Here, we present a case of a 48-year-old man who was involved in a motor vehicle accident from which he sustained cervical trauma that demanded operative stabilization. On the 5th postoperative day, he presented with delayed brain infarction due to bilateral VA occlusion. To our knowledge, this 5-day interlude between the trauma and symptom onset is exceptionally longer than those of most published cases of bilateral VA occlusion. Thus, our case is unique among previously reported cases wherein symptoms of bilateral VA occlusion were more immediately evident.

CASE REPORT

A 48-year-old man presented to the emergency department with cervical trauma. He had been involved in a motor vehicle accident while driving his car. On arrival at our hospital (3 hours after the trauma), the patient's vital signs were stable, and he was alert and fully oriented, but he complained of neck pain and quadriparesis. His bilateral upper extremity weakness was rated as grade 3/5 and grade 0/5 in the right and left biceps, respectively. His lower extremity weakness was rated as grade 4/5 and grade 3/5 in the right and left quadriceps, respectively. He also complained of a tingling sensation in left upper extremity. Neurological examination revealed an infarction in the occipital lobe and cerebellum. Cerebral angiography revealed complete bilateral VA occlusion. We administered anticoagulation therapy. After 6 months, his weakness had only partially improved. This case demonstrates that delayed infarction due to bilateral VA occlusion can occur at latencies as long as 5 days. Thus, we recommend that patients with cervical traumas that may be accompanied by bilateral VA occlusion should be closely observed for longer than 5 days.
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INTRODUCTION

Vertebral artery (VA) injuries usually accompany cervical trauma. Although these injuries are commonly asymptomatic, some result in vertebrobasilar infarction. The symptoms of VA occlusion have been reported to usually manifest within 24 hours after trauma. The symptoms of bilateral VA occlusions seem to be more severe and seem to occur with shorter latencies than those of unilateral occlusions. A 48-year-old man had a C3–4 fracture-dislocation with spinal cord compression that resulted from a traffic accident. After surgery, his initial quadriparesis gradually improved. However, he complained of sudden headache and dizziness on the 5th postoperative day. His motor weakness was abruptly aggravated. Radiologic evaluation revealed an infarction in the occipital lobe and cerebellum. Cerebral angiography revealed complete bilateral VA occlusion. We administered anticoagulation therapy. After 6 months, his weakness had only partially improved. This case demonstrates that delayed infarction due to bilateral VA occlusion can occur at latencies as long as 5 days. Thus, we recommend that patients with cervical traumas that may be accompanied by bilateral VA occlusion should be closely observed for longer than 5 days.
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rologic examination of the cranial nerves produced normal findings. An initial computed tomography (CT) scan of the brain was normal; however, plain X-ray and CT scan of the cervical spine revealed a cervical fracture-dislocation at the C3–4 level. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated spinal cord compression and bilateral VA occlusion at the same level (Fig. 1).

We performed an operation immediately after ensuring the absence of associated organ injury. The operation was performed in two stages (i.e., via anterior and posterior approach) without the removal of general anesthesia. In the supine position, we performed C3–4 discectomy and fusion using the anterior cervical plate. After moving him to the prone position, we performed a C3–4 decompressive laminectomy and C3–4 fixation using lateral mass screws and bone chips (Fig. 2).

After the operation, his upper extremity weakness improved (i.e., from grade 3/5 to 4/5 in right biceps, from grade 0/5 to 2/5 in left biceps). However, on the 5th postoperative day, he suddenly presented with dizziness and headache. His right biceps motor strength also deteriorated abruptly from grade 4/5 to 2/5. MRI revealed an acute infarction in the left posterior cerebral artery (PCA) territory and the left cerebellar hemisphere (Fig. 3). CT angiography showed bilateral VA occlusion near the C3–4 level (Fig. 4). Cerebral angiography demonstrated complete occlusions of the bilateral VA and left PICA. However, collateral flows from the bilateral external carotid artery (ECA) via the occipital artery and ascending cervical artery were present (Fig. 5).

We promptly initiated anticoagulation therapy and increased the volume of intravenous fluid delivered. Thereafter, his motor weakness did not progress and his dizziness gradually improved. He was subsequently transferred to the rehabilitation department, where his weakness gradually improved. Six months later, follow-up visits revealed normal strength in both upper extremities, and he was able to walk with a cane at this time.

**DISCUSSION**

VA injuries after blunt cervical trauma have previously been considered to be rare; however, advancements in imaging modalities have greatly increased the rate of VA injury diagnoses. These diagnoses are difficult because the majority of patients do not present with neurological symptoms. VA occlusion is rarely symptomatic because of collateral blood supply from the contralateral VA and the circle of Willis. Moreover, injuries

Fig. 1. Lateral plain X-ray on the first day showing cervical fracture-dislocation at the C3–4 level (A). T2-weighted MRI on the first day showing spinal cord compression in the sagittal view (B) and bilateral vertebral artery occlusion at the C4 level in the axial view (C).

Fig. 2. Postoperative plain X-ray showing anterior and posterior fixation of the cervical spine at the C3–4 level in the lateral view.

Fig. 3. Diffusion-weighted MRI on the 5th postoperative day showing infarctions in the left occipital lobe (A) and the left cerebellar hemisphere (B).

Fig. 4. CT angiography on the 5th postoperative day showing bilateral vertebral artery occlusion.
Many reported cases of bilateral VA occlusion have demonstrated asymptomatic interludes of a few hours between the trauma and the onset of neurologic symptoms\textsuperscript{11,17,18}. However, unlike unilateral VA occlusion, in which only 20% of patients are symptomatic\textsuperscript{17,20}, most patients with bilateral VA occlusion are symptomatic\textsuperscript{21,22}. Bilateral or dominant VA occlusion may cause rapid and fatal ischemic damage to the cerebellum and brain stem\textsuperscript{19,20}.

**Interlude**

VA occlusions do not always cause ischemic symptoms immediately after the trauma. Many reported cases of posttraumatic VA occlusion have demonstrated asymptomatic interludes of a few hours between the trauma and the onset of neurologic symptoms\textsuperscript{11,17,18}. It has been suggested that intimal tears can lead to the aggregation of platelets on the exposed subintimal tissue and subsequent thrombosis, clot propagation and/or embolization to the cerebellum\textsuperscript{11,17,18}. It is likely that our case went through the same process after traumatic VA dissection, causing progressive thromboembolic event. VA dissection usually arises from an intimal tear, which allows blood under arterial pressure to enter between the layers of the wall of the artery, forming an intramural hematoma, the so-called false lumen\textsuperscript{17,21}. When this occurs, the interludes are likely due to the slow progression of thrombosis or the gradual swelling of the vessel\textsuperscript{17,21}. Woolsey and Chung\textsuperscript{21} described an autopsy finding from a patient with a left VA occlusion that was followed by fatal basilar artery occlusion. The autopsy revealed an organized thrombus in the left VA and a fresh thrombus that occluded the entire basilar artery\textsuperscript{21}. The fresh thrombus in the basilar artery, which caused the brain stem infarction, seems to have gradually progressed from the left VA occlusion site after the initial insult.

We believe that the interludes in these cases represent the time period of thrombus propagation after which the infarction develops. Although some cases with longer interludes of up to several weeks or months have been reported, these cases are predominately cases of either unilateral or incomplete occlusion\textsuperscript{12,19,20}. In many cases of bilateral VA occlusion, the interlude between the injury and the onset of ischemic symptoms occurs within hours\textsuperscript{23-25}.

The 5-day interlude observed in our case was exceptionally long and represents an extremely rare occurrence in cases of bilateral postransitive VA occlusion.

**Collaterals**

Fink et al.\textsuperscript{23} reported no significant difference in the incidence of stroke between patients with occlusive VA injuries and non-occlusive injuries. We believe this finding suggests that VA occlusion itself is not the sole factor that determines vertebrobasilar insufficiency or infarction. The size of the contralateral VA, the completeness of the circle of Willis, and the robustness of the native pial collateral seem to be important factors affecting the development of infarction after VA occlusion\textsuperscript{21}.

Six et al.\textsuperscript{21} reported a case of asymptomatic bilateral postransitive VA occlusion. Although both VAs were occluded in this case, angiography demonstrated reconstitution by the intramuscular collateral vessels of the thyrocervical trunk and the collaterals from the superficial occipital artery. Collateral circulation may derive from the anterior circulation via the posterior communicating artery or from anastomoses via the branches of the ECA, the thyrocervical trunk, the costocervical trunk or the muscular and spinal branches of more proximal VAs\textsuperscript{6}.

We believe that these abundant collateral channels resulted in the delayed symptom onset observed in our case. Our patient showed collateral flows from the bilateral ECA via the muscular branch of the occipital artery and the ascending cervical artery on cerebral angiography (Fig. 5). Although our patient’s collateral blood supplies were able to meet posterior circulation demands to some extent, these demands could not be met over the course of several days due to bilateral VA occlusion.

Aspects of patients’ premorbid state, such as atherosclerosis and VA dominance, should also be considered\textsuperscript{6}. Bilateral VA occlusion was reported to be a risk factor for ischemic stroke in the Circle of Willis\textsuperscript{3,19,24}. In delayed brain infarction due to bilateral vertebral artery occlusion, the interlude between the injury and the onset of ischemic symptoms occurs within hours\textsuperscript{23-25}.
sion secondary to atherosclerosis is usually well tolerated, as this atherosclerosis is a slow process that allows for the development of collateral circulation\(^7\). Although our patient had diabetes mellitus, there was no evidence of cerebrovascular problems.

**Infarction**

Our patient had infarctions in the left occipital lobe and the left cerebellar hemisphere. We suggest that the infarctions in the cerebellar hemisphere and the occipital lobe were mediated by different mechanisms. Our patient's left PICA was completely occluded, which explains why the infarction involved only the left hemisphere; whereas both PCAs were patent on cerebral angiography.

The cerebellar infarction due to PICA occlusion was likely the result of the direct progression of thrombus from the VA injury site, which blocked the blood supply to the left cerebellar hemisphere. However, the left occipital lobe infarction was likely the result of an embolus, rather than thrombus formation. Embol in the basilar territory do not commonly cause extensive brain stem infarction because an embolus of sufficient size to traverse the VA would be unlikely to occlude the larger diameter basilar artery\(^23\). Such embolic fragments usually lodge in one or both PCAs\(^20\). We propose that the left occipital lobe lesion was likely due to an embolus from the VA occlusion site because there was no infarction in the brain stem.

**Screening and treatment**

Screening for VA injury is warranted for certain high-risk patients at the time of presentation due to the potentials for both acute and delayed development of neurological sequelae\(^12\). However, VA injury is not always accompanied by bone or spinal cord injury\(^10,11\). Neck manipulations by chiropractors and physiotherapists have also been reported to be associated with VA injury without cervical fracture\(^14,17\). The mechanism responsible for VA injury has been suggested to be stretching, tearing of the intima and media, or compression of the vessel\(^14,20\). Motor vehicle accidents are the leading cause of VA injury due to the major rotational component\(^17\). Rotational forces applied to the mobile portions of the cervicocephalic arteries are responsible for intimal tears\(^20\). Although bilateral VA dissection or occlusion is rare and found in only 0.1% of the patients with blunt trauma with angiography, its mechanism is likely to be same as unilateral one\(^13\).

Galtès et al. described an autopsy finding from a patient with bilateral VA dissection after rotational neck injury, which is similar to unilateral one. Medhkour and Chab\(^13\) also mentioned chiropractic manipulation, motor vehicle crashes, or falls as the cause of bilateral VA injury. Chung et al.\(^13\) stated that AVs that are located on the lateral aspect of the cervical spine are more susceptible to rotational injuries, whereas the spinal cord is more likely to be injured by sagittal plane insults such as compression and hyperflexion.

The presence of cervical spine injuries requiring operative stabilizations has not been found to be an independent risk factor for VA injury\(^12\). In the current study, the severity of the spinal cord injury, as indicated by the neurologic deficits, was not associated with VA injury\(^3\). Because the severity of injury to the bone and/or spinal cord is not linearly correlated with the severity of VA injury, it is difficult to predict or screen for VA injury at the initial examination.

There are some controversies surrounding screening for VA injuries in patients with cervical trauma\(^10,15\), that stem from the uncertainties regarding improved outcomes and the benefits of treatment\(^10\). The guidelines of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons state that "there is insufficient evidence to recommend anticoagulation in asymptomatic patients," and the risk of adverse effects of anticoagulation therapy may be as high as 14%\(^11\). Although clinicians support either anticoagulation or antiplatelet therapy in symptomatic patients, the medical evidence surrounding this issue is inconclusive\(^9\). At present, there seems to be no consensus about the principles of treatment for VA injury, with the exception of individualization based on the specifics of the patient's VA injury, associated injuries, and risk of bleeding\(^9\).

**CONCLUSION**

Our case is unique in that a 5-day delay occurred between bilateral VA occlusion and symptom onset. Our patient experienced symptomatic brain infarction due to bilateral VA occlusion 5 days after cervical trauma. We recommend that close observation of patients with cervical traumas that may induce bilateral VA occlusion should continue for 5 days or longer.
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