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Purpose: This study was performed to examine the effect of action observation training on sit-to-walk times in chronic stroke patients.

Methods: Twelve stroke patients were randomly allocated to two groups, as follows: an action observation training (AOT) group and a scenery picture observation (SPO) group. The AOT group was engaged in video observation for 2 minutes 30 seconds with 12 ADL functional activities related to the sit-to-walk task: physical training was carried out in two repetitions lasting 2 minutes 30 seconds. The landscape imagery control group observed landscape picture for 2 minutes 30 seconds and then carried out the same physical training. The intervention involved a total of 12 sessions over 4 weeks, and each session lasted 30 minutes. The pre and post-tests measured the timed up and go test (TUG), Sit to stand test (STS), and Dynamic gait index (DGI). The Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used to compare pre-test and post-test result, and the Mann-Whitney U test was employed for comparison between groups.

Results: The TUG time was significantly different between the AOT group and the SPO group. According to the findings, the experimental group’s TUG was significantly decreased (p < 0.05). In comparison of the two groups, there was no significant in STS or DGI between the AOT group and SPO group.

Conclusion: This study showed that the intervention can be used to decrease TUG time. Our research suggests that action observation training has a positive effect on sit-to-walk times in patients with chronic stroke.

Keywords: Action observation training, Sit to walk, Stroke

INTRODUCTION

Many people affected by strokes have sequelae such as hemiplegia or quadriplegia with mental, perceptual, motor, sensory or linguistic problems.¹ Stroke patients mainly perform functional and daily living activities, using their unaffected limbs. They do not try to use their affected limbs. This physical inactivity leads to the learned non-use syndrome.² Accordingly, they select asymmetric movement strategies and show compensatory actions in order to compensate for their reduced capacity.³

The reduced motor capacity after stroke limits the ability to perform functional activities required in daily life such as walking, stair climbing, the sit to stand (STS) movement, and the timed up and go (TUG) movement.⁴ Stroke patients’ movement capability and walking can start in a variety of positions. The TUG movement is an important factor in daily life as one of general functional activities.⁵ Senior citizens have difficulty in performing functional activities such as the STS movement. The STS is regarded as a major constraint in performing daily living activities. The movement of sitting and standing up from a lying down position requires the capability to maintain balance after standing up as a movement endpoint.⁶ However, the activity of standing up from a chair and walking is performed in one or more steps in daily life. For this reason, the TUG movement is regarded as a functional activity more important than the STS movement.⁷⁻⁹

The start of the STS movement and ambulation is related to the
capability to generate the appropriate force so that the amount of initial load applied to the lower limb can push forward the body. A difference between the STS and the TUG movement have been studied from various perspectives. The ground reaction force of the feet, coordination movements, and the transfer of momentum are important at the moment that the hips are lifted from a sitting position. Like the STS movement, the positions of the feet, the height of a chair, armrests, speed, muscular strength, and other become important factors. Moreover, when stroke patients do the STS movement, kinematic energy reduces and the weight distribution becomes asymmetric. The largest difference between the STS and the TUG movement is that the TUG movement includes the start of ambulation. The TUG movement is composed of two movement tasks. When standing up from a sitting position, a person starts walking before stretching and extending his or her body.

It takes stroke patients more time 25 to 61% more time to stand up from a chair than healthy people. They also put more weight on the unaffected leg. Therefore, the vertical load applied to the affected leg reduces by about 20-25% while performing this task. Ref. 14,15. Re-shange et al.14 discovered that most stroke patients had difficulty in doing the TUG movement in a row. They identified that they used a non-fluid strategy that they started walking after fully standing up. Moreover, it took them 1.6 times more time to do the TUG movement than normal people.

Many studies have reported that task-specific training is more effective than traditional approaches in order to improve stroke patients' capability in performing functional activities. The task-specific training is to practice the tasks suitable for patients' capabilities repeatedly. However, patients who have poor remaining capacity or do not receive the input related to the experience-dependent neuronal reversibility. The way to overcome this limit is the movement observation training currently proposed for neurological rehabilitation. It is a therapeutic approach based on the mirror neuron system, its neurological mechanism.

In a study conducted by Fogassi (2005) et al. the mirror neuron system is more activated when goal-oriented movements rather than simple movements, or object-related movements rather than imitating movements are observed. Moreover, it is much more activated when the images of moving objects rather than those of stationary objects are observed. The human mirror neuron system is considered to be involved in imitation and learning. Based on these facts, there have recently been many studies intended to apply the movement observation and physical training to neurological rehabilitation programs.

There have also been many studies on the movement observation and physical training, and the movement observation training related to ambulatory training and daily living movements. However, there is a lack of studies on the STS and the TUG movement, functional activities, among ambulatory capabilities. In this context, this study aimed to observe the movement of TUG, a functional activity in daily life and the effects of movement observation training on chronic stroke patients' TUG movements.

METHODS

1. Subjects

This study intended for twelve chronic stroke patients who had received inpatient treatments in C Hospital in Gwangju. They fully understood the content of this study and agreed to participate in experiments. Ethical approval was obtained to commence this study from the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Honam University. The approval number was 10412223-201405-HR-010-01. For inclusion in this study, subjects had to meet the following criteria: six months or more had passed after a stroke was diagnose; there was no sign of cognitive impairment, visual and auditory disorders, and orthopedic disorders on the lower on the lower extremity; a person was able to sit and stand up independently; and a person was able to walk more than 10 m, with or without an assistant device.

Twelve stroke patients were randomly divided into two groups, a scenery picture observation (SPO) group and an action observation training (AOT) group. One patient dropped out of the SPO group in the study process due to his/her hospital discharge. Two group members had received training three times a week, a total of twelve times for four weeks. Subjects' movements were recorded with a video camera to examine the pre-training functional evaluation tools such as the TUG test, the Dynamic Gait Index (DGI), and the STS test as well as the displacement of individuals' TUG movements. An evaluation was made in the same way after four-week training. The members of the SPO group (n = 5) observed static scenery pictures related to mountains, beaches, and rural areas, while those of the AOT group (n = 6) made an observation on a video tape composed of the STS and the TUG movement.
2. Experimental methods

1) Measurement

(1) STS test
The STS movement was measured with a STS test. The instruction for this test was explained in a state that a subject leaned back in a 43 cm high chair with a backrest: “Quickly repeat standing up from a sitting position and sitting in a chair five times.” A subject started his or her movement according to the verbal instruction of “Start”, and ended them when his or her hips hit the bottom of the chair seat fifthly. The time consumed was recorded.

(2) Dynamic Gait Index
The DGI is a tool used to evaluate the balance and ambulation of people or senior citizens who have disorders in balance and the vestibular system, much required in daily life. It is a standardized evaluation tool to evaluate changes in ambulation which responds to ambulatory tasks. In this study, it is composed of eight ambulatory tasks: walking on a flat floor, walking by changing the speed, walking by turning the head vertically or horizontally, walking by crossing obstacles or around obstacles, walking up and down the stairs, and others. The grade between 0 and 3 was assigned according to the level of performance. The scores ranged from 0 to 24, with a perfect score of 24 points. It was interpreted that the relevant subject was able to move safely when the score was 22 or more, whereas he or she might have a risk of falling when it was below 19.

(3) Timed Up and Go test
The TUG test is a test designed to evaluate a person’s functional mobility, movement capability, and dynamic balance control. It is used to evaluate senior citizens’ balance control and functional mobility to predict their risk of falling. In this study, a subject leaned back in a chair with 56 cm high armrests and placed his or her arms on the armrests. According to the sound of “Start”, he or she stood up, walked 3 m along a line on the floor at a comfortable and safe speed, walked back to the chair, and sat down in the chair. An observer measured to record the time period from the moment that the sound of “Start” is made to the time that the subject sits down in the chair. Moreover, the tasks such as walking with a cup of water or counting numbers backwards were added.

3. Intervention
The AOT group and the SPO group selected two pictures and videos a day and observed them for 30 minutes. Each subject watched them from the laptop prepared in the therapy room. The SPO group watched static scenery pictures related to mountains, beaches, and rural areas for 2 minutes and 30 seconds, and did STS and TUG movements for 12 minutes and 30 seconds. On the other hand, the AOT group repeatedly practiced observed movements for 12 minutes, and trained them twice for 15 minutes each in the therapy room and 30 seconds after watching the video related to the STS and the TUG movement for 2 minutes and 30 seconds.

Ten tasks often used in daily life were presented as follows: 1) sit at a Bobath table, stand up, and walk; 2) sit in a therapy bed, stand up, and walk; 3) sit in a chair with wheels, stand up, and walk; 4) sit in a chair with handles, stand up, and walk; 5) sit and stand up from a Bobath table; 6) sit and stand up from a therapy bed; 7) sit and stand up from a chair with wheels; 8) sit and stand up from a chair with handles; 9) walk 3 m, turn around the halfway point, and walk back, holding a cup; and 10) walk 3 m, turn around the halfway point, and walk back, holding a cup of water.

4. Statistical analysis
The contents of this study was analyzed, using Version 18.0 of SPSS for Windows. The result values of each experiment were expressed as the average and the standard deviation. The Shapiro-Wilk test to determine the normal distribution of the metrics, but did not meet the normal distribution. A Wilcoxon signed – rank test was conducted to identify before and after change within the group with a nonparametric test. A Mann-Whitney U test was taken to identify changes between groups. The level of statistical significance for measuring items was α = 0.05.

RESULTS

1. General Characteristics of Study Objects
A total of twelve subjects, 10 men and 2 women, participated in this study. The causes of brain lesions were bleeding and infarction (6 subjects each). Six and six subjects were respectively affected by brain lesions on their left and right side. The average age was 56.33 in the experimental group and 60.33 in the SPO group (Table 1).
2. Changes before and after the Experiment between Groups

As a result of testing the homogeneity between two groups before the experiment, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05). Changes before and after the mediation were analyzed with a Wilcoxon signed-rank test. While it reduced from 18.81 ± 9.25 to 16.29 ± 9.47 in the AOT group, a change in TUG decreased from 29.91 ± 22.20 to 16.91 ± 7.27 in the SPO group. Accordingly, there was a significant difference in two groups. On the other hand, there was no significant difference between two groups as a result of comparing STS and DGI before and after the experiment (Table 2).

3. Changes within Groups

As a result of testing the homogeneity between two groups before the experiment, there was no significant difference (p > 0.05). Changes in UG, STS, and DGI within two groups were analyzed with a Mann-Whitney U test. The AOT group showed a significant difference in TUG, a decrease from 18.81 ± 9.25 to 16.29 ± 9.47. The SPO group also showed a significant difference, a decrease from 29.91 ± 22.20 to 16.91 ± 7.27. However, there was no significant difference in STS and DGI within the group (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to identify the effects of movement observation training on chronic stroke patients’ TUG movements. It demonstrated that the integration of the movement observation and physical training might improve patients’ movement performance. It is considered to be a functional approach from a clinical respective. Moreover, it may be an alternative which patients can continuously train at home after hospital discharge as a program for patient education and home workout. However, there is no standardized framework to organize functional activities. Therefore, it is required to conduct studies that functional movements are observed and trained, a variety of functional evaluations are made, and specific elements are identified.

This study made a movement observation on the TUG movement, one of the functional activities of chronic stroke patients, based on the mirror neuron system to find changes in the STS movement, the TUG movement, and the dynamic ambulatory capacity before and after the mediation. As a result of the study, there are significant differences in the TUG capability of the AOT group and the SPO group after the mediation. It is assumed that this difference might be caused by the effect of the movement observation. As movement to be trained observed in advance to activate the same movement area, a positive effect was exerted when the movement was actually made. The movement observation training includes a cognitive process as a method to observe a subject’s movements, practice them through imitation, and improve relevant movement areas. Moreover, the results of this study correspond training on chronic stroke patients’ TUG movements.

Table 1. General characteristics of the subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AOT group † (n=6)</th>
<th>SPO group ‡ (n=6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>male: 5</td>
<td>male: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>female: 1</td>
<td>female: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion side</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infarction</td>
<td>infarction: 3</td>
<td>infarction: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemorrhage</td>
<td>hemorrhage: 3</td>
<td>hemorrhage: 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>right: 4</td>
<td>right: 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>left: 2</td>
<td>left: 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ages</td>
<td>56.33 ± 4.45</td>
<td>60.33 ± 8.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† AOT group: Action observation training group, ‡ SPO group: Scenery picture observation.

Table 2. The comparison of variances within each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AOT Group †</th>
<th>SPO Group ‡</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TUG</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.81 ± 9.25</td>
<td>16.29 ± 9.47</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.91 ± 22.20</td>
<td>16.91 ± 7.27</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.57 ± 3.14</td>
<td>13.10 ± 3.41</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.57 ± 3.14</td>
<td>13.10 ± 3.41</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGI</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.50 ± 4.80</td>
<td>18.00 ± 6.19</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00 ± 6.19</td>
<td>17.40 ± 3.78</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† AOT group: Action observation training group, ‡ SPO group: Scenery picture observation.

Table 3. The comparison of variances between each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AOT Group †</th>
<th>SPO Group ‡</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TUG</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.81 ± 9.25</td>
<td>29.91 ± 22.20</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.42*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.29 ± 9.47</td>
<td>16.91 ± 7.27</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STS</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.57 ± 3.14</td>
<td>13.57 ± 3.14</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.10 ± 3.41</td>
<td>13.10 ± 3.41</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGI</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>z</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.50 ± 4.80</td>
<td>18.50 ± 4.80</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00 ± 6.19</td>
<td>17.40 ± 3.78</td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† AOT group: Action observation training group, ‡ SPO group: Scenery picture observation.
with the results of studies on the effects of a movement observation, stating that a movement observation has a positive effect on the imitation and performance of movements. A decrease in the TUG time in two groups is considered a result that subjects repeatedly performed and practiced the same physical training called “TUG”. It is shown that there is no difference in STS and DGI in two groups. It is assumed that the movement observation and physical training were focused on TUG, and TUG did not have learning and transition effects on separate tasks. STS and the ambulatory task, as one mobility task.

In movement observation training, it is required to observe, imitate, and practice other people’s actions, and mobilize the mirror neuron system areas through the activation of the brain area, which is obtained based on the actual movement experience. In a study for healthy adults, Celnik et al.24 found that the AOT group which actually observed similar movements and repeatedly practiced observed movements showed a more significant difference than the training group which repeated simple movements without any observation on movements. Pelosin et al.25 maintain that there is a significant difference after a movement observation was made to reduce freezing of gate, shown in patients with Parkinson’s disease. His finding is consistent with the result of this study.

This study identified changes in the TUG movement before and after training. Normal adults’ performance times for the TUG are below 10 seconds,26 while those of normal adults in their sixties are between 8 and 13.1 seconds.27 A reduction in the performance time for the TUG means an improvement in joint position sense, plantar flexion muscles, dynamic balance control, and ambulatory capability.28 As a result of conducting circulatory task-oriented gait training for twelve chronic stroke patients, it was reported that the performance time reduced from 27.4 before training to 19 seconds after training. Moreover, the experimental group showed 23.6 seconds, a more significant difference than the SPO group, in a post-test made in four weeks. The performance times of the AOT group in this study reduced from 18.81± 9.25 before the mediation to 16.29± 9.47 after the mediation, showing a statistically significant difference (p > 0.05).

In case of stroke patients, the transfer of momentum after lesions, and the generation of force which occurs before and after have effects on the TUG movement due to a reduction in a driving force to stand up and a braking power to control the position.29 In this study, it is shown that subject’s TUG scores are lower than those (8-13.1 seconds) of normal adults in their sixties. As a result of the training in this study, the TUG scores are improved in both of the MO and the SPO group. The main reason of this result may be that the movement observation training exerted a positive effect on an improvement in the transfer of momentum, a driving force, and a braking power, the qualitative variables of the TUG movement.

This study examined the effect of movement observation training on stroke patients’ TUG movements. Study subjects were divided into two groups, the experimental group supposed to observe movements related to daily life, and the SPO group scheduled to observe scenery pictures unrelated to daily life. As a result of an experiment, there is a significant difference in the TUG movement capability between two groups. These results indicate that movement observation training may influence the TUG movement. However, it was difficult to compare the results of this study with those of other studies because there were a few studies on the movement observation training to identify changes in the TUG movement for chronic stroke patients. It was also difficult to generalize the results of this study because the number of study subjects was small and only the change value of the TUG, a functional evaluation tool, was examined. Moreover, this study has more limits in that it was hard to judge the long-term effects of movement observation training through four week training, and the persistence of its effect is unknown because the process was not evaluated.

This study aimed to identify the effects of movement observation training on chronic stroke patients’ TUG movements. It demonstrated that the integration of the movement observation and physical training might improve patients’ movement performance.21 It is considered to be a functional approach from a clinical respective. Moreover, it may be an alternative which patients can continuously train at home after hospital discharge as a program for patient education and home workout. However, there is no standardized framework to organize functional activities. Therefore, it is required to conduct studies that functional movements are observed and trained, a variety of functional evaluations are made, and specific elements are identified.
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