In this study, we reviewed fractures in patients with ankylosing spondylitis that had been clinically diagnosed to better define the mechanisms of injury, associated neurological deficit, predisposing factors, and management strategies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We retrospectively reviewed the patients with fractures and spinal deformities in conjunction with ankylosing spondylitis who were treated at our spine and spinal cord institution from January 2003 to December 2013. During this period, 12 patients with 13 fracture cases with neurological complications were treated in our institute. The patients were all men, with an average age of 55.2 years (range 30 to 73 years). Neuroimaging evaluation was obtained in all patients by using plain radiography, CT scan, and MR imaging. The ASIA Impairment Scale was used in order to evaluate the neurologic status of the patients. Management was based on the presence or absence of spinal instability.

**RESULTS**

A total of 9 cervical and 4 thoracolumbar fractures were identified in a review of patients in whom ankylosing spondylitis had been diagnosed. Of these, 7 fractures were associated with a hyperextension mechanism. 10 cases resulted in a fracture by minor trauma. Posttraumatic neurological deficits were demonstrated in 11 cases and neurological improvement after surgery was observed in 5 of these cases.

**Conclusions**

Patients with ankylosing spondylitis are highly susceptible to spinal fracture and spinal cord injury even after only mild trauma. Initial CT or MR imaging of the whole spine is recommended even if the patient’s symptoms are mild. The patient should also have early surgical stabilization to correct spinal deformity and avoid worsening of the patient’s neurological status.
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tured. MR imaging can differentiate the various causes of cord compression and identify cord contusion [4].

Fracture sites ranged from C2 to L2. Of the cases (69.2%) had fractures in the cervical region, 4 (30.7%) had fractures in the thoracolumbar spine. Multiple levels of involvement were not seen in the cases examined.

ASIA Impairment Scale data was recorded at the time of admission and during follow-up examination. At admission, there was a high incidence of neurologic defect after fracture. In 11 cases, neurological deficit was reported with ASIA grades A to D, with no useful motor function including three cases of ASIA grade A.

Management was based on the presence or absence of spinal instability. In the presence of instability, surgery was performed to achieve stabilization. In our patient population, all 13 cases underwent surgery. The diagnosis was made based on studies including plain radiography, CT scan, and MR imaging. The follow-up duration was calculated from the date of admission to the most recent evaluation. The mean follow-up period was 43.4 (3–120) months.

RESULTS

Clinical data are summarized in Table 1. In our series, 9 cases (69.2%) had fractures in the cervical spine and 4 cases (30.7%) had fractures in the thoracolumbar spine. One of the patients had cervical and thoracolumbar fractures at different times. Hyperextension was the most frequent mechanism of fracture. In our subjects, 7 cases (53.8%) suffered a hyperextension injury. Especially, the 5 cases (55.5%) involving the cervical spine were associated with the hyperextension mechanism. A flexion type mechanism occurred in 5 cases (38.4%). Compression fractures were described in 1 thoraco-lumbar case but in none of the cervical patients. Details of the fracture type versus fracture level are listed in Table 2.

In each patient, just one spinal segment was involved. The C5/6 level was the most frequently injured (4 cases: 30.7%). In 10 cases (76.9%), the traumatic injury resulted in a fracture by minor trauma (falling from a low height such as out of the bed, twisting the head, or ground-level). Three of the fractures were caused by major trauma (motor vehicle accident or falling down

Table 2. Fracture type versus level in patients with ankylosing spondylitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Level of fracture</th>
<th>Mechanism of injury</th>
<th>Severity of injury</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>ASIA grade preoperatively</th>
<th>ASIA grade postoperatively</th>
<th>Prior Fix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C5/6</td>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>LM C4–5–6 L1, C4–5 R: laminectomy C3, 4, 5, 6; ACDF C5–6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D (3 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Hyperextension</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>ACDF C6–7</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E (8 y f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C7</td>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>LM C4–5–6– PS T1–2: laminectomy C6, 7</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E (1 y 6 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C5/6</td>
<td>Hyperextension</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>ACDF C4/5/6/7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A (1 y f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C5/6</td>
<td>Hyperextension</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>ACDF C5–6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C (1 y 6 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7†</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C5/6</td>
<td>Hyperextension</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>ACDF C5–6</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>C (3 y 8 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PS T1–12–L1–3–4–5: laminectomy L1, 2</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D (3 y 8 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ch/7</td>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>ACDF C6–7</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D (8 y f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ch/7</td>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>ACDF C6–7</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E (4 y 2 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>T11</td>
<td>Hyperextension</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>Previous screw removal PS T10–11 bilateral: laminectomy T10, T11, Corpectomy T11, 12: Mesh cage</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A (10 y f/u)</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Hyperextension</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>PS T10–11–12–L1–3–4–5 L1, 12–3–4–5 L1: laminectomy L1, 2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>A (1 y f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Flexion</td>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>PS T11–12–L1–1–2–3: laminectomy L1, 2, 3</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>E (12 m f/u)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Case 7 underwent two spinal fracture events at different times. Case 10 had previous spinal fracture at T11 level and underwent pedicle screw fixation, laminectomy at a local hospital. Because of the further displacement due to screw loosening, he was transferred to our hospital. LM: lateral mass screw fixation. ACDF: anterior discectomy and fusion, PS: pedicle screw fixation.
a height of over 2 meter).

In cervical injury, 5 (55.5%) patients underwent anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) only. Three (33.3%) patients underwent both ACDF and posterior fixation, with one of the patients undergoing one-stage anterior-posterior fixation and 2 undergoing two-stage anterior-stabilization surgery (Fig. 1). Only one case underwent laminectomy and posterior fixation. In cases of thoraco-lumbar fracture, all 4 patients underwent both laminectomy and posterior fixation. In cases of thoraco-lumbar fracture, all 4 patients underwent both laminectomy and posterior fixation and 1 of them received an additional corpectomy and MESH cage implantation (Table 1). However, in thoraco-lumbar fracture, all patients received long posterior fixation above and below at least 2 levels from the fracture site (Fig. 2).

One patient had a prior fracture (case 10) and he underwent posterior decompression and fixation at a local hospital before being transferred to our hospital 1 month later because of a T11 fracture. During postoperative care at the local hospital, he suffered a bed-level falling down injury. By using plain radiography,
the fracture dislocation due to screw loosening was identified (Fig. 3). When this patient arrived at our hospital, his neurological status was ASIA grade A. Although he underwent a revision operation including corpectomy, his neurological outcome did not improve.

In one case (case 7), the patient experienced two different fractures. He had suffered from ankylosing spondylitis for 10 years and was admitted for a C5/6 fracture due to a fall-related injury at home. After anterior stabilization, his motor weakness was improved from ASIA grade C to D. He was admitted again due to a compression fracture of L2 after 19 months and received surgical cervical treatment. In order to stabilize the spinal instability, laminectomy and posterior long segment instrument fixation was performed.

In our patient population, 11 cases (84.6%) had a neurologic deficit upon admission. Specifically, 66.6% of cases (6 cases) with cervical injury had severe neurologic deficits including ASIA grades from A to C. In thoracolumbar fractures, 3 cases (75%) suffered severe neurological damage. 5 cases (45.4%) had improved ASIA grades as a result of surgery. 4 of them improved significantly in ASIA grade from C (no ambulatory status) to D (ambulatory status). In patients with severe neurologic damage from cervical injury, 3 patients (50%) improved one ASIA grade. Moreover, in thoracolumbar injury, one case (33.3%) improved by two ASIA grades.

In our small number study, surgery-related complications were not observed. However, general complications included 2 cases of pneumonia in patients with cervical fractures (cases 5 and 6).

After careful attention, the pneumonia was improved and both of the patients were transferred to rehabilitation centers.

DISCUSSION

Ankylosing spondylitis is an inflammatory rheumatic disease that affects the spine, including bones, muscles, and ligaments. Characteristic symptoms of ankylosing spondylitis are spinal stiffness and loss of spinal mobility, which are explained by chronic inflammation, structural damage, or both. Structural changes are caused by osteoproliferation rather than osteo destruction. The most characteristic features of this disease are syndesmophytes and ankylosis, which lead to osseous fusion of the entire spine and the formation of the bamboo spine. Other changes seen are low bone mineral density, osteoporosis as a result of chronic inflammation, and diffuse atrophy. The combination of fused vertebrae and osteoporosis not only causes a high risk of fracture but also adds to the difficulties in treating these injuries. Due to ankylosed portions of the spine, fractures may occur that are characteristically transverse and have been called ‘carrot stick fractures’.

In some published reports, 1.7–2.0% of the patients with spinal cord injury also had diagnosed ankylosing spondylitis. A recent report on the global epidemiology of ankylosing spondylitis related that the prevalence of the disease is between 0.1 and 0.3%. Compared with the prevalence of ankylosing spondylitis, the incidence of spinal cord injury in patients with ankylosing spondylitis is higher than in the general population.
The higher incidence of spinal cord injury is related to the greater incidence of vertebral fractures, highly unstable features like a long bone, and epidural hematoma following fracture in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Therefore, the neurological status of patients with ankylosing spondylitis is further affected by more complicated conditions.

In our study population, the patients were all men. Generally, the reported incidences of clinical vertebral fracture were higher in men. This finding is reflective of the higher prevalence of ankylosing spondylitis in men, which varies among the patient population in a range from 65% to 80%.

In our series, cervical spine fractures developed in 9 of 12 patients (75%). This proportion is similar to those reported by others. The fracture may occur at any site, although up to 75% of fractures in the current study were localized to the cervical spine. The lower cervical spine is the most frequently affected level, due to greater physical load. The thoracolumbar junction is the second most common level of injury in these patients. Hyperextension injuries are the most frequent cause of fracture, but hyperflexion injuries also occur. The severity of the fracture in a patient with ankylosing spondylitis is further emphasized by the proportion of patients who exhibit neurologic deficits after suffering a fracture. In the present study, 9 in 12 patients suffered some neurologic deficit after spinal fracture. Although all patients with ankylosing spondylitis and spinal fracture underwent anterior, posterior, or combined anterior-posterior stabilization, neurological status was improved in only 5 patients after surgery. Considering whether there is posterior column injury or not, 6 in 9 cervical fracture patients underwent an anterior approach to stabilization alone. In the follow-up study, there were no surgery-related implant failures. However, general complications, including pneumonia, occurred in 2 patients with cervical injury. Most fractures (10 cases, 76.9%) were caused by minor trauma. Various authors have demonstrated the tendency of ankylosing spondylitis patients to suffer major injury from minor trauma, as this relationship occurs in almost half of all cases.

The posttraumatic epidural hematoma is commonly seen in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. In our series, epidural hematoma was noted in 3 cases (23%). The incidence of spinal epidural hematoma is also increased in patients with ankylosing spondylitis up to 50%. Epidural hematoma often occurs due to bleeding from the epidural venous plexus and the fractured bone. Ossification of the ligaments in these patients increases the risk of epidural hematoma. Imaging studies including MRI and CT scans should be reviewed carefully to rule out epidural hematoma. Delayed diagnosis of epidural hematoma may result in further increased risk of neurological injury.

Worsening of displacement in postoperative radiologic study occurred in 1 case, in relation to positioning the patient during the operation. Instability of the fracture site in patients with ankylosing spondylitis is more excessive than in the general population. Because of progressive ligament ossification of the whole spine, the ankylosed spine resembles the diaphysis of a long bone. Therefore, careful manipulation techniques should be conducted in patient transportation, positioning, traction, and endotracheal intubation in order to avoid worsening displacement and neurological status.

Long segment fixation has been used as the answer to stabilizing the spine. Serin et al. found that four levels of posterior fixation is superior to two levels of posterior fixation to protect the surgical correction of the unstable vertebral fractures. Lazaro et al. demonstrated that short-segment fixation provides significantly less stability than long-segment fixation for the injury studied in the thoracic spine trauma model.

Tezeren and Kuru showed that the final outcome regarding sagittal index and anterior body compression in radiographic findings was better in the long segment fixation group than in the short segment fixation group with thoracolumbar burst fractures. Despite not including ankylosing spondylitis patients due to their unstable condition, the results of these studies support the use of long segment fixation to stabilize the spine.

Patients with ankylosing spondylitis are highly susceptible to spinal fracture even after only mild trauma. The incidence of cervical fractures was higher in these patients than in the general population. Despite the high incidence of fractures in patients with ankylosing spondylitis, delayed time to diagnosis is common. Long standing pain may mask the patient’s symptoms. Simple plain radiography of the spine may fail to reveal the fracture due to the distorted anatomy, ossified ligaments and artifacts. Initial CT or MR imaging of the whole spine is recommended in cases of injury in ankylosing spondylitis, even if the patient’s symptoms are mild. Early diagnosis with high level of suspicion for spinal injury may prevent the possible long-term neurological damage. Although surgical intervention has been the primary treatment, neurological improvement following surgery is limited. In spite of these facts, stabilization surgery was recommended in spinal cord injuries in ankylosing spondylitis patients to prevent further ongoing neurologic deficit. After surgery, early mobilization is encouraged to reduce the risk of pulmonary complications common to these patients with prolonged bed rest. Patient education in avoidance of excessive exercise, drinking, and sleeping on a high bed and in proper methods of transportation will contribute to a reduction in fractures in those suffering from ankylosing spondylitis.

CONCLUSION

Patients with ankylosing spondylitis are highly susceptible to spinal fracture and spinal cord injury even after only mild trauma. Initial CT or MR imaging of the whole spine is recommended even when a patient’s symptoms are mild. The patient also should have early surgical stabilization to correct any spinal deformity and avoid worsening the patient’s neurological status.
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