similar to Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 1 (CMT1). CIDP is an immune-mediated polyneuropathy, either progressive or relapsing-remitting. It is a non-hereditary disorder characterized by symmetrical motor and sensory deficits. Rarely, spinal nerve roots can be involved, leading to CES by hypertrophic cauda equina. A 34-year-old man presented with low back pain, radicular pain, bilateral lower-extremity weakness, urinary incontinence, and constipation. He had had musculoskeletal deformities, such as hammer toes and pes cavus, since age 10. Lumbar spine magnetic resonance imaging showed diffuse thickening of the cauda equina. Electrophysiological testing showed increased distal latency, conduction blocks, temporal dispersion, and severe nerve conduction velocity slowing (3 m/s). We were not able to find genetic mutations at the PMP 22, MPZ, PRX, and EGR2 genes. The pathologic findings of the sural nerve biopsy revealed thinly myelinated nerve fibers with Schwann cells proliferation. We performed a decompressive laminectomy, intravenous IgG (IV-IgG) and oral steroid. At 1 week after surgery, most of his symptoms showed marked improvements except foot deformities. There was no relapse or aggravation of disease for 3 years. We diagnosed the case as an early-onset CIDP with cauda equina syndrome, whose initial clinical findings were similar to those of CMT1, and successfully managed with decompressive laminectomy, IV-IgG and oral steroid.
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is an acquired peripheral neuropathy that affects motor and sensory nerves and usually leads to perivascular endoneurial infiltration by monocytes, macrophages, and lymphocytes. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) is a genetic disorder that affects the peripheral motor and sensory nerve like as CIDP. In CMT type 1 (CMT1) which is the most frequent type of CMT, patients are young at the onset of the disease, and it progresses very slowly. CIDP 1–2 per 100000 adults, usually affects the elderly and shows a rapid progression with a relapsing or progressive course. Early-onset CIDP is rarer, 0.5 per 200000 children, and progresses more slowly. CMT1 and CIDP, and it is crucial to distinguish one from the other. Although the hypertrophic cauda equina is uncommon in both CIDP and CMT, it sometimes needs surgical treatment for cauda equina syndrome (CES), and review the relevant literature.

CASE REPORT

A 34-year-old man presented to our department with low back pain, radiating pain, and weakness of bilateral lower extremities, which had progressed slowly during 3 months, despite medication and physiotherapy. He could not walk and needed a wheelchair to ambulate because of flaccid paraparesis. He also
had intermittent urinary incontinence and constipation.

He showed muscle atrophy in the bilateral lower extremities and a thin body shape. He had foot deformities, hammertoes and pes cavus, since age 10, which made him to walk on his toes (Fig. 1). His family history did not include any incestuous marriages or patients with similar symptoms.

Both lower extremities showed grade 4 weakness. Sensations of pain, temperature, vibration, and proprioception were decreased below the L2 dermatome. The patient showed reduced knee and ankle jerk reflexes on both sides, but no pathologic reflexes.

The spinal MRI revealed a diffuse thickening of the cauda equina, resembling an intradural tumor. This showed low signal intensity in both T1 and T2-weighted images, and slightly inhomogeneous high signal intensity on T1-weighted gadolinium-enhancement (Fig. 2). The brain MRI findings were normal. Hematologic tests for tumor markers (AFP, CEA, PSA, TFT), autoantibodies (ANA, ANCA, SS-A), and viral antibodies (VDRL, HTLV, HIV) were all negative.

On electrophysiological tests, both upper and lower extremities showed conduction blocks, increased distal latency, temporal dispersion, severe reduction in nerve conduction velocity (NCV, 3 m/s), prolonged F-wave latency, and decreased signal amplitude on tibial nerve (Fig. 3). Electromyograms of the lower extremities showed positive sharp waves in the bilateral first dorsal interosseus, vastus lateralis, tibialis anterior, and gastrocnemius muscles. These data suggested a severe, demyelinating-type sensorimotor polyneuropathy.

The patient underwent L2-5 decompressive laminectomy and sural nerve biopsy. Clear cerebrospinal fluid gushed out when the dura was opened, and unusually thick nerve roots were visible. CSF sampling was done. Exploration of the intradural space revealed hypertrophied nerve roots with size variation from normal to about treble size (Fig. 4). Then the dura was closed without patch duraplasty because the intradural space seemed

---

**Fig. 1.** The patient’s right foot shows deformities of pes cavus and hammertoes.

**Fig. 2.** Preoperative MRI of the lumbar spine, showing thickening of nerve roots of cauda equina. T1-weighted (A), T2-weighted (B), and post-contrast T1-weighted (C) sagittal image of MRI.

**Fig. 3.** Increased distal latency (arrow), temporal dispersion (arrow head) and conduction block (open arrow).

**Fig. 4.** The exposed nerve roots reveal diffuse enlargement with different sizes (A), decompressed spinal canal by laminectomy at L4-5 (B).

**Fig. 5.** The sural nerve biopsy shows large number of onion bulbs (arrows) (A), an electron micrograph shows typical configuration of an onion bulb, Schwann cell proliferation (open arrow) around a myelinated nerve fiber (B) (scale bar=20 μm).
to be enough for the swollen roots by virtue of the extra space provided by the decompressive laminectomy. In sural nerve biopsy, light and electron microscope examinations revealed myelinated axon surrounded by proliferated Schwann cells, ‘onion bulbs’ (Fig. 5). These pathological findings were compatible with chronic demyelinating peripheral neuropathy with remyelination, which can be seen in CMT1 and CIDP[27,39,41].

The CSF examination showed normal findings. We performed a genetic examination at autosomal 17q12-p11.2 (the PMP 22 gene) and the genes for MPZ, PRX, and EGR2, which frequently contain mutations in CMT patients[40]. However, we could not find any mutation.

After surgery, the patient showed immediate and marked improvement in pain, sensory deficits, urinary incontinence, and constipation. But, his motor power did not improve immediately after surgery. The patient received high dose intravenous IgG (IV-IgG) (0.4 g/kg/day) for 5 days and oral steroid (prednisolone, 1 mg/kg/day) for 1 week after the surgery. His motor power improved rapidly with the medications, and he could walk independently at 1 week after surgery.

Repeat physical examination and electrophysiological testing performed 1 week after the initial IV-IgG and steroid treatment course showed more quickly improvements in sensory and motor responses. High dose IV-IgG was initiated and followed by monthly for 3 months, but we used once for initial 5 days in our case because high cost[40]. After discharge, oral steroid therapy (prednisolone, 1 mg/kg/day) was maintained for few weeks and then tapered gently over months[41]. At one month after discharge, he showed normal motor power of both legs. His foot deformities were not changed. There was no relapse or aggravation of disease during 3 years of follow up.

**DISCUSSION**

Hypertrophy neuropathy that may induce hypertrophic cauda equina include CIDP[11,17,23], Guillain-Barré syndrome[1], malignant lymphoma[30], paraneoplastic syndrome[29], sarcoidosis[13], CMT[4,35], postirradiation neuropathy[13], and various infectious diseases[10]. In the current case, we suspected the patient suffered from CIDP or CMT according to his symptoms and lab results. Both CIDP and CMT induce demyelinating polyneuropathy of the peripheral nerves, and thus, they may present similar symptoms, such as lower extremity motor weakness[31]. But, early differential diagnosis is necessary because of different prognosis and response to medication between CIDP and CMT[13,14,42].

CIDP is an acquired paralytic disease with high morbidity. It was first introduced to the literature in 1975[27]. The cause of CIDP is unknown, but recent studies support a pathogenesis suggesting an autoimmune disease, possibly an acquired demyelinating neuropathy due to an autoimmune attack on the myelin proteins[34,36]. It has various clinical manifestations, but its main symptoms are symmetrical sensory deficit and motor weakness[27]. Also, although rare, it may present pure motor or pure sensory symptoms, tremors, ataxia, and in some cases, foot deformities[31]. Hypertrophic cauda equina arising from CIDP is quite uncommon[11,17,23]. This disease may arise at any age, but it usually affects adults with a progressive course over just a few months[27,31]. The subsequent course can be relapsing and remitting or chronic progressive course with intermittent relapsing[21,36].

CMT is a genetic disorder that affects the neuromuscular function. It can be classified into 4 types (CMT1, CMT2, CMTX, CMT4) according to inheritance pattern, molecular diagnostic test, electrophysiological examination, and neuropathology[38,39]. CMT1 is most common type. Clinical manifestations of CMT1 usually include muscle weakness, pes cavus and hammer toe by demyelination of peripheral nerves[31]. As in CIDP, hypertrophic cauda equina is quite uncommon in CMT1[4,35]. The disease progresses slowly and usually occurs during the first decade of life[31,39,40]. Indeed, early-onset CIDP is very rare and similar to CMT1 because of its early onset, slower progression, and foot deformities[20,31]. So, CMT1 seemed to be more likely the diagnosis of our case because his symptoms included pes cavus, early symptom onset (age 10), and a slow progression (over 20 years). However, the findings of lower extremities were not specific for diagnosis, and may arise in other diseases (peroneal muscular atrophy, Friedreich’s ataxia, myelodyplasia, poliomyelitis, and so on) can cause foot muscle atrophy[2].

Electrophysiological testing is another method for differentiating CIDP from CMT1. Generally, the electrophysiological characteristics of CIDP are as follows: 1) Severely, slowed NCV, less than 10 m/sec; 2) Conduction block and/or temporal dispersion of compound muscle action potentials; 3) Prolonged distal latencies; and 4) prolonged F-wave latencies[1,14]. However, NCV in CMT1 shows mild to moderate slowing of nerve conduction, less than 38 m/sec, with no signs of prolonged distal latencies, conduction block, temporal dispersion, or prolonged F-wave latency[39]. In our case, the patient's test findings were consistent with CIDP, showing increased distal latency, conduction block, temporal dispersion, prolonged F-wave latency and severe NCV slowing (3 m/s).

In CIDP, a CSF study will show elevated protein level, to more than 45 mg/dL[20]. However, CSF proteins generally show normal levels in CMT1[39]. In our case, the CSF showed normal protein level, as in CMT1. However, it is hard to differentiate CIDP from CMT1 by examining the CSF, since protein levels may be normal in early-onset CIDP[13].

The pathological hallmarks of CMT1 are segmental demyelination and consequent remyelination, the so-called onion bulbs[31]. Demyelination and edema due to inflammatory infiltrates are the key characteristics of CIDP[46]. Hence, inflammatory infiltrates may indicate CIDP, whereas prominent onion bulb findings likely indicate CMT1[41]. However, onion bulbs without inflammatory infiltrates can be seen in CIDP patients[30]. Therefore, differential diagnosis of the two diseases by nerve biopsy alone would be insufficient[13]. In our case, we observed typ-
ical onion bulbs without inflammatory infiltrates.

CMT is an inherited disease with family history, whereas CIDP is an acquired disease. Most of CMT cases are reported to have genetic mutation\(^3\), and it is important for differentiation from CIDP\(^1,2,3\). But, we could not see gene mutations in our case for CMT, i.e., PMP 22, MPZ, PRX and EGR2 genes\(^4,5\). And we thought the diagnosis of our case more likely as CIDP based on no family history and genetic mutation\(^6\).

Corticosteroids, IV-IgG, plasma exchanges, and immunosuppressive drugs have been used in treating CIDP\(^7\). Most forms of CIDP show a good response to steroid or IV-IgG, whereas CMT1 does not\(^8\). The prognosis of CIDP is variably dependent on the response to the treatment\(^9,10\). There have been limited treatment options for CMT1, exercise, orthosis, pain control and some other medications, which did not show significant benefit\(^11\). Good therapeutic response to steroids or IV-IgG in CIDP is a useful differentiating point from CMT1\(^2,3,12\). In our case, favorable response for steroid and IV-IgG might support a diagnosis of CIDP.

Negative gene study, no family history, electrophysiologic test, and good response to steroid and IV-IgG indicated higher possibility of CIDP. In addition to the findings supporting diagnosis of CIDP, we could confirm the diagnosis of early-onset CIDP according to the atypical clinical findings for usual CIDP, early onset, slower progression, and foot deformities.

Motor and sensory deficits due to inflammation respond well to steroid therapy, but CES due to hypertrophic cauda equina shows poor responsiveness to medication\(^13\). Hypertrophic cauda equina is known to often result in CES\(^2,11,12,17,37\). In CIDP with CES, decompressive laminecetomy is effective for motor and sensory dysfunction\(^2,11,12,17,37\). According to previous reports, surgical decompression for hypertrophic nerve root in CMT1 is also effective\(^32\). Our case showed prompt recovery from CES after decompressive laminecetomy.

**CONCLUSION**

Clinical presentations of early-onset CIDP are similar to those of CMT1. However, it is important to distinguish one from the other because the prognosis and responsiveness to medical treatment differ between them. CES due to hypertrophic cauda equina is a good indication for early decompressive laminecetomy.
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