A 58-year-old female presented with symptoms of hoarseness and dizziness, which had begun 2 months prior. Neurological examination on admission revealed no focal neurological deficit, except for mild hypesthesia on the left side of her body. She had no significant medical or surgical history.

Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging revealed a mass of 3.3×2.7×3.3 cm in the left cerebellomedullary cistern, containing both cystic and solid components (Fig. 1). The solid portion located mainly in the periphery of the tumor, but not the central cystic area, was strongly enhanced by gadolinium. The tumor compressed the medulla and cerebellum, leading to displacement of these structures. MR angiography revealed superior displacement of the lateral medullary and tonsillomedullary segments of the left posteroinferior cerebellar artery.

Needle electromyography (EMG) performed on the bilateral cricothyroid and thyroarytenoid muscles revealed polyphasic deficits.
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Intracisternal accessory nerve schwannomas are very rare; only 18 cases have been reported in the literature. In the majority of cases, the tumor origin was the spinal root of the accessory nerve and the tumors usually presented with symptoms and signs of intracranial hypertension, cerebellar ataxia, and myelopathy. Here, we report a unique case of an intracisternal schwannoma arising from the cranial root of the accessory nerve in a 58-year-old woman. The patient presented with the atypical symptom of hoarseness associated with recurrent laryngeal neuropathy which is noted by needle electromyography, and mild hypesthesia on the left side of her body. The tumor was completely removed with sacrifice of the originating nerve rootlet, but no additional neurological deficits. In this report, we describe the anatomical basis for the patient’s unusual clinical symptoms and discuss the feasibility and safety of sacrificing the cranial rootlet of the accessory nerve in an effort to achieve total tumor resection. To our knowledge, this is the first case of schwannoma originating from the cranial root of the accessory nerve that has been associated with the symptoms of recurrent laryngeal neuropathy.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Intracranial accessory nerve schwannomas are rare. Such tumors are divided into two main types: 1) intrajugular schwannomas located at the jugular foramen, which comprise the majority of cases, and 2) intracisternal schwannomas, which occupy the cisterna magna. Although the clinical manifestations of these tumors vary depending on the tumor’s location and the extent of its growth, intracisternal tumors usually present with cerebellar signs and myelopathy. Intrajugular tumors are commonly associated with specific symptoms and signs of cranial nerve dysfunction, including hearing impairment, dysphagia, and difficulty in phonation.

We report here on a unique case of an intracisternal schwannoma arising from the cranial root of the accessory nerve in a 58-year-old woman who presented with the atypical symptom of hoarseness. In this report, we describe potential anatomical explanations for the patient’s unusual clinical manifestations and discuss the safety of complete tumor resection with sacrifice of the originating nerve rootlet in terms of postoperative neurological deficit.

**CASE REPORT**

A 58-year-old female presented with symptoms of hoarseness and dizziness, which had begun 2 months prior. Neurological examination on admission revealed no focal neurological deficit, except for mild hypesthesia on the left side of her body. She had no significant medical or surgical history.

Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging revealed a mass of 3.3×2.7×3.3 cm in the left cerebellomedullary cistern, containing both cystic and solid components (Fig. 1). The solid portion located mainly in the periphery of the tumor, but not the central cystic area, was strongly enhanced by gadolinium. The tumor compressed the medulla and cerebellum, leading to displacement of these structures. MR angiography revealed superior displacement of the lateral medullary and tonsillomedullary segments of the left posteroinferior cerebellar artery.

Needle electromyography (EMG) performed on the bilateral cricothyroid and thyroarytenoid muscles revealed polyphasic...
and long motor unit potentials with reduced recruitment patterns in the left thyroarytenoid muscle, suggesting the existence of left recurrent laryngeal neuropathy. Mild vocal cord paralysis was noted on the left side upon otolaryngological examination. Based on these imaging findings and clinical manifestations, a preoperative diagnosis of lower cranial nerve schwannoma or cystic meningioma was made.

An image-guided, inferior unilateral suboccipital craniectomy with partial C1 laminectomy was performed with the patient in the prone position. After a Y-shaped incision was made in the dura, the tumor was found to be located in the left foramen magnum and cerebellomedullary cistern, extending cephalad and ventrally, towards the left cerebellar hemisphere. As expected, the high cervical spinal cord and medulla were compressed to the right and flattened. The tumor had a firm, transparent, and smooth capsule filled with yellowish cystic contents. The tumor was easily dissected away from the surrounding structures with aspiration of the cyst. The hypoglossal canal and jugular foramen were tumor free. The spinal root of the left accessory nerve was adherent to the tumor capsule, but was successfully dissected from the tumor without injury to the nerve (Fig. 2A).

At the final stage of the surgery, we found that one cranial rootlet of the left accessory nerve was incorporated within the tumor near the exit zone of the rootlet in the medulla (Fig. 2B). We deduced that the rootlet was the origin of the tumor. The rootlet was cut from the proximal and distal portions of the tumor and a gross total resection was performed. After the tumor had been removed, the glossopharyngeal, vagal, and hypoglossal nerves and the spinal roots of the accessory nerve were observed to be intact. Histopathological examination revealed that the tumor was composed of hypercellular (Antoni Type A pattern) and hypocellular (Antoni Type B pattern) areas (Fig. 3A). The former area contained compact spindle cells with twisted nuclei arranged in short bundles of inter-
Schwannomas of the lower cranial nerves are rare and usually arise in the jugular foramen.\(^{10}\) In lower cranial schwannoma, the glossopharyngeal nerve is the most frequently reported site of origin, the vagus nerve is the second most common site, and the accessory nerve is the least common site of origin.\(^{11,12}\) In their review of 91 cases of jugular foramen schwannoma, Shiriyama et al.\(^{20}\) reported that only three tumors arose from the accessory nerve. Similarly, when Bakar\(^{13}\) reviewed the literature, describing 199 cases of lower cranial nerve schwannoma, he found that only 11 tumors were identified as originating from the accessory nerve.

Based on the location of the tumor, Julow categorized accessory schwannomas into two types: 1) intrajugular schwannomas that grow into the jugular foramen and 2) intracisternal schwannomas that grow into the cisterna magna.\(^{14}\) Since Christoferson et al.\(^{15}\) first reported an accessory schwannoma of the intracisternal type, 17 additional cases of intracisternal accessory nerve schwannoma have been recorded (Table 1).\(^{2,7,25,28,29,31-33}\) In most cases, the origin of these tumors was the spinal root of the accessory nerve, whereas there were only two cases exhibiting a cranial root origin.\(^{2,20}\) In one of these cases, cranial root accessory nerve schwannoma was found incidentally during cerebellomedullary angle meningioma surgery.\(^{20}\)

Intracisternal accessory nerve schwannomas usually manifest cerebellar signs and/or myelopathy associated with their direct compressive effects on the cerebellum, brain stem, and/or spinal cord. In contrast, intrajugular tumors typically present with otologic symptoms and jugular foramen syndrome, characterized by symptoms of tinnitus, hearing impairment, dysphagia, and hoarseness.\(^{1,18,20}\) Our patient with an intracisternal tumor suffered from dizziness and hypesthesia of the body and trunk on the left side, possibly due to a mass effect on the brain stem and cerebellum. Interestingly, she exhibited hoarseness associated with recurrent laryngeal neuropathy, as diagnosed by a preoperative EMG examination. This finding is likely to be related to the unique anatomical features of the accessory nerve, which consists of both spinal and cranial components. The spinal root, which originates from an elongated nucleus extending between C1 and C7, ascends through the foramen magnum and forms the conjoined accessory nerve proper by joining with the cranial roots. The cranial roots arise from the caudal region of the nucleus ambiguous before exiting the skull through the jugular foramen.\(^{2,23}\) After exiting the skull, the accessory nerve splits into two rami (internal and external) and the fibers of the cranial accessory nerve travel in the cranial roots, which form the cranial components of the accessory nerve. The cranial roots continue as the internal and external portions of the cranial accessory nerve as they enter the infratentorial space through the jugular foramen. The intrajugular schwannomas often arise from the intrajugular portion of the accessory nerve, whereas intracisternal schwannomas usually arise from the intracisternal portion.\(^{2,14}\)

**Table 1. Literature review of intracisternal accessory nerve schwannoma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Location of tumor</th>
<th>Origin of tumor</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Christoferson et al.(^{15})</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Tetraparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tsuchiya et al.(^{12})</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Cranial root</td>
<td>Dizziness, nausea, ataxic gait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Julow(^{14})</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Julow(^{14})</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Tetraparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Nishiura and Koyama(^{20})</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Right forearm sensory change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Matsushima et al.(^{22})</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Hearing loss, gait disturbance, hypesthesia on left side of body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kawaguchi et al.(^{20})</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Spinal canal</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Tetraparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Chang et al.(^{5})</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna, spinal canal</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lanotte et al.(^{18})</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, vertigo, vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tsukamoto et al.(^{10})</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cerebellomedullary cistern</td>
<td>Cranial root</td>
<td>Incidental tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Soo et al.(^{24})</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, nausea, ataxic gait</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ohkawa et al.(^{26})</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Caputi et al.(^{6})</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, nausea, vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Kaynar et al.(^{17})</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Spinal canal</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Neck pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Tatemayashu et al.(^{10})</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, nausea, vomiting, right upper numbness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kurokawa et al.(^{10})</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Fourth ventricle</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Neck pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Jung et al.(^{12})</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Headache, neck pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Sadatomo et al.(^{26})</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cisterna magna</td>
<td>Spinal root</td>
<td>Occipital pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Cerebellomedullary cistern</td>
<td>Cranial root</td>
<td>Dizziness, hoarseness, hypesthesia on left side of body</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
branch (internal ramus) join the vagus nerve. They are then distributed to the periphery to innervate the palatal, pharyngeal, and laryngeal muscles via several branches, including the recurrent laryngeal nerve\textsuperscript{20,30}. The larger spinal accessory branch (external ramus) innervates the sternocleidomastoid and trapezius muscles\textsuperscript{21,22}. Therefore, the hoarseness observed in our case was caused by dysfunction of the recurrent laryngeal nerve, which in turn was associated with the unique tumor origin in the cranial rootlet of the accessory nerve.

Because of the benign histological characteristics of most cases of lower cranial nerve schwannoma, complete surgical resection is normally the ideal goal of treatment for these tumors. However, considerable numbers of patients have experienced postoperative morbidity following aggressive surgical resection, especially for intrajugular tumors\textsuperscript{3,16,21}. The most common surgical complications are lower cranial neuropathies\textsuperscript{3,17}, which can severely affect quality of life because of difficulty in phonation and swallowing. Given that complications may require the postoperative placement of tracheostomy or gastrostomy tubes, the decision to perform a complete resection must be made in balance with the need to minimize postsurgical complications. In a recent comparative study of two surgical techniques (aggressive total resection vs. maximal safe resection) for the treatment of jugular foramen schwannomas, a more conservative resection focusing on preserving the pars nervosa provided improved surgical morbidity without a statistically significant increase in tumor recurrence\textsuperscript{32}. The authors of this comparative report recommended a shifting paradigm in order to optimize patient outcomes through maximal resection with an emphasis on preservation of critical neurovascular structures.

In contrast with the standard treatment for intrajugular schwannomas, more aggressive resection, which includes the nerve roots of origin, is preferred for intracisternal spinal root accessory nerve schwannomas, because sacrifice of a spinal accessory nerve root rarely results in new postsurgical neurological deficits\textsuperscript{33}. A recent postmortem study revealed that motor fibers derived from C2 to C4 innervate the trapezius muscle in addition to the spinal accessory nerve\textsuperscript{34}, a fact that may explain the maintenance of trapezius function following spinal accessory nerve resection.

However, definitive evidence regarding neurological complications due to sacrifice of the cranial root is lacking, because of the extreme rarity of cranial root accessory nerve schwannomas. In the present case, we achieved total tumor resection by excising the rootlet on both sides of the tumor without provoking any new neurological signs. Similarly, Tsukamoto et al.\textsuperscript{35} also reported en bloc tumor resection including origin nerve rootlet, cranial root of accessory nerve, without postoperative additional deficit. Vagus nerve consists of two components: one is cranial root fibers of the accessory nerve and the other is vagal root fibers originating from the upper part of the nucleus ambiguous. The latter is the major component of the vagus nerve. It is therefore reasonable to believe that sacrificing the cranial rootlet of the accessory nerve to achieve total tumor resection may not lead to critical dysfunction of the vagus nerve. Future anatomical studies are required to fully clarify and confirm the safety of this procedure and ensure the postoperative preservation of normal pharyngeal and laryngeal function.

**CONCLUSION**

To our knowledge, this report is the first to describe a case of accessory nerve schwannoma that originated from a cranial rootlet and produced recurrent laryngeal neuropathy. The case presented here indicates that total removal of intracisternal-type schwannomas that have originated from the cranial root of the accessory nerve can be achieved with a good outcome.
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